FSC® - Forest Management Digital Audit Report Supplement

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources - State Lands

SCS-FM/COC-00070N

Certificate Holder Address	101 South Webster Street
	Madison, WI 53703
Certificate Holder Contact	Jake Walcisak
	jacob.walcisak@wisconsin.gov
Certificate Holder Website	www.dnr.wisconsin.gov

CERTIFIED	EXPIRATION
31 December 2023	30 December 2028

DATE OF FIELD EVALUATION 13 September 2024 DATE OF REPORT FINALIZATION November 19, 2024

TYPE OF EVALUATION			
□ Main Frahration	□ 1st Surveillance		
☐ Main Evaluation☐ Re-Evaluation☐ Transfer☐ Expansion of Scope	☐ 2nd Surveillance		
	☐ 3rd Surveillance		
	☐ 4th Surveillance		
	☐ Other Surveillance: #		

This document contains the conformity tables and certificate tracking information that together with the Digital Audit Report constitute a complete FSC Forest Management Audit Report.

Table of Contents

Appendix 1 – Staff and Stakeholders Consulted	3
Appendix 2 – Additional Evaluation Techniques Employed	4
Appendix 3 – Required Tracking	4
Appendix 4 – Forest Management Conformance Table	5
Appendix 5 – Chain of Custody Indicators for FMEs Conformance Table	28
Appendix 6 – Trademark Standard Conformance Table	28
Appendix 7 – Group Management Program	31
Appendix 8 – Additional Checklists	31

Appendix 1 – Staff and Stakeholders Consulted

List of FME Staff Consulted

To protect privacy, only FME staff who have expressly provided written permission are listed. **These** records are retained by SCS and subject to FSC or ASI examination.

Opening Meeting - 9/09/2024

Walcisak, Jacob S - DNR Forest Certification Coordinator
Buys, Rene J - DNR Facilities and Lands Planner

Van Cleve, Juli K - DNR Richland Center Forestry Team Leader
Zenz, Eric S - DNR Southwest District Forestry Leader

Schroeder, Kori K - DNR Forest Ecology and Economics Section Chief

Bay, Terry H - DNR Facilities and Lands

Brown, Douglas E - DNR County Forest and Public Lands Specialist

Reis, Anne H - DNR Wildlife
Hasz, Justine R - DNR Fisheries

Berklund, Heather A - DNR Chief State Forester

Closing Meeting - 09/13/2024

Walcisak, Jacob S - DNR Forest Certification Coordinator

Reis, Anne H - DNR Wildlife

Young, Aaron M - DNR Dodgeville Area Forestry Leader

Herrick, Sarah K - DNR NHC

Brown, Douglas E - DNR County Forest and Public Lands Specialist

Zenz, Eric S - DNR Southwest District Forestry Leader Hardin, Carmen R - DNR Applied Forestry Bureau Director

Schroeder, Kori K - DNR Forest Ecology and Economics Section Chief Van Cleve, Juli K - DNR Richland Center Forestry Team Leader

Hasz, Justine R - DNR Fisheries Bureau Director

Morales, Amy L - DNR Northeast District Forestry Leader Finlay, Mike J - DNR Dodgeville Forestry Team Leader

Lobner, Eric C - DNR Wildlife Bureau Director

Brown, Brigit E - DNR Parks

Berklund, Heather A - DNR Chief State Forester

Vasquez, Rebecca I - DNR Richland Center Team Forester

Hutnik, Bradley M - DNR Silviculturalist

Other staff consulted during audit:

Name	Title	Consultation method
Kori Schroeder	FEE Section Chief	In person
Rebecca Mouw	Fitchburg Team leader	In person

Colten Kelly	KMSF-SU Deputy	In person
	Superintendent	
Teague Prichard	State Forest Specialist	In person
Jake Walcisak	Certification Coordinator	In person
Mike Sieger	Forester	In person
Brian Lemke	KMSF-SU	In person
	Superintendent	
Scott Wilhorn	DODA Forestry staff	In person
	Specialist	
Nicholas Koltz	DNR Forester	In person
Brooke Hushagen	DNR Forestry Technician	In person
Drew McComb	DNR Forester	In person
Michael Hillstrom	Forest Invasive Pest	In person
	Coordinator	
Rachel Barker	DNR Wildlife Biologist	In person

List of other Stakeholders Consulted*

To protect privacy, only stakeholders who have expressly provided written permission are listed. **These records are retained by SCS and subject to FSC or ASI examination.**

Appendix 2 - Additional Evaluation Techniques Employed

⊠ None.

