FSC F	orest Management Audit
	Public Summary Report
Audit Conducted By	SCS Global Services 2000 Powell Street Ste. 600
	Emeryville CA 94608 United States of America www.scsglobalservices.com
Contact Person	Brendan Grady
Report last updated on	27 September 2023
Certificate Holder	Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, MFL 3911 Fish Hatchery Road
	Fitchburg WI 53711 USA https://dnr.wisconsin.gov
Contact Person	Cody Didier
Certified Forest Areas	0
FSC certificate registration code	SCS-FM/COC-004622
Certificate issue date Certificate expiry date	30 November 2023 29 November 2028
Audit Sequence	0
	ed by SCS Global Services as meeting the nal forest standard FSC-STD-USA-01-2010.

Certificate Holder and Certification Body Details

Question	Inputs
Certificate Holder	
1.01 Certificate holder name *	Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, MFL
1.02.1 Street Address *	3911 Fish Hatchery Road
1.02.2 Address Line 2	
1.02.3 City *	Fitchburg
1.02.4 State or Province	WI
1.02.5 Postal Code	53711
1.03 Country *	USA
1.04 Contact person full name *	Cody Didier
1.05 Email *	Cody.Didier@wisconsin.gov
1.06 Telephone	608-574-6878
1.07 Website *	https://dnr.wisconsin.gov
Certificate Parameters	
1.08 FSC licence code *	FSC-C117792
1.09 Certificate code *	SCS-FM/COC-004622
1.10 Former certificate code (if any)	
1.11 Certificate type *	FM/COC
1.12 Group certificate *	Yes
1.13.1 Initial certification date *	2013-08-12
1.13.2 Most recent certification date *	2023-11-30
1.13.3 Certificate expiry date *	2028-11-29
1.14 Total number of MUs in the scope of certificate *	0
1.15 Total area certified *	0.0 ha
1.16 Change of scope since previous audit *	Yes
1.16.1 Nature of scope change	Excise utility and railroad right-of-ways
1.17 Ecosystem services (ES) in the scope *	No

1.25 Name and/or location of the certified forest area(s)

Certification Body

1.18 Certification body name *	SCS Global Services
1.19.1 Street Address *	2000 Powell Street Ste. 600
1.19.2 Address Line 2	
1.19.3 City *	Emeryville
1.19.4 State	CA
1.19.5 Postal Code	94608
1.20 Country *	United States of America
1.21 Contact person full name *	Brendan Grady
1.22 Email *	bgrady@scsglobalservices.com
1.23 Telephone	+1.510.452.8000
1.24 Website *	www.scsglobalservices.com

The evaluation process

Question	Inputs
Audit Parameters	
2.01 Audit type *	Re-Evaluation
2.01.1 Audit sequence	
2.02 Audit start date *	2023-08-14
2.03 Audit finish date *	2023-08-18
2.04 Total person days *	24.5
2.05 Date of report *	2023-09-27
2.06 Total area under evaluation *	0.0 ha
Normative Documents	
2.07 Evaluated international normative document(s)	
2.07.1 Trademark standard FSC-STD-50-001 *	Yes
2.07.2 Group standard FSC-STD-30-005 *	Yes
2.07.3 CoC standard FSC-STD-40-004 *	No
2.07.4 ES procedure FSC-PRO-30-006 *	No
2.07.5 Excision Policy FSC-POL-20-003 *	Yes
2.07.6 Pesticides Policy FSC-POL-30-001 *	Yes
2.07.7 Applicable NTFP Standard *	No
2.07.8 CIP FSC PRO 30-011 *	No
2.08 Code(s) of NFSS or INS used *	FSC-STD-USA-01-2010

