

Permit Fact Sheet

General Information

| | | |
|----------------------------------|---|----------|
| Permit Number: | WI-0024813-10-0 | |
| Permittee Name: | City of Montello | |
| Address: | P O Box 39 | |
| City/State/Zip: | Montello WI 53949 | |
| Discharge Location: | 43°46'59" N, 89°18'40" W (about 2mi downstream of Buffalo Lake) | |
| Receiving Water: | Fox River (Water Body Identification Code number 117900) in the Buffalo and Puckaway Lakes Watershed (UF10) of the Upper Fox River Basin, in Marquette County | |
| StreamFlow (Q _{7,10}): | 90 cfs (cubic feet per second) | |
| Stream Classification: | Warm Water Sport Fish (WWSF) community, non-public water supply | |
| Discharge Type: | Existing, continuous | |
| Design Flow(s) | Daily Maximum | 0.60 MGD |
| | Weekly Maximum | 0.52 MGD |
| | Monthly Maximum | 0.46 MGD |
| | Annual Average | 0.30 MGD |
| Significant Industrial Loading? | No | |
| Operator at Proper Grade? | Yes. Montello Wastewater Treatment Facility is a basic level facility requiring an operator certified in Subclass A1 (Suspended Growth Processes), B (Solids Separation), C (Biological Solids/Sludges), P (Total Phosphorus), D (Disinfection), L (Laboratory), and SS (Sanitary Sewage Collection System). | |
| Approved Pretreatment Program? | N/A | |

Facility Description

The City of Montello owns and operates a package activated sludge wastewater treatment facility built in 1980. The treatment units consist of secondary treatment with two aeration zones (known as Zone A and Zone B) and an aerobic digester. A secondary clarifier is located at the center of the package plant and is designed for an annual average flow of 0.3 MGD. Currently, the facility receives about 0.17 MGD on average.

Disinfection is provided seasonally by an ultraviolet (UV) light system. Ferric chloride is currently used for phosphorus removal, however, the plant has plans to switch over to rare earth chemical over the permitted term. Treated effluent is discharged to the Fox River, about two miles downstream of Buffalo Lake. Sludge is aerobically digested and stored in a 60,000-gallon sludge storage tank until it can be land applied or is hauled to another permitted facility.

Substantial Compliance Determination

After a desktop review of all discharge monitoring reports, CMARs, land app reports, CMOM, compliance schedule items, and a site visit on May 16, 2024, this facility has been found to be in substantial compliance with their current permit.

Compliance determination made by Barti Oumarou on May 20, 2024.

| Sample Point Designation | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| Sample Point Number | Discharge Flow, Units, and Averaging Period | Sample Point Location, Waste Type/Sample Contents and Treatment Description (as applicable) |
| 701 | 0.15 MGD, Jan 2019-December 2023 | Influent: Representative samples shall be collected after preliminary screening. Flow measurements shall be done at the Parshall flume. |
| 001 | Flow is not monitored at this sample point. | Effluent: Representative samples shall be collected after all treatment units and before the UV disinfection chamber. |
| 002 | 313280 gallons per year, Jan 2019-December 2023 | Liquid Sludge: Representative samples of the aerobically digested liquid sludge shall be collected from the sludge storage tank after complete mixing. Limits only apply when sludge is land applied. |

1 Influent – Monitoring Requirements

1.1 Sample Point Number: 701- Influent

| Monitoring Requirements and Limitations | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Parameter | Limit Type | Limit and Units | Sample Frequency | Sample Type | Notes |
| Flow Rate | | MGD | Daily | Continuous | |
| BOD5, Total | | mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | |
| Suspended Solids, Total | | mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | |

1.1.1 Changes from Previous Permit:

No changes made from previous permit.

1.1.2 Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Influent monitoring is needed to assess loading to the facility and treatment performance. Requirements for flow, BOD₅, and TSS are established in accordance with NR 210.04(2), Wis. Adm. Code.

2 Surface Water - Monitoring and Limitations

2.1 Sample Point Number: 001- Effluent

| Monitoring Requirements and Limitations | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|---|
| Parameter | Limit Type | Limit and Units | Sample Frequency | Sample Type | Notes |
| BOD5, Total | Weekly Avg | 45 mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | |
| BOD5, Total | Monthly Avg | 30 mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | |
| Suspended Solids, Total | Weekly Avg | 45 mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | |
| Suspended Solids, Total | Monthly Avg | 30 mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | |
| Suspended Solids, Total | Weekly Avg | 95 lbs/day | 2/Week | Calculated | |
| Suspended Solids, Total | Monthly Avg | 64 lbs/day | 2/Week | Calculated | |
| Suspended Solids, Total | | lbs/month | Monthly | Calculated | Calculate the Total Monthly Discharge of TSS and report on the last day of the month on the DMR. See TMDL Calculations section below. |
| Suspended Solids, Total | | lbs/yr | Monthly | Calculated | Calculate the 12-month rolling sum of total monthly mass of TSS discharged and report on the last day of the month on the DMR. See TMDL Calculations section below. |
| pH Field | Daily Min | 6.0 su | Daily | Grab | |
| pH Field | Daily Max | 9.0 su | Daily | Grab | |
| Phosphorus, Total | Monthly Avg | 1.0 mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | |
| Phosphorus, Total | Monthly Avg | 1.6 lbs/day | 2/Week | Calculated | Monitoring only upon permit effective date. Final TMDL-based mass limits go into effect per the phosphorus compliance schedule. See Phosphorus TMDL permit section. |

| Monitoring Requirements and Limitations | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Parameter | Limit Type | Limit and Units | Sample Frequency | Sample Type | Notes |
| Phosphorus, Total | 6-Month Avg | 0.52 lbs/day | 2/Week | Calculated | Monitoring only upon permit effective date. Final TMDL-based mass limits go into effect per the phosphorus compliance schedule. See Phosphorus TMDL permit section. |
| Phosphorus, Total | | lbs/month | Monthly | Calculated | Calculate the Total Monthly Discharge of phosphorus and report on the last day of the month on the DMR. See TMDL Calculations permit section. |
| Phosphorus, Total | | lbs/yr | Monthly | Calculated | Calculate the 12-month rolling sum of total monthly mass of phosphorus discharged and report on the last day of the month on the DMR. See TMDL Calculations permit section. |
| E. coli | Geometric Mean - Monthly | 126 #/100 ml | Weekly | Grab | Monitoring and limit effective May through September annually. |
| E. coli | % Exceedance | 10 Percent | Monthly | Calculated | Monitoring and limit effective May through September annually. See the E. coli Percent Limit permit section. Enter the result in the DMR on the last day of the month. |
| Nitrogen, Ammonia (NH3-N) Total | Daily Max | 40 mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | Monitoring year-round. Limit applies June-September. |
| Nitrogen, Ammonia (NH3-N) Total | Weekly Avg | 40 mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | Monitoring year-round. Limit applies June-September. |
| Nitrogen, Ammonia (NH3-N) Total | Monthly Avg | 40 mg/L | 2/Week | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | Monitoring year-round. Limit applies June-September. |
| Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl | | mg/L | See Listed Qtr(s) | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | Annual in rotating quarters. See Nitrogen Series Monitoring permit section. |

| Monitoring Requirements and Limitations | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| Parameter | Limit Type | Limit and Units | Sample Frequency | Sample Type | Notes |
| Nitrogen, Nitrite + Nitrate Total | | mg/L | See Listed Qtr(s) | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | Annual in rotating quarters. See Nitrogen Series Monitoring permit section. |
| Nitrogen, Total | | mg/L | See Listed Qtr(s) | Calculated | Annual in rotating quarters. See Nitrogen Series Monitoring permit section. Total Nitrogen shall be calculated as the sum of reported values for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen and Total Nitrite + Nitrate Nitrogen. |
| Acute WET | | TUa | See Listed Qtr(s) | 24-Hr Flow Prop Comp | See permit section 2.2.1.7 for WET testing requirements and schedule. |

2.1.1 Changes from Previous Permit

Flow- Monitoring for flow has been removed from this outfall as there is currently no flow meter present to measure effluent flow rates.

Total Suspended Solids TMDL Limits- Mass based TSS limits of 95 lbs/day expressed as a weekly average and 64 lbs/day expressed as a monthly average have been added to the permit to comply with requirements of the Upper Fox Wolf River TMDL. Effluent concentration (mg/L) shall be monitored and reported 2 times per week upon permit reissuance and will be used to calculate amounts reported for mass-based limits. An additional reporting requirement for lbs/month will be used to calculate the facility's 12-month rolling sum of total monthly discharge, which can be compared directly to the facility's designated WLA.

Phosphorus TMDL Limits- An interim limit of 1.0 mg/L goes into effect upon reissuance and will remain in effect unless a more stringent limit is required at a future permit issuance by ss. NR 217.13 and NR 217.16(2), Wis. Adm. Code, or the limit is relaxed following procedures outlined in ch. NR 207, Wis. Adm. Code. Discharge effluent concentration (mg/L) shall be reported 2 times per week upon permit reissuance and will be used to calculate amounts reported for mass-based parameters. An additional reporting requirement for lbs/month will be used to calculate the facility's 12-month rolling sum of total monthly discharge, which can be compared directly to the facility's designated WLA. Final TMDL WLA-based effluent limits of 1.6 lbs/day expressed as a monthly average and 0.52 lbs/day expressed as a 6-month average will go into effect in accordance with compliance schedule 4.1.