Appendix 3 - Required Tracking

History of Findings for Certificate Period

FM Principle	Cert/Re-cert Evaluation (2023)	1 st Annual Evaluation (2024)	2 nd Annual Evaluation (year)	3 rd Annual Evaluation (year)	4 th Annual Evaluation (year)
No findings					
P1					
P2					
Р3					
P4	OBS				
	(4.2.a)				
P5					
P6	OBS	CAR 2024.01			

	(6.7.a)	(6.7.a)		
P7		CAR 2024.02		
		(7.3.a)		
P8		CAR 2024.03		
		(8.2.d.1)		
P9				
P10				
COC for FM				
Trademark				
Group				
Other				

Progressive HCVF Assessments

☑ FME does not use partial or progressive HCVF assessments.*

*Note: In the case the FME is not operating in the entire management unit, it is permissible to only complete an HCVF assessment for the portion of the unit in which they are operating under special conditions. In such cases, the HCVF assessment must be extended if new areas are entered without an existing, appropriate HCVF assessment having been completed. An example includes a large forest concession where harvesting is initially limited to a smaller geographic scope.

Special Instructions or Scoping Notes for Next Regularly Scheduled Annual Audit

Not applicable; no significant issues identified that may impact the next audit.	
--	--

^{*}Note: information audit team leaders wish to remain confidential may be communicated directly to SCS.

Requirements Reviewed in Annual Evaluation

Evaluation Year	Requirements Reviewed (FSC P&C Reviewed, FM/COC Indicators,	
	Trademark Indicators, Group Standard Indicators, etc.)	
2023	All – (Re)certification Evaluation	
2024	FSC-US Forest Management Standard, V1-0: P1 (all criteria); P2 (all	
	criteria); P3 (all criteria); mandatory criteria: 1.5, 2.3, 3.2, 4.2, 4.4, 5.6,	
	6.2, 6.3, 6.7.a, 7.3.a, 8.2, and 9.4	

Appendix 4 – Forest Management Conformance Table

C= Conformance with Criterion or Indicator

NC= Nonconformance with Criterion or Indicator

NA = Not Applicable

NE = Not Evaluated

REQUIREMENT	C/N C	COMMENT/CAR
-------------	----------	-------------

Principle #1: Compliance with Laws and FSC Principles: Forest management shall respect all applicable laws			
of the country in which they occur, and international treaties and agreements to which the country is a			
signatory, and comply with all FSC Principles and Criteria.			
1.1 Forest management shall respect all	С		
national and local laws and administrative			
requirements.			
1.1.a Forest management plans and operations demonstrate compliance with all applicable federal, state, county, municipal, and tribal laws, and administrative requirements (e.g., regulations). Violations, outstanding complaints or investigations are provided to the Certifying Body (CB) during the annual audit.	С	FME conducts internal audits and management review to detect potential violations. No unresolved legal violations were reported. Interview with DNR staff confirmed that DNR has a complaints process in place. There may be county ordinances that affect forestry, though these are few. FME has an integrated system for adhering to federal and state laws. All land classifications follow NR code 44, including public review (variance process)	
A A I. To Coefficient Level and the control of the Country		public review (variance process).	
1.1.b To facilitate legal compliance, the <i>forest</i>	С	Contracts reviewed refer to legal requirements.	
owner or manager ensures that employees and		FME employees interviewed receive initial training	
contractors, commensurate with their		and on-going training that include an overview of	
responsibilities, are duly informed about		the legal framework, as well as updates thereof.	
applicable laws and regulations.		Laws and regulations are available on the <u>State</u> <u>Legislature's website</u> .	
1.2. All applicable and legally prescribed fees,	С		
royalties, taxes and other charges shall be paid.			
1.2.a The forest owner or manager provides written evidence that all applicable and legally prescribed fees, royalties, taxes and other charges are being paid in a timely manner. If payment is beyond the control of the landowner or manager, then there is evidence that every attempt at payment was made.	С	FME makes payment in lieu of taxes (PILT) to each municipality as state land is not taxed (Sections 70.113 and 70.114 of the Statutes; Statutes separate payments for lands acquired before 1970 from those acquired after, so there are two reports); Per interviews with multiple staff, this is the only legally required payment in the scope.	
1.3. In signatory countries, the provisions of all	С		
binding international agreements such as CITES, ILO Conventions, ITTA, and Convention on Biological Diversity, shall be respected.			
1.3.a. Forest management plans and operations comply with relevant provisions of all applicable binding international agreements.	С	Applicable international treaties in the U.S. are implemented through federal and state laws. FME's management plans and appendices are prepared to comply with the legal framework. As an example, RTE species are tracked via natural heritage data and management activities are designed and implemented to either assist with recovery or avoid negative impacts, as confirmed through field observation and review of site-specific plans.	

