Village of Somers Somers Water Utility Annual Water Diversion Report - 2023



March 2024 (Revised May 2024)



Village of Somers and Somers Water Utility Great Lakes Water Diversion Annual 2023 Report (Revised May 2024)

<u>Summary</u>

The Village of Somers and Somers Water Utility (Village) is submitting this annual report to satisfy the 2021 Diversion Application (2021 Application) requirements of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (DNR)'s approval to the Village's Great Lakes Water Diversion.

Stipulation of the Approval is that the Village must annually report on the following items:

- 1.) The total amount of water diverted monthly within the approved diversion area.
- 2.) The total amount of water sold quarterly (or sold monthly if monthly data is available) to each category of customer within the approved diversion area.
- 3.) The total monthly sewerage flow to the City of Kenosha Wastewater Plant from the diversion area.
- 4.) The total consumptive use as specified by the DNR.
- 5.) A summary of the impact of the implemented Conservation and Efficiency Measures (CEMS) required under Wis. Admin. Code NR 852.04 and NR 852.05, including quantifiable impacts to water use intensity, as defined in Wis. Admin. Code NR 852.03(29).
- 6.) A description of any additional CEMS implemented.

<u>General</u>

In 2022 the Village built and put into operation a water transfer station to serve the area west of 88th Ave, including the Diversion Area. Since there are currently no customers west of 88th Avenue and east of the subcontinental divide, all water that passes into the Diversion Area is metered at the transfer station. In the future, there will be customers west of 88th Avenue that are not within the Diversion Area; these individual customer meters will be deducted from the meter reading at the water transfer station. Also in 2022, the Village installed a sanitary lift station (Lift Station 1A) that collects all sewage generated in the Diversion Area. The sewage flow meter at the lift station will be utilized to measure all sewage that is returned out of the Diversion Area and sent to the Kenosha Water Utility for processing.

The following were completed in 2023 that reflect water sent into the Diversion Area without wastewater returning to the Great Lakes Basin:

- lawn watering for the Archives and Armory.
- lawn watering for the Kwik Trip Development.

No additional meters were constructed in the Diversion Area in 2023. The Archives and Armory PMML, Wisconsin LLC, at 10475 12th Street and Kwik Trip at 11350, 28th Street,



continue to receive water in the Diversion Area and fall under the Commercial Category of Customer. The water meters at these locations will be utilized to measure water usage for the category of customer requirements.

Table 1 below summarizes the water introduced into the Diversion Area by the water transfer station, subtracts metered customers (served by the water transfer station) outside of the Diversion Area, and calculates consumptive use by subtracting the sewage flow returned to the Great Lakes Basin. Please note that several new water mains were flushed and placed into service in the second and third quarter of 2023, which resulted in higher-than-expected amount of water diverted. Table 2 below summarizes the metered customers within the Diversion area and water used in 2023.

Consumptive Use

The WDNR defines consumptive use as the sum of the water sold and the water used for flushing minus the total return gallons. Table 1 shows the consumptive use ratio within the Diversion Area in 2023. The higher ratios in the second and third quarters is due to flushing of new water mains.

	V	olume (Gallons)	
2023	Water Transfer Station & Water Diverted	Sanitary Lift Station	Consumptive Water Use Ratio
Q1	919,000	1,354,000	0.7
Q2	4,700,000	1,393,000	3.4
Q3	4,039,000	1,313,000	3.1
Q4	1,619,000	1,233,000	1.3
Total	11,277,000	5,293,000	2.1

Table 1.	Total Water	· Introduced and	Returned from	the Diversion Area
10010 11	10tal Hatel	mei od dood and	i itetai nea nom	

Table 2. Customer Usage

		V	olume Sold (Gallon	is)	
2023	Pritzker Military Archives and Armory 10475 - 12th St	Kwik Trip Store/Gas Station 11350 - 28th St	Kwik Trip Car Wash 11350 - 28th St	Kwik Trip Irrigation 11350 28th St	Total
Q1	86,768	286,484	242,352	0	615,604
Q2	166,056	335,104	172,040	319,396	992,596
Q3	8,228	452,540	325,380	1,089,088	1,875,236
Q4	32,912	394,944	199,716	445,060	1,072,632
Total	293,964	1,469,072	939,488	1,853,544	4,556,068



Annual 2023 Water Audit

A summary of water use for 2023 is included in the appendix with a complete water audit for 2023. The estimated population served has been revised to adjust the per capita water use of the multi-family customer class. The Village has considerably more multi-family units than originally estimated. Table 3 below summarizes a count of Condominiums and appartments and total bedroom count for each.

	Count	Total Bedrooms	Population
Condominium	75	581	1,348
Apartment	102	1,162	2,696
	•	Total	4,044

Table 3.	Multi-Family	v Po	pulation	Estimate
10.010 0.			0 01101011	1000000

Impact of Conservation and Efficiency Measures (CEM)

Table 4 (page 5) summarizes the 2021 application data while Table 5 (page 6) summarizes the current 2023 application data for historical per-capita consumption. Note the per-capita consumption data has been adjusted for 2023 and removed for previous years. The total water demand per REU from 2021 was 193 gallons per day (gpd) per REU, while in 2023 it decreased to 181 gpd per REU. The decrease in water use per REU equates to more than a 5 percent reduction, which indicates the Village has met their conservation goal.

Table 7 (Page 8) summarizes the water use efficiency metrics and estimates a water use of 176 gpd per REU.

Description of Additional CEM's Implemented

The Village expects CEM Item PWS-4 and the future meter reading system and replacement meters to further improve unaccounted water and improve conservation efforts in upcoming years. Staff is currently in the planning stages of obtaining approval from the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin to offer the rebates listed in Table 3.

Description of Additional Conservation Efforts - Sheridan Road Area

The Village completed construction of water main replacements on Sheridan Road in 2022. The data and graph on Page 9 outline the amounts of water purchased and wastewater returned to Kenosha Water Utility before and after the water main replacements. Year 2023 was the first year the Village also tracked the water sold to the individual homes in the Sheridan Road Area. This collected data confirms the difference in the water purchased from KWU and the amount sold to the customers in the Sheridan Road Area is only different by six percent. The former water mains in this area were leaking. In addition, the unaccounted-for water in the entire Village water system is less than 10 percent (down from 30 percent) for 2023. The difference is water conserved.