E. coli- Fecal coliform monitoring and limits have been replaced with Escherichia coli (E. coli) monitoring and limits. See additional explanation of limits under "Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements" below.

Nitrogen, Ammonia- Daily max, weekly average and monthly average limits of 40 mg/L have been added.

Total Nitrogen Monitoring (TKN, N02+N03 and Total N)- Annual monitoring in rotating quarters throughout the permit term was added to the proposed permit.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET)- Acute WET testing is required three times during the permit term. Acute WET testing will be removed from the final permit if an approvable SOP for the phosphorus removal methods to be utilized during the permit term is submitted prior to issuance.

2.1.2 Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Refer to the WQBEL memo for the detailed calculations, prepared by the Water Quality Bureau dated October, 26, 2023, used for this reissuance.

Monitoring Frequencies- The Monitoring Frequencies for Individual Wastewater Permits guidance (April 12, 2021) recommends that standard monitoring frequencies be included in individual wastewater permits based on the size and type of the facility, in order to characterize effluent quality and variability, to detect events of noncompliance, and to ensure fairness and consistency in permits issued across the state. Guidance and requirements in administrative code were considered when determining the appropriate monitoring frequencies for pollutants that have final effluent limits in effect during this permit term.

Previously permitted monitoring frequencies for BOD₅, TSS, and phosphorus fall below the standard monitoring frequency outlined in guidance. Since data submitted during the previous permit term shows consistent compliance with permit limitations, and the set monitoring frequency is consistent with requirements of state code, the reduced monitoring frequency is continued in the proposed permit. If performance levels begin to vary during the permitted term, the department may re-evaluate current sampling frequencies and implement more frequent monitoring via permit modification or at permit reissuance.

Expression of Limits- In accordance with the federal regulation 40 CFR 122.45(d) and s. NR 205.065, Wis. Adm. Code. limits in this permit are to be expressed as weekly average and monthly average limits whenever practicable.

BOD₅, TSS, and pH- Categorical limits are included in the permit as outlined in s. NR 210.04, Wis. Adm. Code. The categorical effluent limitations for BOD₅, TSS and pH are carried over into this permit and are not subject to change at this time because the receiving water characteristics have not changed.

Upper Fox Wolf River Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for TSS and Phosphorus- The permitted facility is located within the Upper Fox Wolf River Basin Total Maximum Daily Load (UFWRB TMDL), which was approved by EPA February 27, 2020. The TMDL establishes Waste Load Allocations (WLAs) for point source dischargers and determines the maximum amounts of phosphorus and total suspended solids that can be discharged and still protect water quality. The final effluent limits and monitoring expressed in the permit were derived from and comply with the applicable water quality criterion and are consistent with the assumptions and requirements of the EPA-approved WLAs in the TMDL, which are 157 lbs/yr for phosphorus and 14,620 lbs/yr for TSS for the permitted facility.

The approved TMDL expresses WLAs as lbs/year and lbs/day (maximum annual load divided by 365 days). As outlined in Section 4.6 of the department's 2020 TMDL Implementation Guidance for Wastewater Permits, TMDL limits must be given in the permit that are consistent with the TMDL WLA permit limits derived from the TMDL and need to be expressed as specified by 40 CFR 122.45 (d), s. NR 212.76 (4), and s. NR 205.065 (7), Wis. Adm. Code, unless determined to be impracticable. Impracticability has already been determined for phosphorus limits as laid out in the phosphorus impracticability agreement that was approved by USEPA in 2012 (see NPDES MOA Addendum dated July 12, 2012 at <https://prodoasint.dnr.wi.gov/swims/downloadDocument.do?id=167886175>).

For phosphorus, continuously discharging facilities covered by the UFWRB TMDL are given monthly average mass limits. If the equivalent effluent concentration is less than or equal to 0.3 mg/L, six-month average mass limits (averaging period of May through October and November through April) are also included. The equivalent effluent concentration of 0.17 mg/L was calculated for the facility, thus, TMDL based mass limits are expressed as a six-month average and a monthly average equal to three times the six-month average limit.

For TSS, continuously discharging municipal facilities covered by the UFWRB TMDL are given monthly average and weekly average limits.

Facilities with UFWRB TMDL based effluent limits for phosphorus and TSS must report the 12-month rolling sum of total monthly discharge (lbs/yr). If reported 12-month rolling sums exceed the facility's max annual WLA, the facility's mass limits (weekly average, monthly average and six-month average) may be recalculated using more appropriate CVs or monitoring frequencies when the permit is reissued to bring discharge levels into compliance with the facility's given WLA.

E. Coli- Revisions to bacteria surface water quality criteria to protect recreational uses and accompanying E. coli WPDES permit implementation procedures became effective May 1, 2020. The new rule requires that WPDES permits for facilities with required disinfection include monitoring for E. coli while facilities are disinfecting during the recreation period, and establish effluent limitations for E. coli established in s. NR 210.06 (2), Wis. Adm Code. The administrative code rule changes included the following actions: revised the bacteria water quality criteria from fecal coliform to E. coli to protect recreation in ch. NR 102, Wis. Adm. Code.; removed fecal coliform criteria for certain individual waters from ch. NR 104, Wis. Adm. Code.; revised permit requirements for publicly and privately owned sewage treatment works in ch. NR 210, Wis. Adm. Code.; and, updated approved analytical methods for bacteria in ch. NR 219, Wis. Adm. Code.

E. coli monitoring is required at the permit effective date. An interim fecal coliform limit of 400 #/100 ml as a monthly geometric mean will apply from the permit effective date through the end of a compliance schedule. At the end of the compliance schedule, E. coli limits of 126 #/100 ml as a monthly geometric mean that may not be exceeded and 410 #/100 ml as a daily maximum that may not be exceeded more than 10 percent of the time in any calendar month will apply.

Ammonia- Current acute and chronic ammonia toxicity criteria for the protection of aquatic life are included in Tables 2C and 4B of ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code. Subchapter IV of ch. NR 106 establishes the procedure for calculating water quality based effluent limitations (WQBELs) for ammonia.

Total Nitrogen Monitoring (NO₂+NO₃, TKN and Total N)- The Department has included effluent monitoring for Total Nitrogen in the permit through the authority under §§ 283.55(1)(e), Wis. Stats., which allows the department to require the permittee to submit information necessary to identify the type and quantity of any pollutants discharged from the point source, and through s. NR 200.065(1)(h), Wis. Adm. Code, which allows for this monitoring to be collected during the permit term. More information on the justification to include total nitrogen monitoring in wastewater permits can be found in the “Guidance for Total Nitrogen Monitoring in Wastewater Permits” dated October 1, 2019. Annual tests are scheduled in the following rotating quarters: October- December, 2024; July- September, 2025; January- March, 2026; April- June, 2027; and October- December, 2028.

PFOS and PFOA- NR 106 Subchapter VIII – Permit Requirements for PFOS and PFOA Dischargers became effective on August 1, 2022. Pursuant to s. NR 106.98(3)(b), Wis. Adm. Code, the department evaluated the need for PFOS and PFOA monitoring taking into consideration the presence of potential PFOS or PFOA industrial wastes, remediation sites and other potential sources of PFOS or PFOA. Based on information available at the time the proposed permit was drafted, the department has determined the permittee does not need to sample for PFOS or PFOA as part of this permit reissuance. The department may re-evaluate the need for sampling at the next permit reissuance if new information becomes available that suggests PFOS or PFOA may be present in the discharge.

Whole Effluent Toxicity- Whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing requirements and limits (if applicable) are determined in accordance with ss. NR 106.08 and NR 106.09 Wis. Adm. Code, as revised August 2016. (See the current version of the Whole Effluent Toxicity Program Guidance Document and checklist and WET information, guidance and test methods at <http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wastewater/wet.html>)

Acute tests are required during the following quarters: July- September, 2025; April- June, 2027; and October- December, 2028

3 Land Application - Monitoring and Limitations

| Municipal Sludge Description | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Sample Point | Sludge Class (A or B) | Sludge Type (Liquid or Cake) | Pathogen Reduction Method | Vector Attraction Method | Reuse Option | Amount Reused/Disposed (Dry Tons/Year) |
| 002 | B | Liquid | Aerobic digestion | Injection when land applied | Land Application or hauled | 73.3 dry U.S. tons |
| Does sludge management demonstrate compliance? Yes | | | | | | |
| Is additional sludge storage required? No | | | | | | |
| Is Radium-226 present in the water supply at a level greater than 2 pCi/liter? No | | | | | | |
| Is a priority pollutant scan required? No | | | | | | |
| Priority pollutant scans are required once every 10 years at facilities with design flows between 5 MGD and 40 MGD, and once every 5 years if design flow is greater than 40 MGD. | | | | | | |