1.4. Conflicts hatman large manufations and	_	
1.4. Conflicts between laws, regulations and	С	
the FSC Principles and Criteria shall be		
evaluated for the purposes of certification, on a		
case by case basis, by the certifiers and the		
involved or affected parties.		
1.4.a. Situations in which compliance with laws	С	FME has not identified any conflicts between FSC
or regulations conflicts with compliance with		P&C and the legal framework, as confirmed in
,		=
FSC Principles, Criteria or Indicators are		interviews and review of internal audit reports.
documented and referred to the CB.		Interview with DNR staff attorney and other staff
		confirms no identified conflicts.
1.5. Forest management areas should be	С	
protected from illegal harvesting, settlement		
and other unauthorized activities.		
1.5.a. The forest owner or manager supports or	С	FME employees 120+ law enforcement officers. As
implements measures intended to prevent		observed during field inspection, boundaries are
illegal and unauthorized activities on the Forest		marked blue paint and sometimes with signs. Gates
_		
Management Unit (FMU).		are locked and identified with DNR plates.
1.5.b. If illegal or unauthorized activities occur,	С	Staff interviewed stated that they work with law
the forest owner or manager implements		enforcement and real estate divisions to resolve
actions designed to curtail such activities and		trespass and other unauthorized activities.
correct the situation to the extent possible for		Common issues include posting no-trespassing
meeting all land management objectives with		signs on state land, buildings that cross property
consideration of available resources.		boundaries, hunting/fishing violations, etc. FME
		reported no significant illegal or unauthorized
		activities in 2024.
1.6. Forest managers shall demonstrate a long	С	activities iii 2024.
1.6. Forest managers shall demonstrate a long-	C	
term commitment to adhere to the FSC		
Principles and Criteria.		
1.6.a. The forest owner or manager	С	FME's commitment can be found on its website
demonstrates a long-term commitment to		(https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/timbersales/certifi
adhere to the FSC Principles and Criteria and FSC		cation).
and FSC-US policies, including the FSC-US Land		
Sales Policy, and has a publicly available		
statement of commitment to manage the FMU		
_		
in conformance with FSC standards and policies.	-	FMC has remarked leads suitable of the control of
1.6.b . If the certificate holder does not certify	С	FME has reported lands outside of the scope in the
their entire holdings, then they document, in		DAR to comply with FSC partial certification
brief, the reasons for seeking partial certification		disclosure requirements.
referencing FSC-POL-20-002 (or subsequent		
policy revisions), the location of other managed		
forest units, the natural resources found on the		
holdings being excluded from certification, and	l	
the management activities planned for the		
the management activities planned for the		
holdings being excluded from certification.		
holdings being excluded from certification. 1.6.c. The forest owner or manager notifies the	С	FME reports any updates to the certification body
holdings being excluded from certification.	С	FME reports any updates to the certification body just prior to each audit, as confirmed in the annual update form.

management planning within 90 days of such		
change.		
Principle #2: Long-term tenure and use rights to	the lan	d and forest resources shall be clearly defined
documented and legally established.	tile lall	a and forest resources shall be clearly defined,
2.1. Clear evidence of long-term forest use	С	
rights to the land (e.g., land title, customary		
rights, or lease agreements) shall be		
demonstrated.		
2.1.a The forest owner or manager provides clear evidence of <i>long-term</i> rights to use and manage the FMU for the purposes described in the management plan.	С	FME maintains clear title to all property. Past audit team reviewed deeds and other real estate transaction documents. In 2024, publicly available information on ownership and public access rights was reviewed online, including the following websites: DNR's Public Access Lands and plat books maintained by the University of Wisconsin. These multiple sources show that tenure and use rights are well-established and recognized through a variety of government entities. As part of review of C1.2, payment in lieu of taxes (PILT) demonstrates that county governments and municipalities
2.1.b The forest owner or manager identifies and documents legally established use and access rights associated with the FMU that are	С	recognize the DNR's ownership since they accept payments. There are third-party easements for access and utilities on most state forests. Where the FME does not control the vegetation management, these
held by other parties.		areas are not included in management acres.
2.1.c Boundaries of land ownership and use	С	Confirmed via review of maps for all field sites
rights are clearly identified on the ground and on maps prior to commencing management activities in the vicinity of the boundaries.		visited in the audit and during interviews with staff.
2.2. Local communities with legal or customary tenure or use rights shall maintain control, to	С	
the extent necessary to protect their rights or resources, over forest operations unless they		
delegate control with free and informed		
consent to other agencies.		
2.2.a The forest owner or manager allows the exercise of <i>tenure</i> and <i>use rights</i> allowable by law or regulation.	С	Most recreation on the FMU is open to the public without permit. FME requires permits for some access, such as camping. Permits for NTFPs, small woody material <4", and firewood are also available. Permitted access is not considered a tenure/use rights as it is temporary.
2.2.b In FMUs where tenure or use rights held by others exist, the forest owner or manager consults with groups that hold such rights so that management activities do not significantly impact the uses or benefits of such rights.	С	FME staff interviewed stated that they contact easement holders if timber sales or other activities may affect easements or rights-of-way. Harvest notification letters were reviewed for sites visited that abutted other ownerships.

