Permit Fact Sheet

General Information

Permit Number:	WI-0061921-04-0
Permittee Name:	Wisconsin Power and Light Company
Address:	1401 West B-R Townline Road
City/State/Zip:	Beloit WI 53511
Discharge Location:	Rock River, North bank of the river South of the plant. The replacement outfall is located adjacent to and west of WPL's existing Riverside Energy Center. The site is located in Township 1N, Range 12E, Section 1; Town of Beloit; Lat/long of Appx. Centroid of Project 42.5766, -89.0357.
Receiving Water:	Rock River
StreamFlow (Q _{7,10}):	219 cfs
Stream Classification:	Warm Water Sport Fish (WWSF) community and non-public water supply
Discharge Type:	Existing

Facility Description

Wisconsin Power and Light (WPL) – Riverside Energy Center (an Alliant Company) is a campus containing two natural gas-fired combined-cycle electric power generation facilities. The original Riverside Energy Center facility has approximately 460 MW under standard conditions and approximately 140-MW of additional peaking capacity using steam augmentation and duct firing for a total generation capacity of 674.9 MW. Heat recovery from the exhaust of the combustion turbines is used to create the steam to generate electricity for steam turbines. A wet recirculating cooling tower is used to cool steam from the steam cycle. The Riverside facility has a discharge of combined cooling tower blowdown and non-cooling tower blowdown low volume wastewater streams. The effluent discharge from Riverside combines with West Riverside's effluent discharge under Outfall 001 to discharge to the Rock River.

The West-Riverside Energy Center (WREC) facility was constructed in 2020 and is a natural gas combined cycle (NGCC) project next to the existing Riverside facility. The WREC facility is a nominal 700 MW NGCC electrical generating facility consisting of two natural gas-fired combustion turbines and a heat recovery steam generator. The effluent discharge from WREC combines with Riverside's effluent discharge under Outfall 001 to discharge to the Rock River.

At Riverside, wastewater from equipment and wash-down areas is treated in an oil water separator (OWS). Dechlorination of cooling tower blowdown is done using sodium bisulfite before mixing with West Riverside effluent. At West Riverside, wastewater from equipment and washdown areas is routed to a wastewater treatment system that consists of an inclined plate clarifier followed by three sand filters in series. Dechlorination of treated wastewater is done using sodium bisulfite before mixing with Riverside effluent. West Riverside has a sludge handling process consisting of a sludge thickening tank and plate and frame filter press. Cake sludge is disposed in offsite landfill.

Tertiary sand filters were installed for zinc and phosphorus removal during the last permit term.

Changes from the previous permit have been highlighted in grey.

Substantial Compliance Determination

Enforcement During Last Permit:

After a desk top review of all discharge monitoring reports, compliance schedule items, and a site visit on 2/2/2023, this facility has been found to be in substantial compliance with their current permit.

Sample Point Designation					
Sample Point Number	Discharge Flow, Units, and Averaging Period	Sample Point Location, Waste Type/Sample Contents and Treatment Description (as applicable)			
701	3.42 MGD Average Intake ¹	INTAKE: Combination of groundwater from a radial horizontal collector well and water from the Rock River. Four flow meters are used: three for the West Riverside plant and one at the Riverside plant. There is no surface water intake. Any river water in the well is from the surrounding soil.			
001	1.919 MGD Maximum Day ²	EFFLUENT: Combined discharge of comingled in-plant sample			
	1.830 MGD Maximum 7-Day Average ²	points 103 and 113. 24-hr flow proportional composite and grab samples shall be taken from each power plant prior to discharge to the Rock River and a flow weighted average shall be applied to the			
	1.740 MGD Maximum 30-Day Average ²	waste streams to determine a calculated discharge.			
	0.745 MGD Maximum Annual Average ²				
101	0.163 MGD Maximum Day ¹	IN-PLANT: Riverside Low Volume Wastewater (LVW) consisting			
	0.136 MGD Maximum 7-Day Average ¹	of Multimedia Filter backwash and RO concentrate. 24-hr flow proportional composite and grab samples shall be taken within the building housing the filters and RO system prior to discharge to the			
	0.133 MGD Maximum 30-Day Average ¹	wastewater sump pit or discharged for reuse in the cooling tower.			
	0.0870 MGD Maximum Annual Average ¹				
102	1.13 MGD Maximum Day ¹	IN-PLANT: Riverside Wastewater consisting of cooling tower			
	0.878 MGD Maximum 7-Day Average ¹	blowdown, raw water, condenser effluent, aux cooling effluent, and various flows from sump pumps around the plant. Low Volume Wastewater (LVW) from in-plant sampling point 101 is also reused			
	0.815 MGD Maximum 30-Day Average ¹	within the cooling tower. 24-hr flow proportional composite samples shall be taken from within the chemical building prior to			
	0.562 MGD Maximum Annual Average ¹	discharge to the wastewater sump pit.			
103	1.47 MGD Maximum Day ¹	IN-PLANT: Wastewater consisting of cooling tower blowdown and			
	1.43 MGD Maximum 7-Day	low-volume wastewater from Riverside after it has mixed. Combined discharge of in-plant sampling points 101 (LVW) and			

Compliance determination entered by Ashley Brechlin, Wastewater Engineer on 2/21/2023.

¹ Calculated from data submitted on the eDMRs between May 1st 2019 and April 30th 2024

² Data submitted on "Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (WPDES) Wastewater Discharge Individual Permit Application" (Form 3400-178) by Wisconsin Power and Light Company's Riverside Energy Center

	Sample Point Designation						
Sample Point Number	Discharge Flow, Units, and Averaging Period	Sample Point Location, Waste Type/Sample Contents and Treatment Description (as applicable)					
	Average ¹ 1.41 MGD Maximum 30-Day Average ¹ 0.595 MGD Maximum Annual Average ¹	102 (blowdown) after it has mixed. 24-hr flow proportional and grab samples shall be taken from the pipe downstream of the mixing of the two Riverside streams prior to discharging out of Outfall 001					
111	 0.419 MGD Maximum Day¹ 0.176 MGD Maximum 7-Day Average¹ 0.0960 MGD Maximum 30-Day Average¹ 0.0224 MGD Maximum Annual Average¹ 	IN-PLANT: Wastewater consisting of low volume wastewater (LVW) from West Riverside sampled prior to commingling with in- plant sample point 112 and going through the treatment system.					
112	 0.563 MGD Maximum Day¹ 0.468 MGD Maximum 7-Day Average¹ 0.449 MGD Maximum 30-Day Average¹ 0.330 MGD Maximum Annual Average¹ 	IN-PLANT: Wastewater consisting of cooling tower blowdown from West Riverside sampled prior to commingling with in-plant sample point 111 and going through the treatment system.					
113	 0.499 MGD Maximum Day¹ 0.454 MGD Maximum 7-Day Average¹ 0.441 MGD Maximum 30-Day Average¹ 0.289 MGD Maximum Annual Average¹ 	IN-PLANT: Wastewater consisting of cooling tower blowdown and low-volume wastewater from West Riverside after it has mixed and gone through the treatment system. Combined discharge of in-plant sampling points 111 (LVW) and 112 (blowdown) after going through treatment system. 24-hr flow proportional and grab samples shall be taken from the pipe downstream of the treatment processes prior to mixing with wastewater from the "Riverside Facility."					
199	N/A	FIELD BLANK: Collect total recoverable mercury field blanks using standard sample handling procedures.					

1 Influent – Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations						
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes	
Flow Rate		MGD	Daily	Continuous		
Mercury, Total Recoverable		ng/L	Quarterly	Grab		

Changes from Previous Permit:

The department has determined that no changes are necessary.

Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Mercury

Mercury monitoring is included to help characterize mercury within source water to be used in calculating water qualitybased limits in next permit reissuance.

2 Inplant - Monitoring and Limitations

Sample Point Number: 101- Riverside Low Volume Wastewater (LVW)

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations						
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes	
Flow Rate		MGD	Daily	Continuous		
Suspended Solids, Total	Daily Max	100 mg/L	Weekly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		
Suspended Solids, Total	Monthly Avg	30 mg/L	Weekly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		
Oil & Grease (Hexane)	Daily Max	20 mg/L	Weekly	Grab		
Oil & Grease (Hexane)	Monthly Avg	15 mg/L	Weekly	Grab		
pH (Maximum)	Daily Max	11.0 su	Continuous	Continuous	pH monitoring and limits do not apply if LVW is reused in the cooling tower.	
pH (Minimum)	Daily Min	4.0 su	Continuous	Continuous	pH monitoring and limits do not apply if LVW is reused in the cooling tower.	
pH Total Exceedance Time Minutes	Monthly Total	446 minutes	Monthly	Calculated	pH monitoring and limits do not apply if LVW is	

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations					
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes
					reused in the cooling tower.
pH Exceedances Greater Than 60 Minutes	Daily Max	0 Number	Daily	Calculated	pH monitoring and limits do not apply if LVW is reused in the cooling tower.

Changes from Previous Permit:

The department has determined that no changes are necessary.

Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

TSS and Oil & Grease

Low volume wastewater is subject to TSS and oil & grease limits per ch. NR 290, Wis. Adm. Code.

pН

s. NR 290.12 (1)(a), Wis. Adm. Code, requires the pH of all discharges, except once through cooling water, to be between 6.0 and 9.0. However s. NR 205.06, Wis. Adm. Code, allows for pH excursions that do not exceed 7 hours and 26 minutes per calendar month and do not exceed 60 minutes per excursion.