			HISTORICAL	PER CAPITA	CONSUMP	TION			
				(Gallons per Ca	apita per D	ay		
Year	Total Population ¹	Population Served ²	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Public	Multifamily Residential ³	Non- Revenue Water Use	Total
2006	8148	2,236	62.4	45.6	0.0	23.7	-	17.7	149
2007	8120	2,262	72.6	49.1	0.0	39.7	-	36.7	198
2008	8211	2,303	67.6	47.9	0.0	30.9	-	37.5	184
2009	8275	2,388	62.0	50.0	0.0	32.7	-	21.2	166
2010	8356	2,377	78.5	70.3	0.0	19.8	-	18.2	187
2011	8276	2,431	60.4	61.3	0.0	42.3	-	18.7	183
2012	8222	2,406	68.7	75.3	0.0	29.4	-	29.4	203
2013	8128	2,424	57.4	69.2	0.0	42.1	-	(5.8)	163
2014	8271	2,467	50.4	49.3	0.0	42.6	-	17.2	159
2015	8273	2,465	52.8	48.7	0.0	36.9	-	21.6	160
2016	8462	3,099	46.6	41.1	0.0	31.9	-	17.7	137
2017	8615	3,060	53.3	32.3	1.1	29.7	62.0	23.3	202
2018	8827	3,142	53.2	23.3	2.1	28.9	137.9	39.2	284
2019	8371	3,942	50.1	11.6	0.5	21.3	69.7	29.9	183
		Average	59.7	48.2	0.3	32.3	89.8	23.0	193.3

Table 4: 2021 Application Data

Footnotes:

¹ Total population for the Village of Somers was estimated between 2006 and 2016 when the Village incorporated. The estimated Village population between 2006 and 2015 was estimated by subtracting the average Town population in 2016 through 2019 from the Town population between 2006 and 2015. Population data sources include Wisconsin Department of Administration and the United States Census Bureau for census years.

² Population served was estimated using residential and multi family customer meters multiplied by the average persons per household of 2.57. A weighted average of 3.39 units per multifamily account was assumed. Per Capita water use for residential and multifamily residential was estimated based on their respective population served for 2017 through 2019.

Prior to 2014, Multifamily Residential was reported as a part of Commercial.

Number of Customers Year Total Multifamily Residential Industrial Public Commercial **Residential**¹ 1,003 . 1,022 -1,075 -1,084 --1,091 1,072 -1,079 . 1,176 1,173 1,206 1,427 1,195 1,227 1,005 1,240 Footnote:

HISTORICAL NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS SERVED

Prior to 2014, Multifamily Residential was reported as a part of Commercial.



			HIS	TORICAL P	ER CAPITA	CONSUME	PTION			
	Total	Populatio	n Served ²			Gallons p	er Capit	a per Day		
Year	Population 1	Residentia l	Multifamil y	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Public	Multifamily Residential ³	Non-Revenue Water Use	Total
2008	8211	2,079	-	74.9	53.0	0.0	34.2	-	41.6	204
2009	8275	2,155	-	68.7	57.4	0.0	36.2	-	23.4	186
2010	8356	2,146	-	86.9	80.4	0.0	21.9	-	20.2	209
2011	8276	2,195	-	66.9	71.7	0.0	46.8	-	20.7	206
2012	8222	2,172	-	76.1	87.2	0.0	32.5	-	32.6	228
2013	8128	2,188	-	63.6	80.7	0.0	46.6	-	(6.5)	184
2014	8271	2,227	-	55.8	58.5	0.0	47.2	-	19.0	180
2015	8273	2,225	-	58.5	57.7	0.0	40.8	-	23.9	181
2016	8462	2,798	-	51.6	61.3	0.0	35.4	-	19.6	168
2017	8615	2,243	-	59.1	47.5	1.5	40.6	-	31.8	180
2018	8827	2,301	-	58.9	35.2	2.8	39.4	-	53.5	190
2019	8371	2,332	-	55.5	22.0	0.8	35.9	-	50.3	164
2020	8402	2,343	-	62.3	23.2	0.2	24.5	-	38.6	149
2021	8330	2,348	-	70.4	34.7	5.4	35.0	-	99.8	245
2022	8501	2,285	-	64.7	33.8	4.3	33.3	-	21.0	157
2023	8396	2,332	4,044	65.7	39.8	2.6	12.5	28.9	31.6	181
		Average		65.0	52.8	1.1	35.2	28.9	32.6	181.0

Table 5: 2023 Application Data

Footnotes:

¹ Total population for the Village of Somers was estimated between 2008 and 2015 when the Village incorporated. The estimated Village population between 2008 and 2015 was estimated by subtracting the average Town population in 2016 through 2021 from the Town population between 2008 and 2015. Population data sources include Wisconsin Department of Administration and the United States Census Bureau for census years.

² Population served was estimated using residential customers multiplied by the average persons per household of 2.32. For multifamily population served was based on an actual count of bedrooms provided by the Village for apartments and condominiums.

Prior to 2014, Multifamily Residential was reported as a part of Commercial.

	HIST	CORICAL NU	MBER OF	CUSTO	MERS SERVED	
		Num	ber of Custo	mers		
Year	Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Public	Multifamily Residential ¹	Total
2008	896	111	0	15	-	1,022
2009	929	130	0	16	-	1,075
2010	925	141	0	18	-	1,084
2011	946	128	0	17	-	1,091
2012	936	120	0	16	-	1,072
2013	943	120	0	16	-	1,079
2014	960	207	0	9	0	1,176
2015	959	205	0	9	0	1,173
2016	1,206	212	0	9	0	1,427
2017	967	152	2	8	66	1,195
2018	992	157	2	8	68	1,227
2019	1,005	69	2	8	156	1,240
2020	1,010	77	2	22	114	1,225
2021	1,012	81	2	22	125	1,242
2022	985	83	2	22	125	1,217
2023	1,005	93	2	20	130	1,250
Footnote:						
¹ Prior to 2	2014, Multifam	ily Residential	was reporte	d as a par	t of Commercial.	