https://connect.fsc.org/document-centre/documents/resource/234

2.09 Web link to the standard used 2.10 If applicable, the adaptation process of CB interim standard

The evaluation process

Question	Inputs
Certification Decision	
2.20 Conditions (corrections of minor non-conformities) or pre-conditions (corrections of major non-conformities) associated with the certification decision	
2.20.1 No specific condition *	No
2.20.2 Correction of minor NCRs issued within required timelines *	Yes
2.20.3 Correction of major NCRs issued within required timelines *	Yes
2.20.4 Correction of the pre-conditions to certification identified *	Yes
2.20.5 Other	
2.21 Lead auditor opinion 2.21.1 The certificate holder's system of management, if implemented as described, is capable of ensuring that all of the requirements of the applicable standard(s) are met over the whole forest area covered by the scope of the evaluation. *	Yes
2.21.2 The certificate holder has demonstrated, subject to correction of the identified non-conformities, that the described system of management is being implemented consistently over the whole forest area covered by the scope of the certificate. *	Yes
2.22 Auditor recommendation for the certificate holder's management system and performance	
2.22.1 A certificate can only be issued/reissued/maintained when all identified Major CARs are closed *	Yes
2.22.2 The FM system of the evaluated enterprise does not comply with the provisions and standards of FSC. Due to the number of identified major non-compliances the auditors recommend the immediate suspension of the certificate *	No
2.23 Certification decision *	Recertify
2.24 Decision detail	
2.25 Decision date *	2023-09-22
2.26 Decision making entity *	SCS Global Services

Audit itinerary

														ту	pe of Site				
4.01 Audit Itinerary Item Start Date	4.03 MUs or 4.02 Hours members	4.04 Activities	4.05 Site detail	4.06-1 OMe	4.06.2 55880	actients A 063 NUSE	A DEA Prote	4055 Profil	Leton toest area	AGE Comp	used by brad generation of the second	us couses 1.069 Fores	105-10 CIRE	1,06-11 HC	45 4.06-12 M	1001195185 4.06-131.09	AINS IN RESE	John area	LOF TO DEFENDE
2023-08-14	3.00 All	Team meeting	Calibration eeting between audit team members	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
2023-08-14	5.00 All	Opening meeting and travel to each audit path's base camp for the week	Opening Meeting Green Bay DNR Service Center: Introductions, Roles, and Audit Objectives Review audit scope, procedures & agenda, interview and the Concent NSC strend and 1.85-014-2010; 50 82: 25-year entorment.	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
2023-08-15	12.00 Outagamie	Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS Introductions and overview Free State Audits	Review of FMP indicates two mandatory practices were scheduled for 2033 to change two stands of	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	
2023-08-15	12.00 Shawano	Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS •Introductions and overview	Site 1: 59-064-2004. Mer win consuming forester and landowner. Concern about the initial look of the harvest. Happier with the regeneration.	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	
2023-08-15	12.00 Marinette	Field Street Audits Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS •Introductions and overview	C. 38-U45-2004 Complete narvesr (Winter 2018) - variable shelterwood/coppice in mixed HW-aspen. Upland site. Land manager interview. Harvest	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	
2023-08-15	12.00 Langlade	Field fishe Abort found output and a machine points Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS •Introductions and overview	Vorm Roule, Jacquan - Langade County tool •Unless stated otherwise: All FMPs examined and up to date. Cutting Notices (CN) examined and all	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	
2023-08-16	10.50 Waupaca	Field States and several sever	1.69-166-2019 - Constituting forester and landowner interview. 85 ac.; 50-year enrollment. 17 mandatory practices over 5 stands described in EMD liedwing this program chellwayed	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	
2023-08-16	10.50 Shawano	Field Size Audits Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS Introductions and overview	Stren: 52235-1999: Stre 1 Ashnremovar project (husband and wife). Pole harvested. Slash was scattered on site. Some rutting in roads, landware release unter here to direct water from	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	
2023-08-16	10.50 Marinette	Field Size Audits Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS Introductions and overview	Instance is a second se	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	
2023-08-16	10.50 Langlade	Field Size Audits to be meeting points Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS •Introductions and overview	Unless statier one-wise: All FMPS examined and up to date. Cutting Notices (CN) examined and all completed with requisite signatures. All	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	
2023-08-17	12.00 Manitowoc	Field fishe Altons travel cautes machine points Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS •Introductions and overview	1:36-005-2020: 37 36:, 25-year feitfolment. Review of FMP indicates two mandatory single-tree selection harvests in 2038 on two northern	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	
2023-08-17	12.00 Waupaca	Field Sile Andrew Leuten meeting points Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS Introductions and overview	Site 17:69-046-2013: Wei established hardwood with little regenerations, very little residual damage, road damage has been repaired. Hand-pull	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	
2023-08-17	12.00 Marinette/ Octono	Field Size Audits Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS Introductions and overview	1:38-013-2020: Completed narvest (2020) - OSR/Coppice with reserves. Dry site Oak/pine. Landowner interview. Harvest impacts & BMP involvemention. Becomparise researce. Blane for	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		Large-scale salvage; deer exclusion
2023-08-17	12.00 Florence	Predicting address travel context meeting points Abbreviated briefing with Auditor/TLLT Rep./TLFS Introductions and overview	All sites with Form 2450-128 unless one Place for specified. •Unless stated otherwise: All FMPs examined and up to date. Cutting National (CN) examined and all	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	
2023-08-18	4.50 All	Final document review, closing meeting preparation, and closing meeting	Central drom Certifice Noticewinit(Chill overside and all Meeting Preparation. Auditors take time to consolidate notes and confirm quiet indicate	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	