3.1 Sample Point Number: 002- Liquid Sludge

| Monitoring Requirements and Limitations | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|-------|
| Parameter | Limit Type | Limit and Units | Sample Frequency | Sample Type | Notes |
| Solids, Total | | Percent | Annual | Composite | |
| Arsenic Dry Wt | Ceiling | 75 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Arsenic Dry Wt | High Quality | 41 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Cadmium Dry Wt | Ceiling | 85 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Cadmium Dry Wt | High Quality | 39 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Copper Dry Wt | Ceiling | 4,300 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Copper Dry Wt | High Quality | 1,500 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Lead Dry Wt | Ceiling | 840 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Lead Dry Wt | High Quality | 300 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Mercury Dry Wt | Ceiling | 57 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Mercury Dry Wt | High Quality | 17 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Molybdenum Dry Wt | Ceiling | 75 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Nickel Dry Wt | Ceiling | 420 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Nickel Dry Wt | High Quality | 420 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Selenium Dry Wt | Ceiling | 100 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Selenium Dry Wt | High Quality | 100 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |

| Monitoring Requirements and Limitations | | | | | |
|---|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|--|
| Parameter | Limit Type | Limit and Units | Sample Frequency | Sample Type | Notes |
| Zinc Dry Wt | Ceiling | 7,500 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Zinc Dry Wt | High Quality | 2,800 mg/kg | Annual | Composite | |
| Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl | | Percent | Annual | Composite | |
| Nitrogen, Ammonium (NH4-N) Total | | Percent | Annual | Composite | |
| Phosphorus, Total | | Percent | Annual | Composite | |
| Phosphorus, Water Extractable | | % of Tot P | Annual | Composite | |
| Potassium, Total Recoverable | | Percent | Annual | Composite | |
| PCB Total Dry Wt | Ceiling | 50 mg/kg | Once | Composite | Once in 2025. |
| PCB Total Dry Wt | High Quality | 10 mg/kg | Once | Composite | Once in 2025. |
| PFOA + PFOS | | ug/kg | Annual | Calculated | Report the sum of PFOA and PFOS. See PFAS permit sections for more information. |
| PFAS Dry Wt | | | Annual | Grab | Perfluoroalkyl and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances based on updated DNR PFAS List. See PFAS Permit Sections for more information. |

3.1.1 Changes from Previous Permit:

PFAS- Annual monitoring is included in the permit pursuant s. NR 204.06(2)(b)9., Wis. Adm. Code.

3.1.2 Explanation of Limits and Monitoring Requirements

Requirements for land application of municipal sludge are determined in accordance with ch. NR 204 Wis. Adm. Code. Ceiling and high quality limits for metals in sludge are specified in s. NR 204.07(5). Requirements for pathogens are specified in s. NR 204.07(6) and in s. NR 204.07 (7) for vector attraction requirements. Limitations for PCBs are addressed in s. NR 204.07(3)(k). Radium requirements are addressed in s. NR 204.07(3)(n).

PFAS- The presence and fate of PFAS in municipal and industrial sludges is an emerging public health concern. EPA is currently developing a risk assessment to determine future land application rates and expects to release this risk assessment by the end of 2024. In the interim, the department has developed the “Interim Strategy for Land Application of Biosolids and Industrial Sludges Containing PFAS”.

Collecting sludge data on PFAS concentrations from a wide range of wastewater treatment facilities will help protect public health from exposure to elevated levels of PFAS and determine the department’s implementation of EPA’s

recommendations. To quantitate this risk, PFAS sampling has been included in the proposed WPDES permit pursuant to ss. NR 214.18(5)(b) and NR 204.06(2)(b)9., Wis. Adm. Code.

4 Schedules

4.1 TMDL Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for Total Phosphorus

The permittee shall comply with the WQBELs for Phosphorus as specified. No later than 14 days following each compliance date, the permittee shall notify the Department in writing of its compliance or noncompliance. If a submittal is required, a timely submittal fulfills the notification requirement.

| Required Action | Due Date |
|---|------------|
| Plans and Specifications: The facility shall begin drafting plans and specifications. | 08/01/2024 |
| Final Plans and Specifications: The permittee shall submit final construction plans to the Department for approval pursuant to s. 281.41, Stats., specifying treatment plant upgrades that must be constructed to achieve compliance with final TMDL-based phosphorus WQBELs, and a schedule for completing construction of the upgrades by the complete construction date specified below. | 05/31/2025 |
| Treatment Plant Upgrade to Meet WQBELs: The permittee shall initiate construction of the upgrades. The permittee shall obtain approval of the final construction plans and schedule from the Department pursuant to s. 281.41, Stats. Upon approval of the final construction plans and schedule by the Department pursuant to s. 281.41, Stats., the permittee shall construct the treatment plant upgrades in accordance with the approved plans and specifications. | 10/31/2025 |
| Complete Construction: The permittee shall complete construction of wastewater treatment system upgrades. | 07/31/2026 |
| Achieve Compliance: The permittee shall achieve compliance with final phosphorus TMDL-based WQBELs. | 08/01/2026 |

4.1.1 Explanation of Schedule

The facility has chosen to switch from ferric chloride to rare earth chemical to comply with final TMDL-based mass limits for phosphorus. This schedule gives the facility two years to comply with the final limits.

5 Attachments:

Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations for Montello Wastewater Treatment Facility WPDES Permit No. WI-0024813-10, October 26, 2024; Nicole Krueger, Wastewater Resources Engineer

6 Expiration Date:

June 30, 2029

7 Justification of Any Waivers from Permit Application Requirements

No waivers given from permit application requirements.

Prepared By: Amanda Perdzoek, Wastewater Specialist

Date: June 6, 2024

Notice of reissuance was published in the Marquette County Tribune, PO Box 286, Black Earth, WI 53515-0286.

CORRESPONDENCE/MEMORANDUM

DATE: 10/26/2023

TO: Sarah Adkins – NER

FROM: Nicole Krueger – SER *Nicole Krueger*

SUBJECT: Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations for Montello Wastewater Treatment Facility
WPDES Permit No. WI-0024813-10

This is in response to your request for an evaluation of the need for water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) using chapters NR 102, 104, 105, 106, 207, 210, 212, and 217 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code (where applicable), for the discharge from Montello Wastewater Treatment Facility in Marquette County. This municipal wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) discharges to the Fox River, located in the Puckaway Lakes Watershed in the Upper Fox River Basin. This discharge is included in the Upper Fox and Wolf River Basin TMDL as approved by EPA in February 2020. The evaluation of the permit recommendations is discussed in more detail in the attached report.

Based on our review, the following recommendations are made on a chemical-specific basis at Outfall 001:

| Parameter | Daily Maximum | Daily Minimum | Weekly Average | Monthly Average | Six-Month Average | Footnotes |
|--|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Flow Rate | | | | | | 1,2 |
| BOD ₅ | | | 45 mg/L | 30 mg/L | | 1 |
| TSS | | | 45 mg/L 95 lbs/day | 30 mg/L 64 lbs/day | | 1,3 |
| pH | 9.0 s.u. | 6.0 s.u. | | | | 1 |
| Ammonia Nitrogen June – September | 40 mg/L | | 40 mg/L | 40 mg/L | | 4,5,6 |
| Bacteria | | | | | | 7 |
| Interim Limit Fecal Coliform | | | | 400 #/100 mL geometric mean | | |
| Final Limit <i>E. coli</i> | | | | 126 #/100 mL geometric mean | | |
| Phosphorus LCA Interim Limit HAC Interim Limit TMDL | | | | 1.0 mg/L 0.80 mg/L 1.6 lbs/day | 0.52 lbs/day | 3,8 |
| TKN, Nitrate+Nitrite, and Total Nitrogen | | | | | | 9 |
| Acute WET | | | | | | 10,11 |

Footnotes:

1. No changes from the current permit.
2. Monitoring only.
3. The TSS and phosphorus mass limits are based on the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the Upper Fox and Wolf River Basin to address phosphorus water quality impairments within the TMDL area. The TMDL was approved by EPA February 2020.

4. The variable daily maximum ammonia nitrogen limit table corresponding to various effluent pH values may be included in the permit in place of the single limit. These limits apply June – September.

| Effluent pH s.u. | Limit mg/L | Effluent pH s.u. | Limit mg/L | Effluent pH s.u. | Limit mg/L |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 6.0 ≤ pH ≤ 6.1 | 108 | 7.0 < pH ≤ 7.1 | 66 | 8.0 < pH ≤ 8.1 | 14 |
| 6.1 < pH ≤ 6.2 | 106 | 7.1 < pH ≤ 7.2 | 59 | 8.1 < pH ≤ 8.2 | 11 |
| 6.2 < pH ≤ 6.3 | 104 | 7.2 < pH ≤ 7.3 | 52 | 8.2 < pH ≤ 8.3 | 9.4 |
| 6.3 < pH ≤ 6.4 | 101 | 7.3 < pH ≤ 7.4 | 46 | 8.3 < pH ≤ 8.4 | 7.8 |
| 6.4 < pH ≤ 6.5 | 98 | 7.4 < pH ≤ 7.5 | 40 | 8.4 < pH ≤ 8.5 | 6.4 |
| 6.5 < pH ≤ 6.6 | 94 | 7.5 < pH ≤ 7.6 | 34 | 8.5 < pH ≤ 8.6 | 5.3 |
| 6.6 < pH ≤ 6.7 | 89 | 7.6 < pH ≤ 7.7 | 29 | 8.6 < pH ≤ 8.7 | 4.4 |
| 6.7 < pH ≤ 6.8 | 84 | 7.7 < pH ≤ 7.8 | 24 | 8.7 < pH ≤ 8.8 | 3.7 |
| 6.8 < pH ≤ 6.9 | 78 | 7.8 < pH ≤ 7.9 | 20 | 8.8 < pH ≤ 8.9 | 3.1 |
| 6.9 < pH ≤ 7.0 | 72 | 7.9 < pH ≤ 8.0 | 17 | 8.9 < pH ≤ 9.0 | 2.6 |

If the variable daily maximum ammonia limits are included in the reissued permit, the weekly and monthly average limits are recommended to be 108 mg/L.