CEM#	Description	Required Elements	Implementation Year	Status
PWS-1	Water Use Audit	Perform a water use audit and prepare written documentation of the audit results using the process outlined in ch PSC 185.	2021	Complete
PWS-2	Leak Detection and Repair Program	Replacement of the Sheridan Road Area water mains.	2022	Complete
PWS-3	Information and Education Outreach	The Village's water conservation goals and AWE tool results will be shared with the residents on the Village website. The information can be found at the following link: https://www.somers.org/news/2021/07/23/w ater.conservation/	2021	Complete
		Partner with UW-Parkside to educate students on water conservation.	Not Started	
PWS-4	Performing Source Measurement	KWU bills the Village for source water and wastewater and the Village bills their residents on a quarterly basis. The data will be plotted and compared to determine discrepancies.	2021	Ongoing
		KWU regularly tests and recalibrates the Somers master supply meters on an annual basis.	2021	Ongoing
		Additional steps may include comparing water sales and wastewater pumped from individual drainage basins - Sheridan Road	2023	Complete
PWS-R1	Distribution System Pressure Management	Pressure will be monitored at three locations: 1) Fire Station No. 2 in KWU Zone 1, Pike Creek Sewage Lift Station in KWU Zone 2, and the discharge line of the Water Transfer Station on 18 th Street serving the Somers Zone. All pressure data will be recorded and transmitted to the Utility's SCADA system.	2022	Complete
PWS-R2	Residential Demand Management Program	A future meter reading system will be used to detect abnormal usage at each metering site so that the customer can be made aware of problems, such as a running toilet, before receiving their monthly bill	2023	Ongoing
		The village will consider implementing residential low-flow showerhead rebates for single family homes. The rebate would consist of a \$20 rebate for residents who purchase and install a WaterSense showerhead in their home.	2024	
		In addition, the Village will implement a voluntary lawn sprinkling restriction that residents can participate in to further support the conservation efforts.	2021	Ongoing
		The village currently maintains a program for residents to contact the Village Clerk if the quarterly water bill is higher than expected.	2021	Ongoing
PWS-R3	Commecial and Industrial Demand Management Program	The village will consider implementing rebates for commercial valve-type ultra-low-flush toilets in commercial or industrial buildings. The rebate would consist of a \$100 rebate for customers who purchase and install a new WaterSense ultra-low- flush toilet in their business.	2024	
		The village maintains a program for commercial and industrial customers to contact the Village Clerk if the quarterly water bill is higher than expected.	2021	Ongoing

Table 6: Status of CEM Measures from 2021 Diversion Application



Calculate Re	sidential Ec	quivalent L	Jnits		Calculation Ave	rage Day Wa	ter Use per	REU
Instructions:	: Enter syste	em numbe	rs in yellov	v boxes.	Total Water			
				-	Sales	165820000	gallons	
	Number	REU			Average Day			
Meter size	of Meters	Ratio*	REU		Water Use	454301.37	gallons/day	
5/8	675	1	675		Water Use/REU	176.325	gpd/REU	
3/4	275	1	275					
1	237	2.5	592.5					
1 1/4	0	3.7	0					
1 1/2	53	5	265					
2	48	8	384		* From Wisconsi	n Public Ser	vice Commis	ssion
2 1/2	0	12.5	0					
3	14	15	210					
4	3	25	75					
6	2	50	100					
8	0	80	0					
10	0	122	0					
12	0	160	0					
Total	1307		2576.5					
Calculate Av	erage Resid	ential Per	Capita Use	<u>.</u>				
Instructions	· Enter syste	en numbe	rs in vellov	- v hoxes				
Connections			is in yener	JOACS				
connections	01	02	02	04				
Customor	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4		Occupancy		
Class	Count	Count	Count	Count	Average	Coctor**	Dopulation	
Class Condo (Ant	120	126	120	12C	Average	Factor	Population	
Condo/Apt	120	120	1020	1020	1010 5	2.0	2054	
Residential	1019	1019	1020	1020	1019.5	2.0	2051	
Canda (Anar	tmant Dani	lation Cal						
Condo/Apar				Develotion	Tatal		**Deceder	
	Bearoom	Units	Factor	Population	Total		**Based on	populatio
Apartment	1	0	1.5	0			occupied no	busing un
Apartment	2	400	2.5	0		-		
Apartment	3	102	26.4	2693		Iotal		
Condo		/5	18.0	1350	4043	Population	6694	
Average Res	idential Pe	r capita Use	2					
	Water Solo	l by quarte	r (Use in T	housands)			Average	
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			Residentia	
Customer	Water	Water	Water	Water			l per capita	
Class	Sold	Sold	Sold	Sold	Total	Population	Use in gpd	
Condo/Apt	9919	10773	11492	10471	42656	4043	28.91	
Residential	8672	12413	22727	11522	55334	2651	57.19	
Total					97990	6694	40.11	
Calculate Ma	aximum Da	y to Averag	ge Day					
		Average D	Day					
Annual								
Water								
Withdrawal	192710000	527972.6	gallons/da	ау				
Maximum								
Day								
Withdrawal	836000	20-Aug	gallons/da	ау				
Maximum			<u>.</u> ,					
to Average								
Day Ratio	16							
- ay natio	1.0							

