# ATTACHMENT 14 – PW-10 AND PW-11 ABANDONMENT LOGS

# Well / Drillhole / Borehole Filling & Sealing Report Form 3300-005 (R 4/2015) Page 1 of 2

Notice: Completion of this report is required by chs. 160, 281, 283, 289, 291-293, 295, and 299, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 141 and 812, Wis. Adm. Code. In accordance with chs. 281, 289, 291-293, 295, and 299, Wis. Stats., failure to file this form may result in a forfeiture of between \$10-25,000, or imprisonment for up to one year, depending on the program and conduct involved. Personally identifiable information on this form is not intended to be used for any other purpose. Return form to the appropriate DNR office and bureau. See instructions on reverse for more information.

8/21/17	Person boing work	Ran Person	State $ SPCode $	6 %	City
		2			PO BOX 150
Comments		Telephone Number			Route
	4/17	(vv) 8/1	C	Deiling	SAMS WELL DRIV
Date Received Noted By	Date of Filling & Sealing or Verification	lling & Sealin	License # Date of Fi	ng Filling & Sealing	7. Supervision of Work  Name of Person or Firm Doing Filling & Sealing
casing.	and pumping grout into	id pumpi	by perforating casing an		PW-10 - well abandoned
					6. Comments
				State of the state	
HS BAGS GI MIX	Pre!	Surface		GROUT	NEAT CEMENT
s, Sacks Sealant or me (circle one)		From (ft.)	は ない は は は は は は は は は は は は は は は は は は	Well / Drillhole	5. Material Used to Fill Well / Drillhole
- Sand Slur	Granular Bentonite	Granu			
Bentonite - Cement Grout	Bentonite Chips	Bentor	Depth to Water (feet)	Depth to \	If yes, to what depth (feet)?
Nell Bore	Monitoring Wells and Monitoring I	For Monitori	No No Unknown	ed? Yes	Was well annular space grouted?
Concrete  Rentroite Chins	Neat Cement Grout	Neat C	ht)		G
	erials	Sealing Materials	Casing Depth (ft.)		Lower Drillhole Diameter (in.)
Other (Explain):	Screened & Poured (Bentonite Chips)	Screer (Bento	Ŋ		141
Conductor Pipe-Pumped	Conductor Pipe-Gravity	Condu	Casing Diameter (in.)	£)	Total Well Depth From Ground Surface
	Required Method of Placing Sealing Material	Required Me	Bedrock		Unconsolidated Formation
If bentonite chips were used, were they hydrated Yes No XNA with water from a known safe source?	If bentonite chips were used, were the with water from a known safe source?	with water			Formation Type:
d? ☐ Yes X No ☐ N/A	If yes, was hole retopped?	If yes		-	Other (specify):
XYes No	Did material settle after 24 hours?	Did mater	Dug	Driven (Sandpoint)	
	Did sealing material rise to surface?	Did sealin		please attach.	Construction Type:
	Was pasing out off holowers	Valor opti	If a Well Construction Report is available,	If a Well Const	Vvalei vveii
Yes No	moved?	Screen removed?		N/A	Mornitoring vven
No	Liner(s) perforated?	Liner(s) p	Original Construction Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Original Constru	Monitoring Well
No No	emoved?	Liner(s) removed?	ole Information	Drillhole / Boreh	3 Filled & Sealed Well / Drillhole / Borehole Information
Yes No N/A	Pump and piping removed?	Pump and	WI Unique Well # of Replacement Well	-	Re
MUDICEGO  Prima Liner Screen Casing & Sealing Material	iper Screen (				
N	ent Owner	City of Present Owner	Lot #		Subdivision Name
ST	4 2124 LH	10629	573.60		MUSIFICATION TO THE
	=	Mailing Addr	/e  Well ZIP Code	Union Church Drive	Well City Village or Town
DARK ALTHU	2	Present vveil Owner		<u>)</u> -	
			5 N 20 M	36	#
	Owner	Original Well Owner	Township Range X E	Section	1111 SE 11 SIN
		03290	<u> </u>	×	H80 88-
	License/Permit/Monitoring #	License/Permit/Monitor	GPS008	z	42.8449
LANDFILL	ID or PWS)	Facility ID (FID or PWS)	Format Code Method Code		Latitude / Longitude (see instructions)
SAL SERVICES EMERALD PARK	KED DISPUSAL	ADVANCED		Removed Well	WAUKK SAA
anon	cility Name	Facility Name	Hicap #	WI Unique Well # of	County Wil Uniq
ation	Owner Inform	9	X vvaste Management		A Wolf I postion Informe
water Remediation/Redevelopment	vvatersned/vvastewater		X Drinking Water	Fill and Seal	Verification Only of Fill and Seal
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Route to DNR Bureau:		
ation.	e for more informa	on revers	ce and bureau. See instruction	appropriate DNR office	purpose. Return form to the appropriate DNR office and bureau. See instructions on reverse for more information.