Type of Site

Forest management enterprise information

Question	Inputs
Forest Area	
5.02 Brief description of any area of forest over which the certificate holder has some responsibility, whether as owner (including shared or partial ownership), manager, consultant or other responsibility) which the certificate holder has chosen to exclude from the scope of the certificate, together with an explanation of the reason.	Wildlife food plots and right-of-ways for utilities and railroads are excised from the certificate.
5.03 Area of forest owned/managed but excluded from MUs in the scope of certification	
5.03.1 According to FSC-POL-20-003 *	3558.4 ha
5.03.2 Other reasons *	0.0 ha

Forest management enterprise information

Question	Inputs
5.19 Environmental safeguards relevant to forest operations 5.19.1 buffer zone *	Yes
5.19.2 chemical use control *	Yes
5.19.2 chemical use control * 5.19.3 conservation area set aside *	No
5.19.4 erosion control *	Yes
5.19.5 other, please specify	
5.20 Description of environmental safeguards	

Forest management enterprise information

Question	Inputs
Group Management	
5.27 Total number of group members *	0
5.28 Group members located in more than one country	
5.29 Maximum manageable number of group members	
5.30 Number of members sampled annually by group entity	
5.31 Sampling system implemented by the group entity	
5.31.1 stratified sampling	
5.31.2 cluster sampling	
5.31.3 random sampling	
5.31.4 systematic sampling	
5.31.5 other, please specify	
5.32 Group entity's sampling system employed to select MUs for	
evaluation, and its implementation	
5.33 Responsibilities for implementation of the applicable	
standard(s) in the group	
5.33.1 Management planning	
5.33.2 Forest protection	
5.33.3 Silviculture	
5.33.4 Harvesting	
5.33.5 Sales & marketing	
5.33.6 Use of trademark	
5.33.7 Stakeholder engagement	
5.33.8 Training	
5.33.9 Ecosystem services impacts	
5.34 Elaboration of responsibilities of group entity, members and	
a surface shares. So should be a subscriptions of surfaces of such Basels to	