Table 7: 2023 Water Consumption Per REU—WDNR Spreadsheet



Month	20(96	2019	2020		202	1			202	12				2023		
			Drinking	Drinking	Drinking				Drinking				Drinking	Individual			
	Sewage		Water	Water	Water				Water	Sewage			Water	Water	Sewage		
	ΜM	Precip	DW	DW	DW	Sewage	Calculated	Precip	DW	ΜM	Calculated	Precip	DW	Meters*	ΜM	Calculated	Precip
	(MG)	Inches	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	WW/DW	Inches	(MG)	(MG)	WW/DW	Inches	(MG)	(MG)	(MG)	WW/DW	Inches
January		1.30	6.9	6.3	3.8	7.0	1.8	2.08	3.7	4.7	1.3	0.75	4.1	3.0	6.4	2.1	2.01
February		4.13	6.2	6.5	4.1	6.3	1.5	1.00	3.3	4.1	1.3	1.07	3.3	3.0	8.2	2.7	3.78
March		4.33	6.2	6.4	4.7	10.8	2.3	0.79	3.5	5.5	1.6	2.82	3.7	3.0	11.4	3.8	3.16
April		4.18	6.5	6.0	4.3	8.4	1.9	1.09	3.4	8.8	2.6	4.41	3.3	3.2	9.4	2.9	1.60
May	6.6	2.62	6.1	6.2	4.8	7.3	1.5	1.21	3.7	8.5	2.3	2.55	3.7	3.2	6.5	2.0	1.22
June	14.0	5.29	5.4	6.9	4.9	5.9	1.2	2.93	3.9	6.1	1.6	2.88	4.5	3.2	5.0	1.6	1.67
July	7.7	5.16	6.9	5.5	5.1	5.7	1.1	1.49	4.2	5.4	1.3	4.88	4.6	4.6	5.2	1.1	3.89
August	5.6	1.09	5.9	4.2	4.6	5.2	11	3.23	4.1	4.9	1.2	2.80	4.3	4.6	6.1	1.3	5.34
September	6.6	5.68	6.6	3.9	4.6	4,6	1.0	1.24	3.5	6.5	1.8	5.15	3.9	4.6	5.4	1.2	2.73
October	5.7	2.92	5.4	4.1	4.0	5.3	1.3	4.71	3.5	5.2	1.5	1.81	3.5	3.4	6.3	1.9	3.79
November	5.2	1.51	5.7	4.6	4.0	4.9	1.2	0.48	3.3	4.7	1.4	0.80	3.3	3.4	5.4	1.6	1.32
December	8.3	5.16	6.0	3.3	3.6	5.0	1.4	3.43	4.0	6.2	1.6	2.48	3.6	3.4	6.6	1.9	2.15
Yearly Total =	97.7	43.4	73.9	63.9	52.6	76.4	1.5	23.7	44.0	70.7	1.6	32.4	45.6	42.7	81.8	1.8	32.7
*Note residential n	neter use v	vas adjus	sted by ave	eraging anı	nual volum	e per mete	er and multi	iplying by	r the numl	ber of miss	ing meters	(32 tota	l, see attac	hed list of n	issing met	ters).	
			Yearly C	omparis	son of Di	rinking V	Water and	d Sewa	ge Flow	s - Sheri	idan Roa	d Serv	ice Area				
						0	Village o	of Some	ers, WI	1	- DW - 2019 -	79.9 MG V(olume				
][1	- DW - 2020 - (63.9 MG Vo	lume				
14										1	- DW - 2021 - 5	3 MG Volu	ле				
								, í		1	DW - 2022 - 4	44 MG Volu	m				
12												and the second second					
			, i	;		•••				ł	- 2023 - 4		a				
01		Ì	(\ \	1						1	- WW - 2008 - 5	98 MG Volu	me - 43 Inche	10			
(9W)						!				ł	WW - 2021 - 7 Rain	76 MG Volu	me - 24 Inche	10			
oo) əwn	`````	-			1					ł	WW-2022-7	70-MG Volu	me - 32 Inche			1	
<u>і</u> ол							ţ		{	ļ			1			1 1	
9	}							X	1						ŀ		
;		'												;			
4						•						ł	N			X	
2																	
0	2		m	4	et	- 5	- 0	:	2		- 00	σ		6	Ħ	12	
	I		1			1	1	Month			,			1	I		



APPENDIX 2023 Water Audit

AWWA Free Water Audit Software v6.0

American Water Works Association Copyright © 2020, All Rights Reserved

This spreadsheet-based water audit tool is designed to help quantify and track water losses associated with water distribution systems and identify areas for improved efficiency and cost recovery. It provides a "top-down" summary water audit format and is not meant to take the place of a full-scale, comprehensive water audit format. Auditors are strongly encouraged to refer to the most current edition of AWWA M36 Manual for Water Audits for detailed guidance on the water auditing process and targeting loss reduction levels. This tool contains several separate worksheets. Sheets can be accessed using the tabs at the bottom of the screen, or by clicking the TOC links below.

Name of Contact Person:

Name of Utility:

Email:

Table of Contents (TOC)

Start Page The current sheet. Enter contact information and basic audit details.

- Enter the required data on this worksheet to calculate the Worksheet water balance and data grading.
- Interactive Data Answer questions about operational practices for each Grading populate. audit input, and the data validity grades will automatically
 - Dashboard Review NRW components, performance indicators and graphical outputs to evaluate the results of the audit.
 - Enter notes to explain how values were calculated, Notes document data sources, and related information about data management practices.
 - By popular demand! A blank sheet. **Blank Sheet** The world is your canvas.
- Water Balance The values entered in the Worksheet automatically populate the Water Balance.
- Loss Control Use this sheet to interpret the results of the audit validity Planning score and performance indicators.
- Definitions Use this sheet to understand the terms used in the audit process.
- Service Diagrams depicting possible customer service connection Connection Line configurations. Diagram
- Acknowledge- Acknowledgements for development of the AWWA Free ments Water Audit Software v6.0.

AWWA Web Resources for Water Loss Control

https://www.awwa.org/Resources-Tools/Resource-Topics/Water-Loss-Control Items referenced in the Free Water Audit Software v6.0 on the web:

Data Grading Matrix v6.0 Example Water Audit v6.0 Water Audit Compiler v6.0 AWWA Reports on Performance Indicators M36 Manual

If you have questions or comments regarding this software please contact us at: wlc@awwa.org

Enter Basic Information

Somers Water Utility

jpeters@somers.org

Jason Peters

WI

Telephone Ext.:	262-859-2822			WIEA	WI Error Adju
City/Town/Municipality:	Somers			WE	Water Exporte
State / Province:	Wisconsin (WI)			WEEA	WE Error Adju
				BMAC	Billed Metered
Country:	United States			BUAC	Billed Unmete
Audit Preparation Date:	May 09 2024			UMAC	Unbilled Mete
Audit Year:	2023			UUAC	Unbilled Unm
Audit Year Label:	Calendar	(Fiscal, (Calendar, etc)	SDHE	Systematic Da
Audit Period Start Date:	Jan 01 2023			CMI	Customer Met
Audit Period End Date:	Dec 31 2023			UC	Unauthorized
Volume Reporting Units:	Million gallons (US)			Lm	Length of mai
Water System Structure:	Hybrid Wholesale + F			Nc	Number of se
Water Type:	Potable Water			Lp	Average lengt
System ID Number:	23014211			AOP	Average Oper
Validator Name/ID:	n/a			CRUC	Customer Ret
Validator Email:	n/a			VPC	Variable Prod
Estimated Total Popu	lation Served by Wate	er Utility:	3,715		

