WATER QUALITY TRADING PLAN

Waukesha County, Wisconsin

Revised: February 11, 2025

Prepared By: **Waukesha County Land Resources Division**

Project located in South Playing Fields park, Village of Elm Grove

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Executive Summary _____ 1

II. Background _____ 3

III. Location and Description of Credit Generation Sites _____ 6

IV. Methods for Nonpoint Source Load Reductions _____ 9

V. Trade Timeline _____ 13

VI. Inspection and Reporting _____ 14

VII. Certification _____ 16

Attachments

1) Notice of Intent to Conduct Water Quality Trading
2) Water Quality Trading Checklist
3) Waukesha County Location Map
4) HUC-12 Watershed Map
5) Plan Sheets
6) Current State of Eroding Streambanks Documentation
7) Soils Map and Testing Data
8) NRCS Streambank Erosion Model Report
9) Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan

I. Executive Summary -

This Water Quality Trading Plan (WQTP) summarizes Waukesha County's (County) plan to utilize Water Quality Trading (WQT) for compliance with the total suspended solids (TSS) and total phosphorus (TP) limits as provided in the Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (WPDES) Permit #WI-S050075-3.

In the Milwaukee River Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL), in reachshed MN-12, the County is required to remove an additional 9,311 lbs TSS and 1.6 lbs TP above the existing level of pollutant removal, over the course of the next five-year permit term. On an annual basis the additional required removal is 1,862 lbs TSS and 0.32 lbs TP per year.

The County identified potential streambank stabilization locations in South Playing Fields Park in the Village of Elm Grove (Village) as a means of meeting the removal requirements. Through a Water Quality Trading Agreement (WQTA), the County will split the resulting credits with the Village.

NRCS Streambank Erosion modeling methods were used to calculate the TP and TSS credits that would be generated based on the installation of best management practices (BMPs). These credits will be used to demonstrate compliance with the TP and TSS reductions required in the WPDES Permit and TMDL. Modeling results are provided in Table 1.1, from the NRCS calculator.

Table 1.1 – Modeling Results

Pollutant	Bank Length (ft)	Average Recession* Rate (ft/yr)	Current Loading (lbs/yr)	Proposed Loading (lbs/yr)	Proposed Reductions (lbs/yr)	Trade Ratio	Proposed Credits** (lb/yr)
TP	150	0.25	11.1	0	11.1	2:1	5.5
TSS	150	0.25	9,780	0	9,780	2:1	4,890

***** *Based on air photo review 1995-2024, average of 5 sections, and NRCS table values*

****** *Total credits, to be divided by County and Village*

Justification for Trade Ratio is provided below:

Trade Ratio = (Delivery + Downstream + Equivalency + Uncertainty – Habitat Adjustment):1

- Delivery = 0 (Trading within same HUC-12 Watershed)
- Downstream = 0 (BMP is on the stream reach)
- Equivalency = 0 per guidance (Forms of pollutant not different between MS4 discharge and BMP)
- Uncertainty = 2. *Streambank Stabilization with Habitat Restoration is proposed* (Menomonee River is eligible for habitat restoration since it is classified as an impaired water)

In the WQTA the County would receive half of the credits in Table 1.1, with the other half going to the Village. The TP credit of 2.75 lb/yr exceeds the County's additional TP removal requirement of 0.32 lb/yr. The TSS credit of 2,445 lb/yr exceeds the requirement of 1,862 lb/yr. The implementation of this WQT Plan will result in compliance with the TP and TSS load reduction requirements in Reachshed MN-12.

II. Background -

The purpose of this Water Quality Trading Plan (Plan) is to describe the County's use of Water Quality Trading to comply with the TP and TSS limits as provided in County's WPDES Permit # WI-S050075-3. The Plan was developed following the Notice of Intent to Conduct Water Quality Trading, provided in Attachment #1. The Water Quality Trading Checklist Form 3400-208 is provided in Attachment #2.

Waukesha County is located in southeast Wisconsin. In the Milwaukee River TMDL area the County's municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) consists entirely of county highways. In the TMDL area, the County MS4 lies in reachsheds MN-1, -6, -7, -8, -10, -11, and -12.

In reachshed MN-12, the County is required to remove an additional 9,311 lbs TSS and 1.6 lbs TP above the existing level of pollutant removal, over the course of the next five-year permit term. On an annual basis the additional required removal is 1,862 lbs TSS and 0.32 lbs TP per year.

Various best management practices (BMPs) were evaluated for feasibility, ability to meet the required reductions, and cost-effectiveness, including additional street sweeping, and basin construction of different types and locations. Because of the linear nature of the highway system, meeting the pollutant removal requirements via basin construction would have required construction of numerous BMPs.

As the watershed is highly developed, land acquisition would have been costly. Increased street sweeping could not provide sufficient reductions to meet the requirements. There is no agricultural land in the reachshed. Adaptive management is not available for non-wastewater treatment facilities. Streambank stabilization was selected as combining the best feasibility, effectiveness and cost.

A review was conducted of all streambanks in and upstream of the reachshed to evaluate bank erosion rates, accessibility, and ownership. Privately-owned properties were eliminated due to issues with access. Waukesha County does not own any streambanks in the reachshed.

One streambank location in Village of Elm Grove-owned land was identified as being accessible and having measurable bank erosion rates. This location is in South Playing Fields Park and consists of a section of Underwood Creek.

The Village of Elm Grove was contacted, and a Water Quality Trading Agreement was signed, in which the County and Village would share the TP and TSS removal credits generated by the streambank stabilization project. Therefore, after applying the trade ratio and dividing the result by 2, the County's credit would be ¼ of the calculated load reduction.

Following the initial watershed investigation, the County elected to move forward with WQT. The County intends to perform WQT projects within the County's HUC-12 # 040400030404 as provided in Attachment #4. The ARPA program is the source of the WQT funding.

WinSLAMM modeling was utilized to determine credits needed. The results of the modeling

are summarized in the following table:

Table 2.1 – Pollutant Removal Requirements

	Total Suspended Solids	**Total Phosphorus**
Modeled, no control (lbs)*	37,234	318
Modeled, with controls (lbs)*	16,720	77
Required Removal %	80.0	76.1
Model % Reduction	55.1	75.7
Deficit (lbs) – five years	9,311	1.6
Deficit (lbs) – annual	1,862	0.32
Reduction needed from project (lbs)**	7,448	1.28
Proposed annual reduction (lbs)	9,780	11.1

*Notes: * Over Five-Year Permit Cycle*

*** County MN-12 annual deficit x 2 for sharing with Village and x 2 for trade ratio. Permit cycle progress requirement is 20% of this number.*

The goal is to fully meet the treatment requirement.

To generate the required credits, the County intends to perform streambank stabilization. Streambank stabilization will utilize grading and stone toe protection to prevent the erosion of sediment from the streambanks. Streambank stabilization will not only prevent sediment from entering the steam, but will also prevent phosphorus, nitrogen, and other pollutants from discharging to the Menomonee River. Reducing pollutant discharge will restore stream habitat and generate water quality trading credits.

III. Location and Description of Credit Generation Site –

The County MS4 within reachshed MN-12 discharges to Underwood Creek which in turn discharges to the Menomonee River. As mentioned previously, the County intends to perform WQT projects within the County's HUC-12 #040400030404. The County plans to implement BMPs to generate TP and TSS credits. Specifically, Streambank stabilization is planned along the banks of the Menomonee River in the Village of Elm Grove's South Playing Fields Park, TaxKey: EGV 1105968. See Figures 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 for additional project location information.




Figure 3.1 – Streambank stabilization location in relation to HUC 12.




Figure 3.2 – Streambank stabilization location in relation to reachshed




Figure 3.3 – Streambank stabilization location within property.

IV. Methods for Nonpoint Source Load Reduction –

The County seeks to acquire at least 1,862 TSS and 0.32 TP WQT trading credits on an annual basis to meet its pollutant load reduction requirements within reachshed MN-12. The Plan identifies trading practices that will reduce annual TSS and TP runoff by 19,982 lbs and 27.2 lbs, respectively, before application of a 2:1 trade ratio and sharing with the Village.

The WQT practices identified for this Water Quality Trading Plan meet 130% of the long-term TSS and 900% of the TP removal requirements as long as trading practices are maintained.

A. Methods Used to Generate Load Reductions

For streambank stabilization, County plans to generate TP load reductions through streambank grading with a stone toe, grading, and stabilization as needed for approximately 150 feet of streambank. Streambank Stabilization will be performed as per NR 328 *Shore Erosion Control Structures in Navigable Waterways,* NRCS 580 *Streambank and Shoreline Protection*, and NRCS 395 *Stream Habitat Improvement and Management*.

Protecting the streambank in high energy locations with stone will better protect the streambank as compared to grading alone. The streambank stabilization project will occur within HUC-12 #040400030403 in order to generate TP and TSS credits. A Plan of the grading and protection implementation is provided in Attachment #5.

The County is contracted with Emmons and Olivier Resources, Inc. to design the BMPs and prepare the plans, specification, and operation and maintenance manual. The County will acquire all required permits and authorizations prior to construction. The County will advertise the project for public bidding in the fall of 2024, execute contracts in December of 2024 for construction in 2025. To register credits, the County has entered into a trade agreement with the Village of Elm Grove pursuant to *s. 283.84(1)(b), Wis. Stats*.

B. History of Project Site

Elm Grove is located within the Southern Lake Michigan Coastal ecological landscape. The Village was settled in the 1830s and has undergone significant development.