Sample Point Number: 102- Riverside Cooling Tower Blowdown & LVW

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations							
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes		
Flow Rate		MGD	Daily	Continuous			
Zinc, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	1.0 mg/L	Quarterly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp			
Zinc, Total Recoverable	Monthly Avg	1.0 mg/L	Quarterly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp			
Chromium, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	0.2 mg/L	Quarterly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp			
Chromium, Total Recoverable	Monthly Avg	0.2 mg/L	Quarterly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp			
pH (Maximum)	Daily Max	11.0 su	Continuous	Continuous			
pH (Minimum)	Daily Min	4.0 su	Continuous	Continuous			
pH Total Exceedance Time Minutes	Monthly Total	446 minutes	Monthly	Calculated			
pH Exceedances Greater Than 60 Minutes	Daily Max	0 Number	Daily	Calculated			

Changes from Previous Permit:

The department has determined that no changes are necessary.

Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Zinc and Chromium

Cooling tower blowdown is subject to zinc, chromium, chlorine, and other priority pollutant limits per ch. NR 290, Wis. Adm. Code. The chlorine limits are applied at sample point 001 and the nondetect limit for the other priority pollutants is included as a narrative limit in the permit.

pН

s. NR 290.12 (1)(a), Wis. Adm. Code, requires the pH of all discharges, except once through cooing water to be between 6.0 and 9.0. However s. NR 205.06, Wis. Adm. Code, allows for pH excursions that do not exceed 7 hours and 26 minutes per calendar month and do not exceed 60 minutes per excursion.

Free Available Chlorine (FAC)

The FAC standard from the Effluent Limitations Guidelines (ELG) are monitored at the outfall 001 for this cooling tower blowdown for Riverside and for cooling tower blowdown from West Riverside (see sample point 112 below). It was determined that the water quality-based limits for chlorine, applicable at outfall 001, are more stringent than the ELGs.

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations					
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes
Flow Rate		MGD	Daily	Continuous	
Suspended Solids, Total		mg/L	Weekly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Chlorine, Total Residual		ug/L	Daily	Grab	
Zinc, Total Recoverable		ug/L	Quarterly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	
Mercury, Total Recoverable		ng/L	Quarterly	Grab	
Phosphorus, Total		mg/L	Weekly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp	

Sample Point Number: 103- Riverside LVW and Cooling Tower Blowdown

Changes from Previous Permit:

The department has determined that no changes are necessary.

Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Monitoring at this sampling point will aid in calculating compliance at Outfall 001. Parameters monitored for outfall 001 compliance include TSS, chlorine, zinc, mercury, and phosphorus. Data reported at outfall 001 is a flow weighted combination of parameters sampled at 103 and 113.

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations						
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes	
Flow Rate		MGD	Daily	Calculated		
Suspended Solids, Total	Daily Max	100 mg/L	Weekly	Grab		
Suspended Solids, Total	Monthly Avg	30 mg/L	Weekly	Grab		
Suspended Solids, Total		lbs/day	Weekly	Calculated		
Oil & Grease (Hexane)	Daily Max	20 mg/L	Weekly	Grab		
Oil & Grease (Hexane)	Monthly Avg	15 mg/L	Weekly	Grab		
Oil & Grease (Hexane)		lbs/day	Weekly	Calculated		

Sample Point Number: 111- West Riverside: LVW

Changes from Previous Permit:

The department has determined that no changes are necessary.

Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Flow Rate

Flow monitoring remains a requirement at this point for future calculation of categorical limits. The flow rate is calculated by taking the difference from the measured flow rate at 113 and the measured flow rate at 112. This is being done because there are multiple flows that make up the low volume wastewater at this sampling point.

TSS and Oil & Grease

See Appendix A for the categorical limit explanation. Since cooling tower blowdown is no longer being combined with the LVW wastestream prior to limits applying, limits may be expressed as concentrations only. Mass discharge monitoring has been included at sample point 111.

Sample Point Number: 112- West Riverside: Cooling Tower Blowdown

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations							
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes		
Flow Rate MGD Daily Continuous							

Changes from Previous Permit:

The department has determined that no changes are necessary.

Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Flow Rate

Flow monitoring remains a requirement at this point for future calculation of categorical limits.

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations						
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes	
Flow Rate		MGD	Daily	Continuous		
Chromium, Total Recoverable		mg/L	Quarterly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		
Chromium, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	0.94 lbs/day	Quarterly	Calculated		
Chromium, Total Recoverable	Monthly Avg	0.94 lbs/day	Quarterly	Calculated		
Suspended Solids, Total		mg/L	Weekly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		
Zinc, Total Recoverable		mg/L	Quarterly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		
Zinc, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	4.7 lbs/day	Quarterly	Calculated		
Zinc, Total Recoverable	Monthly Avg	4.7 lbs/day	Quarterly	Calculated		
pH (Minimum)	Daily Min	4.0 su	Daily	Continuous		
pH (Maximum)	Daily Max	11.0 su	Daily	Continuous		
pH Total Exceedance Time Minutes	Monthly Total	446 minutes	Monthly	Calculated		
pH Exceedances Greater Than 60 Minutes	Daily Max	0 Number	Daily	Calculated		
Chlorine, Total Residual		ug/L	Daily	Grab		
Mercury, Total Recoverable		ng/L	Quarterly	Grab		
Phosphorus, Total		mg/L	Weekly	24-Hr Flow Prop Comp		

Sample Point Number: 113- West Riverside: Post Treatment

Changes from Previous Permit:

Chromium – Daily max and monthly average limits changed from 1.0 lbs/day to 0.94 lbs/day

Zinc – Daily max and monthly average limits changed from 5.1 lbs/day to 4.7 lbs/day

Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Chromium and Zinc

See Appendix A for the categorical limit explanation.

pН

s. NR 290.12 (1)(a), Wis. Adm. Code, requires the pH of all discharges, except once through cooling water, to be between 6.0 and 9.0. However s. NR 205.06, Wis. Adm. Code, allows for pH excursions that do not exceed 7 hours and 26 minutes per calendar month and do not exceed 60 minutes per excursion.

Sample Point Number: 199- Field Blank for Mercury Sample

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations										
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes					
Mercury, Total Recoverable		ng/L	Quarterly	Grab						

Changes from Previous Permit:

The department has determined that no changes are necessary.

Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Mercury

A field blank must be collected each day that a sample is collected for mercury. This mercury field blank fulfills the data quality requirements for ss. NR 106.145(9) and (10), Wis. Adm. Code. Therefore, the permit retains the sampling of a field blank for total recoverable mercury for this purpose

3 Surface Water - Monitoring and Limitations

Sample Point Number: 001- Combined WW to the Rock River

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations										
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes					
Flow Rate		MGD	Daily	Continuous						
Suspended Solids, Total	Monthly Avg	12 mg/L	Weekly	Calculated						
Chlorine, Total Residual	Daily Max	38 ug/L	Daily	Calculated						
Chlorine, Total Residual	Monthly Avg	38 ug/L	Daily	Calculated						
Zinc, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	650 ug/L	Quarterly	Calculated						

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations										
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes					
Zinc, Total Recoverable	Monthly Avg	650 ug/L	Quarterly	Calculated						
Zinc, Total Recoverable	Daily Max	12.5 lbs/day	Quarterly	Calculated						
Mercury, Total Recoverable		ng/L	Quarterly	Calculated						
Temperature Maximum		deg F	Daily	Continuous	See Temperature Monitoring section below					
Nitrogen, Total		mg/L	Quarterly	Grab	See Nitrogen Monitoring section below					
Chloride		mg/L	See Listed Qtr(s)	Grab	See Chloride Monitoring section below					
Acute WET		TUa	See Listed Qtr(s)	24-Hr Comp	See Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing section below					
Phosphorus, Total	Rolling 12 Month Avg	1.0 mg/L	Weekly	Calculated	Limit effective throughout the permit term, as it represents a minimum control level. See "Water Quality Trading (WQT)" sections for more information.					
Phosphorus, Total		lbs/day	Weekly	Calculated	Report daily mass discharged using Equation 1a. in the "Water Quality Trading (WQT)" section.					
WQT Credits Used (TP)		lbs/month	Monthly	Calculated	Report WQT TP Credits used per month using Equation 2b. in the "Water Quality Trading (WQT)" section. Available TP Credits are specified in Table 2 and in the approved Water Quality Trading Plan.					
WQT Computed Compliance (TP)	Monthly Avg	0.65 lbs/day	Monthly	Calculated	Report the WQT TP Computed Compliance value using Equation 3a. in the "Water Quality Trading (WQT)" section. Value entered on the last day of					

Monitoring Requirements and Limitations										
Parameter	Limit Type	Limit and Units	Sample Frequency	Sample Type	Notes					
					the month.					

Changes from Previous Permit

TSS – Monthly average limit added

Nitrogen – Quarterly monitoring added

Chloride – Monitoring added

Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

No composite sampler is located at outfall 001, rather sampling of the individual wastestreams occur at in-plant sampling points 103 and 113, prior to comingling. Individual results are then used to calculate a flow weighted combined results.

Refer to the WQBEL memo for the detailed calculations, prepared by the Water Quality Bureau dated June 25, 2024 used for this reissuance.

TSS

This target concentration limit of 12 mg/L was derived by the Department to address sediment impacts in Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) areas and is based on the same approach and data used to develop Wisconsin's phosphorus criteria. This numeric target is intended to meet the narrative criteria in s. NR 102.04, Wis. Adm. Code.