5. Monitoring only is recommended for October – May.
6. Additional limits to comply with the expression of limits requirements in ss. NR 106.07 and NR 205.065(7), Wis. Adm. Codes, are included in bold.
7. Bacteria limits apply during the disinfection season of May through September. The fecal coliform interim limit will apply until the end of the compliance schedule when *E. coli* limits take effect. Additional final limit: No more than 10 percent of *E. coli* bacteria samples collected in any calendar month may exceed 410 count/100 mL.
8. Under the phosphorus MDV, a level currently achievable (LCA) interim limit of 1.0 mg/L should be effective upon permit reissuance. A compliance schedule may be included in the permit until the highest attainable condition (HAC) limit of 0.80 mg/L can be met. The final WQBELs remain at 0.225 mg/L as a monthly average and 0.075 mg/L as a six-month average, as well as a respective mass limit.
9. As recommended in the Department's October 1, 2019 Guidance for Total Nitrogen Monitoring in Wastewater Permits, annual total nitrogen monitoring is recommended for all minor municipal permittees. Total Nitrogen is the sum of nitrate (NO₃), nitrite (NO₂), and total kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) (all expressed as N).
10. Acute WET testing is recommended 3/permit term.
11. Sampling WET concurrently with any chemical-specific toxic substances is recommended. Tests should be done in rotating quarters, to collect seasonal information about this discharge and should continue after the permit expiration date (until the permit is reissued).

If Montello submits an approvable SOP for ferric chloride, no WET testing would be recommended.

Please consult the attached report for details regarding the above recommendations. If there are any questions or comments, please contact Nicole Krueger at Nicole.Krueger@wisconsin.gov or Diane Figiel at Diane.Figiel@wisconsin.gov.

Attachments (2) – Narrative & Outfall Map

PREPARED BY: Nicole Krueger, Water Resources Engineer – SER

E-cc: Barti Oumarou, Wastewater Engineer – NER
Heidi Schmitt Marquez, Regional Wastewater Supervisor – NER
Diane Figiel, Water Resources Engineer – WY/3
Nathaniel Willis, Wastewater Engineer – WY/3

Attachment #1
**Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations for
Montello Wastewater Treatment Facility**

WPDES Permit No. WI-0024813-10

Prepared by: Nicole Krueger

PART 1 – BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Facility Description

The City of Montello owns and operates a package activated sludge wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) designed for an annual average flow of 0.3 million gallon per day (MGD). Disinfection is provided seasonally by an ultraviolet (UV) light system. Ferric chloride is added for phosphorus removal. Treated effluent is discharged to the Fox River, about two miles downstream of Buffalo Lake. Sludge is aerobically digested and stored in a 60,000-gallon sludge storage tank until it can be land applied.

Attachment #2 is a map of the area showing the approximate location of Outfall 001.

Existing Permit Limitations

The current permit, which expired on 12/31/2022, includes the following effluent limitations and monitoring requirements.

| Parameter | Daily Maximum | Daily Minimum | Weekly Average | Monthly Average | Six-Month Average | Footnotes |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| Flow Rate | | | | | | 1 |
| BOD ₅ | | | 45 mg/L | 30 mg/L | | 2 |
| TSS | | | 45 mg/L | 30 mg/L | | 2 |
| pH | 9.0 s.u. | 6.0 s.u. | | | | 2 |
| Phosphorus | | | | 1.0 mg/L 0.225 mg/L | 0.075 mg/L 0.19 lbs/day | 3 |
| Fecal Coliform May – September | | | 656#/100 mL geometric mean | 400#/100 mL geometric mean | | 4 |
| Ammonia Nitrogen | | | | | | 1 |

Footnotes:

1. Monitoring only.
2. These limitations are not being evaluated as part of this review. Because the water quality criteria (WQC), reference effluent flow rates, and receiving water characteristics have not changed, limitations for these water quality characteristics do not need to be re-evaluated at this time.
3. A compliance schedule is in the current permit to meet the final WQBEL by January 1, 2025.
4. Limits to comply with the expression of limits requirements in ss. NR 106.07 and NR 205.065(7), Wis. Adm. Codes, are included in bold.

Receiving Water Information

- Name: Fox River
- Waterbody Identification Code (WBIC): 117900

Attachment #1

- Classification used in accordance with chs. NR 102 and 104, Wis. Adm. Code: Warm Water Sport Fish (WWSF) community, non-public water supply. Note: Cold Water and Public Water Supply criteria are used for bioaccumulating compounds of concern, because the discharge is within the Great Lakes basin.
- Low flows used in accordance with chs. NR 106 and 217, Wis. Adm. Code: The following 7-Q₁₀ and 7-Q₂ values are from USGS for Station UF10, where Outfall 001 is located.
 - 7-Q₁₀ = 90 cfs (cubic feet per second)
 - 7-Q₂ = 120 cfs
 - Harmonic Mean Flow = 190 cfs using a drainage area of 398 mi²The Harmonic Mean has been estimated based on average flow and the 7-Q₁₀ using an equation from U.S. EPA's *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control* (March 1991, EPA/505/2-90-001, pgs. 88-89).
- Hardness = 275 mg/L as CaCO₃. This value represents the mean of data from WET testing from 2006 and 2008.
- % of low flow used to calculate limits in accordance with s. NR 106.06(4)(c)5., Wis. Adm. Code: 25%
- Source of background concentration data: Metals data from the Fox River at Berlin is used for this evaluation. The numerical values are shown in the tables below. If no data is available, the background concentration is assumed to be negligible and a value of zero is used in the computations. Background data for calculating effluent limitations for ammonia nitrogen are described later.
- Multiple dischargers: There are several other dischargers to the Fox River, however they are not in the immediate vicinity and the mixing zones do not overlap. Therefore, the other dischargers do not impact this evaluation.
- Impaired water status: The immediate receiving water is 303(d) listed as impaired for total phosphorus.

Effluent Information

- Design flow rate(s):
 - Annual average = 0.3 MGD (Million Gallons per Day)For reference, the actual average flow from 01/01/2018 – 08/31/2023 was 0.17 MGD (measured at Outfall 701 influent)
- Hardness = 262 mg/L as CaCO₃. This value represents the geometric mean of data from 09/06/2022 – 09/12/2022.
- Acute dilution factor used in accordance with s. NR 106.06(3)(c), Wis. Adm. Code: Not applicable – this facility does not have an approved Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID).
- Water source: Domestic wastewater with water supply from wells.
- Additives: Ferric chloride is used for phosphorus removal.
- Effluent characterization: This facility is categorized as a minor municipality, so the permit application required effluent sample analyses for a limited number of common pollutants, as specified in s. NR 200.065, Table 1, Wis. Adm. Code, primarily metal substances plus ammonia, chloride, hardness and phosphorus.
- Effluent data for substances for which a single sample was analyzed is shown in the tables in Part 2 below, in the column titled “MEAN EFFL. CONC.”. Otherwise, substances with multiple effluent data are shown in the tables below or in their respective parts in this evaluation.

Attachment #1

Effluent Copper Data

| Sample Date | Copper µg/L | Sample Date | Copper µg/L |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 09/06/2022 | 3.2 | 09/18/2022 | 3.7 |
| 09/09/2022 | 2.9 | 09/21/2022 | 3.4 |
| 09/12/2022 | 5.5 | 09/24/2022 | 5.5 |
| 09/15/2022 | 4.2 | 09/07/2022 | 5.4 |
| Average = 4.2 µg/L | | | |

The following table presents the average concentrations and loadings at Outfall 001 from 01/01/2018 – 08/31/2023 for all parameters with limits in the current permit to meet the requirements of s. NR 201.03(6), Wis. Adm. Code:

Parameter Averages with Limits

| | Average Measurement |
|------------------|---------------------|
| BOD ₅ | 11 mg/L |
| TSS | 5.5 mg/L |
| pH field | 6.9 s.u. |
| Phosphorus | 0.89 mg/L |
| Fecal coliform | 47.5 #/100 mL |

PART 2 – WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR TOXIC SUBSTANCES – EXCEPT AMMONIA NITROGEN

Permit limits for toxic substances are required whenever any of the following occur:

1. The maximum effluent concentration exceeds the calculated limit (s. NR 106.05(3), Wis. Adm. Code)
2. If 11 or more detected results are available in the effluent, the upper 99th percentile (or P₉₉) value exceeds the comparable calculated limit (s. NR 106.05(4), Wis. Adm. Code)
3. If fewer than 11 detected results are available, the mean effluent concentration exceeds 1/5 of the calculated limit (s. NR 106.05(6), Wis. Adm. Code)

Acute Limits based on 1-Q₁₀

Daily maximum effluent limitations for toxic substances are based on the acute toxicity criteria (ATC), listed in ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code. Previously daily maximum limits for toxic substances were calculated as two times the ATC. However, changes to ch. NR 106, Wis. Code, (September 1, 2016) require the Department to calculate acute limitations using the same mass balance equation as used for other limits along with the 1-Q₁₀ receiving water low flow to determine if more restrictive effluent limitations are needed to protect the receiving stream from discharges which may cause or contribute to an exceedance of the acute water quality standards. The mass balance equation is provided below.

$$\text{Limitation} = \frac{(\text{WQC}) (Q_s + (1-f) Q_e) - (Q_s - f Q_e) (C_s)}{Q_e}$$

Where:

WQC = Acute toxicity criterion or secondary acute value according to ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code.