Color Key User input

Guidance for the Worksheet

Choosing to enter unit of percent or volume (applies to VOSEA, WIEA, WEEA, CMI) choose entry option: 1.00%

percent or 25.000 volume

Choosing to enter default or custom input (applies to UUAC, SDHE, UC) choose entry option:

0.25%	default	or
	custom	75.000

In order of appearance in **Key of Input Acronyms** the Worksheet **VOS** Volume from Own Sources VOSEA VOS Error Adjustment Water Imported WI Error Adjustment ed ustment d Authorized Consumption ered Authorized Consumption ered Authorized Consumption etered Authorized Consumption ata Handling Errors tering Inaccuracies Consumption ns ervice connections th of (private) customer service line rating Pressure tail Unit Charge luction Cost 3,715 Calculated Optional default Guidance for the Interactive Data Grading Use acronym buttons in IDG header to navigate among inputs. Acronym Key above. White = needs answers, orange = complete, clear = not required. Example below. UUAC BMAC BUAC LIMAC AOP CRUC VPC After clicking an acronym button, answer all visible questions in the order they're presented, choosing best-fit answer Grade will populate when all visible questions are complete for an input The limiting criteria will be labeled along the right. If only 1 limiting criterion is shown, improving on that criterion will achieve a higher data grade. If multiple limiting criteria are shown, improving on each limiting criterion is necessary to Limiting achieve a higher data grade. A complete inventory of data grading criteria is available in the Data Grading Matrix v6.0 (see web resources)

vos	V	OSEA	wi	N	/IEA	W	/E	W	EEA	
SDHE		СМІ	UC		Lm		N	lc		L

FWAS v6.0



						-	
	COST DATA						
RUC	Customer Retail Unit Charge:	n g 5	\$5.49 \$/100 cubic feet (co	cf)	Total Annual Op	erating Cost	
VPC	Variable Production Cost:	n g 10	\$5.49 \$/Million gallons		\$960,	,164	\$/yr (optional input)
	WATER AUDIT DATA VALIDITY TIER:					-	
	*** The Water Audit Data Validity S	core is in Tier III (5	1-70). See Dashboard tab	o for additional outputs. **	*	go to dashboard	
	A weighted scale for the components of supply, co	nsumption and water lo	oss is included in the calculati	ion of the Water Audit Data Va	lidity Score		
	PRIORITY AREAS FOR ATTENTION TO IMPROVE DA	TA VALIDITY:		KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATOR TARGETS:			
	Based on the information provided, audit reliability can be mos	t improved by addressing	the following components:	OPTIONAL: If targets exist for	the operational pe	rformance indic	ators, they can be input bel
	1: Water Imported (WI)			l	Jnit Total Losses:		gal/conn/day
	2: Billed Unmetered (BUAC)			Unit	Apparent Losses:		gal/conn/day
	3: Billed Metered (BMAC)			U	Init Real Losses ^A :		gal/conn/day
				U	Init Real Losses [⊮] :		gal/mile/day
				If entered above by user, ta	argets will display o	on KPI gauges (see Dashboard)

2023	VOS	VOSEA	WI	WIEA	WE	WEEA	BMAC	BUAC	UMAC	UUAC	Limiting criteria
White = incomplete Orange = complete	SDHE	СМІ	UC	Ln	n N	lc	Lp	AOP	CRUC	VPC	(see Start Page for
Use acronyms for navigation FWAS v6.0 American Water Works Association. Copyright © 2020, All Rights Reserved. details)								details)			
go to input Volume from Own Sources (VOS) - Data Grading Criteria go to no									go to notes		

vos	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions
vos.0	Did the water utility supply any water from its own sources during the audit year?	No
vos.1		
	For questions 2-10 below: Choose the answer that applies for those meters that In-situ flow accuracy testing = a test process that confirms the flow measuring accuracy Electronic calibration = a process that checks for error in the metering secondary de Secondary device can include conversion to mA, meter transmitter or similar instrum Tertiary device can include SCADA, historian or other computerized archival system	t measure >90% of the finished water volume. uracy of the primary device (the flowmeter), in its installed location, using an independent reference volume. evice(s) and/or the tertiary device(s). nentation.
vos.2		
vos.3		
vos.4		
vos.5		
vos.6		

vos.5		
vos.6		
vos.7		
vos.8		
vos.9		
vos.10		
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	n/a

go to input	Volume from Own Sources Error Adjustment (VOSEA) - Data Grading Criteria					
vosea	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions				
vosea.1						
vosea.2						
vosea.3						
vosea.4						
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	n/a				

go to notes

go	to	input	
			1

Water Imported (WI) - Data Grading Criteria

Limiting

wi	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions			
wi.0	Did the water utility import any water during the audit year?	Yes			
wi.1	What percent of water imported is metered?	>99%			
	For questions 2-10 below: Choose the answer that applies for those meters that measure >90% of the water imported volume. In-situ flow accuracy testing = a test process that confirms the flow measuring accuracy of the primary device (the flowmeter), in its installed location, using an independent ref Electronic calibration = a process that checks for error in the metering secondary device(s) and/or the tertiary device(s). Secondary device can include conversion to mA, meter transmitter or similar instrumentation. Tertiary device can include SCADA, historian or other computerized archival system.				
wi.2	What is the frequency of electronic calibration?	Annually			
wi.3	What level of data transfer errors are checked as part of the electronic calibration process?	Data transfer errors are checked at secondary device(s), but not to tertiary device(s)			
wi.4	Is the most recent electronic calibration documentation available?	Yes			
wi.5	What is the frequency of in-situ flow accuracy testing?	Annually			
wi.6	Is the most recent in-situ flow accuracy testing documentation available?	Yes			
wi.7	What are the total volume-weighted average results of in-situ flow accuracy testing (during or closest to audit year)?	At or within ±3%			
wi.8	Have testing and calibration procedures been closely scrutinized for compliance with procedures described in the AWWA M36 and/or M33 Manual(s)?	Yes			
wi.9	Which best describes the frequency of meter readings (data collection frequency as opposed to billing frequency)?	Once per month			
wi.10	What is the frequency of data review & correction by Exporting or Importing Utility for data gaps and/or anomalies? These can include numbers that are outside of typical patterns, and zero or 'null' values that may reflect a gap in data recording.	Less frequently than monthly			
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	4			