Zinc

The zinc limits have remained unchanged due to the current limits being more stringent than the ELGs that apply to this outfall.

Nitrogen

Quarterly total nitrogen monitoring has been added in accordance with the Department's *Guidance for Total Nitrogen Monitoring in Wastewater Permits* dated October 1, 2019, which recommends quarterly total nitrogen monitoring for all facilities with total nitrogen greater than 40 mg/L.

Chloride

Chloride monitoring has been added in the following quarters to ensure that 11 sample results are available at the next permit issuance to meet the data requirements of s. NR 106.85, Wis. Adm. Code.: April 1st – June 30th 2025, July 1st – September 30th 2025, January 1st – March 31st 2026, April 1st – June 30th 2026, October 1st – December 31st 2026, January 1st – March 31st 2027, July 1st – September 30th 2027, October 1st – December 31st 2027, April 1st – June 30th 2028, July 1st – September 30th 2028, January 1st – March 31st 2029

Phosphorus

Phosphorus requirements are based on the Phosphorus Rules that became effective December 1, 2010 as detailed in NR 102 Water Quality Standards and NR 217 Effluent Standards and Limitations for Phosphorus. Chapter NR 217 of the Wis. Adm. Code addresses point source dischargers of phosphorus to surface waters. Currently in NR 217 Wis. Adm. Code there are two methods used to determine if a phosphorus limit is needed: a technology based effluent limit (TBEL) and a water quality based effluent limit (WQBEL). Based on the size and classification of the stream, the water quality criteria for the Rock River is 100 ug/L. Based on the Rock River TMDL, *the WQBEL is 0.65 lbs/day (monthly average)*. For the reasons explained in the April 30, 2012 paper entitled 'Justification for Use of Monthly, Growing Season and Annual Average Periods for Expression of WPDES Permit Limits for Phosphorus Discharges in Wisconsin', WDNR has

determined that it is impracticable to express the phosphorus WQBEL for the permittee as a maximum daily, weekly or monthly value. This final effluent limit was derived from and complies with the applicable water quality criterion.

The wastewater treatment facility is not able to meet the WQBEL. This permit authorizes the use of trading as a tool to demonstrate compliance with the phosphorus WQBELs. This permit includes terms and conditions related to the Water Quality Trading Plan (WQT-2024-0002) or approved amendments thereof. The total 'WQT TP Credits' available are designated in the approved WQT Plan. Riverside is implementing a variety of management practices including perennial vegetation and stormwater practices The WQT Plan proposes the generation of a range of 108.8 lbs/yr to 140.5 lbs/yr of phosphorus credits for the next six years. A phosphorus concentration limit is necessary to prevent backsliding during the term of the permit. The TBL limit of 1.0 mg/L will be retained in the permit.

Additional WQT subsections in the permit provide information on compliance determinations, annual reporting and reopening of the permit.

Chlorine

The FAC standards required by the ELG for the cooling tower blowdown for both Riverside (102) and West Riverside (112) are maintained here by using a much more restrictive limitation on TRC.

Whole Effluent Toxicity- Whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing requirements and limits (if applicable) are determined in accordance with ss. NR 106.08 and NR 106.09 Wis. Adm. Code, as revised August 2016. (See the current version of the Whole Effluent Toxicity Program Guidance Document and checklist and WET information, guidance and test methods at http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wastewater/wet.html)

April 1^{st} – June 30th 2025, October 1^{st} – December 31st 2026, July 1^{st} – September 30th 2027, January 1^{st} – March 31st 2028, April 1^{st} – June 30th 2029

4 Schedules

4.1 Annual Water Quality Trading (WQT) Report

Required Action	Due Date
Annual WQT Report: Submit an annual WQT report that shall cover the first year of the permit term. The WQT Report shall include:	01/31/2025
The number of pollutant reduction credits (lbs/month) used each month of the previous year to demonstrate compliance;	
The source of each month's pollutant reduction credits by identifying the approved water quality trading plan that details the source;	
A summary of the annual inspection of each nonpoint source management practice that generated any of the pollutant reduction credits used during the previous year; and	
Identification of noncompliance or failure to implement any terms or conditions of this permit with respect to water quality trading that have not been reported in discharge monitoring reports.	
Annual WQT Report #2: Submit an annual WQT report that shall cover the previous year.	01/31/2026

Annual WQT Report #3: Submit an annual WQT report that shall cover the previous year.	01/31/2027
Annual WQT Report #4 : Submit the 4th annual WQT report. If the permittee wishes to continue to comply with phosphorus limits through WQT in subsequent permit terms, the permittee shall submit a revised WQT plan including a demonstration of credit need, compliance record of the existing WQT, and any additional practices needed to maintain compliance over time.	01/31/2028
Annual WQT Report Required After Permit Expiration : In the event that this permit is not reissued by the expiration date, the permittee shall continue to submit annual WQT reports by January 31 each year covering the total number of pollutant credits used, the source of the pollution reduction credits, a summary of annual inspection reports performed, and identification of noncompliance or failure to implement any terms or conditions of the approved water quality trading plan for the previous calendar year.	

4.2 ELG Requirements for Cooling Tower Blowdown

Required Action	Due Date
Annual Report: Submit annual report based on the previous calendar year according to details listed above.	01/31/2025
Annual Report #2: Submit annual report based on the previous calendar year according to details listed above.	01/31/2026
Annual Report #3: Submit annual report based on the previous calendar year according to details listed above.	01/31/2027
Annual Report #4: Submit annual report based on the previous calendar year according to details listed above.	01/31/2028

Explanation of Schedules

Annual Water Quality Trading (WQT) Reports - Reports are required, that include the following information:

- Verification that site inspections occurred;
- Brief summary of site inspection findings;
- Identification of noncompliance or failure to implement any terms or conditions of the permit or trading plan that have not been reported in discharge monitoring reports;
- Any applicable notices of termination or management practice registration; and
- A summary of credits used each month over the calendar year

Special Reporting Requirements

No special reporting requirements

Other Comments:

No additional comments

Attachments:

Attachment #1: Calculation of Categorical Limitations Attachment #2: Water Quality Based Effluent Limits

Expiration Date:

Enter Date

Justification Of Any Waivers From Permit Application Requirements

Enter Justification

Prepared By: Sawyer Hanson Wastewater Engineer Date: Enter Date

Notice of reissuance was published in the [Enter name of publication], [Enter address of publication].

ATTACHMENT #1 CALCULATION OF CATEGORICAL LIMITATIONS

General Description:

At the West Riverside Power Generating Station there are two waste streams that are generated and each of these have their own categorical limits. These are the low volume wastewater and cooling tower blowdown. West Riverside is a new facility that started production of power in the fall of 2019. As such the New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) found in Table 3 of s. NR 290.12(3), Wis. Adm. Code, apply. For this permit the approach of ch NR 290.12(3)(c) will be used to apply the limits at Sampling Point 113 after the waste streams have combined.

For each of the waste streams the following then apply: Low volume wastewater: Total suspended solids, oil and grease Cooling tower blowdown: Free available chlorine, zinc, chromium, other priority pollutants

NSPS Effluent Limitations in mg/1																		
	Т	SS	0	&G	Ir (to	on tal)	Coj (to	pper otal)	FÆ	AC	Tł	RC1	Zi (to	inc tal)	Chro (to	mium otal)	Ot Pric Pollu	her ority utants
Wastewater	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.	Avg.	Max.
Low volume waste	30	100	15	20														
Fly ash transport water ²																		
Bottom ash transport water	30	100	15	20														
Chemical metal clean- ing wastes	30	100	15	20	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0										
Once through cooling water									0.2	0.5		0.2						
Cooling tower blow- down ³									0.2	0.5			1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	nda ⁵	nda ⁵
Coal pile runoff ⁴		50																

Avg. = Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days may not exceed (mg/l)

Max. = Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)

O & G = Oil and grease

¹ This limitation applies only to plants with a total rated electric generating capacity of 25 or more megawatts. Those plants with a total rated electric generating capacity of less than 25 megawatts should use the FAC limits set for once through cooling water

² There may be no discharge of wastewater pollutants from fly ash transport water.

³ Except as shown for total chromium and total zinc, discharge of cooling tower blowdown shall be limited to no detectable amount for the other priority pollutants contained in chemicals added for cooling tower maintenance.

Based on data submitted on the facilities eDMRs between May 1st 2019 and April 30th 2024 the maximum daily flows for each of the waste streams are:

Cooling tower blowdown: 0.563 MGD

Low Volume Wastewater: 0.419 MGD

To calculate the mass limit the following equation was used.

Mass limit = concentration limit (mg/L) x daily flow (MGD) x 8.34

The following limits were then calculated to two significant figures. <u>Cooling tower blowdown:</u> Chromium Monthly Average: 0.94 lbs/day Chromium Daily Max: 0.94 lbs/day Zinc Monthly Average: 4.7 lbs/day Zinc Daily Max: 4.7 lbs/day <u>Low Volume Wastewater:</u> Total Suspended Solids Monthly Average: 100 lbs/day Total Suspended Solids Daily Max: 350 lbs/day Oil and Grease Monthly Average: 52 lbs/day Oil and Grease Daily Max: 70 lbs/day

The free available chlorine limit is covered at Outfall 001 by the water quality based effluent limit which has a limit of 38 μ g/L which is much more stringent than the 0.2 mg/L limit for the cooling tower blowdown even accounting for any possible dilution from the low volume wastewater and is thus not included in this analysis.