# Well / Drillhole / Borehole Filling & Sealing Report

Form 3300-005 (R 4/2015)

Page 1 of 2

Notice: Completion of this report is required by chs. 160, 281, 283, 289, 291-293, 295, and 299, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 141 and 812, Wis. Adm. Code. In accordance with chs. 281, 289, 291-293, 295, and 299, Wis. Stats., failure to file this form may result in a forfeiture of between \$10-25,000, or imprisonment for up to one year, depending on the program and conduct involved. Personally identifiable information on this form is not intended to be used for any other purpose. Return form to the appropriate DNR office and bureau. See instructions on reverse for more information.

[Route to DNR Bureau:

I	8/21/17		Chart Palla	53456	RANDOLPH WI
	Date Signed		Signature of Person Doing Work	ZIP Code	State State
		Comments	( )	l ele	Route
			8/10/17	0370 (mm/dd/yyyy)	
	Noted By	Date Received Not	aling or Verification		Name of Person or Firm Doing Filling & Sealing L
•	grout into casing	333	en perforating casin	en hole with grout th	PW-11 - well abandoned by filling open hole with grout then perforating casing and injecting
					6. Comments
1	CI MX	80 BAGS	Surface 205		NEAT CEMENT GROUT
	Mix Ratio or Mud Weight	No. Yards, Sacks Sealant or Volume (circle one)	From (ft.) To (ft.) No		5. Material Used to Fill Well / Drillhole
I	ırry	Bentonite - Sand Slurry	Granular Bentonite		
	Grout	Bentonite - Cement Grout	Bentonite Chips		If yes, to what depth (feet)? Depth to Water (feet)
		toring Well Boreholes Only:	For Monitoring Wells and Monitoring Well Boreholes Only:	□ No ☑ Unknown Fi	Was well annular space grouted?
	ips	Grout Bentonite Chips	Sand-Cement (Concrete) Grout	175	6
			Sealing Materials	Depth (ft.)	Lower Drillhole Diameter (in.)  Casing
11		Other (Explain):	(Bentonite Chips)	6	205
		Conductor Pipe-Pumped	vity	Casing Diameter (in.)	Total Well Depth From Ground Surface (ft.)   Casing
		1	0,		Unconsolidated Formation Bedrock
>	No X N/A	e source? Yes	with water from a known safe source?		Formation Type:
			If bentonite chins were used, were they hydrated		Other (specify):
> D	N N		Did material settle after 24 hours?	Dug	Drilled Driven (Sandpoint)
> D		:     	Did sealing material rise to surface?		Construction Type:
D			Was casing cut off below surface?	please attach.	Borehole / Drillhole please attach.
>	No N/A	XYes	Casing left in place?	Tuction Deport is available	Water Well
Þ	No U	Yes	Screen removed?		Monitoring Well
Þ	□ [ 8 □ [	¥Yes	Liner(s) perforated?	hole / Borehole Information Original Construction Date (mm/dd/vvvv)	3. Filled & Sealed Well / Drillhole / Borehole Information Original Construction Date (mm/dd
Р.	X [ 8 8	Yes	Liner(s) removed?		PAROPILI EXPANSION
I		asing & Sealing Material	4. Pump, Liner, Screen, Casing & Sealing	WI Unique Well # of Replacement Well	Се
	53150		MUSKEGO		Capacitation
1	P Code	- 1	ent Owner	I of #	Subdivision Name
		₹ ST	HE PSI S 12901		Well City, Village or Town
Ĭ		Landfill	AUS Emerald Park Landill		1345% UNION CINECH DR
			Present Well Owner		-
I			Original Well Owner	5 N 20 W	or Govt Lot # Sw Section To
I			03290		
I			License/Permit/Monitoring #	GPS008	42.8446 N DDD
			268244130	Method Code	Latitude / Longitude (see instructions) Format Code
Mobile	PARK	SERVICES EMERALD	ADVANCED DISPUSAL	Α	NECHA
		ation	2. Facility / Owner Information Facility Name	Hicap # Fa	1. Well Location Information  County   WI Unique Well # of
			Other:	X Waste Management	
7	Remediation/Redevelopment		Watershed/Wastewater	X Drinking Water	☐ Verification Only of Fill and Seal
				Noute to DNV Dureau.	



# TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

DATE:

October 25, 1996

TO:

Tom Koch

FROM:

Joseph Aldem

RE:

Geophysical Logging Summary and Results

PROJECT: Superior Emerald Park Landfill

CC:

Doug Genthe

### INTRODUCTION

On October 16, 1996 two wells near the Superior Emerald Park Landfill facility were geophysically logged by an RMT geophysicist. The objectives of this effort were to gather lithologic information and determine well construction details. The two wells that were logged are PW-10 and PW-11. This memorandum presents the results of the surveys and discusses interpretation based on these results.

### **TECHNIQUE**

Natural gamma and induction logging was performed at each of the wells. Gamma radiation is emitted by naturally occurring radioisotopes of potassium, thorium, and uranium. Differences in the mineral content in the soil and rock around the borehole result in variations in natural gamma radiation. For example, zones rich in clay generally emit more natural gamma radiation than clay-poor zones due to the potassium present in clay minerals. Interpretation of the lithology around the borehole is made by correlating these variations with local geology and knowledge of typical natural gamma responses

Induction logging is a borehole geophysical method that indirectly measures the electrical conductivity of the subsurface in the vicinity of the borehole. The induction tool contains a transmitter coil and a receiver coil. Alternating current applied to the transmitter induces eddy currents in the material penetrated by the borehole. These eddy currents set up secondary magnetic fields that induce a voltage in the receiver coil. The magnitude of the current is proportional to the electrical conductivity of the surrounding soil and rock. Since the electrical conductivity of steel casing is far higher than natural rock or soil, induction logging is an appropriate method for detecting the transition from casing to open hole.

### **EQUIPMENT**

The geophysical logs were recorded using a Century Geophysical Corporation UL-1000 Logging System with a 9510A tool and a motorized drawworks. The 9510A tool contains two instruments: one that measures natural gamma radiation and a second that determines electrical conductivity via electromagnetic induction. The natural gamma and induction instruments are contained in one 8-foot long housing which allows for simultaneous logging. One limitation to this configuration is that the natural gamma sensor is located approximately 6 feet from the bottom of the tool and the induction coils are centered approximately 2 feet from the bottom. This means that if a borehole is 100 feet deep, the deepest gamma reading would be at approximately 94 feet and the deepest induction reading would be at approximately 98 feet.

This 9510A natural gamma sensor has been calibrated against the API standard which allows the log to be displayed in API units instead of tool-specific "counts per second".



### FIELD WORK

The field work was conducted on October 16, 1996. Prior to geophysical logging, the wells were sampled for coliform bacteria and then the pumps, pipe, and wiring was pulled from the wells by a certified well installer. Two logging runs were recorded in each well and used for quality control evaluation. Immediately following completion of logging at each well the pump was reinstalled and the well was sanitized and sampled for coliform bacteria. Results of the coliform bacteria sampling proved that the wells were safe to use. In order to insure data security, one hardcopy geophysical log was produced and three digital copies were archived prior to demobilizing.