go to input	Water Imported Error	Adjustment (WIEA) - Data Grading Criteria	go to notes
wiea	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
wiea.1	Is an agreement in place between Exporting and Importing Utility for the purchase of water?	Yes, written	
wiea.2	Are meter accuracy testing or electronic calibration requirements stipulated in the water purchase agreement?	Yes, and stipulated frequency as annual	Limiting
wiea.3	Are flow accuracy test and/or electronic calibration results used to inform the error adjustment input in the water audit?	Yes, results are analyzed and a 'no-adjustment' was determined	
wiea.4	Who has access to the import meter readings including current and archived data?	Exporting and Importing Utility	
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	9	

go to input	
	-

Water Exported (WE) - Data Grading Criteria

go to notes

we	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	-			
we.0	Did the water utility export any water during the audit year?	Yes				
we.1	What percent of water exported is metered?	>99%				
	For questions 2-10 below: Choose the answer that applies for those meters that measure >90% of the water exported volume. In-situ flow accuracy testing = a test process that confirms the flow measuring accuracy of the primary device (the flowmeter), in its installed location, using an independent reference volume. Electronic calibration = a process that checks for error in the metering secondary device(s) and/or the tertiary device(s). Secondary device can include conversion to mA, meter transmitter or similar instrumentation. Tertiary device can include SCADA, historian or other computerized archival system.					
we.2	What is the frequency of electronic calibration?	Annually				
we.3	What level of data transfer errors are checked as part of the electronic calibration process?	Data transfer errors are checked at secondary device(s), but not to tertiary device(s)				
we.4	Is the most recent electronic calibration documentation available?	Yes				
we.5	What is the frequency of in-situ flow accuracy testing?	None, or Not within last 5 years				
we.6						
we.7						
we.8	Have testing and calibration procedures been closely scrutinized for compliance with procedures described in the AWWA M36 and/or M33 Manual(s)?	No				
we.9	Which best describes the frequency of meter readings (data collection frequency as opposed to billing frequency)?	Less frequently than monthly	Limitir			
we.10	What is the frequency of data review & correction by Exporting or Importing Utility for data gaps and/or anomalies? These can include numbers that are outside of typical patterns, and zero or 'null' values that may reflect a gap in data recording.	Less frequently than monthly	Limitir			
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	4				

go to input	Water Exported Error	Adjustment (WEEA) - Data Grading Criteria	go to notes
weea	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
weea.1	Is an agreement in place between Exporting and Importing Utility?	Yes, written	
weea.2	Are meter accuracy testing or electronic calibration requirements stipulated in the water purchase agreement?	Yes, and stipulated frequency as annual	Limiting
weea.3	Are flow accuracy test and/or electronic calibration results used to inform the error adjustment input in the water audit?	Yes, results are analyzed and a 'no-adjustment' was determined	
weea.4	Who has access to the import meter readings including current and archived data?	Exporting and Importing Utility	
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	9	

go to input	Billed Metered Authorized	Consumption (BMAC) - Data Grading Criteria	go to notes
bmac	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
bmac.0	Were any customers metered in the audit year?	Yes	
bmac.1	For billed metered accounts, what % of bills are estimated in a typical billing cycle?	5% or less	
bmac.2	How often does the utility read its customer meters? For systems with multiple read frequencies, select the reading frequency that describes the majority of your customers.	Quarterly	Limiting
bmac.3	Is the BMAC volume pro-rated to represent consumption occuring exactly during the audit period?	No	
bmac.4	How frequently does internal review by utility staff of the BMAC volumes occur?	Every billing cycle	
bmac.5	What level of detail is examined in the internal review of BMAC volumes?	Sum total only	Limiting
bmac.6	When was the most recent billing data review by someone who is independent of the utility billing process?	Within last 3 years	
bmac.7	What level of detail was examined in the review by someone who is independent of the utility billing process?	Third party review includes a check on a sample of accounts	
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT	7	

go to input	Billed Unmetered Authorize	ed Consumption (BUAC) - Data Grading Criteria	go to notes
buac	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
buac.0	Was there any billed consumption on unmetered accounts in the audit year?	Yes	
buac.1	What portion of billed accounts are unmetered (% by number of accounts)?	5% or less	
buac.2	Methodology to quantify consumption for unmetered accounts?	Estimated for each unmetered customer OR derived from representative statistical samples of the system	
buac.3	How frequently is unmetered customer consumption estimated?	Quarterly	Limiting
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	6	

go to input	Unbilled Metered Authorize	ed Consumption (UMAC) - Data Grading Criteria	go to notes
umac	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
umac.0	Did the water utility have any unbilled-metered consumption in the audit year?	Yes	
umac.1	Does the water utility policy articulate which accounts are exempt from billing?	Νο	Limiting
umac.2	How many unbilled metered accounts exist?	Unknown	Limiting
umac.3	How often is each unbilled customer meter read? For systems with multiple read frequencies, select the reading frequency that describes the majority of your customers.	Annually	
umac.4	How often are unbilled metered volumes reviewed for error?	Annually	
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	2	

go to input	Unbilled Unmetered Authori	zed Consumption (UUAC) - Data Grading Criteria	go to notes
uuac	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
uuac.0	On the Worksheet, the status of the default option is:	A system specific volume has been entered	
uuac.1	How well-understood is the extent of unbilled unmetered use?	Majority identified and tracked	
uuac.2	Which best describes the records that are kept for events of unbilled unmetered use?	Documentation exists, but not specific to each event	Limiting
uuac.3	How is the majority of unbilled unmetered use estimated?	By number of events multiplied by typical use estimates	
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	6	

Interactive Data Grading Responses

go to input	Systematic Data Handling Error (SDHE) - Data Grading Criteria	go to notes
	This Data Grading Criteria is hidden when the 'default' input is used on the Worksheet	



	go to input	
--	-------------	--

Customer Metering Inaccuracies (CMI) - Data Grading Criteria

go to notes

cmi	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
cmi.0	Was there any metered customer usage during the audit period?	Yes	
cmi.1	Do you test meters reactively (when triggered by customer complaint or billing/consumption flag)?	Reactive testing conducted	
cmi.2	For small size customer meters, which best describes the frequency of proactive testing (effort beyond when triggered by customer complaint or billing/consumption flags)?	Recurring, within two years of the audit period	
cmi.3	Which best describes what meters are included in the proactive small size customer meter testing activities?	Testing targeted to subsets of meters ie oldest meters	Limiting
cmi.4	For mid and large size customer meters, which best describes the frequency of the proactive testing program?	Recurring, within 5 years prior to audit period, but less frequently than annually	
cmi.5	Which best describes what meters are included in the proactive mid- and large customer meter testing activities?	Proactive - all large meters are on a testing schedule	
cmi.6	Which best describes how the input was derived?	No test results were used, but at least 50% of meter stock has been replaced within two years of the audit period	
cmi.7	Has the input derivation been reviewed by someone with expert knowledge in the M36 methodology?	Νο	
cmi.8	To what extent does meter replacement occur and for which meters?	Replacement upon complete failure or special circumstance (as needed)	
cmi.9	Which best describes the reliability of meter installation records?	Records are kept for meter installations, and they include data on installation date, type, size, and manufacturer	
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	7	

