# CORRESPONDENCE/MEMORANDUM 

DATE: July 8, 2022
TO: Andy Stoltman, Tom Shockley
FROM: Brad Hutnik, Greg Edge, Lewis Wiechmann
SUBJECT: NHAL State Forest Hodge Podge timber sale post-harvest RMZ inventory

## Background

Per request, Greg Edge, Lewis Wiechmann, and Brad Hutnik, WDNR Division of Forestry silviculture staff, inventoried the 100 foot lake riparian management zone (RMZ) associated with the NHAL State Forest Hodge Podge timber sale on June 16, 2022. The Hodge Podge timber sale is located near Boulder Junction, adjacent to Whitney Lake. Prior to the timber sale being harvested, C. Matula inventoried the RMZ on May 4, 2021. This inventory was intended to augment C. Matula's review by inventorying the RMZ post-sale.

Prior to this inventory, 22 random plots within the $100^{\prime}$ RMZ were generated and mapped by H. Keller using ArcGIS software. This map was converted into a georeferenced PDF and used with Avenza Maps to navigate to plot centers in the field. 21 plots were inventoried, 5 were moved to avoid overlap with other plots. Where plot locations were altered, the new plot centers were determined by pacing three chains from the previous plot location, and 100 was added to the original plot number to distinguish these plots (See Appendix A). At each plot center, a fixed area, $1 / 20^{\text {th }}$ acre, circular plot was established. Within each plot, all trees greater than 5 " DBH were inventoried. Each tree was evaluated for species, DBH (measured to the nearest 1 "), and whether it was alive or dead. Notes regarding tree regeneration were also recorded.

## Findings

Based on post-sale inventory analysis, the mean basal area within the 100 foot RMZ is $121.5 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ per acre. Within the RMZ, basal area ranged from 51.9 to $205.6 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ per acre. This analysis estimates with $94 \%$ confidence that the true mean RMZ basal area lies between 103.8 and 139.3. Under similar conditions, about $94 \%$ of repeated samples in this stand (using the same number of plot clusters) will contain the true mean. Assuming the variation between the sample points is representative of the RMZ, sampling intensity was sufficient to ensure that the calculated mean is within 15 percent of the true mean with $94 \%$ confidence.

Table 1: Hodge Podge TS RMZ Statistics

| cluster | Overstory Data |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 192.7 |
| $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ | 109.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 121.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 89.7 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 106.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ | 99.6 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 98.2 |


| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 110.7 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 147.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 101.0 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 122.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 88.4 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 112.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 159.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 64.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 51.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ | 81.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 151.5 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | 161.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 205.6 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ | 176.0 |
| Minimum | 51.9 |
| Maximum | 205.6 |
| Mean | 121.5 |
| Variance | 1669.1 |
| Standard deviation | 40.9 |
| Standard error | 8.9 |
| Percent error | 14.6 |
| CI mean lower limit | 103.8 |
| CI mean upper limit | 139.3 |
| clusters for w/in 15\% | 20 |
| clusters for w/in 10\% |  |
|  | 15 |

Post-sale inventory analysis also shows that there are approximately 250 live trees per acre in the RMZ greater than 5" DBH (See Appendix B). They are comprised primarily of red maple, northern red oak, balsam fir, red pine, eastern white pine, paper birch, sugar maple, ironwood, and white spruce. The relative importance value (IV) for each species is listed below.

| Species | Density | Relative <br> Density | Frequency | Relative <br> Frequency | Dominance <br> $(\mathrm{BA})$ | Relative <br> Dominance | Relative <br> Importance <br> Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| red maple | 70.5 | 28.03 | 95.24 | 29.41 | 32.2 | 26.51 | 27.98 |
| balsam fir | 100 | 39.77 | 66.67 | 20.59 | 18.5 | 15.18 | 25.18 |
| northern red oak | 22.9 | 9.09 | 33.33 | 10.29 | 24.9 | 20.47 | 13.29 |
| paper birch | 21 | 8.33 | 57.14 | 17.65 | 12.8 | 10.54 | 12.17 |
| eastern white pine | 12.4 | 4.92 | 33.33 | 10.29 | 14 | 11.48 | 8.9 |
| red pine | 13.3 | 5.3 | 19.05 | 5.88 | 15.2 | 12.51 | 7.9 |
| sugar maple | 6.7 | 2.65 | 4.76 | 1.47 | 3.1 | 2.52 | 2.21 |
| hophornbeam | 3.8 | 1.52 | 9.52 | 2.94 | 0.8 | 0.62 | 1.69 |
| white spruce | 1 | 0.38 | 4.76 | 1.47 | 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.67 |
| Totals | 251.43 | 100 | 323.81 | 100 | 121.53 | 100 | 100 |

Table 2: Post-sale Hodge Podge RMZ tree species relative importance values (IV)

Note, IV is a measure of how dominant a tree species is in a stand. IV is a sum of relative density, relative frequency, and relative dominance (basal area) for a species expressed as a percent of total stand IV and ranges from 0 to 100 .