DATE:	June 25, 2024
TO:	Sawyer Hanson – WY/3

FROM: Sarah Luck – SCR/Fitchburg

SUBJECT: Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations for WPL – Riverside Energy Center WPDES Permit No. WI-0061921-04-0

This is in response to your request for an evaluation of the need for water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) using chapters NR 102, 104, 105, 106, 207, 210, 212, and 217 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code (where applicable), for the discharge from the WPL – Riverside Energy Center in Rock County. This industrial plant discharges to the Rock River, located in the in the Rock River Watershed (LR18) in the Lower Rock River Basin. This discharge is included in the Rock River TMDL as approved by EPA. The evaluation of the permit recommendations is discussed in more detail in the attached report.

Based on our review, the following recommendations are made on a chemical-specific basis at Outfall 001:

Parameter	Daily Maximum	Daily Minimum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	12-Month Rolling Avg.	Footnotes
Flow Rate						1
TSS				12 mg/L		2
Residual Chlorine	38 µg/L			38 μg/L		3,4
Phosphorus				0.65 lbs/day	1.0 mg/L	3,5
Zinc	650 μg/L 12.5 lbs/day			650 µg/L		3,4
Mercury						1
TKN, Nitrate+Nitrite, and Total Nitrogen						6
Chloride						7
Temperature						1
Acute WET						8

Footnotes:

- 1. Monitoring only.
- 2. This target concentration limit of 12 mg/L was derived by the Department to address sediment impacts in Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) areas and is based on the same approach and data used to develop Wisconsin's phosphorus criteria. This numeric target is intended to meet the narrative criteria in s. NR 102.04, Wis. Adm. Code.
- 3. No changes from the current permit.
- 4. Additional limits to comply with the expression of limits requirements in ss. NR 106.07 and NR 205.065(7), Wis. Adm. Code, are included in bold.
- 5. The phosphorus mass limit is based on the TMDL for the Rock River basin to address phosphorus water quality impairments within the TMDL area. The concentration limit of 1.0 mg/L as a 12-month rolling average represents a minimum control level not to be exceeded at the outfall. The limits are already in effect, and compliance is achieved with water quality trading.
- 6. As recommended in the Department's October 1, 2019 *Guidance for Total Nitrogen Monitoring in Wastewater Permits*, quarterly total nitrogen monitoring is recommended for all facilities with



total nitrogen greater than 40 mg/L. Total Nitrogen is the sum of nitrate (NO₃), nitrite (NO₂), and total kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) (all expressed as N).

- 7. Monitoring at a frequency to ensure that 11 samples are available at the next permit issuance.
- 8. Annual acute WET testing is required. According to the *State of Wisconsin Aquatic Life Toxicity Testing Methods Manual* (s. NR 219.04, Table A, Wis. Adm. Code), a synthetic (standard) laboratory water may be used as the dilution water and primary control in acute WET tests. Sampling WET concurrently with any chemical-specific toxic substances is recommended. Tests should be done in rotating quarters, to collect seasonal information about this discharge and should continue after the permit expiration date (until the permit is reissued).

Note: In lieu of a pH limit at Outfall 001, there is continuous pH monitoring at internal sampling points 101, 102, and 113. In accordance with NR 205.06 Wis. Adm. Code, effluent pH is allowed to vary outside of this range if the total time of excursions is no greater than 446 minutes per calendar month, no individual excursion is longer than 60 minutes, and no individual excursion goes outside the range of 4.0 -11.0 s.u. These limits should be retained in the reissued permit.

Please consult the attached report for details regarding the above recommendations. If there are any questions or comments, please contact Sarah Luck (Sarah.Luck@wisconsin.gov) or Diane Figiel (Diane.Figiel@wisconsin.gov).

Attachments (3) – Narrative, Site Map, and Thermal Table

PREPARED BY:

Sarah Luck

Date: June 25, 2024

Sarah Luck Water Resources Engineer

E-cc: Ashley Brechlin, Wastewater Engineer – SCR/Fitchburg Jason Knutson, Wastewater Section Chief – WY/3 Diane Figiel, Water Resources Engineer – WY/3

Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations for WPL – Riverside Energy Center

WPDES Permit No. WI-0061921-04-0

PART 1 – BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Facility Description

Wisconsin Power and Light (WPL) - Riverside Energy Center (an Alliant Company) is a campus containing two natural gas-fired combined-cycle electric power generation facilities, West Riverside and Riverside. The original Riverside plant has two natural gas fired combustion turbines yielding about 460 MW capacity under standard conditions and approximately 140-MW of additional peaking capacity using steam augmentation and duct firing. West Riverside was added to the campus in 2019 and is a nominal 700-MW electrical generating facility consisting of two natural gas-fired combustion turbines and a heat recovery steam generator. Heat recovery from the exhaust of the combustion turbines at both Riverside and West Riverside is used to power steam turbines. Wet recirculating cooling towers are used to cool steam from the steam cycle. Cooling tower blowdown comprises a large percentage of wastewater generated.

Industrial categorical limits required nationwide for steam electric power plants are applied at internal treatment system sample points for process wastewater designated for low volume wastewater (sample point 101) and cooling tower blowdown (sample point 102).

At Riverside, wastewater from equipment and wash-down areas is treated in an oil water separator (OWS). Dechlorination of cooling tower blowdown is done using sodium bisulfite before mixing with West Riverside effluent. At West Riverside, wastewater from equipment and washdown areas is routed to a wastewater treatment system that consists of an inclined plate clarifier followed by three sand filters in series. Dechlorination of treated wastewater is done using sodium bisulfite before mixing with Riverside effluent. Riverside and West Riverside effluents are mixed and discharged to the Rock River via a single outfall. West Riverside has a sludge handling process consisting of a sludge thickening tank and plate and frame filter press. Cake sludge is disposed in offsite landfill.

Tertiary sand filters were installed for zinc and phosphorus removal during the last permit term.

Attachment #2 is a map of the area showing the approximate location of Outfall 001.

Existing Permit Limitations

The current permit, which expired on December 31, 2023, includes the following effluent limitations and monitoring requirements.

	Daily	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	12-Month	Footnotes
Parameter	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Average	Rolling Avg.	
Flow Rate						1
TSS						2
Residual Chlorine	38 µg/L			38 μg/L		3

Attachment #1										
	Daily	Daily	Weekly	Monthly	12-Month	Footnotes				
Parameter	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Average	Rolling Avg.					
Zinc	650 μg/L 12.5 lbs/day			650 μg/L		3				
Phosphorus				0.65 lbs/day	1.0 mg/L	4				
Mercury						1				
Temperature						1				
Acute WET						5				

Footnotes:

- 1. Monitoring only.
- 2. Additional requirements for optimization and reduction of TSS and monitoring for the Rock River TMDL are also required.
- 3. Additional limits to comply with s. NR 106.07 Wis. Adm. Code are included in bold.
- 4. Limits in effect. Compliance achieved with water quality trading.
- 5. Annual acute WET testing.

Note: In lieu of a pH limit at Outfall 001, there is continuous pH monitoring at internal sampling points 101, 102, and 113. In accordance with NR 205.06 Wis. Adm. Code, effluent pH is allowed to vary outside of this range if the total time of excursions is no greater than 446 minutes per calendar month, no individual excursion is longer than 60 minutes, and no individual excursion goes outside the range of 4.0 -11.0 s.u. These limits should be retained in the reissued permit.

Receiving Water Information

- Name: Rock River
- Waterbody Identification Code (WBIC): 788800
- Classification used in accordance with chs. NR 102 and 104, Wis. Adm. Code: Warm Water Sport Fish (WWSF) community, non-public water supply.
- Low flows used in accordance with chs. NR 106 and 217, Wis. Adm. Code: The following $7-Q_{10}$ and $7-Q_2$ values are from USGS for the Rock River at Afton.

 $7-Q_{10} = 219$ cfs (cubic feet per second)

 $7-Q_2 = 418 \text{ cfs}$ $90-Q_{10} = 353 \text{ cfs}$ Harmonic Mean Flow = 859 cfs using a drainage area of 3,340 mi²

The Harmonic Mean has been estimated based on average flow and the 7-Q₁₀ using an equation from U.S. EPA's *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control* (March 1991, EPA/505/2-90-001, pgs. 88-89).

- Hardness = 283 mg/L as CaCO₃. This value represents the geometric mean of data (n=5) from WET tests conducted from 07/16/2019 to 08/15/2023 by Janesville Wastewater Treatment Facility which discharges to the Rock River approximately six miles upstream of Outfall 001.
- % of low flow used to calculate limits in accordance with s. NR 106.06(4)(c)5., Wis. Adm. Code: 25%
- Source of background concentration data: Metals data from the Rock River at Afton is used for this evaluation. The numerical values are shown in the tables below. If no data is available, the background concentration is assumed to be negligible and a value of zero is used in the computations. Background data for calculating effluent limitations for ammonia nitrogen are described later.
- Multiple dischargers: The Beloit Town Wastewater Treatment Facility discharges approximately 0.75

Page 2 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center

miles downstream of WPL – Riverside Energy Center's outfall but does not impact calculated limitations for either facility due to the amount of dilution in the receiving water as compared to the discharge flow rates of both facilities.

• Impaired water status: The Rock River is listed as impaired for total phosphorus and total suspended solids at the point of discharge and has an EPA-approved TMDL in effect.