Interactive Data Grading Responses

go to input	
3	

Unauthorized Consumption (UC) - Data Grading Criteria This Data Grading Criteria is hidden when the 'default' input is used on the Worksheet

3

go to notes

FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:

go to input	Length of Mains (Lm) - Data Grading Criteria		go to note
Lm	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
Lm.1	How was the input derived?	Derived directly from Mains inventory (GIS, ledger, etc)	
Lm.2	Are hydrant laterals included in the input derivation?	Yes	
Lm.3	Which best describes how the Mains inventory (GIS, ledger, etc) is kept up to date?	Additions or subtractions are updated in the mains inventory (GIS, ledger, etc), at least annually	
Lm.4	Which best describes how the Mains inventory (GIS, ledger, etc) is field validated to confirm field conditions match the inventory?	Field validation is accomplished (i.e. in daily operations or specific validation projects)	
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	10	

go to input	Number of Service	Connections (Nc) - Data Grading Criteria	go to notes
Nc	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
Nc.1	How was the input derived?	Extracted from Services inventory (GIS, billing system, etc)	
Nc.2	What is the count of services based on?	Non-premise based, i.e. meter count, customer count	Limiting
Nc.3	Are inactive (but still pressurized) service lines included in the input? These may be metered or unmetered.	Yes	
Nc.4	Which best describes how the inventory of service connections (GIS, billing system, etc) is kept up to date?	Additions or subtractions are updated in the service line inventory (GIS, billing system, etc), at least annually	
Nc.5	Which best describes how the inventory of service connections (GIS, billing system, etc) is field validated to confirm field conditions match the inventory?	Field validation is accomplished for the entire system (i.e. in daily operations or specific validation projects)	
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	8	

go to input	Average Length of (Private) C	Customer Service Line (Lp) - Data Grading Criteria	go to notes
Lp	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions	
Lp.0	Are customer meters typically located at the curbstop or property line?	Νο	
Lp.1	How was the input derived?	Guesstimated	Limiting
Lp.2	Which best describes how the Customer Service Line and Meter Locations mapping is kept up to date?	Customer Service Line and Meter Locations inventory is not maintained or updated	Limiting
Lp.3			
Lp.4	Which best describes the policy to define where the utility's ownership of the service line ends, and the customer's ownership of the service line begins?	Policy is clear, and adherance in practice is consistent	
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	1	

go to input	Average Operating Pressure (AOP) - Data Grading Criteria					
аор	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions				
aop.1	Which best describes checks on the boundary integrity for the system's pressure zone(s)?	Not applicable, the system operates as a single pressure zone				
aop.2	Which best describes how one-time pressure readings (i.e. from hydrants) are collected?	Collected annually during routine system flushing and/or hydrant testing				
aop.3	Which best describes where continuous pressure data (via temporary data loggers or permanent telemetry) is collected?	Continuous pressure data is not collected				
aop.4						
aop.5	How was the input derived?	Calculated from field data as a simple average				
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	6				

go to input	Customer Retail Unit Charge (CRUC) - Data Grading Criteria						
cruc	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions					
cruc.0	Was any metered consumption billed on a volumetric basis in the audit period?	Yes					
cruc.1	Which best describes the use and reliability of the current rate structure?	Customer bill calculations have been checked to confirm the rate structure is correctly implemented					
cruc.2	Choose the option that best describes how the input was derived	Rate structure has multiple volumetric rates, but only one rate was selected for this input	Limiting				
cruc.3	Is there any additional volumetric revenue the utility receives that depends on water meter readings, such as sewer?	No					
cruc.4	Has the input derivation been reviewed by someone with expert knowledge in the M36 methodology?	No					
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	5					

go to input	Variable Production Cost (VPC) - Data Grading Criteria					
vpc	Criteria Question	Select Best-Fit Answers to All Visible Questions				
vpc.1	Choose the option that best describes how the input was derived	Only one source of water exists, which was the basis for the input derivation				
vpc.2	Choose the option that best describes which short-run marginal costs have been included in the input, using the definitions below for reference. Short-run marginal costs can include the following: - chemicals + power for treatment, typically applicable if the utility is producing/treating water - power for distribution, typically applicable if pumps exist in the distribution network - water acquisition costs, typically applicable if the utility is purchasing water or incurs any extraction costs for withdrawing from a source Some short-run marginal costs may not be applicable. The auditor should analyze the system characteristics to determine which costs are applicable for inclusion in the VPC input derivation. See also the latest AWWA M36 Manual for further guidance.	All applicable short-run marginal costs are included				
vpc.3	Choose the option that best describes which long-run marginal costs have been included in the input, using the definitions below for reference. Long-run marginal costs can include the following: - water treatment residuals management, typically applicable if solids are produced from water treatment process - accelerated wear & tear on dynamic equipment, typically applicable if pumps exist for treatment and/or distribution, or any other equipment exists that wears out as a function of use instead of time (i.e. filter media, chemical dosing pumps, uv disinfection bulbs, etc) - payouts for damage claims from main and service line breaks, typically applicable if damage claims are paid by the utility - accelerated expansion of supply capacity, typically applicable if the utility is at or nearing supply capacity, or scarecity costs in water scarce areas - full cost pricing that includes all lifecycle costs and externalities (internalized or not) Some long-run marginal costs may not be applicable. The auditor should analyze the system characteristics to determine which costs are applicable for inclusion in the VPC input derivation. See also the latest AWWA M36 Manual for further guidance.	Long-run marginal costs have been evaluated for applicability, and all applicable costs are included				
vpc.4	Has the input derivation been reviewed by someone with expert knowledge in the M36 methodology?	Yes				
	FINAL DATA GRADE FOR THIS AUDIT INPUT:	10				