Currently, the land use within the watershed is a mix of commercial, residential, and undeveloped. The commercial and residential areas consist of manicured lawns, impermeable surfaces, and storm sewer. The undeveloped areas typically consist of forest, wetland, and savannah ecosystems. Existing trees are primarily boxelder, willow, and cottonwood.

The streambanks have experienced significant erosion as the Underwood Creek Watershed has been developed and cleared for agricultural and residential use. The banks are predominately undercut with some rills and vegetative overhang. Tree roots are readily visible throughout the reaches. Fallen trees and slumps are also visible in areas.

Recession rates for each Reach along with documentation regarding existing condition were estimated in Attachment #6. The project area is mapped as floodplain and wetland. The project site has never been developed or cropped. The property became parkland in about 2005.

C. Model Used to Derive Load Reductions

NRCS Streambank Erosion modeling methods were used to calculate the TP and TSS credits that would be generated based on the installation of BMPs. These credits will be used to demonstrate compliance with the final TP and TSS limits as proposed in the WPDES Permit. Modeling results are provided in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 – Modeling Results

Pollutant	Bank Length (ft)	Geomean Recession* Rate (ft/yr)	Current Loading (lbs/yr)	Proposed Loading (lbs/yr)	Proposed Reductions (lbs/yr)	Trade Ratio	Proposed Credits** (lb/yr)
TP	150	0.25	11.1	0	11.1	2:1	5.5
TSS	150	0.25	9,780	0	9,780	2:1	4,890

*Notes: * Over Five-Year Permit Cycle*

*** County MN-12 annual deficit x 2 for sharing with Village and x 2 for trade ratio. Permit cycle progress requirement is 20% of this number.*

Justification for Trade Ratio is provided below:

Trade Ratio = (Delivery + Downstream + Equivalency + Uncertainty – Habitat Adjustment):1

- Delivery = 0 (Trading within same HUC-12 Watershed)
- Downstream = 0 (All Trades are upstream of the Outfall 001)
- Equivalency = 0 (Not necessary of Total Phosphorus)
- Uncertainty:
  Streambank Stabilization with Habitat Restoration = 2 (Menomonee River is eligible for habitat restoration since it is classified as an impaired water)

Soil testing has been completed to determine TP concentrations and particle size distributions within the soil. Soil sampling was performed every 30 feet and included the use of a trowel which pulled one core at each location to a 4" depth. The samples were combined and mixed to create a single composite sample for each of the three areas shown in the figure. Composite Sample 3 was not used as no stabilization is proposed in that reach. Soils maps and soil testing data is provided in Attachment #8. Soil sample locations are provided in Attachment #7.

An evaluation using historic air photos (south reach) and field observations (north reach) and measurements (both) has been conducted to estimate stream bank recession rate. The survey data, narrative, and photos documenting the current state of eroding stream banks is provided in Attachment #6.

With the collected data, the NRCS Streambank Erosion Model was used to calculate TP and TSS loss from the eroding streambank. The modeling data for the NRCS Streambank Erosion Model is available in Attachment #8. The designed streambank

stabilization grading, and boulder toe will eliminate streambank recession thus eliminating pollutant inputs due to streambank recession in planned areas.

If the Plan or model inputs change, the County will submit to the DNR the revised models and calculations to more accurately reflect the number of credits generated.

D. Stream Habitat Improvements

As provided in NRCS 395 Stream Habitat Improvement and Management, the definition of *stream habitat improvement and management* is to maintain, improve physical, chemical, and biological functions of a stream, and its associated riparian zone, necessary for meeting the life history and requirements of desired aquatic species. The goal of stream habitat improvements within this Plan is to provide suitable habitat for desired fish and other aquatic species as well as provide riparian condition that maintain the stream corridor ecological processes which supports diverse stream habitat and aquatic species.

Prior to designing stream habitat improvements, the current conditions of Underwood Creek and surrounding land uses were evaluated. The Underwood Creek watershed is dominated by urban development. Underwood Creek experiences significant storm water runoff issues including flooding, increased bank erosion, sedimentation, and limited riparian habitat. This is primarily caused by residential and commercial development within the watershed. Underwood Creek is listed on State of Wisconsin 2018 Impaired Waters List due to total phosphorus, total suspended solids, chloride, and bacteria.

Underwood Creek is a cool-warm mainstem aquatic community. Limited fishing opportunities are available on Underwood Creek. Underwood Creek is comprised primarily of silt substrates. Pools are scarce throughout.

For the Habitat Restoration portions of the WQT Plan, the County will embed four salvaged trees from the site in the boulder toe, so that the roots are buried in the bank as anchors, and the trunks are submerged in the stream, angled downstream.

E. Operation and Maintenance

An Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan is provided in Attachment #9. The O&M plan describes in how the Stream Stabilization Practices will be operated and maintained. The O&M Plan also addresses response procedures for Practice Registration, Noncompliance Notification, and Notification of Trade Agreement Termination.

As previously mentioned, the County is planning to perform streambank stabilization by installing a stone toe along approximately 150 feet of streambank. The stabilization practices will be installed and maintained as per NR 328 *Shore Erosion Control Structures in Navigable Waterways,* NRCS 580 *Streambank and Shoreline Protection,* and NRCS 395 *Stream Habitat Improvement and Management*. Restoration landscaping and seeding will be installed following construction and will be closely monitored for a minimum of two (2) growing seasons to ensure the new seeding grows and erosion is not prevalent. The County will also address weed and invasive vegetation growth if present for the duration of the permit. The stabilized sections will be inspected following heavy rain events at a minimum. Inspection will be used to

determine appropriate actions in order to maintain the stabilization for continuous and ongoing streambank stabilization and pollutant reduction credit generation. Following project completion, the Village of Elm Grove will be responsible for ongoing maintenance.

The BMPs will be inspected annually by a licensed Professional Engineer to ensure that the BMPs are functioning as intended in order to meet the requirements of this WQT Plan.

V. Trade Timeline –

Schedule for Installation of the above-mentioned trading practices for pollutant reduction Credit Generation for TP and TSS compliance is provided in Table 5.1 below.

Table 5.1 – Trade Timeline

Item	Completion Date
Site Investigation	October 15, 2023
Conceptual Design	November 30, 2023
Final Design	June 30, 2024
Construction Permits	September 30, 2024
DNR Review of Final Design	November 30, 2024
Construction of BMPs	Summer 2025
Phosphorus Credit Registration	September 7, 2025
Use of Phosphorus Credits by Waukesha County (Ongoing for Permit Compliance)	September 7, 2025

The County has been in contact with the DNR Water Regulation & Zoning Senior Specialist for Waukesha County who has provided guidance for required permits for the WQT Plan. Permit applications have been submitted via WAMS. At this time, no permitting issues have arisen to hinder the Project Progress. Credits will be used by the County beginning September 7, 2025. Credits will continue as long as the trading practices are maintained as outlined in this WQT Plan.

VI. Inspection Reporting –

A. Tracking Procedures

The County will track credits used annually. The County will report credit usage to the DNR on an annual basis in the MS4 Annual Reports. The annual report will summarize the 12 months of credit usage and credit generation. The County will report to DNR any concern that they have that may result in a need to modify the trade agreement and/or this trade plan. For example, a need to generate additional credits based on discharge.

B. Inspection

Inspection of the BMPs shall occur during construction phase to ensure they are installed per the design and meet all applicable codes and permits. Once completed, inspections of the established BMPs shall occur each month at a minimum or following heavy rain events. A licensed professional engineer will perform an annual certification to ensure the practice is performing as designed and the County remains in compliance.

The inspection reports will include:

i. Name and contact information of the inspector
ii. Inspection Date
iii. Relevant standards set forth in the Design Plan or Operation and Maintenance Plan
iv. Issues identified
v. When and how any issues identified were addressed
vi. When and how any issues identified will be addressed in the future

Inspection reports generated during each routine or after rain event inspection will be included with the Annual Water Quality Trading Report submitted by the County to DNR and the Village of Elm Grove. Annual inspections by a professional engineer will typically occur in April or May. This time of year is ideal for evaluating the condition of BMPs as it follows the freeze/thaw which poses the greatest potential for changes to the BMPs. Minimal vegetation cover will allow for adequate visual inspection.

C. Management Practice Registration Form

The County will file a completed registration form 3400-207 for Water Quality Trading Management Practice Registration separately from this Plan.

D. Annual Water Quality Trading Report Submittal

The following shall be submitted to the DNR by January 31 of each year:

i. The number of pollutant reduction credits (lbs/month) used each month of the previous year to demonstrate compliance;
ii. A summary of the annual inspection of the practice that generated any of the pollutant reduction credits used during the previous year, this inspection shall be completed by a licensed Professional Engineer;
iii. All monthly inspection reports;

iv. Identification of noncompliance or failure to implement any terms or conditions of this permit with respect to water quality trading that have not been reported in discharge monitoring reports;
v. A list of all noncompliance and the correction measures and timing to address the issues throughout the year; and
vi. An updated WQT plan if management practices have or will change.

E. Annual Certification of Management Practices

Each year, the County will certify that the BMPs are maintained and operating in a manner consistent with this Water Quality Trading Plan or provide a statement noting noncompliance with this Plan. The annual MS4 Report will include the following statement as a certification of compliance when the Credit Generating Practice is operating in a manner consistent with the Plan:

> "I certify that to the best of my knowledge that the management practices identified in the approved water quality trading plan as the source of pollutant removal credits is installed, established and properly maintained."