Q_s = average minimum 1-day flow which occurs once in 10 years (1-day Q₁₀)

Attachment #1

if the 1-day Q₁₀ flow data is not available = 80% of the average minimum 7-day flow which occurs once in 10 years (7-day Q₁₀).

Q_e = Effluent flow (in units of volume per unit time) as specified in s. NR 106.06(4)(d), Wis. Adm. Code.

f = Fraction of the effluent flow that is withdrawn from the receiving water, and

C_s = Background concentration of the substance (in units of mass per unit volume) as specified in s. NR 106.06(4)(e), Wis. Adm. Code.

If the receiving water is effluent dominated under low stream flow conditions, the 1-Q₁₀ method of limit calculation produces the most stringent daily maximum limitations and should be used while making reasonable potential determinations. This is not the case for Montello and the limits are set based on two times the acute toxicity criteria.

The following tables list the calculated WQBELs for this discharge along with the results of effluent sampling. All concentrations are expressed in terms of micrograms per Liter (µg/L), except for hardness and chloride (mg/L).

Daily Maximum Limits based on Acute Toxicity Criteria (ATC)

RECEIVING WATER FLOW = 72 cfs, (1-Q₁₀ (estimated as 80% of 7-Q₁₀)), as specified in s. NR 106.06(3)(bm), Wis. Adm. Code.

| SUBSTANCE | REF. HARD.* mg/L | ATC | MEAN BACK-GRD. | MAX. EFFL. LIMIT** | 1/5 OF EFFL. LIMIT | MEAN EFFL. CONC. | 1-day P ₉₉ | 1-day MAX. CONC. |
|-----------------|---------------------|------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Arsenic | | 340 | 2 | 680 | 136 | | <2.4 | |
| Cadmium | 262 | 31.1 | | 62.2 | 12.4 | | <0.17 | |
| Chromium | 262 | 3968 | 13 | 7936 | 1587 | | <1 | |
| Copper | 262 | 38.5 | 3 | 77.0 | 15.4 | 4.2 | | |
| Lead | 262 | 271 | | 542 | 108 | | <1.3 | |
| Nickel | 262 | 1060 | | 2120 | 424 | | 1.9 | |
| Zinc | 262 | 279 | | 559 | 112 | | 25 | |
| Chloride (mg/L) | | 757 | 12.8 | 1514 | 303 | 180 | | 190 |

* The indicated hardness may differ from the effluent hardness because the effluent hardness exceeded the maximum range in ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code, over which the acute criteria are applicable. In that case, the maximum of the range is used to calculate the criterion.

** The 2 × ATC method of limit calculation yields a more restrictive limit than consideration of ambient concentrations and 1-Q₁₀ flow rates per the changes to s. NR 106.07(3), Wis. Adm. Code, effective 09/01/2016.

Weekly Average Limits based on Chronic Toxicity Criteria (CTC)

RECEIVING WATER FLOW = 22.5 cfs (¼ of the 7-Q₁₀), as specified in s. NR 106.06(4)(c), Wis. Adm. Code

| SUBSTANCE | REF. HARD.* mg/L | CTC | MEAN BACK-GRD. | WEEKLY AVE. LIMIT | 1/5 OF EFFL. LIMIT | MEAN EFFL. CONC. | 4-day P ₉₉ |
|-----------|---------------------|------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Arsenic | | 152 | 2 | 7433 | 1487 | <2.4 | |
| Cadmium | 175 | 3.82 | | 189 | 37.8 | <0.17 | |
| Chromium | 275 | 303 | 13 | 14336 | 2867 | <1 | |
| Copper | 275 | 24.6 | 3 | 1072 | 214 | 4.2 | |
| Lead | 275 | 74.4 | | 3682 | 736 | <1.3 | |

Attachment #1

| SUBSTANCE | REF. HARD.* mg/L | CTC | MEAN BACK-GRD. | WEEKLY AVE. LIMIT | 1/5 OF EFFL. LIMIT | MEAN EFFL. CONC. | 4-day P ₉₉ |
|-----------------|---------------------|-----|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Nickel | 268 | 120 | | 5946 | 1189 | 1.9 | |
| Zinc | 275 | 292 | | 14425 | 2885 | 25 | |
| Chloride (mg/L) | | 395 | 12.8 | 18921 | 3784 | 180 | |

* The indicated hardness may differ from the receiving water hardness because the receiving water hardness exceeded the maximum range in ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code, over which the chronic criteria are applicable. In that case, the maximum of the range is used to calculate the criterion.

Monthly Average Limits based on Wildlife Criteria (WC)

The effluent characterization did not include any effluent sampling results for substances for which Wildlife Criteria exist.

Monthly Average Limits based on Human Threshold Criteria (HTC)

RECEIVING WATER FLOW = 47.5 cfs (¼ of Harmonic Mean), as specified in s. NR 106.06(4), Wis. Adm. Code.

| SUBSTANCE | HTC | MEAN BACK-GRD. | MO'LY AVE. LIMIT | 1/5 OF EFFL. LIMIT | MEAN EFFL. CONC. |
|---------------|---------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Cadmium | 370 | | 38236 | 7647 | <0.17 |
| Chromium (+3) | 3818000 | 13 | 394551288 | 78910258 | <1 |
| Lead | 140 | | 14468 | 2894 | <1.3 |
| Nickel | 43000 | | 4443626 | 888725 | 1.9 |

Monthly Average Limits based on Human Cancer Criteria (HCC)

RECEIVING WATER FLOW = 47.5 cfs (¼ of Harmonic Mean), as specified in s. NR 106.06(4), Wis. Adm. Code.

| SUBSTANCE | HCC | MEAN BACK-GRD. | MO'LY AVE. LIMIT | 1/5 OF EFFL. LIMIT | MEAN EFFL. CONC. |
|-----------|------|----------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Arsenic | 13.3 | 2 | 1170 | 234 | <2.4 |

In addition to evaluating the need for limits for each individual substance for which HCC exist, s. NR 106.06(8), Wis. Adm. Code, requires the evaluation of the cumulative cancer risk. Because no effluent limits are needed based on HCC, determination of the cumulative cancer risk is not needed per s. NR 106.06(8), Wis. Adm. Code.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on a comparison of the effluent data and calculated effluent limitations, effluent limitations are not required for toxic substances in this section.

Mercury – The permit application did not require monitoring for mercury because Montello is categorized as a minor facility as defined in s. NR 200.02(8), Wis. Adm. Code. In accordance with s. NR 106.145(3)(a)3, Wis. Adm. Code, a minor municipal discharger shall monitor, and report results of influent and effluent mercury monitoring once every three months if, “there are two or more exceedances in the last five years of the high-quality sludge mercury concentration of 17 mg/kg specified in s. NR 204.07(5), Wis. Adm. Code.” A review of the past five years of sludge characteristics data reveals that all the sample results are within expected analytical ranges and well below the 17 mg/kg level. The average

concentration in the sludge from 05/08/2018 – 04/26/2022 was 0 mg/kg, with a maximum reported concentration of 0 mg/kg. The LODs were not included in the reports to the DNR but they would have most likely been below 17 mg/kg. Therefore, no mercury monitoring is recommended at Outfall 001.

PFOS and PFOA – The need for PFOS and PFOA monitoring is evaluated in accordance with s. NR 106.98(2), Wis. Adm. Code. Based on the type of discharge, the effluent flow rate, and known levels of PFOS/PFOA in the source water, PFOS and PFOA monitoring is not recommended. The Department may re-evaluate the need for sampling at the next permit reissuance if new information becomes available that suggests PFOS or PFOA may be present in the discharge.

PART 3 – WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR AMMONIA NITROGEN

The State of Wisconsin promulgated revised water quality standards for ammonia nitrogen in ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code, effective March 1, 2004 which includes criteria based on both acute and chronic toxicity to aquatic life. Given the fact that Montello does not currently have ammonia nitrogen limits, the need for limits is evaluated at this time.

Daily Maximum Limits based on Acute Toxicity Criteria (ATC)

Daily maximum limitations are based on acute toxicity criteria in ch. NR 105, Wis. Adm. Code, which are a function of the effluent pH and the receiving water classification. The acute toxicity criterion (ATC) for ammonia is calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{ATC in mg/L} = [A \div (1 + 10^{(7.204 - \text{pH})})] + [B \div (1 + 10^{(\text{pH} - 7.204)})]$$

Where:

A = 0.411 and B = 58.4 for a Warm Water Sport fishery, and
pH (s.u.) = that characteristic of the effluent.