	2020 AWWA V	Vater Audit Method – Water Au	Table : dit Output	1 ts and Ke	Source: ey Perfc	AWWA Wate	r Loss Control (Indicato	Committee Report (2020) ¹ , with namir	ng conventions updated
	Indicator			Suita	able Purp	oses		Uses and Limitations	Principal
Туре		Description	Assessment	Bench- Marking	Target- Setting	Planning	Tracking		Users
Attribute	Apparent Loss Volume	Calculated by Free Water Audit Software	~				✓	Assess loss level	Utility, Regulators
	Apparent Loss Cost	Calculated by Free Water Audit Software	~				✓	Assess cost loss level	Utility, Regulators
	Real Loss Volume	Calculated by Free Water Audit Software	√				✓	Assess loss level	Utility, Regulators
	Real Loss Cost	Calculated by Free Water Audit Software	✓				✓	Assess loss cost level	Utility, Regulators
	Unavoidable Annual Real Loss (UARL)	Calculated by Free Water Audit Software	\checkmark				~	Reveal theoretical technical low level of leakage	Utility, Regulators
Volume	Unit Apparent Losses (vol/conn/day)	Strong and understandable indicator for multiple users.	~	✓	~	~	√	Used for performance tracking and target-setting	Utility, Regulators
	Unit Real Losses ^A (vol/conn/day)	Strong and understandable indicator for multiple users.	~	✓	~	√	√	Used for performance tracking and target-setting	Utility, Regulators, Policy Makers
	Unit Real Losses ^B (vol/pipeline length/ day)	Strong and understandable indicator for use by utilities with low connection density.	√	✓ 	√	\checkmark	√	Data collection and assessment of systems with "low" connection density	Utility, Regulators, Policy Makers
	Unit Total Losses (vol/conn/day) New KPI	Strong and understandable indicator, suitable for high-level performance measurement.	×				~	High level indicator for trending analysis. Not appropriate for target-setting or benchmarking	Utilities, Customers
	Infrastructure Leakage Index (ILI)	Robust, specialized ratio KPI; can be influenced by pressure and connection density.	✓ ✓	~			~	Benchmarking after pressure management is implemented	Utilities
Value	Apparent Loss Cost Rate (value/conn/year) New KPI	Indicators with sufficient technical rigor. Provide the unit financial value of each type of loss, which is useful for planning and	✓			√	√	Data collection and assessment on AWWA indicators or contextual	Utilities, Regulators, Customers
	Real Loss Cost Rate (value/conn/year) New KPI	assessment of cost efficiency of water loss reduction and control interventions and programs.	×			~	~	parameters to use in conjunction with Loss Cost Rates	Utilities, Regulators, Customers
Validity	Data Validity Tier (DVT)	Strong indicator of water loss audit data quality, if data has been validated. Tier provides guidance on priority areas of activity.	✓	√		√	✓	Assess caliber of data inputs of the water audit	Regulators, Utilities

		AWWA Free V U	Vater Audit Software: ser Notes	FWAS v6.0 American Water Works Association. Copyright © 2020, All Rights Reserved.
Wat	er Audit Report for: Audit Year:	Somers Water Utility 2023	Calendar Jan 01 2023 - Dec 3	31 2023
	General Notes:			
	Audit Item	Notes on Input Derivation	1	Notes on Data Validity Grading
go to go to worksheet grading	Volume from Own Sources (VOS)			
go to go to worksheet grading	Volume from Own Sources Error Adjustment (VOSEA)			
go to go to worksheet grading	Water Imported (WI)			
go to go to worksheet grading	Water Imported Error Adjustment (WIEA)			
go to go to worksheet grading	Water Exported (WE)			

	Audit Item	Notes on Input Derivation	Notes on Data Validity Grading
go to go to worksheet grading	Water Exported Error Adjustment (WEIA)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Billed Metered Authorized Consumption (BMAC)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Billed Unmetered Authorized Consumption (BUAC)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Unbilled Metered Authorized Consumption (UMAC)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Unbilled Unmetered Authorized Consumption (UUAC)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Systematic Data Handling Errors (SDHE)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Customer Metering Inaccuracies (CMI)		

	Audit Item	Notes on Input Derivation	Notes on Data Validity Grading
go to go to worksheet grading	Unauthorized Consumption (UC)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Length of Mains (Lm)	Excludes 1,055 feet of water mains outside of the municipality.	
go to worksheet grading	Number of Service Connections (Nc)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Average Length of (private) Customer Service Line (Lp)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Average Operating Pressure (AOP)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Customer Retail Unit Charge (CRUC)		
go to go to worksheet grading	Variable Production Cost (VPC)		

Hello, I am a blank sheet, at your service.

AWWA Free Water Audit Software Water Balance Water Audit Report for:			Audit Report for:	Somers Water Utility	FWAS v6.0 can Water Works Association. © 2020, All Rights Reserved.	
N		Audit Year:		2023	Jan 01 2023 - Dec 31 2023	
		D	ata Validity Tier:	Tier III (51-70)		
		Water Exported (WE) (corrected for known errors) 1.930		Billed Water Ex	Revenue Water (Exported) 1.930	
Volume from Own			Authorized	Billed Authorized Consumption	Billed Metered Consumption (BMAC) (water exported is removed) 163.890	Revenue Water
Sources (VOS) (corrected for known			Consumption	163.892	Billed Unmetered Consumption (BUAC) 0.002	163.892
errors)			171.540	Unbilled Authorized Consumption	Unbilled Metered Consumption (UMAC) 0.750	Non-Revenue Water (NRW)
0.000	System Input			7.648	Unbilled Unmetered Consumption (UUAC) 6.898	
	Volume 192.710	Volume Water Supplied 192.710			Systematic Data Handling Errors (SDHE)	26.888
				Apparent Losses 2.819	0.410	
		190.780			Customer Metering Inaccuracies (CMI) 2.000	
					Unauthorized Consumption (UC)	
			Water Losses		0.410	
Water Imported (WI) (corrected for known			19.240		Mains	
errors)				Real Losses	Not broken down	
192.710				16.421	Leakage and Overnows at Utility's Storage Tanks	
					Not broken down	
					Leakage on Service Connections Not broken down	