2.3. Appropriate mechanisms shall be employed to resolve disputes over tenure claims and use rights. The circumstances and status of any outstanding disputes will be explicitly considered in the certification evaluation. Disputes of substantial magnitude involving a significant number of interests will normally disquality an operation from being certified. 2.3.a if disputes arise regarding tenure claims or use rights then the forest owner or manager initially attempts to resolve them through open communication, negotiation, and/or mediation. If these good-faith efforts fail, then federal, state, and/or local laws are employed to resolve such disputes. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use rights. Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples shall control forest management on their lands and territories unless they delegate control with free and imformed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent to other agencies. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. Evers management planning, the forest corner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid harming their resources or rights.			
explicitly considered in the certification evaluation. Disputes of substantial magnitude involving a significant number of interests will normally disqualify an operation from being certified. 2.3.a if disputes arise regarding tenure claims or use rights then the forest owner or manager initially attempts to resolve them through open communication, negotiation, and/or mediation. If these good-faith efforts fail, then federal, state, and/or local laws are employed to resolve such disputes. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use rights. Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples will be staff attorney confirm this. FME reported no new disputes in 2024. Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples to own, use and manage their lands, and territories unless they delegate control with free and informed consent to other agencies. 3.1. a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American linding agreements to the FMU to avoid	employed to resolve disputes over tenure claims and use rights. The circumstances and	С	
evaluation. Disputes of substantial magnitude involving a significant number of interests will normally disqualify an operation from being certified. 2.3.a if disputes arise regarding tenure claims or use rights then the forest owner or manager initially attempts to resolve them through open communication, negotiation, and/or mediation. If these good-faith efforts fail, then federal, state, and/or local laws are employed to resolve such disputes. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use rights. Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples shall control forest management on their lands and territories, and resources shall be recognized and respected. 3.1. Indigenous peoples shall control forest management to tother agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent to other agencies. 3.1. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. Forest management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American lindian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid			
involving a significant number of interests will normally disqualify an operation from being certified. 2.3.a If disputes arise regarding tenure claims or use rights then the forest owner or manager initially attempts to resolve them through open communication, negotiation, and/or mediation. If these good-faith efforts fail, then federal, state, and/or local laws are employed to resolve such disputes. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use rights. Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples that the state department maintains procedures to manage and settle disputes, and maintains records of all known disputes. Per interviews with staff, common trespasses include buildings that cross from private onto state lands and other forms of encroachment, almost listing in no-trespassing signs on state land. Negotiation of land swaps or sales of the encroached upon property are common methods used to resolve disputes, and are subject to public consultation and approval. Interviews with DNR staff attorney confirm this. FME reported no new disputes in 2024. Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples to own, use and manage their lands, territories, and resources shall be recognized and respected. 3.1. Indigenous peoples shall control forest management to other agencies. 3.1. a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. Forest management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American linding agreements to the FMU to avoid	1		
normally disqualify an operation from being certified. 2.3.a if disputes arise regarding tenure claims or use rights then the forest owner or manager initially attempts to resolve them through open communication, negotiation, and/or mediation. If these good-faith efforts fail, then federal, state, and/or local laws are employed to resolve such disputes. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use rights. Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples to own, use and manage their lands, territories, and resources shall be recognized and respected. 3.1. Indigenous peoples shall control forest management on their lands and territories unless they delegate control with free and informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other longing agreements to the FMU to avoid C FME's real estate department, and instination manage and settle disputes, and manage and settle disputes, and manage and settle disputes, and manage and settle disputes. Per interviews with staff, common resposes include buildings that cross from private onto state lands and eterious with state lands and eterious with state lands and other forms of encroachment, and installing no-trespasses include buildings that cross from private onto state lands and eterious with state lands and terious with state lands and eterious with stat			
2.3.a if disputes arise regarding tenure claims or use rights then the forest owner or manager initially attempts to resolve them through open communication, negotiation, and/or mediation. If these good-faith efforts fail, then federal, state, and/or local laws are employed to resolve usuch disputes. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use rights. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use rights. 2.3.b The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples and resources shall be recognized and respect to public consultation and approval. Interviews with DNR staff attorney confirm this. FME reported no new disputes in 2024. 2.3.1 Indigenous peoples shall control forest management on their lands and territories unless they delegate control with free and imformed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. Forest management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid			
2.3.a if disputes arise regarding tenure claims or use rights then the forest owner or manager initially attempts to resolve them through open communication, negotiation, and/or mediation. If these good-faith efforts fail, then federal, state, and/or local laws are employed to resolve such disputes. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use rights. Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples shall control forest management on their lands and territories unless they delegate control with free and informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. Forest management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid PMS FME's real estate department maintains procedures to manage and settle disputes, and maintains records of all known disputes. Per interviews with staff, common trespasses include buildings that cross from private onto state lands and other forms of encroachment, and installing no-trespassing signs on state land. Negotiation of land swaps or sales of the encroached upon property are common methods used to resolve disputes, and are subject to public consultation of land swaps or sales of the encroached upon property are common methods used to resolve disputes, and are subject to public consultation on an approval. Interviews with DNR staff attorney confirm this. FME reported no new disputes in 2024. Principle #3: The legal and cu			
use rights then the forest owner or manager initially attempts to resolve them through open communication, negotiation, and/or mediation. If these good-faith efforts fail, then federal, state, and/or local laws are employed to resolve such disputes. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use rights. Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples shall control forest management on their lands and territories unless they delegate control with free and informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal amagement activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American lindian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid procedures to manage and settle disputes, and maintains records of all known disputes, and respects interviews with staff, common trespasses include buildings that cross from private onto state lands and other forms of encroachment, and installing no-trespassing signs on state land. Negotiation of land swaps or sales of the encroached upon property are common methods used to resolve disputes, and other forms of encroachment, and installing no-trespassing signs on state lands. Nad other forms of encroachment, and other forms of ster lands and other forms of ster lands and other		<u></u>	EME's real estate department maintains