The effluent pH data was examined as part of this evaluation. A total of 2069 sample results were reported from 01/02/2018 – 08/31/2023. The maximum reported value was 7.5 s.u. (Standard pH Units). The effluent pH was 7.5 s.u. or less 99% of the time. The 1-day P₉₉, calculated in accordance with s. NR 106.05(5), Wis. Adm. Code, is 7.5 s.u. The mean plus the standard deviation multiplied by a factor of 2.33, an estimate of the upper ninety ninth percentile for a normally distributed dataset, is 7.4 s.u. Therefore, a value of 7.5 s.u. is believed to represent the maximum reasonably expected pH, and therefore most appropriate for determining daily maximum limitations for ammonia nitrogen. Substituting a value of 7.5 s.u. into the equation above yields an ATC = 20 mg/L.

Daily Maximum Ammonia Nitrogen Effluent Limitations Calculation Method

In accordance with s. NR 106.32(2), Wis. Adm. Code daily maximum ammonia limitations are calculated using the the 1-Q₁₀ receiving water low flow if it is determined that the previous method of acute ammonia limit calculation (2×ATC) is not sufficiently protective of the fish and aquatic life. The more restrictive calculated limits shall apply.

The calculated daily maximum ammonia nitrogen effluent limits using the mass balance approach with the 1-Q₁₀ (estimated as 80 % of 7-Q₁₀) and the 2×ATC approach are shown below.

Daily Maximum Ammonia Nitrogen Determination

| | Ammonia Nitrogen Limit mg/L |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| 2×ATC | 40 |
| 1-Q ₁₀ | 3102 |

The 2×ATC method yields the most stringent limits for Montello.

The current permit has variable daily maximum effluent limits based on effluent pH. Presented below is a table of daily maximum limitations corresponding to various effluent pH values. Use of this table is not necessarily recommended in the permit, but it is presented herein for informational purposes.

Daily Maximum Ammonia Nitrogen Limits

| Effluent pH s.u. | Limit mg/L | Effluent pH s.u. | Limit mg/L | Effluent pH s.u. | Limit mg/L |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 6.0 ≤ pH ≤ 6.1 | 108 | 7.0 < pH ≤ 7.1 | 66 | 8.0 < pH ≤ 8.1 | 14 |
| 6.1 < pH ≤ 6.2 | 106 | 7.1 < pH ≤ 7.2 | 59 | 8.1 < pH ≤ 8.2 | 11 |
| 6.2 < pH ≤ 6.3 | 104 | 7.2 < pH ≤ 7.3 | 52 | 8.2 < pH ≤ 8.3 | 9.4 |
| 6.3 < pH ≤ 6.4 | 101 | 7.3 < pH ≤ 7.4 | 46 | 8.3 < pH ≤ 8.4 | 7.8 |
| 6.4 < pH ≤ 6.5 | 98 | 7.4 < pH ≤ 7.5 | 40 | 8.4 < pH ≤ 8.5 | 6.4 |
| 6.5 < pH ≤ 6.6 | 94 | 7.5 < pH ≤ 7.6 | 34 | 8.5 < pH ≤ 8.6 | 5.3 |
| 6.6 < pH ≤ 6.7 | 89 | 7.6 < pH ≤ 7.7 | 29 | 8.6 < pH ≤ 8.7 | 4.4 |
| 6.7 < pH ≤ 6.8 | 84 | 7.7 < pH ≤ 7.8 | 24 | 8.7 < pH ≤ 8.8 | 3.7 |
| 6.8 < pH ≤ 6.9 | 78 | 7.8 < pH ≤ 7.9 | 20 | 8.8 < pH ≤ 8.9 | 3.1 |
| 6.9 < pH ≤ 7.0 | 72 | 7.9 < pH ≤ 8.0 | 17 | 8.9 < pH ≤ 9.0 | 2.6 |

Weekly and Monthly Average Limits based on Chronic Toxicity Criteria (CTC)

Weekly and monthly average limits based on chronic toxicity criteria for ammonia are also calculated to determine the weekly and monthly average limits to meet the requirements of s. NR 106.07(3), Wis. Adm. Code.

The 30-day chronic toxicity criterion (CTC) for ammonia in waters classified as a Warm Water Sport Fish Community is calculated by the following equation, according to subchapter IV of NR 106, Wis. Adm. Code.

$$CTC = E \times \{ [0.0676 \div (1 + 10^{(7.688 - pH)})] + [2.912 \div (1 + 10^{(pH - 7.688)})] \} \times C$$

Where:

pH = the pH (s.u.) of the receiving water,

E = 0.854,

C = the minimum of 2.85 or $1.45 \times 10^{(0.028 \times (25 - T))}$ – (Early Life Stages Present), or

C = $1.45 \times 10^{(0.028 \times (25 - T))}$ – (Early Life Stages Absent), and

T = the temperature (°C) of the receiving water – (Early Life Stages Present), or

T = the maximum of the actual temperature (°C) and 7 - (Early Life Stages Absent)

Attachment #1

The 4-day criterion is equal to the 30-day criterion multiplied by 2.5. The 4-day criteria are used in a mass-balance equation with the 7-Q₁₀ (4-Q₃, if available) to derive weekly average limitations. And the 30-day criteria are used with the 30-Q₅ (estimated as 85% of the 7-Q₂ if the 30-Q₅ is not available) to derive monthly average limitations. The stream flow value is further adjusted to temperature; 100% of the flow is used if the Temperature ≥ 16 °C, 25% of the flow is used if the Temperature < 11 °C, and 50% of the flow is used if the Temperature ≥ 11 °C but < 16 °C.

Section NR 106.32 (3), Wis. Adm. Code, provides a mechanism for less stringent weekly average and monthly average effluent limitations when early life stages (ELS) of critical organisms are absent from the receiving water. This applies only when the water temperature is less than 14.5 °C, during the winter and spring months. Burbot, an early spawning species, are not believed to be present in the Fox River, based on conversations with local fisheries biologists. So “ELS Absent” criteria apply from October through March, and “ELS Present” criteria will apply from April through September for a WWSF classification.

The “default” basin assumed values are used for Temperature, pH and background ammonia concentrations, because minimum ambient data is available. These values are shown in the table below, with the resulting criteria and effluent limitations.

Weekly and Monthly Ammonia Nitrogen Limits – WWSF

| | | Spring | Summer | Winter |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | April & May | June – Sept. | Oct. - March |
| Effluent Flow | Qe (MGD) | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Background Information | 7-Q ₁₀ (cfs) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| | 7-Q ₂ (cfs) | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| | Ammonia (mg/L) | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| | Average Temperature (°C) | 12 | 19 | 4 |
| | Maximum Temperature (°C) | 14 | 21 | 10 |
| | pH (s.u.) | 8.57 | 8.39 | 8.47 |
| | % of Flow used | 50 | 100 | 25 |
| | Reference Weekly Flow (cfs) | 45 | 90 | 23 |
| | Reference Monthly Flow (cfs) | 51 | 102 | 26 |
| Criteria mg/L | 4-day Chronic | | | |
| | Early Life Stages Present | 2.41 | 2.23 | |
| | Early Life Stages Absent | | | 3.84 |
| | 30-day Chronic | | | |
| | Early Life Stages Present | 0.96 | 0.89 | |
| Early Life Stages Absent | | | 1.54 | |
| Effluent Limitations mg/L | Weekly Average | | | |
| | Early Life Stages Present | 234 | 429 | |
| | Early Life Stages Absent | | | 188 |
| | Monthly Average | | | |
| | Early Life Stages Present | 105 | 191 | |
| Early Life Stages Absent | | | 83.2 | |

Effluent Data

The following table evaluates the statistics based upon ammonia data reported from 01/03/2018 – 08/01/2023 with those results being compared to the calculated limits to determine the need to include ammonia limits in Montello’s permit for the respective month ranges. That need is determined by

calculating 99th upper percentile (or P₉₉) values for ammonia during each of the month ranges and comparing the daily maximum values to the daily maximum limit.

Ammonia Nitrogen Effluent Data

| Ammonia Nitrogen mg/L | April - May | June - September | October - March |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1-day P ₉₉ | 37.7 | 80.8 | 31.5 |
| 4-day P ₉₉ | 22.5 | 4.38 | 17.2 |
| 30-day P ₉₉ | 14.8 | 21.5 | 9.45 |
| Mean | 11.3 | 12.4 | 6.22 |
| Std | 7.35 | 17.2 | 6.44 |
| Sample size | 11 | 22 | 33 |
| Range | 1.6 – 27 | 0.097 – 72 | 0.14 – 22 |

Based on this comparison, a daily maximum limit is required in June – September.

Expression of Limits

Revisions to ch. NR 106, Wis. Adm. Code, in September 2016 aligned Wisconsin’s WQBELs with 40 CFR § 122.45(d), which specifies that effluent limits for continuous dischargers must be expressed as weekly and monthly averages for publicly owned treatment works and as daily maximums and monthly averages for all other dischargers, unless shown to be impracticable. Because a daily maximum ammonia limit is necessary for Montello, weekly and monthly average limits are also required under this code revision.

The methods for calculating limitations for municipal treatment facilities to conform to 40 CFR 122.45(d) are specified in s. NR 106.07(3), Wis. Adm. Code, and are as follows:

Whenever a daily maximum limitation is determined necessary to protect water quality, a weekly and monthly average limitation shall also be included in the permit and set equal to the daily maximum limit unless a more restrictive limit is already determined necessary to protect water quality.