Effluent Information

- Flow rate:
 - Maximum annual average = 0.83 MGD (Million Gallons per Day) For reference, the actual average flow from January 2019 through March 2024 was 0.67 MGD.
- Hardness = 1630 mg/L as CaCO₃. This value represents the geometric mean of data (n=4) from February 2023 reported on the permit application.
- Acute dilution factor used in accordance with s. NR 106.06(3)(c), Wis. Adm. Code: Not applicable this facility does not have an approved Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID).
- Water source: Groundwater wells.
- Additives: One biocide and 20 water quality conditioners see Part 7 for more information.
- Effluent characterization: This facility is categorized as an industrial discharger, so the permit application required effluent sample analyses for all the "priority pollutants" except for the Dioxins and Furans as specified in s. NR 200.065, Table 1, Wis. Adm. Code.
- Effluent data for substances for which a single sample was analyzed is shown in the tables in Part 2 below, in the column titled "MEAN EFFL. CONC.". Otherwise, substances with multiple effluent data are shown in the tables below or in their respective parts in this evaluation.

Copper Enluent Data				
Sample Date	Result			
02/14/2023	4.5			
02/18/2023	2.7			
02/22/2023	3.7			
02/26/2023	4.8			
Average	3.9			

Copper Effluent Data

Zinc and Mercury Effluent Data

	Zn - μg/L	Hg - ng/L
1-day P ₉₉	512.08	21.34
4-day P ₉₉	284.17	12.30
30-day P ₉₉	165.41	7.71
Mean	114.59	5.68
Std	103.30	4.22
Sample size	21	21
Range	0.179 - 334.22	0.21 - 16.94

The following table presents the average concentrations and loadings at Outfall 001 from January 2019 through March 2024 for all parameters with limits in the current permit to meet the requirements of s. NR 201.03(6), Wis. Adm. Code:

Parameter Averages with Limits						
	Average Measurement	Average Mass Discharged				
pH field	7.3 s.u.					
Phosphorus	0.084 mg/L	0.50 lbs/day				
Zinc	5.68 μg/L					
Chlorine, total residual	<100 µg/L					

A	Attachment	#1	
Parameter	Averages	with	Limits

"<" indicates a result below the level of detection (LOD).

PART 2 – WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES – EXCEPT AMMONIA NITROGEN

Permit limits for toxic substances are required whenever any of the following occur:

- 1. The maximum effluent concentration exceeds the calculated limit (s. NR 106.05(3), Wis. Adm. Code)
- 2. If 11 or more detected results are available in the effluent, the upper 99th percentile (or P₉₉) value exceeds the comparable calculated limit (s. NR 106.05(4), Wis. Adm. Code)
- 3. If fewer than 11 detected results are available, the mean effluent concentration exceeds 1/5 of the calculated limit (s. NR 106.05(6), Wis. Adm. Code)

Acute Limits based on 1-Q₁₀

Daily maximum effluent limitations for toxic substances are based on the acute toxicity criteria (ATC), listed in ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code. Previously daily maximum limits for toxic substances were calculated as two times the ATC. However, changes to ch. NR 106, Wis. Code, (September 1, 2016) require the Department to calculate acute limitations using the same mass balance equation as used for other limits along with the $1-Q_{10}$ receiving water low flow to determine if more restrictive effluent limitations are needed to protect the receiving stream from discharges which may cause or contribute to an exceedance of the acute water quality standards. The mass balance equation is provided below.

Limitation =
$$(WQC) (Qs + (1-f) Qe) - (Qs - f Qe) (Cs)$$

Qe

Where:

WQC =Acute toxicity criterion or secondary acute value according to ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code.

Qs = average minimum 1-day flow which occurs once in 10 years (1-day Q_{10})

if the 1-day Q_{10} flow data is not available = 80% of the average minimum 7-day flow which occurs once in 10 years (7-day Q_{10}).

Qe = Effluent flow (in units of volume per unit time) as specified in s. NR 106.06(4)(d), Wis. Adm. Code.

f = Fraction of the effluent flow that is withdrawn from the receiving water, and

Cs = Background concentration of the substance (in units of mass per unit volume) as specified in s. NR 106.06(4)(e), Wis. Adm. Code.

If the receiving water is effluent dominated under low stream flow conditions, the $1-Q_{10}$ method of limit calculation produces the most stringent daily maximum limitations and should be used while making reasonable potential determinations. This is not the case for WPL – Riverside Energy Center and the limits are set based on two times the acute toxicity criteria.

Page 4 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center

The following tables list the calculated WQBELs for this discharge along with the results of effluent sampling. All concentrations are expressed in terms of micrograms per Liter (μ g/L), except for hardness and chloride (mg/L) and mercury (ng/L).

Daily Maximum Limits based on Acute Toxicity Criteria (ATC)

RECEIVING WATER FLOW = 175 cfs, $(1-Q_{10} \text{ (estimated as 80\% of 7-}Q_{10}))$, as specified in s. NR 106.06(3)(bm), Wis. Adm. Code.

	REF. HARD.*	ATC	MAX. EFFL	1/5 OF EFFL	MEAN EFFL	1-dav	1-day MAX.
SUBSTANCE	mg/L	1110	LIMIT**	LIMIT	CONC.	P ₉₉	CONC.
Chlorine		19.0	38.1	7.61	<100		
Arsenic		340	679.6	135.9	1.8		
Cadmium	457	58.9	117.8	23.6	0.10		
Chromium	301	4446	8891.7	1778	3.6		
Copper	495	70.2	140.4	28.1	3.9		
Lead	356	365	729.3	145.9	0.50		
Mercury (ng/L)		830	1660.0			21.34	16.94
Nickel	268	1080	2160.6	432	2.8		
Zinc	333	345	689.4			512.08	334.22
Chloride (mg/L)	,	757	1514.0	303	337***		

* The indicated hardness may differ from the effluent hardness because the effluent hardness exceeded the maximum range in ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code, over which the acute criteria are applicable. In that case, the maximum of the range is used to calculate the criterion.

** The 2 × ATC method of limit calculation yields a more restrictive limit than consideration of ambient concentrations and 1- Q_{10} flow rates per the changes to s. NR 106.07(3), Wis. Adm. Code, effective 09/01/2016. ***See note below tables in chloride section.

Weekly Average Limits based on Chronic Toxicity Criteria (CTC)

RECEIVING WATER FLOW = 54.8 cfs ($\frac{1}{4}$ of the 7-Q₁₀), as specified in s. NR 106.06(4)(c), Wis. Adm. Code

	REF.		MEAN	WEEKLY	1/5 OF	MEAN	
	HARD.*	CTC	BACK-	AVE.	EFFL.	EFFL.	4-day
SUBSTANCE	mg/L		GRD.	LIMIT	LIMIT	CONC.	P99
Chlorine		7.28		317.64	63.53	<100	
Arsenic		152.2	1.31	6585	1317.0	1.8	
Cadmium	175	3.82	0.017	165.95	33.2	0.10	
Chromium	283	309.83	0.79	13485	2697.0	3.6	
Copper	283	25.22	2.1	1010.9	202.18	3.9	
Lead	283	76.56	0.83	3305.1	661.0	0.50	
Mercury (ng/L)		440	1.06	19153			12.30
Nickel	268	120.18	1.3	5188	1037.7	2.8	
Selenium		5.00		218.16	43.63	4.6	
Zinc	283	299.1	5.2	12829			284.17
Chloride (mg/L)		395	61.8	14600	2920.0	337	

* The indicated hardness may differ from the receiving water hardness because the receiving water hardness exceeded the maximum range in ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code, over which the chronic criteria are applicable. In that case, the maximum of the range is used to calculate the criterion.

RECEIVING WATER FLOW = 89 cfs ($\frac{1}{4}$ of the 90-Q₁₀), as specified in s. NR 106.06(4), Wis. Adm. Code MEAN MO'LY 1/5 OF MEAN WC AVE. EFFL. BACK-EFFL. 30-day SUBSTANCE GRD. LIMIT LIMIT CONC. P99 Mercury (ng/L) 1.3 1.06 17.90 7.71

Monthly Average Limits based on Wildlife Criteria (WC)

Monthly Average Limits based on Human Threshold Criteria (HTC)

RECEIVING WATER FLOW = 215 cfs (1/4 of Harmonic Mean), as specified in s. NR 106.06(4), Wis. Adm. Code.

		MEAN	MO'LY	1/5 OF	MEAN	
	HTC	BACK-	AVE.	EFFL.	EFFL.	30-day
SUBSTANCE		GRD.	LIMIT	LIMIT	CONC.	P99
Antimony	373		62722	12544.4	3.7	
Cadmium	370	0.02	62215	12443.0	0.10	
Chromium (+3)	3818000	0.79	642018975	128403795	3.6	
Lead	140	0.83	23403	4680.6	0.50	
Mercury (ng/L)	1.5	1.06	75.0			7.71
Nickel	43000	1.30	7230485	1446097	2.8	
Selenium	2600		437205	87441	4.6	

Monthly Average Limits based on Human Cancer Criteria (HCC)

RECEIVING WATER FLOW = 215 cfs (1/4 of Harmonic Mean), as specified in s. NR 106.06(4), Wis. Adm. Code.

		MEAN	MO'LY	1/5 OF	MEAN
	HCC	BACK-	AVE.	EFFL.	EFFL.
SUBSTANCE		GRD.	LIMIT	LIMIT	CONC.
Arsenic	13.3	1.31	2017.5	403.50	1.8

In addition to evaluating the need for limits for each individual substance for which HCC exist, s. NR 106.06(8), Wis. Adm. Code, requires the evaluation of the cumulative cancer risk. Because no effluent limits are needed based on HCC, determination of the cumulative cancer risk is not needed per s. NR 106.06(8), Wis. Adm. Code.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on a comparison of the effluent data and calculated effluent limitations, effluent limitations are required for total residual chlorine.