Principle #3: The legal and customary rights of indigenous peoples to own, use and manage their lands, territories, and resources shall be recognized and respected. 3.1. Indigenous peoples shall control forest management on their lands and territories unless they delegate control with free and informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid PME does not own or manage any tribal FMUs, as confirmed through documentation reviewed in Principle 2. Under state law, any project that occurs on tribally-held lands does not undergo review by state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government to-government matters that are reserved for federal and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	use rights then the forest owner or manager initially attempts to resolve them through open communication, negotiation, and/or mediation. If these good-faith efforts fail, then federal, state, and/or local laws are employed to resolve such disputes. 2.3.b The forest owner or manager documents any significant disputes over tenure and use		procedures to manage and settle disputes, and maintains records of all known disputes. Per interviews with staff, common trespasses include buildings that cross from private onto state lands and other forms of encroachment, and installing no-trespassing signs on state land. Negotiation of land swaps or sales of the encroached upon property are common methods used to resolve disputes, and are subject to public consultation and approval. Interviews with DNR staff attorney confirm this. FME reported no new disputes in
territories, and resources shall be recognized and respected. 3.1. Indigenous peoples shall control forest management on their lands and territories unless they delegate control with free and informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management blanning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid NA FME does not own or manage any tribal FMUs, as confirmed through documentation reviewed in Principle 2. Under state law, any project that occurs on tribally-held lands does not undergo review by state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government to-government matters that are reserved for federal and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and			
3.1. Indigenous peoples shall control forest management on their lands and territories unless they delegate control with free and informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid		_	• •
management on their lands and territories unless they delegate control with free and informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid NA FME does not own or manage any tribal FMUs, as confirmed through documentation reviewed in Principle 2. Under state law, any project that occurs on tribally-held lands does not undergo review by state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government- to-government matters that are reserved for federal and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and		1	ctea.
unless they delegate control with free and informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid NA FME does not own or manage any tribal FMUs, as confirmed through documentation reviewed in Principle 2. Under state law, any project that occurs on tribally-held lands does not undergo review by state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government-to-government and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	1	INA	
 informed consent to other agencies. 3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid A PfME does not own or manage any tribal FMUs, as confirmed through documentation reviewed in Principle 2. Under state law, any project that occurs on tribally-held lands does not undergo review by state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government-to-governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and 			
3.1.a Tribal forest management planning and implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid NA FME does not own or manage any tribal FMUs, as confirmed through documentation reviewed in Principle 2. Under state law, any project that occurs on tribally-held lands does not undergo review by state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government-to-government and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	<u> </u>		
implementation are carried out by authorized tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid confirmed through documentation reviewed in Principle 2. Under state law, any project that occurs on tribally-held lands does not undergo review by state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government-to-government and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and		NA	FME does not own or manage any tribal FMUs, as
tribal representatives in accordance with tribal laws and customs and relevant federal laws. 3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid Principle 2. Under state law, any project that occurs on tribally-held lands does not undergo review by state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government-to-government matters that are reserved for federal and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	, ,		_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid NA state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government to-government and tribal governments. C C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	·		l =
writing, informed consent regarding forest management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid responsible for their own review in those cases. This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government- to-government matters that are reserved for federal and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	laws and customs and relevant federal laws.		on tribally-held lands does not undergo review by
management activities from the tribe or individual forest owner prior to commencement of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2. During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid This supports tribal sovereignty and ensures that the state does not become involved in government-to-government the state does not become involved in government-to-government to-government to the state does not become involved in government-to-government to the state does not become involved in government-to-government to-government the state does not become involved in government-to-government-to-government the state does not become involved in government-to-government the state does not become involved in government-to-governments. C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	3.1.b The manager of a tribal forest secures, in	NA	state tribal or archaeological liaisons. The tribes are
the state does not become involved in government-to-government matters that are reserved for federal and tribal governments. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid the state does not become involved in government-to-governments. C C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and			I
of those activities. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid to-government matters that are reserved for federal and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and			
federal and tribal governments. 3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid federal and tribal governments. C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	·		_
3.2. Forest management shall not threaten or diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	of those activities.		
diminish, either directly or indirectly, the resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and			tederal and tribal governments.
resources or tenure rights of indigenous peoples. 3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	1	C	
peoples.CConsultation is undertaken at several levels. FME3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoidCConsultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and	1		
3.2.a During management planning, the forest owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid C Consultation is undertaken at several levels. FME has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and			
owner or manager consults with American Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid has a statewide tribal liaison (Ben Cornelius) to consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and		_	Consultation is undertaken at several levels. EMF
Indian groups that have legal rights or other binding agreements to the FMU to avoid consult tribes at a government-to-government level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and			
binding agreements to the FMU to avoid level. Other individual staff serve as liaison and			