Because a daily maximum limit of 40 mg/L is recommended for June – September, the weekly and monthly average limits for these months are recommended to also be equal to this.

If Montello decides to have variable daily maximum limits instead of a single daily maximum limit, then the weekly and monthly average limits are recommended to be 108 mg/L which is equal to the highest variable daiy maximum limit.

Conclusions and Recommendations

In summary, after rounding to two significant figures, the following ammonia nitrogen limitations are recommended. No mass limitations are recommended in accordance with s. NR 106.32(5), Wis. Adm Code. Additional limits to meet the requirements in s. NR 106.07, Wis. Adm Code, are included in bold in the table below.

If Montello decides to have a single daily maximum limit, the following ammonia limits are recommended:

Final Ammonia Nitrogen Limits – Single Daily Maximum Limit

| | Daily Maximum mg/L | Weekly Average mg/L | Monthly Average mg/L |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| June – September | 40 | 40 | 40 |

If Montello chooses to have variable daily maximum limits, the following table for ammonia limits are recommended:

Final Ammonia Nitrogen Limits – Single Daily Maximum Limit

| | Daily Maximum mg/L | Weekly Average mg/L | Monthly Average mg/L |
|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| June – September | Variable | 108 | 108 |

PART 4 – WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR BACTERIA

On May 1, 2020, revisions to chs. NR 102 and NR 210, Wis. Adm. Codes, became effective which replace fecal coliform limits with new *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) limits for protection of recreational uses. Section NR 210.06(2)(a)1, Wis. Adm. Code, includes two limits which must be included in permits for facilities which are required to disinfect:

1. The geometric mean of *E. coli* bacteria in effluent samples collected in any calendar month may not exceed 126 counts/100 mL.
2. No more than 10 percent of *E. coli* bacteria samples collected in any calendar month may exceed 410 counts/100 mL.

E. coli monitoring is recommended at the same frequency that fecal coliform monitoring is required in the current permit. Because Montello’s permit requires weekly monitoring, the 410 counts/100 mL limit will effectively function as a daily maximum limit unless the facility performs additional monitoring. Any additional monitoring beyond what is required by the permit must also be reported on the DMR as required in the standard requirements section of the permit.

These limits are required during May through September. No changes are recommended to the current recreational period and the required disinfection season.

Interim Limit

At this time, there is no effluent *E. coli* data available to determine if these limits are currently met. The permit will include a compliance schedule to meet these limits. During the compliance schedule, an interim limit applies to prevent back-sliding from the current level of disinfection during the compliance schedule period. Therefore, the current **fecal coliform limit shall be included in the reissued permit as an interim limit of 400 counts/100 mL as a monthly geometric mean.** Any weekly geometric mean limit which was included in the current permit for expression of limits purposes does not need to be included in the permit as an interim limit.

PART 5 – PHOSPHORUS

Technology-Based Effluent Limit

Subchapter II of Chapter NR 217, Wis. Adm. Code, requires municipal wastewater treatment facilities that discharge greater than 150 pounds of Total Phosphorus per month to comply with a monthly average limit of 1.0 mg/L, or an approved alternative concentration limit.

Because Montello currently has a limit of 1.0 mg/L, this limit should be included in the reissued permit. This limit remains applicable unless a more stringent WQBEL is given.

In addition, the need for a WQBEL for phosphorus must be considered.

TMDL Limits – Phosphorus

Total phosphorus (TP) effluent limits in lbs/day are calculated as recommended in the *TMDL Development and Implementation Guidance: Integrating the WPDES and Impaired Waters Programs* (April 2020) and are based on the annual phosphorus wasteload allocation (WLA) given in pounds per year. This WLA found in Appendix H of the *Total Maximum Daily Loads for Total Phosphorus and Total Suspended Solids in the Upper Fox and Wolf River Basins (UFW TMDL)* report dated February 2020 are expressed as maximum annual loads (lbs/year). The WLA for Montello is 157 lbs/year.

For the reasons explained in the April 30, 2012 paper entitled *Justification for Use of Monthly, Growing Season and Annual Average Periods for Expression of WPDES Permit Limits for Phosphorus Discharges in Wisconsin*, WDNR has determined that the phosphorus WQBELs set equal to WLAs would not be consistent with the assumptions and requirements of the TMDL. Therefore, limits given to facilities included in the Upper Fox and Wolf River Basins TMDL are given monthly average mass limits and, if the equivalent effluent concentration is less than or equal to 0.3 mg/L, six-month average mass limits are also included. The following equation shows the calculation of equivalent effluent concentration:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TP Equivalent Effluent Concentration} &= \text{WLA} \div (365 \text{ days/yr} * \text{Flow Rate} * \text{Conversion Factor}) \\ &= 157 \text{ lbs/yr} \div (365 \text{ days/yr} * 0.3 \text{ MGD} * 8.34) \\ &= 0.17 \text{ mg/L} \end{aligned}$$

Since this value is less than 0.3 mg/L, both a six-month average mass limit and a monthly average mass limit are applicable for total phosphorus. The monthly average limit is set equal to three times the six-month average limit.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TP 6-Month Average Permit Limit} &= \text{WLA} \div 365 \text{ days/yr} * \text{multiplier} \\ &= (157 \text{ lbs/yr} \div 365 \text{ days/yr}) * 1.21 \\ &= 0.52 \text{ lbs/day} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TP Monthly Average Permit Limit} &= \text{TP 6-Month Average Permit Limit} * 3 \\ &= 0.52 \text{ lbs/day} * 3 \\ &= 1.6 \text{ lbs/day} \end{aligned}$$

The multiplier used in the six-month average calculation was determined according to the implementation guidance. A coefficient of variation was calculated, based on phosphorus mass monitoring data, to be 1.1. This is the standard deviation divided by the mean of mass data. However, it is believed that the optimization of the wastewater treatment system to achieve the WLA-derived permit limits will reduce effluent variability. Thus, the maximum anticipated coefficient of variation expected by the facility is 0.6. This value, along with monitoring frequency, is used to select the multiplier. The current permit specifies

phosphorus monitoring as 2/week; if a different monitoring frequency is used, the stated limits should be reevaluated.

Six-month average and monthly average mass effluent limits are recommended for this discharge. The limits are equivalent to a concentration of 0.21 mg/L and 0.62 mg/L, respectively, at the facility design flow of 0.3 MGD.

The UFW TMDL establishes TP wasteload allocations to reduce the loading in the entire watershed including WLAs to meet water quality standards for tributaries to the Upper Fox and Wolf River. Therefore, WLA-based WQBELs are protective of immediate receiving waters and TP WQBELs derived according to s. NR 217.13, Wis. Adm. Code are not required.

Since wasteload allocations are expressed as annual loads (lbs/yr), permits with TMDL-derived monthly average permit limits should require the permittee to calculate and report rolling 12-month sums of total monthly loads for TP. Rolling 12-month sums can be compared directly to the annual wasteload allocation.

Interim Limit

The following table lists the statistics for effluent phosphorus levels from 01/01/2018 – 08/31/2023.

Total Phosphorus Statistics

| | Concentration (mg/L) | Mass Discharge (lbs/day) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1-day P ₉₉ | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| 4-day P ₉₉ | 1.6 | 2.0 |
| 30-day P ₉₉ | 0.85 | 1.1 |
| Mean | 0.53 | 0.68 |
| Std | 0.63 | 0.78 |
| Sample Size | 586 | 586 |
| Range | 0.055 – 10 | 0.11 – 12.2 |

Multi-Discharge Variance Interim Limit

With the permit application, Montello has applied for the phosphorus multi-discharger variance (MDV). Conditions of the phosphorus MDV require the facility to comply with an interim phosphorus limit in lieu of meeting the final WQBEL. A review of effluent phosphorus data indicates that Montello will be unable to comply with the 0.8 mg/L phosphorus limits required under s. 283.16 (6) (a) 1., Wis. Stats. Therefore, the recommended interim limit, pursuant to s. 283.16 (6) (am), Wis. Stats., is 1.0 mg/L as a monthly average. A compliance schedule may be appropriate to meet this interim limit but compliance with 0.8 mg/L shall be no later than the end of the reissued permit.

The effluent data indicates that 4-day P₉₉ value of **1.0 mg/L is a level currently achievable (LCA)** for the discharge. A limit of 1.0 mg/L as a monthly average should not be exceeded during the compliance schedule.

PART 6 – TOTAL SUSPENDED SOLIDS

Total Suspended Solids (TSS) effluent limits in lbs/day are calculated as recommended in the *TMDL Development and Implementation Guidance: Integrating the WPDES and Impaired Waters Programs*

Attachment #1

(April 2020). This WLAs found in Appendix I of the *Total Maximum Daily Loads for Total Phosphorus and Total Suspended Solids in the Upper Fox and Wolf Basins (UFW TMDL)* report dated February 2020 are expressed as maximum annual loads (lbs/year).

Revisions to chs. NR 106 and 205, Wis. Adm. Code align Wisconsin water quality-based effluent limits with 40 CFR 122.45(d), which requires WPDES permits to contain the following concentration limits, whenever practicable and necessary to protect water quality:

- Weekly average and monthly average limitations for continuous discharges subject to ch. NR 210.
- Daily maximum and monthly average limitations for all other discharges.

