State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Bureau of Water Quality Permits Section - WQ/3

Multi-Discharger Variance Application Evaluation Checklist

Form 3200-145 (R 02/25)

Page 1 of 4

Notice: This checklist is meant to be a tool to help Department of Natural Resources (DNR) staff review municipal and industrial multidischarger variance (MDV) applications (Forms 3200-149 and 3200-150). Personal information collected will be used for administrative purposes and may be provided to requesters to the extent required by Wisconsin's Open Records Law (ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.).

Permittee Name

WPDES Permit Number	County	
	County	
 Did the point source apply for the MDV at the appropriate time? 	 Yes No. STOP- facility not eligible at this time. 	See Questions 1-2.
2. This operation is (check one):	 New or increased discharge. STOP- facility not eligible. Existing discharge 	See Questions 5-5.
3. Is the point source located in an MDV eligible area?	 Yes No. STOP- facility not eligible. 	Apply County information to Appendix H. Additional information provided in Q6 on municipal form & Q7-8 on industrial form.
 The secondary indicator score for the county (counties) the discharge is located is: 		See Appendices A-F. If the score is less than 2, stop; the facility is not eligible. See Q24 on municipal form & Q28 on industrial form.
5. Is a major facility upgrade required to comply with phosphorus limits?	 Yes No. STOP- facility not eligible. 	See Q7 on municipal form/Q9 on industrial form.
 List the months where phosphorus limits cannot be achieved during the permit term: 	All All Jan Apr Jul Oct Feb May Aug Nov Mar Jun Sep Dec	Consider checking with limit calculator. If this does not match information in application, the application should be updated prior to approval.
7. What is the current effluent level ach	ievable?	•
Outfall Number(s) Conc. (mg/L)	Method for calculation: O 30-day P99 Other, specify: Does this concur with application? O Yes No, why not:	DNR staff should verify the effluent concentration value(s) provided. See Q10 on municipal form & Q12 on industrial form.

8. What is the appropriate interim limitation(s) for the permit term?

Provide Rationale:

Note: See description in Section 2.02 of the MDV implementation guidance. Interim limitations should reflect the "highest attainable condition" for the permittee in question pursuant to s. 283.16(7), Wis. Stat.

Multi-Discharger Variance Application Evaluation Checklist Form 3200-145 (R 2/25) Page 2 of 4

9.	<i>For Industries Only-</i> Where does the phosphorus in the effluent come from? (check all that apply)	 Process Additive Usage Water supply Can intake credits be given or can the facility use an alternative water supply? Not feasible Possibly, but further analysis needed Not evaluated at this time 	See Q14-15 & 19 on industrial form. If the answer is "possibly" or "not evaluated", the schedule section of the MDV permit should contain a requirement to perform this analysis.
10.	Has this facility optimized?	 Yes In progress No 	See Q13 on municipal form & Q16 & 20 on industrial form. Facility must optimize and operate at an optimize treatment level (s. 283.16(6)(a), Wis. Stat.) If no, will need compliance schedule.
11.	Has a facility plan/compliance alternative plan been completed for the facility?	○ Yes○ In progress○ No	See Q14 on municipal form & Q17 on industrial form.
12.	For municipal facilities only- Has a financial alternatives analysis been completed?	 ○ Yes ○ In progress ○ No 	See Q15 on municipal form
13.	What is the projected cost for complying with phosphorus water quality based effluent limitations? Source:	\$	Facility must submit site-specific compliance costs. If cost projections are used from EIA, the permittee must certify that these costs are reasonable for the facility in question. See "projected compliance costs" in Section 2.02 of the MDV Implementation Guidance for details.

Comments on planning efforts:

14. Are adaptive management and water quality trading viable?	 Perhaps. Additional analysis required. No 	See Q18-21 on municipal form & Q22-25 on industrial form. If additional analyses required, the applicant may need to complete this analysis during the MDV permit term.
15. Has the point source met the appropriate primary screener?	 Yes No. STOP- facility not eligible. 	See Q4 of this form in addition to the "eligibility" guidance in Section 2.01 of the MDV Implementation Guidance.

Comments on economic demonstration:

Form 3200-145 (R 2/25)

Yes.

No. STOP - Required offset will not occur

Page 3 of 4

15.	What watershed	option was	selected?
-----	----------------	------------	-----------

- County project option. *Complete Section 5.*
- O Binding, written agreement with the DNR to construct a project or implement a watershed plan. Complete Section 4.

O Binding, written agreement with another person that is approved by the DNR to construct a project or implement a watershed plan. *Complete Section 4.*

Sec	ction 4. Watershed Plan Review	
	MDV Plan Number:	
	Note: This is for tracking purposes. Contact Statewide Phosphorus Implementation Coordinator for the plan number.	
17.	Did the point source complete Form 3200-148?	○ Yes○ No
18.	Is the project area in the same HUC 8 watershed as the point of discharge?	 Yes No. STOP- Watershed plan must be updated.
19.	What is the annual offset required?	
	See Section 2.03 of the MDV implementation guidance. If this value is different from the offset target provided in form 3200-148, the watershed plan should be amended.	
20.	Does the plan ensure that the annual load is offset annually?	 Yes No. STOP- Watershed plan must be updated.
21.	Are projects occurring on land owned/operated by a CAFO or within a permitted Yes. Work with appropriate DNR staff to ensure projects are not working No.	•
22.	Are other funding sources being used as part of the MDV watershed project?	
	 Yes. Work with appropriate DNR staff to ensure that funding sources ca No. 	n be appropriately used in the plan area.
23.	Do you have any concerns about the watershed project?	Yes. STOP- Watershed plan must be updated.
	Note: Coordinate with other DNR staff as appropriate.	○ No.
Cor	nments:	<u> </u>
Sec	ction 5. Payment to the County(ies)	
	At this time, the appropriate per pound payment is: \$	
	See "Payment Calculator" document at \\central\water\WQWT_PROJECTS\WY_CW_Phosphorus\MDV.	

25. Has the permittee already optimized phosphorus treatment to

levels below the applicable target value?

Based on the available information, the MDV application is:

Approved

O Request for more information

O Denied

Additional Justification (if needed):

Certification			
Preparer Name		Title	
Signature of Preparer	Sign Clear	I	Date

A copy of this completed checklist should be saved in SWAMP, and a notification of the decision should be sent to the applicable Permit Drafter and Compliance Staff.