contractors, include ecosystem services if applicable

Question	Inputs
11.28 Description of the forest	https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/forestlandowners/mfl and https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/landscapes/documents/18051Intro.pdf
11.29 Description of the management system	https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/forestlandowners/mfl, including the Tax Law Book, Silvicultural Guide, etc.
11.01 Legislative, administrative and land use context of the forest operation	https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/forestlandowners/mfl
11.02 Roles of responsible government agencies involved in aspects of forest management	https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/forestlandowners/mfl
11.03 Ownership and use-rights (both legal and customary) of lands and forest of external parties other than the certificate holder	https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/forestlandowners/mfl - only private, non-industrial landowners are eligible. Most use rights held by other parties include utility rights of way and recreational leases (e.g., hunt lease).
11.04 Non-forestry activities being undertaken within the area evaluated, whether they are undertaken by the certificate holder or by some other party (e.g. mining, industrial operations, agriculture, hunting, commercial tourism, etc.) 11.04.1 mining	No
11.04.2 industrial operation 11.04.3 agriculture	No Yes
11.04.4 hunting	Yes
11.04.5 commercial tourism	Yes

1

Question	Inputs
11.04.6 other, please specify	
11.05 Forest management objectives	Refer to Wisconsin's Managed Forest Law A Program Summary PUB-FR-295 (Rev. April 2022); found on https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/forestlandowners/mfl
11.06 Land use and ownership status of the forest resource	All group members are small, private landowners (<1,000 ha) with deeded property rights. Landowners are responsible for complying to program requirements, as summarized here: https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/sites/default/files/topic/ForestLandowners/mflLandownersResponsibilitiesTable.pdf
11.07 Socio-economic conditions of the forest management	Most of the following information is from the "Forest Economy of Wisconsin" based on 2016 analyses.* Forestry is one of the top ten industries by employment and output in Wisconsin, providing 1.8% of the jobs and 4% of the output in the state. Every job in forestry supports 1.7 additional jobs in the state. Every million dollars of output in forestry creates \$721,000 of output in other sectors. Wisconsin is the number one paper producing state in the US and is 2% of the state GDP. Forest products international exports totaled \$2.2 billion. (*"Forest industry economic impacts were modeled using the Impact Analysis for Planning (IMPLAN) software, originally developed by the US Forest Service in cooperation with the University of Minnesota and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). The model is designed to estimate economic effects of an industry on the local or regional economy. The database contains economic statistics by zip code and is not evaluate economic impacts to local economy if there is a change in the industry. IMPLAN uses a 440 sector inout-output table based on the North American Industrial Classification Scheme
11.08 Brief description of forest composition	A primary guidance that provides this rationale is the Forestry Silviculture and Aesthetics Handbook, Forestry Silviculture and Aesthetics Handbook.
11.09 Profile of adjacent lands	
11.09.1 urban	Yes
11.09.2 agriculture	Yes
11.09.3 wetland	Yes
11.09.4 mining	No
11.09.5 desert	No
11.09.6 pasture	Yes
11.09.7 orchards	Yes
11.09.8 other, please specify	
11.10 Management structure of the certificate holder	DNR serves as the primary regulatory entity and group manager for the non-industrial, family forest (MFL) lands enrolled in this certificate. The program is administered by the Division of Forestry, Tax Law Section through the following positions: Tax Law Compliance Specialist (Group Manager), Tax Law Section Chief, Tax Law Operations Specialist, Tax Law Administration Coordinator, Tax Law Forestry Specialists (Foresters), and Tax Law Administrative Specialists. The latter two positions are structured as Tax Law Field Teams organized geographically in to four broad Field Teams: Northwest, South, Northeast, and North Central. Each Field Team has a Field Team Leader.
11.11 Division of forest management responsibilities	https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/forestlandowners/mfl - refer to Tax Law book and summary table of Landowner responsibilities.