F. Notification of Failure to Generate Credits

The County will notify DNR by telephone call to DNR's regional storm water municipal permitting and compliance staff within 24 hours or next business day of becoming aware that pollutant removal credits used or intended for use by County are not being generated as outlined in this Water Quality Trading Plan.

The County will submit a written notification within five days after the County recognizes that the pollutant removal credits are not being generated as outlined in the Trading Plan. DNR may waive the requirement for submittal for a written notice within five days and instruct the County to submit the written notice with the next regularly scheduled monitoring report required by County's WPDES Permit.

The written notice will contain a description of how and why the pollutant removal credits are not being generated as outlined in the Water Quality Trading Plan, the steps taken or planned to prevent reoccurrence of the identified problems and the length of time anticipated it will take to address the issue.

The County will work to rectify the problem as laid out in the Operation and Maintenance Plans.

G. Conditions under which Management Practices May Be Inspected

Any DNR authorized officer, employee, or representative has the right to access and inspect the credit generating practice so long as the County's trade agreement with the property owner(s) and this Water Quality Trading Plan remain in effect.

VII. Certification -

The undersigned hereby certifies that this Water Quality Trading Plan is accurate and correct to the best of his knowledge.

Waukesha County



By: _____

Alan Barrows
Land Resources Manager
Waukesha County Parks and Land Use
Room AC 260 515 W. Moreland Blvd.
Waukesha, WI 53188
Telephone: (262) 896-8307
Email: abarrows@waukeshacounty.gov

Attachment #1

State of Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources
101 South Webster Street
Madison WI 53707-7921
dnr.wi.gov

Notice of Intent to Conduct Water Quality Trading

Form 3400-206 (1/14)

Notice: Pursuant to s. 283.84, Wis. Stats., and ch. NR 217 Wis. Adm. Code, this form must be completed by any WPDES permittee that is using water quality trading as a method of complying with a permit limitation. Failure to complete this form would not result in penalties. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law (ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.).

Applicant Information

Permittee Name	Permit Number	Facility Site Number
Waukesha County	WI- S050075	33641

Facility Address	City	State	ZIP Code
Room AC 260, 515 W. Moreland Blvd.	Waukesha	WI	53066

Project Contact Name (if applicable)	Address	City	State	ZIP Code
Alan Barrows	Room AC 260, 515 W. Moreland Blvd	Waukesha	WI	53066

Project Name: Streambank stabilization, conservation agriculture

Receiving Water Name	Parameter(s) being traded	HUC 12(s)
Menomonee River	Total phosphorus, total suspended solids	0404000304

Is the permittee in a point or nonpoint source dominated watershed? (See PRESTO results - http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/presto.html)
- ○ Point source dominated
- ◉ Nonpoint source dominated

Credit Generator Information

Credit generator type (select all that apply):
- ☐ Permitted Discharge (non-MS4/CAFO)
- ☒ Permitted MS4
- ☐ Permitted CAFO
- ☐ Urban nonpoint source discharge
- ☐ Agricultural nonpoint source discharge
- ☐ Other - Specify: _____

Are any of the credit generators in a different HUC 12 than the applicant?
- ○ Yes; HUC 12: _____
- ◉ No
- ○ Unsure

Are any of the credit generators downstream of the applicant?
- ○ Yes
- ◉ No
- ○ Unsure

Will a broker/exchange be used to facilitate trade?
- ○ Yes; Name: _____
- ◉ No
- ○ Unsure

Point to Point Trades (Traditional Municipal / Industrial Discharge, MS4, CAFO)

Discharge Type	Permit Number	Name	Contact Address	Is the point source credit generator currently in compliance with their permit requirements?
○ Traditional ○ MS4 ○ CAFO				○ Yes ○ No ○ Unsure
○ Traditional ○ MS4 ○ CAFO				○ Yes ○ No ○ Unsure
○ Traditional ◉ MS4 ○ CAFO	WI-S065404	Village of Elm Grove	Richard Paul Jr. 13600 Juneau Blvd. Elm Grove, WI 53122	○ Yes ○ No ◉ Unsure
○ Traditional ○ MS4 ○ CAFO				○ Yes ○ No ○ Unsure
○ Traditional ○ MS4 ○ CAFO				○ Yes ○ No ○ Unsure

Point to Nonpoint Trades (Non-permitted Agricultural, Non-Permitted Urban, etc.)

List the practices that will be used to generate credits:

Streambank stabilization in Underwood Creek. Location of practice is in reachshed MN-12. Credits will be applied by Waukesha County in MN-12. See attached map and table.

Method for quantifying credits generated:
- ☐ Monitoring
- ☒ Modeling, Names: NRCS tool, SPARROW
- ☐ Other: _____

Projected date credits will be available: 07/18/2025

The preparer certifies all of the following:

- I am familiar with the specifications submitted for this application, and I believe all applicable items in this checklist have been addressed.
- I have completed this document to the best of my knowledge and have not excluded pertinent information.

Signature of Preparer	Date Signed
[signature]	5-24-23

Authorized Representative Signature

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision. Based on my inquiry of those persons directly responsible for gathering and entering the information, the information is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signature of Authorized Representative	Date Signed
[signature]	5/24/23

Attachment #2

State of Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources
101 South Webster Street
Madison WI 53707-7921
dnr.wi.gov

Water Quality Trading Checklist

Form 3400-208 (1/14)

Notice: Pursuant to s. 283.84, Wis. Stats., this form must be completed by any WPDES permittee that intends to pursue pollutant trading as a method of complying with a permit limitation. Failure to complete this form would not result in penalties. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law (ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.).

Applicant Information

Permittee Name	Permit Number	Facility Site Number
Waukesha County	WI- S050075-3	33641

Facility Address	City	State	ZIP Code
515 W. Moreland Blvd.	Waukesha	WI	53188

Project Contact Name (if applicable)	Address	City	State	ZIP Code
Alan Barrows	Room AC 260, 515. W Moreland Blvd	Waukesha	WI	53188

Project Name: South Fields Park Streambank Stabilization

Receiving Water Name	Parameter(s) being traded	HUC 12(s)
Menomonee River	Total phosphorus, total suspended solids	040400030404

Credit Generator Information

Credit generator type (select all that apply):

- ☐ Permitted Disch… an nonpoint source discharge
- ☒ Permitted MS4
- ☐ Agricultural nonpoint source discharge
- ☐ Permitted CAFO
- ☐ Other - Specify:

Parameter(s) being traded

Are any of the credit generators in a different HUC 12 than the applicant?
- ○ Yes; HUC 12:
- ◉ No

Are any of the credit generators downstream of the applicant?
- ○ Yes
- ◉ No

Will a broker/exchange be used to facilitate trade?
- ○ Yes *(include description and contact information in WQT plan)*
- ◉ No

Point to Point Trades (Traditional Municipal / Industrial, MS4, CAFO)

Are each of the point source credit generators identified in this section in compliance with their WDPES permit requirements?
- ◉ Yes
- ○ No

Discharge Type	Permit Number	Name	Contact Information	Trade Agreement Number
○ Traditional ◉ MS4 ○ CAFO	WI-S065404	Village of Elm Grove	Richard Paul, 13600 Juneau Blvd. Elm Grove	WC5
○ Traditional ○ MS4 ○ CAFO				
○ Traditional ○ MS4 ○ CAFO				
○ Traditional ○ MS4 ○ CAFO				
○ Traditional ○ MS4 ○ CAFO				

Point to Point Trades (Traditional Municipal / Industrial, MS4, CAFO) *cont.*

Does plan have a narrative that describes:			Plan Section
a. Summary of discharge and existing treatment including optimization	(●) Yes	( ) No	I
b. Amount of credit being generated	(●) Yes	( ) No	I
c. Timeline for credits and agreements	(●) Yes	( ) No	V
d. Method for quantifying credits	(●) Yes	( ) No	IV
e. Tracking and verification procedures	(●) Yes	( ) No	VI
f. Location of credit generator in proximity to receiving water and credit user	(●) Yes	( ) No	III
g. Other: _____	( ) Yes	( ) No	

Point to Nonpoint Trades (Non-Permitted Urban, Agricultural, Other)

Discharge Type	Practices Used to Generate Credits	Method of Quantification	Trade Agreement Number	Have the practice(s) been formally registered?
( ) Urban NPS ( ) Agricultural NPS ( ) Other				( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Only in part
( ) Urban NPS ( ) Agricultural NPS ( ) Other				( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Only in part
( ) Urban NPS ( ) Agricultural NPS ( ) Other				( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Only in part
( ) Urban NPS ( ) Agricultural NPS ( ) Other				( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Only in part
( ) Urban NPS ( ) Agricultural NPS ( ) Other				( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Only in part
( ) Urban NPS ( ) Agricultural NPS ( ) Other				( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Only in part
( ) Urban NPS ( ) Agricultural NPS ( ) Other				( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Only in part
( ) Urban NPS ( ) Agricultural NPS ( ) Other				( ) Yes ( ) No ( ) Only in part

Does plan have a narrative that describes:			Plan Section
a. Description of existing land uses	( ) Yes	( ) No	
b. Management practices used to generate credits	( ) Yes	( ) No	
c. Amount of credit being generated	( ) Yes	( ) No	
d. Description of applicable trade ratio per agreement/management practice	( ) Yes	( ) No	
e. Location where credits will be generated	( ) Yes	( ) No	
f. Timeline for credits and agreements	( ) Yes	( ) No	
g. Method for quantifying credits	( ) Yes	( ) No	

Does plan have a narrative that describes:			Plan Section
h. Tracking procedures	◯ Yes	◯ No	
i. Conditions under which the management practices may be inspected	◯ Yes	◯ No	
j. Reporting requirements should the management practice fail	◯ Yes	◯ No	
k. Operation and maintenance plan for each management practice	◯ Yes	◯ No	
l. Location of credit generator in proximity to receiving water and credit user	◯ Yes	◯ No	
m. Practice registration documents, if available	◯ Yes	◯ No	
n. History of project site(s)	◯ Yes	◯ No	
o. Other: _____	◯ Yes	◯ No	

The preparer certifies all of the following:

- I am familiar with the specifications submitted for this application, and I believe all applicable items in this checklist have been addressed.
- I have completed this document to the best of my knowledge and have not excluded pertinent information.
- I certify that the information in this document is true to the best of my knowledge.