consulted during master planning and interim management planning processes to make sure that their resource rights are preserved (confirmed via review of management plans and public comment period). Each state forest has a forester in charge of outreach to tribes. A forester may put tribes in touch with a logging contractor if a specific timber sale is expected to have alternative forest products (e.g., bark, plants, bows, hunting, wild rice, firewood, etc.).

The state has eleven federally recognized tribes and a twelfth that is not recognized (Brothertown Tribe). This twelfth tribe was originally from what is now New England and has no treaty rights in Wisconsin.

There are six bands of Ojibwe that have off-reservation treaty rights managed through the Great Lakes Indian Fish & Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC). These tribes would like to have more power to self-regulate on state lands, similar to what they have on federal lands within the ceded territory, according to interviews with former DNR Tribal Liaison Shelly Allness. The current process for working with the Ojibwe bands still allows for them to exercise their treaty rights on state lands per interview with the state tribal liaison.

FME has been working via government-to-government discussion to work with the Ho Chunk nation on establishing an MOU for gathering rights that includes a restoration component. Interviews with state tribal liaison reveal that no progress has been made recently on this topic. Per interviews with the DNR Historic Preservation Office (DHPO), the Ho Chunk tribal historic preservation office wants hands-on opportunities to collaborate on management of cultural resources, especially on human burial mounds and effigy mounds (animal or spiritual shapes).

Examples of invitation to consult are available via review of email records between DNR staff and tribal representatives. Some invitations are made publicly (e.g., wolf management) and some comments from tribes are made available publicly (e.g., wild rice strategic analysis).

Annual Operation meetings and the Master Planning Process along with the Department's consultation policy, allow for input from Native American bands and tribes on all aspects of state forest management. Additionally, the six federally recognized Chippewa Bands in Wisconsin are currently engaged in a six-year study for a self-reporting system for non-timber forest products on state lands in the ceded territory (roughly the northern 1/3 of Wisconsin). The pilot trial has been completed and the wildland policy committee has met, though the permanency of this issue is still being worked out per interview with tribal liaison.

Interview with the DNR Archaeologist confirms that their primary job is to help DNR comply with historic preservation regulations for all departmental undertakings. Part of this process includes coordination with the State Historic Preservation Office, a non-DNR agency. These processes address historic preservation compliance requirements across all DNR internal lands (forests, parks, MLF, counties) for any management activities with potential to impact cultural and historic sites (timber harvest, habitat restoration, etc.).

DNR sends any "hits" from special site databases to DHPO staff who then make recommendations after review and/or searching for additional information. Harvest prescriptions can be modified, including through seasonal and other restrictions, buffer areas, etc.

3.2.b Demonstrable actions are taken so that forest management does not adversely affect tribal resources. When applicable, evidence of, and measures for, protecting tribal resources are incorporated in the management plan.

C

Known archeological and cultural sites are protected. DNR works cooperatively with tribes on managing tribal resources (jointly setting spearing limits, for example). The tribal liaison stated that cultural sensitivity training was conducted for DNR staff who work with tribes, which was confirmed via independent interviews with other DNR staff during the field portions of the audit.

Unit managers interviewed all demonstrated an understanding of the treaty rights of the Chippewa Tribes. Managers of land units within the treaty rights area indicated that they regularly work with tribal members to allow for gathering rights, and

		many reach out to tribal leaders regularly to seek consultation.
3.3. Sites of special cultural, ecological, economic or religious significance to indigenous peoples shall be clearly identified in cooperation with such peoples, and recognized and protected by forest managers.	С	Consultation
3.3.a. The forest owner or manager invites consultation with tribal representatives in identifying sites of current or traditional cultural, archeological, ecological, economic or religious significance.	С	Master planning and interim processes go through archeological review, etc. Confirmed via interviews with staff and review of FMPs.
3.3.b In consultation with tribal representatives, the forest owner or manager develops measures to protect or enhance areas of special significance (see also Criterion 9.1).	С	Through master planning and interim processes some special protection measures are identified. However, many special sites are kept confidential for their protection and only known by each tribe, as confirmed in interviews with FME staff.
3.4. Indigenous peoples shall be compensated for the application of their traditional knowledge regarding the use of forest species or management systems in forest operations. This compensation shall be formally agreed upon with their free and informed consent before forest operations commence.	NA	
3.4.a The forest owner or manager identifies whether <i>traditional knowledge</i> in forest management is being used.	NA	As confirmed through review of FMPs and observation of management activities, the FME does not use any protected traditional knowledge
3.4.b When traditional knowledge is used, written protocols are jointly developed prior to such use and signed by local tribes or tribal members to protect and fairly compensate them for such use.	NA	in forest management, processing or commercialization.
3.4.c The forest owner or manager respects the confidentiality of tribal traditional knowledge and assists in the protection of such knowledge.	NA	
4.2. Forest management should meet or exceed all applicable laws and/or regulations covering health and safety of employees and their families.	С	
4.2.a The forest owner or manager meets or exceeds all applicable laws and/or regulations covering health and safety of employees and their families (also see Criterion 1.1).	С	FME has a training program for new employees through HR and an employee handbook that covers laws and regulations. There are specific training program requirements for foresters and forestry technicians that cover timber sale administration, inventory, and other specialized topics.