Question	Inputs
1.12 Use of contractors by the	
certificate holder	Yes
1.12.1 sinculure	Yes
1.12.3 harvesting	Yes
1.12.4 transportation	Yes
1.12.5 forest protection	No
1.12.6 pest and disease control	Yes
1.12.7 other, please specify	
11.13 Training implemented by the certificate holder	Periodic trainings are provided to landowners and other program participants, such as private forestry consultants, throughout the year. These are announced via regular emails. The organization also maintains a blog.
11.14 Silvicultural system/regime mplemented by the certificate holder	A primary guidance that provides this rationale is the Forestry Silviculture and Aesthetics Handbook, Forestry Silviculture an Aesthetics Handbook.
11.15 Technique used for harvesting operations of the certificate holder 11.15.1 mechanized harvesting 11.15.2 manual harvesting	Yes
1.15.3 semi-mechanized harvesting	Yes No
1.15.4 animal hauling	No
11.15.3 semi-mechanized harvesting 11.15.4 animal hauling 11.15.5 other, please specify	No
11.15.4 animal hauling	No
1.15.4 animal hauling 1.15.5 other, please specify 1.16 Management strategy for the dentification and protection of rare, hreatened and endangered species 1.17 Forest monitoring methods	No No The MFL program uses the following strategies for protecting threatened and endangered species. •Training of foresters on RTE species and use of Natural Heritage Inventory search, National Heritage Inventory maps •RTE species are identified as part of the plan writing and prior to timber harvests. •When RTE species are known to occur (by querying the Natural Heritage Inventory), staff will determine appropriate steps t protect the species. These steps include use of RTE species guidelines and a consultation with the biologist or ecologist, a needed.
1.15.4 animal hauling 1.15.5 other, please specify 1.16 Management strategy for the dentification and protection of rare, nreatened and endangered species 1.17 Forest monitoring methods mplemented by the certificate holder	No No The MFL program uses the following strategies for protecting threatened and endangered species. •Training of foresters on RTE species and use of Natural Heritage Inventory search, National Heritage Inventory maps •RTE species are identified as part of the plan writing and prior to timber harvests. •When RTE species are known to occur (by querying the Natural Heritage Inventory), staff will determine appropriate steps t protect the species. These steps include use of RTE species guidelines and a consultation with the biologist or ecologist, a needed.
1.15.4 animal hauling 1.15.5 other, please specify 1.16 Management strategy for the dentification and protection of rare, meatened and endangered species 1.17 Forest monitoring methods applemented by the certificate holder 1.17.1 forest inventory	No No No The MFL program uses the following strategies for protecting threatened and endangered species. •Training of foresters on RTE species and use of Natural Heritage Inventory search, National Heritage Inventory maps •RTE species are identified as part of the plan writing and prior to timber harvests. •When RTE species are known to occur (by querying the Natural Heritage Inventory), staff will determine appropriate steps to protect the species. These steps include use of RTE species guidelines and a consultation with the biologist or ecologist, an needed. Yes
1.15.4 animal hauling 1.15.5 other, please specify 1.16 Management strategy for the lentification and protection of rare, treatened and endangered species 1.17 Forest monitoring methods and endangered species 1.17.1 forest inventory 1.17.2 drone monitoring	No No No The MFL program uses the following strategies for protecting threatened and endangered species. •Training of foresters on RTE species and use of Natural Heritage Inventory search, National Heritage Inventory maps •RTE species are identified as part of the plan writing and prior to timber harvests. •When RTE species are known to occur (by querying the Natural Heritage Inventory), staff will determine appropriate steps i protect the species. These steps include use of RTE species guidelines and a consultation with the biologist or ecologist, a needed. Yes No
1.15.4 animal hauling 1.15.5 other, please specify 1.16 Management strategy for the lentification and protection of rare, irreatened and endangered species 1.17 Forest monitoring methods inplemented by the certificate holder 1.17.1 forest inventory 1.17.2 drone monitoring 1.17.3 remote sensing	No No No The MFL program uses the following strategies for protecting threatened and endangered species. •Training of foresters on RTE species and use of Natural Heritage Inventory search, National Heritage Inventory maps •RTE species are identified as part of the plan writing and prior to timber harvests. •When RTE species are known to occur (by querying the Natural Heritage Inventory), staff will determine appropriate steps protect the species. These steps include use of RTE species guidelines and a consultation with the biologist or ecologist, a needed. Yes No No No
1.15.4 animal hauling 1.15.5 other, please specify 1.16 Management strategy for the lentification and protection of rare, ireatened and endangered species 1.17 Forest monitoring methods inplemented by the certificate holder 1.17.1 forest inventory 1.17.2 drone monitoring 1.17.4 social survey	No No No The MFL program uses the following strategies for protecting threatened and endangered species. •Training of foresters on RTE species and use of Natural Heritage Inventory search, National Heritage Inventory maps •RTE species are identified as part of the plan writing and prior to timber harvests. •When RTE species are known to occur (by querying the Natural Heritage Inventory), staff will determine appropriate steps protect the species. These steps include use of RTE species guidelines and a consultation with the biologist or ecologist, a needed. Yes No Yes No Yes
1.15.4 animal hauling 1.15.5 other, please specify 1.16 Management strategy for the lentification and protection of rare, nreatened and endangered species 1.17 Forest monitoring methods mplemented by the certificate holder	No No No The MFL program uses the following strategies for protecting threatened and endangered species. •Training of foresters on RTE species and use of Natural Heritage Inventory search, National Heritage Inventory maps •RTE species are identified as part of the plan writing and prior to timber harvests. •When RTE species are known to occur (by querying the Natural Heritage Inventory), staff will determine appropriate steps protect the species. These steps include use of RTE species guidelines and a consultation with the biologist or ecologist, a needed. Yes No No