Signature of Preparer	Date Signed

Authorized Representative Signature

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision. Based on my inquiry of those persons directly responsible for gathering and entering the information, the information is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signature of Authorized Representative	Date Signed

Attachment #3



0 40 80 Feet

LEGEND

- PARCEL
- EXISTING MAJOR CONTOUR
- EXISTING MINOR CONTOUR
- RIVER FLOW DIRECTION
- PROPOSED ACCESS ROUTE
- LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE

SITE SUMMARY:

POTENTIAL AREA OF DISTURBANCE: 7,800 SF (DOES NOT INCLUDE ASPHALT/GRAVELED ACCESS)

GRADING AREA: 4,650 SF

WETLAND DISTURBANCE: NO WETLANDS WITHIN PROJECT AREA (CONFIRMED BY WDNR)

TREE REMOVALS: 12 - 15 LIVE AND DEAD TREES, DEPENDING ON ACCESS NEEDS

EXISTING LAND COVER IS WOODS/SHRUBS AND BARE SOIL BANKS IN WORK AREA, WITH IMPERVVIOUS SURFACE OR GRASS/SHRUBS ALONG ACCESS.

NO	DATE	BY	REVISION
5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
0	10/09/2024	NGH	FINAL BID PLAN SET

ISSUE DATE: 10/09/2024
DESIGN BY EOR DRAWN BY NGH/BP
EOR PROJECT NO. 2026-0001

Emmons & Olivier Resources, Inc.
1334 DEWEY COURT
MADISON, WI 53703
Tele: 608.839.4422
www.eorinc.com


WAUKESHA COUNTY PARKS AND LAND USE
515 W. MORELAND BLVD.,
WAUKESHA, WI 53188

ARPA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES


SOUTH PARK FIELDS STABILIZATION:

SITE OVERVIEW AND REMOVALS

Attachment #4


(2 of 2)
Underwood Creek
HUC ID: 040400030404
Zoom to
Brookfield
Village of Elm Grove
Westmoor Country Club
Brookfield Hills Golf Course
New Berlin Hills Golf Course
W Capitol Dr
W Wisconsin Ave
W Greenfield Ave
W Lincoln Ave
W Washington St
W Schlinger Ave
W Park Ave
Blue Mound Country Club
Currie Park
Zoo Fwy
MILWAUKEE
WAUKESHA
N Calhoun Rd
S Calhoun Rd
Elm Grove Rd
N 124th St
N 116th St
N 98th St
N 92nd St
Underwood Creek
Pilgrim Parkway
Lilly Rd
Bradley Rd
Mountain Dr
Beverly Hills Park
Gebhardt Rd
Rolling Meadows Park
Buena Park
Lions
Greenfield Park
Kops Park
Hansen Park
County Grounds
Mayfair Mall
Mount Mary College
Wauwatosa
Stickney Ave
W Auer Ave
W Burleigh
W Burleigh Rd
W North Ave
Wirth Park
Highland Memorial Park
Juneau Blvd
Water Tower Park
Lilly Knoll Park
Tulane St
931 ft

Attachment #5



NO	DATE	BY	REVISION
5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
0	10/09/2024	NGH	FINAL BID PLAN SET


ISSUE DATE: 10/09/2024
DESIGN BY EOR | DRAWN BY NGH/BP
EOR PROJECT NO. 2028-0001

Emmons & Olivier Resources, Inc.
1334 DEWEY COURT
MADISON, WI 53703
Tele: 608.839.4422
www.eorinc.com

WAUKESHA COUNTY PARKS AND LAND USE
515 W. MORELAND BLVD.,
WAUKESHA, WI 53188

ARPA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

SOUTH PARK FIELDS STABILIZATION:
SITE ACCESS



NO	DATE	BY	REVISION
5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
0	10/09/2024	NGH	FINAL BID PLAN SET


ISSUE DATE: 10/09/2024
DESIGN BY EOR | DRAWN BY NGH/BP
EOR PROJECT NO. 2028-0001

Emmons & Olivier Resources, Inc.
1334 DEWEY COURT
MADISON, WI 53703
Tele: 608.839.4422
www.eorinc.com
water ecology community

WAUKESHA COUNTY
515 W. MORELAND BLVD.,
WAUKESHA, WI 53188

ARPA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

SOUTH PARK FIELDS STABILIZATION:
GRADING PLAN



NO	DATE	BY	REVISION
5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
0	10/09/2024	NGH	FINAL BID PLAN SET

ISSUE DATE: 10/09/2024
DESIGN BY EOR | DRAWN BY NGH/BP
EOR PROJECT NO. 2026-0001


Emmons & Olivier Resources, Inc.
1334 DEWEY COURT
MADISON, WI 53703
Tele: 608.839.4422
www.eorinc.com

WAUKESHA COUNTY PARKS AND LAND USE
515 W. MORELAND BLVD.,
WAUKESHA, WI 53188

ARPA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

SOUTH PARK FIELDS STABILIZATION:
EC AND RESTORATION PLAN

25



PLANT SCHEDULE

SYMBOL	CODE	BOTANICAL / COMMON NAME	SIZE	TYPE	QTY
TREES					
	AR	Acer rubrum / Red Maple	2" Cal.	B&B	1
	QB	Quercus bicolor / Swamp White Oak	2" Cal.	B&B	2
	TA	Tilia americana / American Linden	2" Cal.	B&B	1
SHRUBS					
	AF	Amorpha fruticosa / False Indigo	3 gal.	Cont	9
	CR	Cornus sericea / Red Twig Dogwood	3 gal.	Cont	4
	IW	Ilex verticillata / Winterberry	3 gal.	Cont	14
	VA	Viburnum trilobum / American Cranberrybush	3 gal.	Cont	7

NO	DATE	BY	REVISION
5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
0	10/09/2024	NGH	FINAL BID PLAN SET

ISSUE DATE: 10/09/2024
DESIGN BY EOR
DRAWN BY NGH/BP
EOR PROJECT NO. 2028-0001

Emmons & Olivier Resources, Inc.
1334 DEWEY COURT
MADISON, WI 53703
Tele: 608.839.4422
www.eorinc.com
water ecology community


WAUKESHA COUNTY PARKS AND LAND USE
515 W. MORELAND BLVD.,
WAUKESHA, WI 53188

ARPA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES


SOUTH PARK FIELDS STABILIZATION:

PLANTING PLAN


1+60.00




1+85.00



2+25.00



2+50.00



SECTIONS

HORIZONTAL SCALE: 1"=30'
5X VERTICAL EXAGGERATION

NO	DATE	BY	REVISION
5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
0	10/09/2024	NGH	FINAL BID PLAN SET

ISSUE DATE: 10/09/2024
DESIGN BY EOR | DRAWN BY NGH/BP
EOR PROJECT NO. 2028-0001


Emmons & Olivier Resources, Inc.
1334 DEWEY COURT
MADISON, WI 53703
Tele: 608.839.4422
www.eorinc.com

515 W. MORELAND BLVD.,
WAUKESHA, WI 53188

ARPA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES


SOUTH PARK FIELDS STABILIZATION:
SECTIONS

NOTES:
1. BOULDER MATERIAL SHALL BE WISDOT HEAVY RIPRAP.
2. FILTER MATERIAL SHALL BE WISDOT BACKFILL GRANULAR GRADE 1.




01/33 VEGETATED BOULDER TOE
NOT TO SCALE


NOTES:
1. PROVIDE EROSION CONTROL AND RESTORATION ABOVE OHWL PER PLANS



02/33 VEGETATED BOULDER TOE WITH BANK SHAPING
NOT TO SCALE



CROSS SECTION




PLAN

NOTES:
1. ROCK DEFLECTORS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED USING WISDOT HEAVY RIPRAP (ANGLUAR).
2. IF ROCK DEFLECTORS ARE PLACED ON OPPOSITE BANKS (DOUBLE WING ROCK DEFLECTOR), MIDDLE-THIRD OF CHANNEL SHALL BE LEFT COMPLETELY UNOBSTRUCTED.
3. TYPICAL DIMENSIONS FOR THE IN-CHANNEL PORTION OF THE DEFLECTOR, AS WELL AS THE TOTAL STONE VOLUME INCLUDING KEY-IN, IS SHOWN FOR "SMALL" AND "LARGE" ROCK DEFLECTORS, AS SHOWN ON THE PLANS.