Llogging equipment owned by others
logging equipment owned by others. C The timber sale contract template, items 24, 33, and 35 cover relevant safety requirements. Other contracts reviewed include requirements for insurance and adherence to applicable laws, which includes safety requirements.
C Per interviews with onsite contractor, loggers are FISTA-trained and have several years of experience
working in the forests of the region.
C
As the entire FMP and associated documents are available to the public (e.g., https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/ForestManagement/guidelines.html), the general FMP, master plans, and interim management plans meet this requirement. Chapter 6 of the general FMP covers cultural resources, public resources are covered in several chapters (e.g., 18), aesthetics in Chapters 4 and 18, community goals and economic opportunities in several places (e.g., Chapters 9, 10, and master plans), and other people affected (e.g., indigenous people). Individual master plans include discussion of social
C

employment, subsistence, recreation and		
health;		In 2023, the Ecological Landscape master plan
Community economic opportunities;		process, including assessment of social elements
Other people who may be affected by		and inclusion of public involvement in planning
management operations.		process. Several statewide assessments and plans
A summary is available to the CB.		include social impacts. E.g., SCORP,
A summary is available to the eb.		Annual property implementation plans, and WI
		Forest Action Plan.
4.4.b The forest owner or manager seeks and	С	Public input can be provided at any time per
considers input in management planning from		interviews with staff. The website includes who
people who would likely be affected by		may be contacted in public comment periods are
management activities.		closed (e.g.,
management activities.		
		https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/lands/ifmp.html). FME
		provided some recent examples of public comment
		for the Superior Coastal Plain Ecological Landscape
		Master Planning process and interim forest
		management plans for Baraboo Hills State
		Recreation Area in Sauk County and Nelson-Dewey
		State Park in Grant County.
4.4.c People who are subject to direct adverse	С	Per interviews with FME staff and review of site-
effects of management operations are apprised		specific planning documentation, letters are sent to
of relevant activities in advance of the action		adjacent landowners if it is expected that a timber
so that they may express concern.		harvest will abut a property boundary. Direct
		contact is also attempted at times. At the state-
		level, there is a government email distribution list
		that allows for interested parties to opt into
		notifications on certain topics and properties.
4.4.d For public forests, consultation shall	С	Wisconsin Administrative Code NR 44 outlines
include the following components:		public consultation processes for master plans.
Clearly defined and accessible methods for		public consultation processes for master plans.
public participation are provided in both		Government email distribution list that allows for
long and short-term planning processes,		interested parties to opt into notifications on
including harvest plans and operational		certain topics (e.g. wolf management) and
plans;		properties (e.g. X state forest).
		properties (e.g. A state lutest).
2. Public notification is sufficient to allow interested stakeholders the chance to learn		WEPA process provides opportunity for public
of upcoming opportunities for public		
		input. Issues on a site-level basis happen more
review and/or comment on the proposed		informally. Harvest planning is done annually and
management;		all plans are open for a comment period. All
3. An accessible and affordable appeals		planning activities are presented on the FME's
process to planning decisions is available.		website for comment.
Planning decisions incorporate the results of		Danting and available word or of a last of a
public consultation. All draft and final planning		Parties can avail themselves of administrative
documents, and their supporting data, are		hearing process. Any decision by the department
made readily available to the public.		can be appealed (a decision being defined as any
	<u> </u>	plan or permit). The aggrieved party has the