Montello is a municipal treatment facility and is therefore subject to weekly average and monthly average TSS limits derived from TSS annual WLAs.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TSS Weekly Average Permit Limit} &= \text{WLA} \div 365 \text{ days/yr} * \text{multiplier} \\ &= (14,620 \text{ lbs/yr} \div 365 \text{ days/yr}) * 2.37 \\ &= 95 \text{ lbs/day} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TSS Monthly Average Permit Limit} &= \text{WLA} \div 365 \text{ days/yr} * \text{multiplier} \\ &= (14,620 \text{ lbs/yr} \div 365 \text{ days/yr}) * 1.59 \\ &= 64 \text{ lbs/day} \end{aligned}$$

The multiplier used in the weekly average and monthly average calculation was determined according to implementation guidance. A coefficient of variation was calculated, based on TSS mass monitoring data, to be 1.0. This is the standard deviation divided by the mean of mass data. However, it is believed that the optimization of the wastewater treatment system to achieve the WLA-derived permit limits will reduce effluent variability. Thus, the maximum anticipated coefficient of variation expected by the facility is 0.6. This value, along with monitoring frequency, is used to select the multiplier. The current permit specifies TSS monitoring as 2/week; if a different monitoring frequency is used, the stated limits should be reevaluated.

Weekly average and monthly average mass effluent limits are recommended for this discharge. The limits are equivalent to a concentration of 38 mg/L and 25 mg/L, respectively, at the facility design flow of 0.3 MGD.

Since wasteload allocations are expressed as annual loads (lbs/yr), permits with TMDL-derived monthly average permit limits should require the permittee to calculate and report rolling 12-month sums of total monthly loads for TSS. Rolling 12-month sums can be compared directly to the annual wasteload allocation.

Effluent Data

The following table summarizes effluent total suspended solids monitoring data from 01/02/2018 – 08/31/2023.

Total Suspended Solids Effluent Data

| | TSS mg/L | TSS lbs/day |
|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|
| 1-day P ₉₉ | 22.7 | 38.8 |
| 4-day P ₉₉ | 12.8 | 21.1 |

Attachment #1

| | | |
|------------------------|--------|-----------|
| 30-day P ₉₉ | 7.69 | 11.6 |
| Mean | 5.48 | 7.65 |
| Std | 4.54 | 7.93 |
| Sample size | 883 | 883 |
| Range | 1 – 54 | 0.90 – 96 |

Montello can currently meet the TSS mass limits and a compliance schedule is not needed.

PART 7 – WATER QUALITY-BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR THERMAL

Surface water quality standards for temperature took effect on October 1, 2010. These regulations are detailed in chs. NR 102 (Subchapter II – Water Quality Standards for Temperature) and NR 106 (Subchapter V – Effluent Limitations for Temperature) of the Wisconsin Administrative Code. Daily maximum and weekly average temperature criteria are available for the 12 different months of the year depending on the receiving water classification.

Due to the amount of upstream flow available for dilution in the limit calculation ($Q_s:Q_e > 20:1$), the lowest calculated limitation is 120° F (s. NR 106.55(6)(a), Wis. Adm. Code).

At temperatures above ~103°F, conventional biological treatment systems stop functioning properly and experience upsets. There is no indication that this has ever occurred at this treatment system. This information, coupled with the lack of significant industrial heat load, lead to the conclusion that there is no reasonable potential for the discharge to exceed the 120°F limitation. **No limits or monitoring are recommended to be included in the reissued permit for temperature.**

PART 8 – WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET)

WET testing is used to measure, predict, and control the discharge of toxic materials that may be harmful to aquatic life. In WET tests, organisms are exposed to a series of effluent concentrations for a given time and effects are recorded. Decisions below related to the selection of representative data and the need for WET limits were made according to ss. NR 106.08 and 106.09, Wis. Adm. Code. WET monitoring frequency and toxicity reduction evaluation (TRE) recommendations were made using the best professional judgment of staff familiar with the discharge after consideration of the guidance in the *Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Program Guidance Document (2022)*.

- Acute tests predict the concentration that causes lethality of aquatic organisms during a 48 to 96-hour exposure. To assure that a discharge is not acutely toxic to organisms in the receiving water, WET tests must produce a statistically valid LC₅₀ (Lethal Concentration to 50% of the test organisms) greater than 100% effluent, according to s. NR 106.09(2)(b), Wis. Adm Code.
- Chronic testing is usually not recommended where the ratio of the 7-Q₁₀ to the effluent flow exceeds 100:1. For Montello, that ratio is approximately 194:1. With this amount of dilution, there is believed to be little potential for chronic toxicity effects in the Fox River associated with the discharge from Montello, so the need for chronic WET testing will not be considered further.
- According to the *State of Wisconsin Aquatic Life Toxicity Testing Methods Manual* (s. NR 219.04, Table A, Wis. Adm. Code), a synthetic (standard) laboratory water may be used as the dilution water and primary control in acute WET tests, unless the use of different dilution water is approved by the

Attachment #1

Department prior to use. The primary control water must be specified in the WPDES permit.

- Shown below is a tabulation of all available WET data for Outfall 001. Efforts are made to ensure that decisions about WET monitoring and limits are made based on representative data, as specified in s. NR 106.08(3), Wis. Adm Code. Data which is not believed to be representative of the discharge was not included in reasonable potential calculations. The table below differentiates between tests used and not used when making WET determinations.

WET Data History

| Date Test Initiated | Acute Results LC ₅₀ % | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| | <i>C. dubia</i> | Fathead minnow | Pass or Fail? | Used in RP? |
| 02/28/2006 | >100 | >100 | Pass | Yes |
| 05/21/2008 | >100 | >100 | Pass | Yes |
| 08/28/2013 | >100 | >100 | Pass | Yes |
| 11/09/2016 | >100 | >100 | Pass | Yes |

- According to s. NR 106.08, Wis. Adm. Code, WET reasonable potential is determined by multiplying the highest toxicity value that has been measured in the effluent by a safety factor, to predict the likelihood (95% probability) of toxicity occurring in the effluent above the applicable WET limit. The safety factor used in the equation changes based on the number of toxicity detects in the dataset. The fewer detects present, the higher the safety factor, because there is more uncertainty surrounding the predicted value. **WET limits must be given, according to s. NR 106.08(6), Wis. Adm. Code, whenever the applicable Reasonable Potential equation results in a value greater than 1.0.**

$$\text{Acute Reasonable Potential} = [(TUa \text{ effluent}) (B)(AMZ)]$$

According to s. NR 106.08(6)(d), Wis. Adm. Code, TUa and TUc effluent values are equal to zero whenever toxicity is not detected (i.e. when the LC₅₀, IC₂₅ or IC₅₀ ≥ 100%).

Acute Reasonable Potential = 0 < 1.0, reasonable potential is not shown, and a limit is not required.

The WET checklist was developed to help DNR staff make recommendations regarding WET limits, monitoring, and other related permit conditions. The checklist indicates whether acute and chronic WET limits are needed, based on requirements specified in s. NR 106.08, Wis. Adm. Code. The checklist steps the user through a series of questions, assesses points based on the potential for effluent toxicity, and suggests monitoring frequencies based on points accumulated during the checklist analysis. As toxicity potential increases, more points accumulate, and more monitoring is recommended to ensure that toxicity is not occurring. A summary of the WET checklist analysis completed for this permittee is shown in the table below. Staff recommendations based on best professional judgment are provided below the summary table. For guidance related to reasonable potential and the WET checklist, see Chapter 1.3 of the WET Guidance Document: <https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/Wastewater/WET.html>.

WET Checklist Summary

| | Acute |
|---------|------------------------------------|
| AMZ/IWC | Not Applicable. 0 Points |

Attachment #1

| Acute | |
|---|--|
| Historical Data | 4 tests used to calculate RP. No tests failed. 0 Points |
| Effluent Variability | Little variability, no violations or upsets, consistent WWTF operations. 0 Points |
| Receiving Water Classification | Warmwater sport fish classification. 5 Points |
| Chemical-Specific Data | Reasonable potential for limits for ammonia based on ATC; Copper, nickel, zinc, and chloride detected. Additional Compounds of Concern: None. 8 Points |
| Additives | 0 Biocides and 1 Water Quality Conditioner added. Permittee has proper P chemical SOPs in place: No 16 Points |
| Discharge Category | 0 Industrial Contributors. 0 Points |
| Wastewater Treatment | Secondary. 0 Points |
| Downstream Impacts | No impacts known. 0 Points |
| Total Checklist Points: | 29 Points |
| Recommended Monitoring Frequency (from Checklist): | 3 tests during permit term |
| Limit Required? | No |
| TRE Recommended? (from Checklist) | No |

- After consideration of the guidance provided in the Department's WET Program Guidance Document (2022) and other information described above, 3/permit term acute WET tests are recommended in the reissued permit. Tests should be done in rotating quarters to collect seasonal information about this discharge. WET testing should continue after the permit expiration date (until the permit is reissued).

If Montello submits an approvable SOP for ferric chlorie, 15 points would be removed from the acute checklist. This would result in the recommendation of no acute or chronic WET testing.