TYPICAL DIMENSIONS AND STONE PER DEFLECTOR

SIZE	WIDTH	HEIGHT	LENGTH	TOTAL VOLUME
"SMALL"	1.5 FT	1 FT	7.5 FT	0.5 CY
"LARGE"	3 FT	3 FT	7.5 FT	4 CY

03/33 ROCK DEFLECTOR
NOT TO SCALE



PLAN



CROSS SECTION

NOTES:
1. WOOD PLACEMENT TO BE DIRECTED BY PROJECT ENGINEER IN THE FIELD AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION.
2. ROOTWAD TRUNK MINIMUM 12 INCH DIA, 20 FT IN LENGTH.
3. EXCAVATION OF POOL INCIDENTAL TO SUBMERGED LOG.

04/33 SUBMERGED LOG
NOT TO SCALE

NO	DATE	BY	REVISION
5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
0	10/09/2024	NGH	FINAL BID PLAN SET

ISSUE DATE: 10/09/2024
DESIGN BY: EOR | DRAWN BY: NGH/BP
EOR PROJECT NO. 2028-0001


Emmons & Olivier Resources, Inc.
1334 DEWEY COURT
MADISON, WI 53703
Tele: 608.839.4422
www.eorinc.com

WAUKESHA COUNTY PARKS AND LAND USE
515 W. MORELAND BLVD.,
WAUKESHA, WI 53188


ARPA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

STREAM STABILIZATION DETAILS - 1

33



01 / 34 DECIDUOUS SHRUB PLANTING
NOT TO SCALE



NOTES:

THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING ALL TREES IN A PLUMB POSITION THROUGH THE WARRANTY PERIOD. STAKING IS NOT PERMITTED.

PRUNE DAMAGED AND CROSSING BRANCHES AFTER PLANTING IS COMPLETE.

REMOVE ALL FLAGGING AND LABELING FROM TREE.

WATER TREE THOROUGHLY DURING PLANTING OPERATIONS. PLACE BACKFILL IN 8-12" LIFTS AND SATURATE SOIL WITH WATER. DO NOT COMPACT MORE THAN NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN PLUMB. CREATE A SHALLOW RING DEPRESSION AROUND TREE TO RETAIN WATER.

PROVIDE FLEXIBLE, CORRUGATED TREE PROTECTION ON ALL DECIDUOUS TREES UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

PLANTS SHOULD BE AT THE PROPER DEPTH WHEREBY THE BEGINNING TAPER OF THE ROOT FLARE IS AT THE SAME ELEVATION AS THE FINISHED SOIL GRADE. THIS SHOULD BE THE SAME DEPTH AS THE PLANTS WERE GROWN IN THE NURSERY. NOTE THAT THE ROOTS OF BALLED AND BURLAPPED PLANTS ARE UNACCEPTABLE WHEN THEY ARE COVERED BY MORE THAN 4" OF SOIL ON THE TOP OF THE BALL.


3" DEEP SHREDDED HARDWOOD MULCH

PLANTING SOIL PER SPECIFICATIONS.
SCARIFY BOTTOM AND SIDES OF HOLE PRIOR TO PLANTING.

LOOSEN ROOTS OF ALL CONTAINERIZED PLANTS AND SCARIFY BOTTOM AND SIDES OF HOLE PRIOR TO PLANTING.


ROOT BALL SET ON MOUNDED SUBGRADE. REMOVE BURLAP, TWINE, ROPE, AND WIRE FROM TOP HALF OF ROOT BALL

02 / 34 TREE PLANTING
NOT TO SCALE




SECTION B - B

TURBIDITY BARRIER - FLOAT ALTERNATIVE
CAUTION - SEE NOTE 6




PLAN VIEW



SECTION A - A

TURBIDITY BARRIER - STANDARD POST INSTALLATION



PLAN VIEW


TURBIDITY BARRIER PLACEMENT DETAILS

GENERAL NOTES (TO BE UPDATED WITH PROJECT SPECIFICS)


DETAILS OF CONSTRUCTION, MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP NOT SHOWN ON THIS DRAWING SHALL CONFORM TO THE PERTINENT REQUIREMENTS OF THE STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND THE APPLICABLE SPECIAL PROVISIONS.

TURBIDITY BARRIER MAY BE REMOVED AT THE ENGINEERS DISCRETION, WHEN PERMANENT EROSION CONTROL MEASURES HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED.

1. DRIVEN STEEL POSTS, PIPES, OR CHANNELS. LENGTH SHALL BE SUFFICIENT TO SECURELY SUPPORT BARRIER AT HIGH WATER ELEVATIONS.
2. SAND BAGS TO BE USED AS ADDITIONAL BALLAST WHEN ORDERED BY THE ENGINEER TO MEET ADVERSE FIELD CONDITIONS. SPACE AS APPROPRIATE FOR SITE CONDITIONS.
3. WHEN BARRIER HEIGHT "H" EXCEEDS 8 FEET, POST SPACING MAY NEED TO BE DECREASED.
4. IN WATERWAYS SUBJECT TO FLUCTUATING WATER ELEVATIONS, PROVISIONS SHOULD BE MADE TO ALLOW THE WATER TO EQUALIZE ON EACH SIDE OF THE BARRIER. THIS MAY BE ACCOMPLISHED BY LEAVING A PORTION OF THE BARRIER OPEN ON THE UPSTREAM END.
5. ESTIMATED HIGH WATER ELEVATION DURING CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. MINIMUM BARRIER HEIGHT SHALL BE 2' GREATER THAN EITHER THE Q2 ELEVATION OR THE ESTIMATED HIGH WATER ELEVATION DURING CONSTRUCTION, WHICHEVER IS GREATER.
6. FLOAT ALTERNATIVE WILL ONLY BE ALLOWED WITH WRITTEN APPROVAL OF THE ENGINEER, AND IS MEANT FOR LOCATIONS WHERE BEDROCK PREVENTS THE INSTALLATION OF POSTS.
7. ALLOW SUFFICIENT SLACK VERTICALLY AND HORIZONTALLY SO THAT SEDIMENT BUILD UP WILL NOT SEPARATE OR LOWER THE TURBIDITY BARRIER.
8. USE AS DIRECTED BY COAST GUARD OR DNR PERMIT WHEN WORKING IN NAVIGABLE WATERWAYS.



PLAN VIEW



SECTION C - C

TURBIDITY BARRIER DETAIL SHOWING
TYPICAL PLACEMENT AT STRUCTURES

01/38 TURBIDITY BARRIER

NO	DATE	BY	REVISION
5			
4			
3			
2			
1			
0	10/09/2024	NGH	FINAL BID PLAN SET

ISSUE DATE: 10/09/2024
DESIGN BY EOR | DRAWN BY NGH/BP
EOR PROJECT NO. 2026-0001

Emmons & Olivier Resources, Inc.
1334 DEWEY COURT
MADISON, WI 53703
Tele: 608.839.4422
www.eorinc.com
water ecology community

WAUKESHA COUNTY
515 W. MORELAND BLVD.,
WAUKESHA, WI 53188

ARPA STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES DETAILS - 3

38

TURBIDITY BARRIER

STATE OF WISCONSIN
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

APPROVED
6/4/02 — DATE
/S/ Beth Cannestra — CHIEF ROADWAY DEVELOPMENT ENGINEER
FHWA

Attachment #6

ATTACHMENT #6
TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction _____ 1

II. South Reach _____ 1

I. Introduction

The lateral recession rate of the eroding bank is a critical component for the NRCS Streambank Erosion Estimator. The following documentation provides the justification for the lateral recession rates used in the NRCS Streambank Erosion Estimator. For the south reach, historic air photos were compared to document the recession. Per the table on page 4 of the NRCS guidance the bank erosion was classes as Severe to Very Severe. The following includes representative photos of Project Reaches to be stabilized through installation of Best Management Practices (BMPs).

II. South Reach



Image 2.1 – Bank, undercut with exposed roots..



Image 2.2 – Bank, exposed roots.



Image 2.3 – Bank with exposed roots.




Image 2.4 – Bank, undercut

Attachment #7

☆ Soils: Wet Alluvial Land

Metadata

Map Unit: Ww
National Unit Symbol: g971
Series: Wet Alluvial Land
Name: Wet Alluvial Land
Surface Texture: Loam
Slope: A
Percent Slope: 0-2
Horizon 1 Depth (in): 0 - 15
Horizon 1 Texture: loam
Horizon 2 Depth (in): 15 - 35
Horizon 2 Texture: loam
Horizon 3 Depth (in): 35 - 60
Horizon 3 Texture: stratified sandy loam to silty clay
Horizon 4 Depth (in):
Horizon 4 Texture:
Horizon 5 Depth (in):
Horizon 5 Texture:
Parent Material: Alluvium
Depth to Water Table (in): 0
Perm at 4 ft Depth (in/hr): 0.6 - 2
Infiltration Potential: Low
Hydric Code: Hydric
Hydrologic Soil Group: B/D
Hydro Interpretations: Very High Runoff
Drainage Class: Poorly Drained
Home Building Interpretations: Very High Risk of Wet Basement
Flooding Frequency: Frequent
Ponding Frequency: Frequent
Depth to Bedrock (in): >60
Prime Ag Soil: Prime if drained and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the



Soil and Forage Analysis Lab
WISCONSIN STATE LABORATORY OF HYGIENE
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

4702 University Avenue
Madison, WI 53705
608-262-4364
soil-lab@mailplus.wisc.edu
https://uwlab.soils.wisc.edu

Marissa Castello
515 W Moreland Blvd AC260
Waukesha, WI 53188

Date 7/3/2023
Acct # 560726
Lab # 2547

Soil - Texture Analysis

Sample #	Sample ID	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	Texture Name	Total P %
1	Underwood Creek Elm Grove Streambank (1)	71	16	13	Sandy Loam	
2	Underwood Creek Elm Grove Streambank (1)					0.04