<u>Total Residual Chlorine</u> – Since chlorine is added at the facility, effluent limitations are recommended to assure proper operation of the dechlorination system. A **daily maximum limit of 38 \mug/L should be** continued in the reissued permit. A weekly average limitation is not needed as the daily maximum limitations will provide adequate protection of the resource.

Expression of Limits

Revisions to ch. NR 106, Wis. Adm. Code, align Wisconsin's water quality-based effluent limitations with 40 CFR 122.45(d), which requires WPDES permits for industrial discharges contain daily maximum and monthly average limitations, whenever practicable and necessary to protect water quality.

The methods for calculating limitations for industrial discharges to conform to 40 CFR 122.45(d) are specified in s. NR 106.07(3), Wis. Adm. Code, as follows:

Whenever a daily maximum limitation is determined necessary to protect water quality, a monthly average limitation shall also be included in the permit and set equal to the daily maximum limit unless a more restrictive limit is already determined necessary to protect water quality.

Therefore, a monthly average chlorine limit of 38 µg/L is also required.

<u>Chloride</u> – A single chloride sample was reported on the 2023 permit application. This value (337 mg/L) exceeds 1/5th of the acute toxicity criteria (303 mg/L) which is used to determine the need to include a limit per s. NR 106.05(6), Wis. Adm. Code. However, using the result from the previous permit application (129 mg/L), the mean is 233 mg/L which is less than 1/5th of the acute toxicity criteria (303 mg/L). The data from the previous permit application is still considered representative and therefore there is no reasonable potential for the effluent concentration to exceed the calculated acute limit. **No limits are required for chloride, but chloride monitoring** at a frequency to ensure that 11 sample results are available at the next permit issuance to meet the data requirements of s. NR 106.85, Wis. Adm. Code. **is recommended.**

<u>Mercury</u> – The current permit requires quarterly monitoring of total recoverable mercury in the effluent. A total of 21 effluent sampling results are available from February 2019 through February 2024. The average concentration was 5.68 ng/L, and the maximum was 16.94 ng/L. Mercury field blanks were collected at sample point 199 during the permit term and were all (n=42) non-detects. The geometric mean of in-stream mercury data from 2014-2015 in the Rock River at Afton is 1.06 ng/L (no new data has been collected), which is less than the wildlife criteria. Since the 30-day P₉₉ of available data (7.71 ng/L) is less than the most stringent water quality based effluent limit of 18 ng/L, **no WQBEL for mercury is recommended.**

<u>Zinc</u> – The current permit includes zinc limits of 650 μ g/L and 12.5 lbs/day as a daily maximum and a monthly average limit of 650 μ g/L. Recent zinc effluent data does not show reasonable potential to exceed the limits, however, **these limits, in addition to monitoring, should continue** per the requirements of s. NR 205.067(5), Wis. Adm. Code, since treatment or pollutant control measures were added.

<u>PFOS and PFOA</u> – The need for PFOS and PFOA monitoring is evaluated in accordance with s. NR 106.98(2), Wis. Adm. Code. Based on the type of discharge, **PFOS and PFOA monitoring is not recommended.** The Department may re-evaluate the need for sampling at the next permit reissuance if new information becomes available that suggests PFOS or PFOA may be present in the discharge.

PART 3 – WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR AMMONIA NITROGEN

The State of Wisconsin promulgated revised water quality standards for this substance effective March 1, 2004 which includes criteria based on both acute and chronic toxicity to aquatic life. Given the fact that the Riverside Energy Center does not currently have ammonia nitrogen limits, the need for limits is evaluated at this time. Four samples for ammonia nitrogen were taken in February 2023, and the results are as follows:

Ammonia Nitrog	en Elluent Data
Sample Date	Ammonia Nitrogen
	mg/L
02/14/2023	< 0.14
02/18/2023	< 0.14
02/22/2023	< 0.14
02/26/2023	< 0.14

	Attachment #1				
Ammonia	Nitrogen	Effluent Data			

Ammonia was not detected in the effluent, and the limit of detection is much lower than the most restrictive ammonia limits that would be calculated. **No ammonia limits or monitoring are recommended** in the reissued permit.

PART 4 – PHOSPHORUS AND TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS

Phosphorus Technology Based Effluent Limit (TBEL)

Subchapter II of Chapter NR 217, Wis. Adm. Code, requires industrial facilities that discharge greater than 60 pounds of total phosphorus per month to comply with a 12-month rolling average limit of 1.0 mg/L, or an approved alternative concentration limit. Since WPL – Riverside Energy Center currently has an existing technology-based limit of 1.0 mg/L, this limit should be included in the reissued permit as a minimum control level for water quality trading. This limit remains applicable unless a more stringent water quality-based concentration limit is given.

Phosphorus Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBEL)

Revisions to administrative rules regulating phosphorus took effect on December 1, 2010. These rule revisions include additions to ch. NR 102 (s. NR 102.06), Wis. Adm. Code, which establish phosphorus standards for surface waters. Revisions to ch. NR 217 (s. NR 217, Subchapter III), Wis. Adm. Code, establish procedures for determining water quality based effluent limits for phosphorus, based on the applicable standards in ch. NR 102, Wis. Adm. Code.

The Department has developed a TMDL for the Upper and Lower Rock River Basins. The US EPA approved the Rock River TMDL on September 28, 2011. The document, along with the referenced appendices can be found at: <u>https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/TMDLs/RockRiver/index.html</u>.

Section NR 217.16, Wis. Adm. Code, states that the Department may include a TMDL-derived water quality based effluent limit (WQBEL) for phosphorus in addition to, or in lieu of, a s. NR 217.13, Wis. Adm. Code, WQBEL in a WPDES permit. Because the Rock River Basin TMDL was developed to protect and improve the water quality of phosphorus impaired waters within the basin and the discharge from WPL – Riverside Energy Center flows directly into the Rock River, which is classified as phosphorus impaired, the TMDL-based limit can be included in the WPDES permit absent the s. NR 217.13, Wis. Adm. Code, WQBEL. This limit should be expressed in a manner consistent with the wasteload allocation and assumptions of the TMDL. If after two permit terms, the Department determines the nonpoint source load allocation has not been substantially reduced, the Department may include the s. NR 217.13, Wis. Adm. Code, WQBEL unless these reductions are likely to occur.

Phosphorus TMDL Limits

The current permit includes a monthly average phosphorus limit of 0.65 lbs/day consistent with the 70% reduction required for facilities in this reach of the Rock River. This limit should be continued in the reissued permit.

Page 8 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center

For informational purposes, the following table lists the statistics for total phosphorus in the discharge as concentration and mass from January 2019 through March 2024 as reported on the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs).

I otal I nosphorus Efficient Data					
	Phosphorus mg/L	Phosphorus lbs/day			
1-day P ₉₉	0.39	2.71			
4-day P99	0.22	1.47			
30-day P ₉₉	0.12	0.78			
Mean	0.084	0.50			
Std	0.08	0.56			
Sample Size	294	297			
Range	0.004 - 0.65	0 - 5.556			

Total	Phosphorus	Effluent Data

A water quality trading (WQT) plan to offset phosphorus levels discharged over the limit has been approved by the Department. Therefore, the TMDL limit of 0.65 lbs/day will be expressed as a WQT **Computed Compliance limit in the reissued permit.**

TSS TMDL Limits

The Rock River TMDL also has wasteload allocations (WLA) for total suspended solids (TSS). A TSS load reduction target is not specified for WPL – Riverside Energy Center in the TMDL report.

For informational purposes, the following table lists the statistics for total suspended solids in the discharge as both a concentration and a mass, from January 2019 through March 2024. Mass data is based on concentration sampling paired with actual flow data during the same time period.

I Utal Sus	pended Sonds Em	utili Data
	TSS mg/I	TSS lbs/day
	iiig/L	IDS/UAy
1-day P ₉₉	13.5	92
4-day P99	7.5	50
30-day P ₉₉	4.1	26
Mean*	2.7	16
Std	2.8	19
Sample Size	321 (14 ND)	321
Range	<0.95 - 20.4	0 - 194.7

Total Suspended Solids Effluent Data

*"<" means that the pollutant was not detected at the indicated level of detection. The mean concentration was calculated using zero in place of the non-detected (ND) result.

The current permit includes a narrative limit that includes requirements for optimization of TSS reductions. However, a target concentration of 12 mg/L as monthly average limit for TSS was derived by the Department for use in TMDL areas to address sediment impacts, based on the same approach and data used to develop Wisconsin's phosphorus criteria. This numeric target is intended to meet the narrative criteria in s. NR 102.04, Wis. Adm. Code, and is recommended in the reissued permit.

Attachment #1 PART 5 – WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR THERMAL

Surface water quality standards for temperature took effect on October 1, 2010. These regulations are detailed in chs. NR 102 (Subchapter II – Water Quality Standards for Temperature) and NR 106 (Subchapter V – Effluent Limitations for Temperature) of the Wisconsin Administrative Code. Daily maximum and weekly average temperature criteria are available for the 12 different months of the year depending on the receiving water classification.