Tree species composition is not consistent across size classes. In general, northern red oak, red pine, and eastern white pine are predominantly found in the large sawtimber size class, QMD approximately 14.3 " while balsam fir is predominantly found in the pole timber size class, QMD approximately 5.8" (See Appendix B \& C). Other species can be found across a wider DBH range. In addition, 28.6 snags per acre were present in the RMZ, predominantly composed of paper birch (See Appendix D).


## Discussion

The post-sale basal area within the Hodge Podge RMZ meets the target basal area minimum cited in the WDNR Best Management Practices for Water Quality manual. The RMZ also meets the manual's criteria of having residual, larger diameter trees and long- lived species where appropriate and available.

Appendix A: Hodge Podge timber sale RMZ inventory map

## Hodge Podge - Whitney Lake




Point Generation - UPDATED E/2222022:
-22 points
-Generated randomly - UPDATED
-37.2 Foot Buffer from the edges of the 100-foot buffer of Whitney Lake

This map is not a survey of the actual
This map is not a survey of the actual
boundary of any property this map deplcts.

## Appendix B: Hodge Podge RMZ Post Sale Inventory, 2022

Only observations that are greater than or equal to 1.0 , and whose species growth form is "Tree" are used. Dead observations are not included when calculating values in this report.

## Composition

| Overstory only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All species | red maple (Acer rubrum) | northern red oak (Quercus rubra) | balsam fir (Abies balsamea) | red pine <br> (Pinus resinosa) | eastern <br> white <br> pine <br> (Pinus <br> strobus) | paper <br> birch <br> (Betula <br> papyrifera) | sugar <br> maple <br> (Acer <br> saccharum) | hophornbeam (Ostrya virginiana) | white spruce (Picea glauca) |
| Basal area (sq.ft./ac.) | 121.5 | 32.2 | 24.9 | 18.5 | 15.2 | 14.0 | 12.8 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| Percent of stand basal area (\%) | 100.0 | 26.5 | 20.5 | 15.2 | 12.5 | 11.5 | 10.5 | 2.5 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Stems/area (stems/ac.) | 251.4 | 70.5 | 22.9 | 100.0 | 13.3 | 12.4 | 21.0 | 6.7 | 3.8 | 1.0 |

## Diameters

Merchantable Medial DBH and Merchantable Quadratic DBH only include observations where DBH is greater than 5.5 inches

| Overstory only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All species | red maple (Acer rubrum) | northern red oak (Quercus rubra) | balsam <br> fir (Abies balsamea) | red pine (Pinus resinosa) | eastern <br> white <br> pine <br> (Pinus <br> strobus) | paper <br> birch <br> (Betula <br> papyrifera) | sugar <br> maple <br> (Acer <br> saccharum) | hophornbeam (Ostrya virginiana) | white spruce (Picea glauca) |
| Medial DBH <br> (in.) | 12.6 | 10.2 | 15.7 | 6.1 | 17.1 | 18.6 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 6.2 | 6.0 |
| Merchantable Medial DBH (in.) | 13.1 | 10.2 | 15.7 | 6.8 | 17.3 | 18.6 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| Quadratic Mean DBH (in.) | 9.4 | 9.2 | 14.1 | 5.8 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Merchantable Quadratic DBH (in.) | 10.4 | 9.3 | 14.1 | 6.7 | 15.5 | 14.4 | 10.6 | 9.2 | 6.4 | 6.0 |
| Mean DBH (in.) | 8.6 | 8.8 | 13.6 | 5.7 | 13.5 | 13.2 | 10.4 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 6.0 |