Soil and Forage Analysis Lab
WISCONSIN STATE LABORATORY OF HYGIENE
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

4702 University Avenue
Madison, WI 53705
608-262-4364
soil-lab@mailplus.wisc.edu
https://uwlab.soils.wisc.edu

Marissa Castello
515 W Moreland Blvd AC260
Waukesha, WI 53188

Date 7/3/2023
Acct # 560726
Lab # 2548

Soil - Texture Analysis

Sample #	Sample ID	Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	Texture Name	Total P %
1	Underwood Creek Elm Grove Streambank (2)	41	36	23	Loam	
2	Underwood Creek Elm Grove Streambank (2)					0.06

Soil and Forage Analysis Lab
WISCONSIN STATE LABORATORY OF HYGIENE
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON

4702 University Avenue
Madison, WI 53705
608-262-4364
soil-lab@mailplus.wisc.edu
https://uwlab.soils.wisc.edu


Marissa Castello
515 W Moreland Blvd AC260
Waukesha, WI 53188

Date 7/3/2023
Acct # 560726
Lab # 2549

Soil - Texture Analysis

Sample #	*Sample ID*	*Sand* %	*Silt* %	*Clay* %	Texture Name	Total P %
1	Underwood Creek Elm Grove Streambank (3)	59	24	17	Sandy Loam	
2	Underwood Creek Elm Grove Streambank (3)					0.05




Soil Total P
Northern loamy soils
avg = 540 ppm
range = 480-580 ppm
n = 4
uthern forest soils
g = 600 ppm
nge = 350 - 1400 ppm
= 43
uthern prairie soils
g = 700 ppm
nge = 380 - 1300 ppm
= 27
Eastern red soils
avg = 950 ppm
range = 430 - 2820 ppm
n = 12
Sandy soils
range = 250 - 400 ppm
n = 2
Organic soils: range = 1330 - 1350 ppm, n = 2

Attachment #8

NRCS Excel Workbook Estimating 'Other' Erosion Types June 2006

Annual soil loss predictions for conservation planning purposes are made with current soil loss prediction technology (RUSLE2). RUSLE2 estimates sheet, rill and interrill erosion. Erosion that is seasonal in nature and caused by concentrated flow, however, is not predicted by RUSLE2.

This workbook provides conservation planners with simple tools and processes to help estimate the amount of erosion occurring in ephemeral gullies, classic gullies and on streambank erosion sites.

Definitions:

Rill Erosion: consists of the removal of soil by concentrated water running through little streamlets, or headcuts. Detachment in a rill occurs if the sediment in the flow is below the amount the load can transport and if the flow exceeds the soil's resistance to detachment. As detachment continues or flow increases, rills will become wider and deeper. Rills may be of any size but are usually less than four inches deep. Rills are:

<> generally parallel on the slope, but may converge,
<> generally of uniform spacing and dimension,
<> generally appear at different locations on the landscape from year to year,
<> generally shorter than ephemeral cropland gullies,
<> usually end at a concentrated flow channel, or an area where the slope flattens and deposition occurs,
<> are on the same portion of the slope that is used to determine the length of slope (L) for RUSLE2,
<> many small, but conspicuous channels running in the direction of slope gradient

Rill erosion is considered in the RUSLE2 calculations.

Ephemeral Gully Erosion: Small erosion channels formed on crop fields as a result of concentrated flow of runoff water. These channels are routinely eliminated by tillage of the field but return following subsequent runoff events. Ephemeral Gullies are small enough to be eliminated (temporarily) with the use of typical farm tillage equipment and they:

<> recur in the same area of concentrated flow each time they form,
<> frequently form in well-defined depressions in natural drainage ways,
<> are generally wider, deeper, and longer than the rills in the field,

Ephemeral Gullies are **not** calculated by the RUSLE2 program.

Gully Erosion: Permanent gullies are formed when channel development has progressed to the point where the gully is too wide and too deep to be tilled across. These channels carry large amounts of water after rains and deposit eroded material at the foot of the gully. They disfigure landscape and make the land unfit for growing crops. Gullies:

<> may grow or enlarge from year to year by head cutting and lateral enlarging,
<> often occur in depressions or natural drainage ways,
<> may begin as ephemeral gullies that were left in the field untreated,
<> may, over time, become partially stabilized by grass, weeds or woody vegetation,

Gully erosion is not calculated by the RUSLE2 program.

Streambank Erosion: The wearing away of streambanks by flowing water. The removal of soil from streambanks is typically caused by the direct action of stream flow and/or wind/wave action, typically occurring during periods of high flow. Streambank erosion:

<> is a natural process that generally increases when unprotected streambanks (e.g. no woody vegetation) are subject to the actions of flowing water and ice damage.

<> is a common occurrence on many Vermont river channels that are experiencing geomorphic adjustments

---

The soil loss from ephemeral gullies, gullies and streambank erosion areas can be estimated by calculating the volume of soil removed by erosion processes. The volume of soil loss can be multiplied by the typical unit weight of the soil (based on soil texture) which is eroded. Approximate soil unit weights are expressed below[1]:

Soil Texture	Estimated Dry Density lb/ft^3
Gravel	110
Sand	105
Loamy Sand	100
Sandy Loam	100
Fine Sandy Loam	100
Sandy Clay Loam	90
Silt Loam	85
Silty Clay Loam	85
Silty Clay	85
Clay Loam	85
Organic	22

Procedure for estimating Ephemeral Soil Erosion:

The following formula will be used to calculate annual estimated ephemeral gully erosion:

$$\frac{\text{Ephemeral Gully } \textbf{Length} \times \text{Gully Average } \textbf{Width} \times \text{Gully Average } \textbf{Depth}}{2000} \times \text{Soil Weight (lbs/ft}^3\text{)} \times \text{Occurrences per Year} = \textbf{Estimated Soil Loss (Tons per Year)}$$

***** Ephemeral gully erosion may reform multiple times per year, and under certain conditions it may not form in a given year. The voided volume which would be calculated after a runoff event is not necessarily representative of an annual rate, but is representative of only the specific event. This erosion can be calculated for individual storms and can be summed for a yearly estimate.

1 Data from published soil surveys, laboratory data, and soil interpretation record are to be used where available. Parent materials, soil consistency, soil structure, pore space, soil texture, and coarse fragments all influence unit weight.

Procedure for estimating Gully Soil Erosion:

The following formula will be used to calculate annual estimated classic gully erosion:


$$\frac{\text{Gully } \textbf{Length} \times (\text{Average } \textbf{Width} \times \text{Average } \textbf{Depth} \times 0.5) \times \textbf{Soil Weight (lbs/ft3)}}{2000} \;/\; \text{Formation Years} = \textbf{Estimated} \text{ Soil Loss Per Year (Tons)}$$

Procedure for estimating Streambank Soil Erosion (Direct Volume Method):

The following formula will be used to calculate annual estimated streambank erosion unless a field measurement procedure[2] is used:

$$\frac{\text{ing Bank } \textbf{Length} \times \text{Eroding Bank Height} \times \text{Lateral Recession Rate (FT/YR)} \times \text{Soil Weight (lb}}{2000} = \textbf{Estimated} \text{ Soil Loss Per Year (Tons)}$$

** Eroding bank height is measured along the bank, not the vertical height of bank. Example: if vertical height of an eroding streambank is 5 feet, and the bank is on a 2:1 slope, the total eroding bank distance is 25 feet -- 1/2 (Base X Height).



***The average annual recession rate is the thickness of soil eroded from a bank surface (perpendicular to the face) in an average year.

Stream bank erosion sometimes presents itself as a major occurance in a given year, whereas the same bank may not erode significantly for a period of years if no major runoff events occur. Recession rates need to be calculated as an average of years when erosion does and does not occur. Recession rate is not calculated as the erosion occurring after a single event.

Use available resources to assist in the estimation of recession rate: use past and present aerial photography, old survey records, and any other information that helps to determine the bank condition at known times in the past. When such information is lacking or insufficient, field observations and professional judgement are needed to estimate recession rates.
It is often not possible to directly measure recession rates in the field. Therefore, the following table has been included which relates recession rates to narrative descriptions of banks eroding at different rates (Table from NRCS Wisconsin guidance).

Lateral Recession Rate (ft/yr)	Category	Description
0.01-0.05	Slight	Some bare bank but active erosion not readily apparent. Some rills but no vegetative overhang. No exposed tree roots.
0.06-0.2	Moderate	Bank is predominantly bare with some rills and vegetative overhang. Some exposed tree roots but no slumps or slips.
0.3-0.5	Severe	Bank is bare with rills and severe vegetative overhang. Many exposed tree roots and some fallen trees and slumps or slips. Some changes in cultural features such as fence corners missing and realignment of roads or trails. Channel cross section becomes U-shaped as opposed to V-shaped.
0.5+	Very Severe	Bank is bare with gullies and severe vegetative overhang. Many fallen trees, drains and culverts eroding out and changes in cultural features as above. Massive slips or washouts common. Channel cross section is U-shaped and stream course may be meandering.

2

The best way to quantify streambank erosion is to measure it directly in the field. The basic procedure in measuring streambank erosion is to survey, flag, or in some way fix a “before" image of the channel you are evaluating in order to establish the baseline condition. Changes due to erosion can then be monitored over time by going back to the study area and re-measuring from the fixed reference points.