In accordance with s. NR 106.53(2)(b), Wis. Adm. Code, the highest daily maximum flow rate for a calendar month is used to determine the acute (daily maximum) effluent limitation. In accordance with s. NR 106.53(2)(c), Wis. Adm. Code, the highest 7-day rolling average flow rate for a calendar month is used to determine the sub-lethal (weekly average) effluent limitation. These values were based off actual flow reported from January 2019 through March 2024.

The table below summarizes the maximum temperatures reported during monitoring from January 2019 through March 2024.

	Representat Monthly Tempo	tive Highest Effluent erature	Calculate Li	d Effluent mit
Month	Weekly Maximum	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average Effluent Limitation	Daily Maximum Effluent Limitation
	(°F)	(°F)	(°F)	(°F)
JAN	84	103	-	120
FEB	112	117	-	120
MAR	86	107	-	120
APR	87	99	-	120
MAY	93	114	-	120
JUN	83	88	-	120
JUL	86	88	-	120
AUG	88	94	-	120
SEP	83	87	-	120
OCT	83	87	-	120
NOV	80	89	-	120
DEC	83	115	-	120

Monthly Temperature Effluent Data & Limits

Reasonable Potential

Permit limits for temperature are recommended based on the procedures in s. NR 106.56, Wis. Adm. Code.

• An acute limit for temperature is recommended for each month in which the representative daily maximum effluent temperature for that month exceeds the acute WQBEL. The representative daily maximum effluent temperature is the greater of the following:

(a) The highest recorded representative daily maximum effluent temperature

Page 10 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center

(b) The projected 99th percentile of all representative daily maximum effluent temperatures

- A sub-lethal limitation for temperature is recommended for each month in which the representative weekly average effluent temperature for that month exceeds the weekly average WQBEL. The representative weekly average effluent temperature is the greater of the following:
 - (a) The highest weekly average effluent temperature for the month.

(b) The projected 99th percentile of all representative weekly average effluent temperatures for the month

Based on the available effluent data and the amount upstream flow rate available for dilution in the limit calculation, **no effluent limits are recommended for temperature. Monitoring is recommended to continue.** The complete thermal table used for the limit calculation can be found in Attachment #3.

PART 6 – WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET)

WET testing is used to measure, predict, and control the discharge of toxic materials that may be harmful to aquatic life. In WET tests, organisms are exposed to a series of effluent concentrations for a given time and effects are recorded. Decisions below related to the selection of representative data and the need for WET limits were made according to ss. NR 106.08 and 106.09, Wis. Adm. Code. WET monitoring frequency and toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) recommendations were made using the best professional judgment of staff familiar with the discharge after consideration of the guidance in the *Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Program Guidance Document* (2022).

- Acute tests predict the concentration that causes lethality of aquatic organisms during a 48 to 96-hour exposure. To assure that a discharge is not acutely toxic to organisms in the receiving water, WET tests must produce a statistically valid LC₅₀ (Lethal Concentration to 50% of the test organisms) greater than 100% effluent, according to s. NR 106.09(2)(b), Wis. Adm Code.
- Chronic testing is usually not recommended where the ratio of the 7-Q₁₀ to the effluent flow exceeds 100:1. For the WPL Riverside Energy Center, that ratio is approximately 170:1. With this amount of dilution, there is believed to be little potential for chronic toxicity effects in the Rock River associated with the discharge from the WPL Riverside Energy Center, so **the need for chronic WET testing will not be considered further**.
- According to the *State of Wisconsin Aquatic Life Toxicity Testing Methods Manual* (s. NR 219.04, Table A, Wis. Adm. Code), a synthetic (standard) laboratory water may be used as the dilution water and primary control in acute WET tests, unless the use of different dilution water is approved by the Department prior to use. The primary control water must be specified in the WPDES permit.
- Shown below is a tabulation of all available WET data for Outfall 001. Efforts are made to ensure that decisions about WET monitoring and limits are made based on representative data, as specified in s. NR 106.08(3), Wis. Adm Code. Data which is not believed to be representative of the discharge was not included in reasonable potential calculations. The table on the following page differentiates between tests used and not used when making WET determinations.

Tests conducted prior to July 1, 2005 are not presented in the table on the following page due to significant changes that were made to WET test methods in 2004. These changes were assumed to be fully implemented by certified labs by no later than June 2005.

Page 11 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center

		Jata Ilist	ory	
Dete		Acute I	Results	
Test Initiated	C. dubia	Fathead minnow	Pass or Fail?	Used in RP?
08/31/2005	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
01/31/2007	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
09/10/2014	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
10/07/2015	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
03/02/2016	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
03/21/2018	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
09/13/2018	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
02/19/2019	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
05/27/2020	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
08/17/2021	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
12/14/2022	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
02/08/2023	>100	>100	Pass	Yes
03/06/2024	>100	>100	Pass	Yes

Attachment #1	
WET Data History	

According to s. NR 106.08, Wis. Adm. Code, WET reasonable potential is determined by multiplying the highest toxicity value that has been measured in the effluent by a safety factor, to predict the likelihood (95% probability) of toxicity occurring in the effluent above the applicable WET limit. The safety factor used in the equation changes based on the number of toxicity detects in the dataset. The fewer detects present, the higher the safety factor, because there is more uncertainty surrounding the predicted value. WET limits must be given, according to s. NR 106.08(6), Wis. Adm. Code, whenever the applicable Reasonable Potential equation results in a value greater than 1.0.

According to s. NR 106.08(6)(d), Wis. Adm. Code, TU_a effluent values are equal to zero whenever toxicity is not detected (i.e., when the $LC_{50} \ge 100\%$).

Acute Reasonable Potential = 0 < 1.0, reasonable potential is not shown, and a limit is not required.

The WET checklist was developed to help DNR staff make recommendations regarding WET limits, monitoring, and other related permit conditions. The checklist indicates whether acute and chronic WET limits are needed, based on requirements specified in s. NR 106.08, Wis. Adm. Code. The checklist steps the user through a series of questions, assesses points based on the potential for effluent toxicity, and suggests monitoring frequencies based on points accumulated during the checklist analysis. As toxicity potential increases, more points accumulate, and more monitoring is recommended to ensure that toxicity is not occurring. A summary of the WET checklist analysis completed for this permittee is shown in the table below. Staff recommendations based on best professional judgment are provided below the summary table. For guidance related to reasonable potential and the WET checklist, see Chapter 1.3 of the WET Guidance Document: https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/Wastewater/WET.html.

VV	ET Checklist Summary
	Acute
AMZ/IWC	Not Applicable. 0 Points

	WET	Checklist	Summary
--	-----	-----------	---------

	Acute
Historical Data	13 tests used to calculate RP. No tests failed. 0 Points
Effluent Variability	Little variability, no violations or upsets, consistent operations. 0 Points
Receiving Water Classification	WWSF 5 Points
Chemical-Specific Data	No reasonable potential for limits based on ATC. Arsenic, cadmium, chloride, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, nickel, and zinc detected. Additional Compounds of Concern: Antimony, selenium, and thallium detected. 5 Points
Additives	1 biocide (chlorine) and 21 Water Quality Conditioners added. 20 Points
Discharge Category	Steam Electric Power Generation 5 Points
Wastewater Treatment	Oil/water separator, dechlorination, and aeration only. 8 Points
Downstream Impacts	No impacts known. 0 Points
Total Checklist Points:	43 Points
Recommended Monitoring Frequency (from Checklist):	1x yearly
Limit Required?	No
TRE Recommended? (from Checklist)	No

Attachment #1

After consideration of the guidance provided in the Department's *WET Program Guidance Document* (2022) and other information described above, **annual acute WET tests are recommended in the reissued permit.** A minimum of annual WET testing would also be recommended since WPL – Riverside Energy Center is a primary industry. Chronic WET monitoring is not recommended because of the high amount of available dilution in the receiving water. WET tests should be done in rotating quarters to collect seasonal information about this discharge. WET testing should continue after the permit expiration date (until the permit is reissued).

Attachment #1 PART 7 – ADDITIVE REVIEW

water quality criteria. Instead, in cases where the minimum data requirements necessary to calculate a WQC are not met, a secondary value can be additive is needed. Secondary values should be derived according to s. NR 105.05, Wis. Adm. Code. More information about additives, including Unlike the metals and toxic substances evaluated in Part 2, most additives have not undergone the amount of toxicity testing needed to calculate without receiving treatment or an additive is used in the treatment process and is not expected to be removed before discharge, a review of the used to regulate the substance, according to s. NR 105.05, Wis. Adm. Code. Whenever an additive is discharged directly into a surface water guidance related to conducting an additive review, can be found at https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/Wastewater/Additives.html.

	••
- 1	≻
	ے
•	_
	_
•	
1	ບ
	-
. r.	
	τ.
	ພ
=	=
	-
•	-
1	2
- 1	_
	1
	-
- 1	~
•	
- 6	¥.