### RESULTS

### PW-10

Construction Details and Static Water Level (referenced from top of casing [TOC]):

total depth of well = 175 feet depth of casing = at least 171 feet depth to static water level = 56 feet depth to pump = 73 feet height of TOC above ground surface = 0.75 feet approximate elevation of ground surface = 789 feet

The attached figure presents the geophysical logs for the PW-10. High electrical conductivity values were recorded at all depths indicating that the well is entirely cased with steel. It is logical to assume that at least a portion of casing is slotted, however, it is impossible to differentiate between slotted and unslotted casing from the induction log. The fact that the well is fully cased strongly suggests that it is installed in unconsolidated sediments and does not penetrate bedrock.

The natural gamma values are notably variable over short intervals but are moderate to high at almost all depths. These data suggest that the well penetrates clay or clayey sediments interbedded with thin layers of coarser grained material. Based on the gamma response eight general zones are identified. The location and interpretation of these intervals is detailed in Table 1 and noted on the Figure 1.

### PW-11

Construction Details and Static Water Level (referenced from top of casing [TOC]):

total depth of well = 206 feet depth of casing = 175 feet depth to static water level = 49.5 feet depth to pump = 124.5 feet height of TOC above ground surface = 1.5 feet approximate elevation of ground surface = 788 feet

The attached figure presents the geophysical logs for the PW-11. High electrical conductivity values were recorded at to a depth of 177 feet indicating that the well is cased with steel to a depth of 175 feet. It is logical to assume that the casing terminates in bedrock and, based on the natural gamma response, bedrock depth is interpreted to be 174 feet (616 feet elevation).

The natural gamma values in the unconsolidated zone above the bedrock are notably variable over short intervals but are moderate to high at almost all depths. These data suggest that the



well penetrates clay or clayey sediments interbedded with thin layers of coarser grained material. Based on the gamma response nine general zones are identified. The location and interpretation of these intervals is detailed in Table 2 and noted on the Figure 1.

### SUMMARY

Review of geophysical logs for Well PW-10 and Well PW-11 has resulted in the following interpretations:

### PW-10

- · penetrates approximately 174 feet of unconsolidated sediments
- does not penetrate bedrock
- unconsolidated sediments are predominantly clay with some coarser grained material
- · constructed with steed casing/screen the entire depth

### PW-11

- penetrates approximately 173 feet of unconsolidated sediments
- · penetrates approximately 32 feet of bedrock
- unconsolidated sediments are predominantly clay with some coarser grained material
- constructed with approximately 175 feet of steel casing then open hole in bedrock

The intervals identified on the gamma logs from the unconsolidated sediments are used to correlate PW-10 and PW-11. Correlation lines are presented on Figure 1.

## WORKING COPY

Table 1 Geophysical Log Interpretation Summary: PW-10

Zone		ation et)	Thickness (feet)	Average Gamma Value	Interpretation
	top	bottom		(API units)	
Α	789	780	9	90	TOPSOIL
В	780	763	17	75	CLAY
С	763	761	2	65	SANDY OR SILTY CLAY
D	761	673	88	85	CLAY
E	673	669	4	35	SAND OR CLAYEY SAND
F	669	638	31	50	SANDY OR SILTY CLAY
G	638	625	13	35	SAND OR CLAYEY SAND
Н	625			45	SAND OR CLAYEY SAND
I					



## WORKING COPY

Table 2
Geophysical Log Interpretation Summary: PW-11

Zone	Elevation (feet)		Thickness (feet)	Average Gamma Value	Interpretation
	top	bottom		(API units)	•
Α	T	æ.			
В	788	769	19	75	CLAY
С	769	768	1	50	SANDY OR SILTY CLAY
D	768	682	86	80	CLAY
E	682	680	2	35	SAND OR CLAYEY SAND
F	680	647	. 33	50	SANDY OR SILTY CLAY
G	647	633	14	30	SAND OR CLAYEY SAND
Н	633	616	17	35	SAND OR CLAYEY SAND
1	616			10	BEDROCK