Channel cross-sections can be surveyed and plotted on a periodic basis to monitor change. Stakes or pins can be driven into channel banks flush with the surface. The amount of stake or pin exposed due to erosion is the amount of change at the streambank erosion site between your times of observation.

The time required to monitor a site often precludes this method of data collection. The Direct Volume Method can be used to estimate streambank erosion at your site.

Acknowledgements: This Excel workbook was created as a planning tool for use by conservation planners. The basic format and content of the tool is a compilation of various similar tools, processes and procedures employed by NRCS in several states including: Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Maryland, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, Oklahoma, South Dakota and Wisconsin. Some of the terminology in the 'Definitions' section of this Readme document closely mirrors these sources.

Bank Erosion Rate from air photos comparison



Soil Sample Summary

Sample	% Silt	% Clay	% Fines	TP ppm
1	16	13	29	400
2	36	23	59	600
Averages	26	18	44	500

1	Estimate of Bank Erosion Rate			
2	1995 to 2024			
3				
4	South - measured from air photo comparison			
5	Station	Distance (ft)		
6	1	10.8		
7	2	8.2		
8	7	8.8		
9	8	6.2		
10	9	3.9		
11			ft/yr	
12	Average	7.6	0.26	
13	Geomean	7.2	0.25	
14				
15	Per table on page 4:			
16	Banks bare, many exposed roots, some fallen trees, Severe, 0.3-0.5 ft/yr			
17				
18				

Total Suspended Solids Loss Estimate

NRCS Streambank Erosion Estimator (Direct Volume Method) — Clear Form

Farmer / Cooperator Name:	Village of Elm Grove	Evaluated By:	Leif Hauge
Tract Number:	South Playing Fields park	Evaluation Date:	October 31, 2023

Field Number	Eroding Streambank Reach Number	Eroding Bank Length (Feet)	Eroding Bank Height * (Feet)	Area of Eroding Streambank (FT^2)	Lateral Recession Rate (Estimated) (FT / Year)	Estimated Volume (FT^3) Eroded Annually	Soil Texture	Approximate Pounds of Soil per FT^3	Estimated Soil Loss (Tons/Year)	Percent Fines	TSS loss, lb/yr	TSS loss, lb/ 5 yrs
Elm Grove Underwood Creek	South	150.0	6.0	900	0.25	222.3	Sandy Loam	100	11.1	44	9,780	48,899
										Totals	**9,780**	**48,899**
				Total Estimated Annual Streambank Erosion Soil Loss (Tons):					11.1			
				Grand Total Estimated Annual Streambank Erosion Soil Loss (Tons):					11.1			

* Eroding bank height is measured along the bank, not the vertical height of bank.

Streambank Erosion Calculation Formula:

$$\frac{\text{Eroding Bank } \mathbf{Length} \times \text{Eroding Bank Height} \times \text{Lateral Recession Rate (FT/YR)} \times \text{Soil Weight (lbs/ft}^3\text{)}}{2000} = \mathbf{Estimated} \text{ Soil Loss Per Year (Tons)}$$

Total Phosphorus Loss Estimate

Field Number	Eroding Streambank Reach Number	Estimated Soil Loss (Tons/Year)	Estimated Soil Loss (Pounds/Year)	Estimated Soil Loss (Kgs/Year)	Phosphorous Concentration (ppm)	Estimated Phosphorous Loss (Kgs/year)	Estimated Phosphorous Loss (Lbs/year)
Elm Grove Underwood Creek	South	11.1	22226.94766	10103	500	5.1	11.1
		0.0	0	0		0.0	0.0
		0.0	0	0		0.0	0.0
		0.0	0	0		0.0	0.0
		0.0	0	0		0.0	0.0
		0.0	0	0		0.0	0.0
		0.0	0	0		0.0	0.0
		0.0	0	0		0.0	0.0
			Total Estimated Annual Phosphorous Loss (Lbs):				11.1
			Grand Total Estimated Annual Phosphorous Loss (Lbs):				11.1
						TP loss / 5 yr:	56

Attachment #9

Water Quality Trading
Operation and Maintenance Plan

Introduction:
The Water Quality Trading (WQT) Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Plan is meant to be a working document and should be updated as new trading practices are implemented. Currently, the Operation and Maintenance Plan revolves around streambank stabilization along Underwood Creek. The attached *Streambank Inspection Form* should be completed during annual inspections and following major storm events. Inspection forms shall be retained for at least five (5) years to ensure compliance with the WQT Plan.

Publicly Owned Riprap or Toe Wood:
County representative to complete inspection form annually and following major storm events. The form will then be provided to the Director of Public Works following inspection. The Village will address maintenance issues identified during inspection within 30 days. Substantial maintenance issues may require an extended timeframe for generation of plans, specifications, and a public bid process to perform the work. Inspections and O&M activities shall be reported in the annual WQT Report sent to the DNR.

Easement:
A temporary construction easement is to be utilized by the County to construct the streambank stabilization.

Quality Assurance:
Riprap gradation and composition shall be provided for each source of material. Riprap shall be installed per *Wisconsin Department of Transportation Specification 606 Riprap*, attached.

Installation:
- Install erosion control.
- Grade streambanks as indicated on Plans.
- Install riprap or other reinforcement:
    - Place geotextile fabric over substrate, lap edges and ends.
    - Do not place riprap over frozen or spongy subgrade surfaces.
    - Place riprap as indicated on Construction Plans.
    - Installed Thickness: Heavy Riprap; 18-inch to 24-inch diameter; installed minimum 30-inch thickness or as per thickness shown on the plans.
- Restore all disturbed areas to prevent erosion.

Practice Registration:
The purpose of the "Water Quality Trading Management Practice Registration" form is to report to WDNR that a management practice identified in the trading plan has been properly installed and is established and effective. This information will be used to track implementation progress, verify compliance and perform audits, as necessary. A registration form should be submitted for every management practice that has been identified in the trading plan. If practices are established prior to trading plan submittal, registration forms may be submitted with the trading plan. Otherwise, registration forms should be submitted during the permit term as practices become effective or with the annual report. A blank *Water Quality Trading Management Practice Registration Form 3400-207* is attached and should be submitted following implementation of the trading practice.

Tracking Procedures:
The County will track credits used annually. The annual report will summarize the 12 months of credit usage and credit generation. The County will report to DNR any concern that they have that may result in a need to modify the trade agreement and/or this trade plan. For example, a need to generate additional credits based on discharge.

Inspections/Maintenance Considerations:

- A *Streambank Inspection Form* is attached.
    - Station: As noted on Construction Plans
    - Condition of toe wood or riprap: Excellent; Good; Fair; or Poor
    - Maintenance Estimate: Provide an estimate for how long the maintenance will take to complete or a dollar value for completion. This will help determine if the Village will perform the work or if the Village will hire another entity to perform the work.
    - Date Completed: Following completion of the required maintenance, input the date of completion.
    - Comments: Provide the required maintenance activity along with any other useful information. If the cell provided is not large enough for Comments, write "See Back of Sheet" and provide comments on the reverse side of the Form.
- Following installation of the toe wood or riprap, inspect the toe wood or riprap closely over the next few months to ensure that seeding grows.
- Toe wood or riprap may settle or shift especially after flooding events or freeze/thaw.
- May need to control weed and brush growth.
- Inspect toe wood or riprap areas as needed.
- At a minimum, inspect after major storm events.
- If toe wood or riprap has been damaged, repair it promptly to prevent a progressive failure.
- If repairs are needed repeatedly at a location, evaluate the site to determine if the original design conditions have changed.

Routine Maintenance Items that can be performed by Village:

- Evaluate streambank condition (County Inspector)
    - Re-grade/re-seed streambank that is impaired.
    - Reconstruct/replace toe wood or riprap that has settled, shifted, or washed out.
- Manage Vegetation
    - Remove invasive/noxious plants.

- Manage Garbage
    - Remove garbage and other debris that could otherwise impair the streambank stability.

Annual Certification:
Each year, the County will certify that the toe wood or riprap is maintained and operating in a manner consistent with this Water Quality Trading Plan or provide a statement noting noncompliance with this Plan. The annual Monitoring Report (AMR) will include the following statement as a certification of compliance when the Credit Generating Practice is operating in a manner consistent with the Plan:

> "I certify that to the best of my knowledge that the management practices identified in the approved water quality trading plan as the source of phosphorus and total suspended solids credits is installed, established and properly maintained."

Annual Inspection:
An annual inspection of the toe wood or riprap will be performed by a licensed Professional Engineer to ensure that the toe wood or riprap is functioning as intended in order to meet the requirements of the WQT Plan.

Noncompliance:
The County will notify DNR by telephone call to DNR's regional stormwater compliance engineer within 24 hours or next business day of becoming aware that phosphorus and total suspended solids credits used or intended for use by County are not being generated as outlined in this Water Quality Trading Plan.

The County will submit a written notification within five days after the County recognizes that the phosphorus credits are not being generated as outlined in the Trading Plan. DNR may waive the requirement for submittal for a written notice within five days and instruct the County to submit the written notice with the next regularly scheduled monitoring report required by County's WPDES Permit.

The written notification should include:

- Description of noncompliance and cause.
- Period of noncompliance including dates and times.
- Schedule for attaining compliance including time and steps toward compliance.
- Plan to prevent reoccurrence of the noncompliance.