		Intermittent	Frequency of	Maximum	Average		Estimated Max	Potential	
Additive Name	Purpose of Additive	or	Use	Quantity	Quantity	Estimated Dosage	Concentration	Use	F
	4	Continuous Feed	(months/days per year)	Used (lb/day)	Used (lb/day)	(mdd)	at the Outfall (mg/L)	kestriction [*] (mg/L)	Footnotes
Sodium hypochlorite	Chlorinate raw water	Continuous	12/7	5.25	2.10	2	0.0	N/A	1,2
Chemtreat RL9002	Anti scalant	Continuous	12/7	9.51	6.35	9	2.4	165	
Chemtreat RL2002	Clean-in-place (cip)	Intermittent	1 day per quarter	48.2 lbs/cip	I	I	14.6	73.2	3
Chemtreat RL1700	Clean-in-place (cip)	Intermittent	1 day per quarter	49.4 lbs/cip	I	I	11.8	7.07	3,4
Sodium bisulfite	Dechlorination (pre- RO)	Continuous	12/7	6.35	4.23	4	1.6	N/A	2
Sodium hydroxide	CO ₂ removal	Continuous	12/7	4.76	3.17	ю	1.2	N/A	2
Chemtreat BL-152	pH control	Continuous	12/7	35.00	29.20	9	8.8	14.2	5
Chemtreat BL1795	Buffer	Continuous	12/7	20.00	16.70	3	5.0	1,864	
Chemtreat BL8301	Corrosion inhibitor	Continuous	12/7	25.20	21.00	0.3	0.033	0.14	6
Sodium hypochlorite	Chlorinate (cooling tower)	Continuous	12/7	1101.00	969.00	240	0.0	N/A	1,2
Sulfuric acid	pH control	Continuous	12/7	5945.00	5174.00	280-300	1280.0	N/A	2,5
Chemtreat CL4657	Corrosion inhibitor	Continuous	12/7	220.20	176.20	30	40.0	550	,
Chemtreat CL4800	Corrosion inhibitor	Continuous	12/7	66.10	44.00	8	10.0	225	,
Sodium bisulfite	Dechlorination (blowdown)	Continuous	12/7	27.80	16.80	4	4.0	N/A	2
*The use rea	striction values were ca	ulculated, unless o	otherwise noted,	using the secon	dary chronic	value and the G	ivailable dilution i	in the receiving	

Page 14 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center

water (25% of the 7- Q_{10} of 219 cfs) and the maximum annual average flow rate of 0.83 MGD.

Footnotes:

- 1. Neutralized with sodium bisulfite.
- Evaluation is not necessary for additives that have active ingredients consisting only of chlorine, caustic soda (sodium hydroxide), hypochlorite, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid. d.
 - The use restriction value is the secondary acute value stated in the additive approval letter dated September 23, 2021 с.
- Although the maximum effluent concentration exceeds the use restriction level, the additive is approved for use since it is used on an intermittent basis. 4.
- effluent pH is allowed to vary outside of this range if the total time of excursions is no greater than 446 minutes per calendar month, no There is continuous pH monitoring at internal sampling points 101, 102, and 113. In accordance with NR 205.06 Wis. Adm. Code, individual excursion is longer than 60 minutes, and no individual excursion goes outside the range of 4.0 - 11.0 s.u. 5.
 - volatilize prior to discharge. The expected concentration in the discharge is therefore very low. The dosage and effluent concentration Per the facility: "This is a volatile filming additive that is expected to coat the interior of pipes. Any excess reagent is expected to reported here is from original 2018 calculations done during the additive review process." 6.

Footnotes	1,2	3	3	3	3	2,4	1,2	1,2	5	
Potential Use Restriction* (mg/L)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		183
Estimat0ed Max Concentration at the Outfall (mg/L)	0	0	0	0	0	1154	0	0	41.6	9.76
Estimated Dosage (ppm)	10	341	180	25	5	93	5	100	100	3
Average Quantity Used (lb/day)	388	13231	6984	970	194	3609	194	142	140	16.4
Maximum Quantity Used (lb/day)	582	14745	7760	1358	310	3880	310	I	ı	32.8
Frequency of Use (months/days per year)	12/7	12/7	12/7	12/7	12/7	12/7	12/7	12/1	12/1	12/7
Intermittent or Continuous Feed	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Continuous	Intermittent	Intermittent	Continuous
Purpose of Additive	Chlorinate raw water	Water softening	Water softening	Water softening	Water softening	pH control	Chlorinate water	Ultrafiltration backwash	Ultrafiltration backwash	Anti scalant
Additive Name	Sodium hypochlorite	Hydrated Lime	Soda ash	Ferric chloride	Chemtreat P817E	Sulfuric acid	Sodium hypochlorite	Sodium hypochlorite	Citric acid	Chemtreat RL-9904

West Riverside Facility:

Page 15 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center

			At	ttachment #1					
Additive Name	Purpose of Additive	Intermittent or Continuous Feed	Frequency of Use (months/days per year)	Maximum Quantity Used (lb/day)	Average Quantity Used (lb/day)	Estimated Dosage (ppm)	Estimat0ed Max Concentration at the Outfall (mg/L)	Potential Use Restriction* (mg/L)	Footnotes
Sodium bisulfite	Dechlorination (pre- RO)	Continuous	12/7	48	32	5	9.52	N/A	2
Chemtreat RL2002	Clean-in-place (cip)	Intermittent	2-5 days per quarter	48.2 lbs/cip	I	I	14.6	73.2	6
Chemtreat RL1700	Clean-in-place (cip)	Intermittent	2-5 days per quarter	49.4 lbs/cip	I	I	11.8	7.07	6,7
Chemtreat BL-152	pH control	Continuous	12/7	35	29.2	3	8.69	14.2	4
Sodium hypochlorite	Chlorinate (cooling tower)	Continuous	12/7	254	223	0.2-0.5	0	N/A	1,2
Sulfuric acid	pH control	Continuous	12/7	1598	1172	300	476	N/A	2,4
Chemtreat CL4800	Dispersant	Continuous	12/7	76.1	60.9	12	23	225	I
Chemtreat CL5432	Corrosion inhibitor	Continuous	12/7	330	304	60	98	166	I
Chemtreat P8007L	Metal precipitant	Continuous	12/7	40.6	30.4	8	12.1	25.7	
Ferric chloride	Coagulant	Continuous	12/7	507	456	90	0	N/A	3
Chemtreat P817E	Wastewater treatment	Continuous	12/7	35.5	25.4	5	0	N/A	3
Sodium bisulfite	Dechlorination	Continuous	12/7	25.3	15.2	4	7	V/V	2
Chemtreat CT917	Lime slurry conditioner	Continuous	12/7	20	10	2.9	5.9	186	3
*The use res	triction values were ca	lculated, unless	otherwise noted,	using the secon	ıdary chronic	value and the d	available dilution i	in the receiving	

water (25% of the 7- Q_{10} of 219 cfs) and the maximum annual average flow rate of 0.83 MGD.

Footnotes:

- 1. Neutralized with sodium bisulfite.
- Evaluation is not necessary for additives that have active ingredients consisting only of chlorine, caustic soda (sodium hydroxide), hypochlorite, sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid. 5.
 - 3. Removed through filter press solids.
- effluent pH is allowed to vary outside of this range if the total time of excursions is no greater than 446 minutes per calendar month, no There is continuous pH monitoring at internal sampling points 101, 102, and 113. In accordance with NR 205.06 Wis. Adm. Code, individual excursion is longer than 60 minutes, and no individual excursion goes outside the range of 4.0 - 11.0 s.u. 4.
 - Calculation of a use restriction value is not necessary due to the intermittent usage of the additive. 5.

Page 16 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center

- 6. The use restriction value is the secondary acute value stated in the additive approval letter dated September 23, 2021.
 7. Although the maximum effluent concentration exceeds the use restriction level, the additive is approved for use since it is used on an intermittent basis.

Page 17 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center

Attachment #2 Site Map



Temperature limits for receiving waters with unidirectional flow

Flow Dates 01/01/19 03/31/24

Temp Dates 01/10/19 03/31/24

Small warm water sport or forage fis ▼ Start: End: (calculation using default ambient temperature data) 219 cfs 42.6 :1 25% 0 NO 7-Q₁₀: Dilution: Stream type: ÷ **Calculation Needed?** Qs:Qe ratio: WPL-Riverside Energy Center 5/14/2024 MGD £ 0.830 001 Outfall(s): Facility: **Date Prepared:** Design Flow (Qe): Storm Sewer Dist.

	Water (Quality Cri	teria	Receiving Water	Repres Highest Ef Rate	entative fluent Flow (Qe)		Repres Highest Effluent T	sentative Monthly emperature	Calculated E	ffluent Limit
Month	Ta (default)	Sub- Lethal WQC	Acute WQC	Flow Rate (Qs)	7-day Rolling Average (Qesl)	Daily Maximum Flow Rate (Qea)	f	Weekly Average	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average Effluent Limitation	Daily Maximum Effluent Limitation
	(H_{\circ})	(H_{\circ})	$(\rm H^{\circ})$	(cfs)	(MGD)	(MGD)		(4°)	(°F)	(4°)	(A_{\circ})
JAN	33	49	76	219	0.934	1.348	0	84	103	1	120
FEB	34	50	76	219	0.911	1.004	0	112	117	'	120
MAR	38	52	77	219	0.997	1.117	0	86	107	ı	120
APR	48	55	79	219	0.982	1.249	0	87	66	ı	120
МАҮ	58	65	82	219	1.081	1.429	0	93	114	ı	120
JUN	99	76	84	219	1.237	1.455	0	83	88	ı	120
JUL	69	81	85	219	1.250	1.403	0	86	88	ı	120
AUG	67	81	84	219	1.830	1.919	0	88	94	ı	120
SEP	60	73	82	219	1.443	1.640	0	83	87	ı	120
OCT	50	61	80	219	0.914	1.004	0	83	87	ı	120
NOV	40	49	77	219	0.803	0.971	0	80	89	ı	120
DEC	35	49	76	219	0.890	1.496	0	83	115	ı	120

Page 19 of 19 WPL – Riverside Energy Center