Question	Inputs
11.18 Elaboration of Monitoring of growth, yield and forest dynamics including change of fauna and flora	Monitored on each MFL property by DNR and Cooperating Foresters during plan writing and mandatory practices. Monitored systematically across the State. See WI DNR Statewide Forest Assessment http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/ForestPlanning/conclusions.asp?id=1
11.19 Environmental and social impacts, and costs, productivity, and efficiency	Not applicable to individual MFL properties because they all qualify as SLIMF. Monitored at the State level. See WI DNR Statewide Forest Assessment http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/ForestPlanning/conclusions.asp?id=1
11.20 Explanation of the assumptions (e.g. silvicultural) on estimate of the maximum sustainable yield for the main commercial species	Growth and yield is monitored on each MFL property by DNR and Cooperating Foresters during plan writing and mandatory practices. Monitored systematically across the State. See WI DNR Statewide Forest Assessment http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/ForestPlanning/conclusions.asp?id=1
11.21 Reference to the source of data (e.g. inventory data, permanent sample plots, yield tables) on which estimates are based	Each of the group member/land owners have their own harvest intervals based on inventory data.
11.22 Investments and measures taken for the prevention and control of natural hazards (fires, storm, flood, disease, pests, pathogens etc.) during the last calendar year	Road maintenance and invasive species control are the most common investments to prevent negative impacts from natural hazards.

Question	Inputs
11.23 The risk of products from non- certified sources (including any areas specifically excluded from the scope of the certificate) being mixed with products from the forest area evaluated	None. All timber is purchased on the stump and is the responsibility of the buyer to maintain chain of custody.
11.23.1 Description of segregation controls implemented	By law, the timber on MFL timber must be segregated from non-MFL timber. Review of Cutting Notices for MFL tract visited reveals that FMUs are marketing with the claim of FSC 100%. However, there are limited markets for certified material. Interview with DNR staff, consulting foresters, and landowners confirmed segregation and described process for segregation. No issues identified.
11.24 Explanation of the control (tracking and tracing) systems in place that address the risk identified	No risk identified
11.25 The documentation or marking system that allows products from the certified forest area to be reliably identified 11.25.1 documents with transportation 11.25.2 tree mark	Yes No
11.25.3 bar code or quadratic code	No
11.25.4 other, please specify	
11.26 Elaboration of the chain of custody documentation or marking system	
11.27 The final point or forest gate of	
the certified product	
11.27.1 log yard 11.27.2 road side	Yes Yes
11.27.3 other, please specify	Tree stump