Notification of Trade Agreement Termination:
If a trade agreement or the trading plan needs to be terminated during the permit term, the permittee should submit a Notice of Termination to the wastewater engineer/specialist to inform WDNR of the termination. WDNR staff should use this information to determine if a permit modification is required due to the termination, the termination will result in non-compliance, or other permit actions are required due to the termination. When credits are reduced or eliminated for any reason, the permittee is still required to meet their WQBELs without any grace period. To prevent noncompliance with WQBELs, changes to trading plans must be addressed before credits are lost. Modifying the permit/trading plan will require at least 180 days. A blank *Notification of Water Trade Agreement Termination Form 3400-209* is attached and should be submitted to WDNR prior to practice termination, no later than the submittal date of the annual report.

Streambank Inspection Form

Date_____

Inspector_____

Reason for Inspection _____

Stream Reach	Station Start	Station Stop	Condition	Required Maintenance	Maintenance Estimate (Time or Cost)	Date Completed	Comments
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							

Section 606 Riprap

606.1 Description

(1) This section describes furnishing and placing riprap.

606.2 Materials

606.2.1 Riprap Stone

(1) Furnish durable field or quarry stone that is sound, hard, dense, resistant to the action of air and water, and free of seams, cracks, or other structural defects. Use stone pieces with a length and width no more than twice the thickness. Do not place material without the engineer's approval of the stone quality, size, and shape.

(2) The department will determine the average dimension of stone pieces by averaging measurements of thickness, width, and length. Furnish stones conforming to the size requirements for the riprap grade the plans show. Size requirements are expressed as the percent of the gross in-place riprap volume occupied by stones within average dimension size ranges for each riprap grade as follows:

AVERAGE DIMENSION RANGES FOR EACH RIPRAP GRADE				FRACTION OF GROSS
LIGHT RIPRAP	MEDIUM RIPRAP	HEAVY RIPRAP	EXTRA-HEAVY RIPRAP	IN-PLACE RIPRAP VOLUME OCCUPIED
inches	inches	inches	inches	BY STONES
>16	>20	>25	>30	0%
11 - 13	14 - 16	18 - 20	22 - 25	10% - 14%
9 - 11	11 - 14	14 - 18	18 - 22	15% - 21%
4 - 9	5 - 11	6.5 - 14	8 - 18	20% - 28%
<4	<5	<6.5	<8	5% - 7%
<1	<1	<1	<1	2% or less

(3) The contractor may substitute waste concrete slabs for stone. Furnish sound concrete, free of protruding reinforcement, and conforming to the size requirements specified for stone.

606.2.2 Riprap Grout

(1) Furnish an air-entrained mortar or concrete to fill the voids between riprap stones in grouted riprap. Conform to the physical requirements for component materials as specified in 501.2 except furnish fine aggregate or a combination of fine and coarse aggregate with a gradation that results in a grout with a consistency that allows complete filling of the riprap voids.

(2) Certify that the grout conforms to the following mixture requirements:

- Contains 470 pounds or more of portland cement per cubic yard of grout. The contractor may substitute class C fly ash for up to 30 percent of the required portland cement.
- Contains only enough water to achieve a 3-inch slump. Any additional workability required to completely fill the riprap voids must be achieved with admixture without increasing the w/cm ratio.
- Contains 9 percent or more air for mixes with a nominal top size aggregate less than 3/8 inch or 7 percent or more air for a mix with 3/8 inch or larger aggregate.

606.3 Construction

606.3.1 General

(1) Prepare the bed for the riprap by excavating, shaping the slopes, and constructing the toe for riprap installation. After placing the riprap, restore the surface of adjacent work and dispose of surplus material.

606.3.2 Placing Light Riprap

(1) If laying stone above the waterline, place it by hand. Lay it with close, broken joints and firmly bed it in the slope and against the adjoining stones. Lay the stones perpendicular to the slope with ends in contact. Compact the riprap thoroughly as construction progresses. Make the finished surface even and tight. Place larger stone in lower courses. Chink spaces between stones by firmly ramming spalls into place. If placing riprap over geotextile, use type R and conform to 645.3.1.6.

(2) Unless specified otherwise, make riprap at least one foot thick, measured perpendicular to the slope.

(3) Do not place riprap against, or in contact with, concrete surface before the end of the concrete’s curing and protection period.

606.3.3 Placing Medium, Heavy, and Extra-Heavy Riprap

(1) The contractor may place medium, heavy, and extra-heavy riprap by any mechanical means that produce a completed job within reasonable tolerances of the typical section the plans show. Limit

handwork to the quantity necessary to fill large voids or to correct segregated areas. If placing riprap over geotextile, use type HR and conform to 645.3.1.7.

(2) Unless specified otherwise, make medium riprap at least 18 inches thick, heavy riprap at least 24 inches thick, and extra-heavy riprap at least 30 inches thick.

606.3.4 Placing Grouted Riprap

(1) If the plans specify using grouted riprap, lay the stone as specified above under 606.3.2 or 606.3.3. Fill the spaces between the stones with cement mortar. Use sufficient mortar or concrete to completely fill voids, except leave the face surface of the stone exposed.

(2) Place grout from the bottom to the top and then sweep the surface with a stiff broom. After completing the grouting, cure the surface as specified in 415.3.12 except substitute type 1-D curing compound as specified for structures in 502.2.6. During cold weather, protect the concrete as specified in 415.3.13 for concrete pavement.

606.4 Measurement

(1) The department will measure the bid items under this section by the cubic yard acceptably completed, measured as the volume within the limiting dimensions the contract designates or the engineer establishes in the field.

606.5 Payment

(1) The department will pay for measured quantities at the contract unit price under the following bid items:

ITEM NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	UNIT
606.0100	Riprap Light	CY
606.0200	Riprap Medium	CY
606.0300	Riprap Heavy	CY
606.0400	Riprap Extra-Heavy	CY
606.0500	Grouted Riprap Light	CY
606.0600	Grouted Riprap Medium	CY
606.0700	Grouted Riprap Heavy	CY
606.0800	Grouted Riprap Extra-Heavy	CY

(2) Payment for the bid items under this section is full compensation for preparing the bed, providing and placing riprap, restoring adjacent work, and disposing of surplus material. The department will pay for excavation in excess of the approximate volume of earth occupied by the riprap under the Excavation Common bid item as specified under 205.5.

(3) Payment for the Grouted Riprap bid items also includes placing and curing mortar

State of Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources
101 South Webster Street
Madison WI 53707-7921
dnr.wi.gov

Water Quality Trading Management Practice Registration

Form 3400-207 (R 1/14)

Notice: Pursuant to s. 283.84, Wis. Stats., this form must be completed by any WPDES permittee that is using water quality trading as a method of complying with a permit limitation. Failure to complete this form would not result in penalties. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law (ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.).

Applicant Information

Permittee Name	Permit Number	Facility Site Number
	WI-	

Facility Address	City	State	ZIP Code

Project Contact Name (if applicable)	Address	City	State	ZIP Code

Project Name

Broker/Exchange Information (if applicable)

Was a broker/exchange be used to facilitate trade? ◯ Yes ◯ No

Broker/Exchange Organization Name	Contact Name

Address	Phone Number	Email

Trade Registration Information (Use a separate form for each trade agreement)

Type	Trade Agreement Number	Practices Used to Generate Credits	Anticipated Load Reduction	Trade Ratio	Method of Quantification
◯ Urban NPS ◯ Agricultural NPS ◯ Other					

County	Closest Receiving Water Name	Land Parcel ID(s)	Parameter(s) being traded

The preparer certifies all of the following:

- I have completed this document to the best of my knowledge and have not excluded pertinent information.
- I certify that the information in this document is true to the best of my knowledge.

Signature of Preparer	Date Signed

Authorized Representative Signature

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision. Based on my inquiry of those persons directly responsible for gathering and entering the information, the information is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signature of Authorized Representative	Date Signed

Leave Blank – For Department Use Only

Date Received		Trade Docket Number
Entered in Tracking System ☐ Yes	Date Entered	Name of Department Reviewer

State of Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources
101 South Webster Street
Madison WI 53707-7921
dnr.wi.gov

Notification of Water Trade Agreement Termination

Form 3400-209 (1/14)

Notice: Pursuant to s. 283.84, Wis. Stats., and ch. NR 217 Wis. Adm. Code, this form must be completed by any WPDES permittee that is using water quality trading as a method of complying with a permit limitation. Failure to complete this form would not result in penalties. Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law (ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.).

Applicant Information

Permittee Name	Permit Number WI-	Facility Site Number

Facility Address	City	State	ZIP Code

Project Contact Name (if applicable)	Address	City	State	ZIP Code

Project Name

Credit Generator Information

Credit generator type (select all that apply):

- ☐ Permitted Discharge (non-MS4/CAFO)
- ☐ Permitted MS4
- ☐ Permitted CAFO
- ☐ Urban nonpoint source discharge
- ☐ Agricultural nonpoint source discharge
- ☐ Other - Specify:

Trade Agreement number(s) to be terminated including affected land parcel ID(s):

Amount of trading credit being terminated	Effective date of termination

Reason for termination

Is this agreement being updated or replaced?

- ◯ Yes
- ◯ No
- ◯ Unsure

Will this termination result in non-compliance with the effective limit or other permit requirements?

- ◯ Yes; Name: _____
- ◯ No
- ◯ Unsure

The preparer certifies all of the following:

- I am familiar with the specifications submitted for this application, and I believe all applicable items in this checklist have been addressed.
- I have completed this document to the best of my knowledge and have not excluded pertinent information.

Signature of Preparer	Date Signed

Authorized Representative Signature

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision. Based on my inquiry of those persons directly responsible for gathering and entering the information, the information is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Signature of Authorized Representative	Date Signed