## Relative Density

| Overstory only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All species | red <br> maple <br> (Acer <br> rubrum) | northern red oak (Quercus rubra) | balsam fir (Abies balsamea) | red pine <br> (Pinus resinosa) | eastern <br> white <br> pine <br> (Pinus <br> strobus) | paper <br> birch <br> (Betula <br> papyrifera) | sugar <br> maple (Acer <br> saccharum) | hophornbeam (Ostrya virginiana) | white spruce (Picea glauca) |
| Relative density (\%/ac.) | 82.5 | 22.2 | 21.9 | 11.5 | 6.8 | 4.9 | 11.9 | 2.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 |
| Percent of stand (\%) | 100.0 | 26.9 | 26.5 | 13.9 | 8.3 | 5.9 | 14.4 | 3.1 | 0.8 | 0.1 |

## Volumes

The boardfoot volumes were calculated using the 'Scrivani-Wiant' equation with the 'Scribner' log rule.

| Overstory only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All species | red <br> maple <br> (Acer rubrum) | northern red oak (Quercus rubra) | balsam fir (Abies balsamea) | red pine <br> (Pinus resinosa) | eastern <br> white <br> pine <br> (Pinus <br> strobus) | paper <br> birch <br> (Betula <br> papyrifera) | sugar <br> maple <br> (Acer <br> saccharum) | hophornbeam (Ostrya virginiana) | white spruce (Picea glauca) |
| Gross sawtimber volume (bd.ft./ac.) | 6,296 | 901 | 1,810 | 37 | 1,578 | 1,450 | 407 | 113 | 0 | 0 |
| Net sawtimber volume (bd.ft./ac.) | 6,296 | 901 | 1,810 | 37 | 1,578 | 1,450 | 407 | 113 | 0 | 0 |
| Gross pulpwood volume (cords/ac.) | 19 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Net pulpwood volume (cords/ac.) | 15 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gross total volume (cords/ac.) | 33 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Net total volume (cords/ac.) | 26 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Biomass

| Overstory only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All species | red <br> maple <br> (Acer rubrum) | northern red oak (Quercus rubra) | balsam <br> fir (Abies balsamea) | red pine <br> (Pinus resinosa) | eastern <br> white <br> pine <br> (Pinus <br> strobus) | paper <br> birch <br> (Betula <br> papyrifera) | sugar <br> maple <br> (Acer <br> saccharum) | hophornbeam (Ostrya virginiana) | white spruce (Picea glauca) |
| Foliage biomass (tons/ac.) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Stem biomass (tons/ac.) | 47 | 12 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Branch biomass (tons/ac.) | 17 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Bark biomass (tons/ac.) | 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aboveground biomass (tons/ac.) | 75 | 20 | 21 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Root biomass (tons/ac.) | 15 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total biomass (tons/ac.) | 90 | 24 | 25 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

## Appendix C: Hodge Podge RMZ Post Sale Species X DBH Table (stems/ac.), 2022

| DBH | balsam fir | red maple | paper <br> birch | northern red oak | red <br> pine | eastern <br> white pine | sugar <br> maple | hophornbeam | white spruce | row sum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <0.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 5 | 54.29 | 3.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 60.95 |
| 6 | 26.67 | 6.67 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 0.95 | 39.05 |
| 7 | 12.38 | 12.38 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 29.52 |
| 8 | 4.76 | 14.29 | 1.90 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 26.67 |
| 9 | 1.90 | 8.57 | 3.81 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.24 |
| 10 | 0.00 | 5.71 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.24 |
| 11 | 0.00 | 8.57 | 3.81 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15.24 |
| 12 | 0.00 | 7.62 | 2.86 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16.19 |
| 13 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 1.90 | 2.86 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.62 |
| 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.86 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 4.76 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.52 |
| 16 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| 17 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3.81 |
| 18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.90 |
| 19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.90 |
| 21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.90 |
| 22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| 23 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| 24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| column sum | 100.00 | 70.48 | 20.95 | 22.86 | 13.33 | 12.38 | 6.67 | 3.81 | 0.95 | 251.43 |

## Appendix D: Hodge Podge RMZ Post Sale Snag Summary, 2022

The following table lists the number of snags per acre by species for 6-inch size classes.

|  |  | Dead standing snags |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| DBH range (in.) | Total | paper birch | balsam fir | red maple | northern red oak | eastern white pine |
| $<\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ |  | 2.9 | 1.0 |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6 - 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 0}$ | 18.1 |  | 1.9 |  | 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 2 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9}$ | 1.9 |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ |  |  |  | 1.0 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 4 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $>\mathbf{3 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 . 6}$ | 20.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.9 |  |