opportunity to have appeal heard in front of hearing examiner. Documents open for public review can be found here: https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/forestplanning/pu bliccomment and https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/news/input/Guidance/F WP. The public input provides the document in question and summarizes comments received. When the comment period and input process has been completed, the final document is published on the comment webpage. Public involvement is considered to be crucial to the development of Master Plans for each property. For example, the reviewed North Central Forest regional master plan. The final plan describes how a variety of tools were used to provide information on the planning process and to solicit public input. DNR tracks input and methods of collecting stakeholder contributions to the planning process as confirmed by interviews with forestry staff and reviews of records in property folders. A summary of the stakeholder comments received is available in this document: https://widnr.widen.net/s/vtsdxgs5lb/fl mp ncf in itialpublicinputperiod summary No major public comments submitted as part of property master plans. 5.6. The rate of harvest of forest products shall not exceed levels which can be permanently sustained. 5.6.a In FMUs where products are being C Section 7, page 110-03, of the State Department of Natural Resources, Public Forest Lands Handbook, harvested, the landowner or manager HB2460.5, Public Forest Lands Handbook 24605 calculates the sustained yield harvest level for each sustained yield planning unit, and (wisconsin.gov) provides information regarding provides clear rationale for determining the annual planning include sustained yield harvest. size and layout of the planning unit. The Section 7 includes the following: sustained yield harvest level calculation is "Section 28.025 (2) Wis. Stats., requires that the documented in the Management Plan. Department establish annual allowable harvest levels on Department lands and s. 28.025 (3) Wis. The sustained yield harvest level calculation for Stats., requires that the Department report each planning unit is based on: biannually on the timber harvests established, providing justification for any cases where the documented growth rates for particular timber harvest on a property was more than 10% sites, and/or acreage of forest types, ageabove or below the allowable harvest level. The classes and species distributions;

- mortality and decay and other factors that affect net growth;
- areas reserved from harvest or subject to harvest restrictions to meet other management goals;
- silvicultural practices that will be employed on the FMU;
- management objectives and desired future conditions.

The calculation is made by considering the effects of repeated prescribed harvests on the product/species and its ecosystem, as well as planned management treatments and projections of subsequent regrowth beyond single rotation and multiple re-entries.

Department utilizes WisFIRS to generate an annual and a long-term harvest schedule and goals to meet the needs of both Department work planning and the statutorily required reporting of annual allowable harvest to the legislature. Annual harvest calculations for DNR lands are determined using an acreage-based control method for sustainable harvest levels. The creation of harvest schedules and goals by property is accomplished by utilizing the WisFIRS Planning features.

WisFIRS Planning functionality attempts to more evenly distribute timber harvest practices over a 15-year period by cover type and treatment type (e.g. aspen clear-cuts) for each property. It does this by utilizing early and late harvest constraints (when applied), along with estimated average harvest intervals by cover and treatment type for each property. For example, if the typical harvest interval for aspen on a property is 48 years, with an early constraint of three years and a late constraint of seven years, WisFIRS will recommend a harvest schedule that levels out natural peaks and valleys in the schedule by re-scheduling aspen stands as early as age 45 and as late as age 55. WisFIRS will maintain both the scheduled year and recommended year for each stand; however, the annual and long-term harvest schedules and goals will be a reflection of the scheduled year. Planning functions at the property level and the smoothing of harvest levels only occurs when there are at least 400 acres of a particular cover and harvest type combination on a property. In cases where there are less than 400 acres of a particular cover and harvest type, the WisFIRS planning schedule will simply utilize the recommended year without redistributing based upon constraints."

The sustained yield harvest in an output of the Wisconsin Forest Inventory and Reporting System (WisFIRS), and is routinely projected for 15 years. At present, growth rates are not used in projections, although a CFI system is being implemented that will allow calculation of growth for some state forests. Instead, forest stands are visited on a 20-year cycle (or less) for reconnaissance, which includes measurements of volume. Recon data are considered in the annual update of 15-year harvest projections.

The FME is operating under an area-control system, which sets an annual amount of acres to harvest each year. The system includes assumptions based on forest stand types and their growth rates, mortality, and silvicultural practices. Protected areas under passive management or otherwise under no-harvest restrictions are not included in AAH calculations. Inventory methods, area-based harvests, State Forest Plans and other relevant documents may be found here, Wisconsin's Continuous Forest Inventory (WisCFI) Wisconsin DNR (last accessed 9/18/2023). C Each team confirmed annual harvests do not 5.6.b Average annual harvest levels, over exceed the AAC. WisFIRS tracks annual harvest rolling periods of no more than 10 years, do not exceed the calculated sustained yield amounts allowing direct tracking of annual harvest harvest level. acres. Figure 1. Long Term Harvest Goal compared with acres evaluated and established or deferred. 60,000 50,000 40,000 20,000 10,000 5.6.c Rates and methods of timber harvest С FME is required to report to the Governor's Council on Forestry and be within +/- 10% of goal. Starting lead to achieving desired conditions, and improve or maintain health and quality across in 2019, DNR implemented a department alignment the FMU. Overstocked stands and stands that process that codified the Division of Forestry's role have been depleted or rendered to be below in providing professional forest management productive potential due to natural events, services to all DNR lands. Forestry provides forest past management, or lack of management, are inventory, silvicultural options and returned to desired stocking levels and recommendations, timber sale establishment and administration and forest regeneration expertise. composition at the earliest practicable time as

justified in management objectives.

		Table 1. State I	Land Har	vest Goal	s and Acc	omplishn	nents, cal	endar yea	ar 2005 th	rough 20	22.
			2005-	2007-	2009-	2011-	2013-	2015-	2017-	2019-	2021-
		Long Term	06	08	10	12	14	16	18	20	22
		Harvest Goal (acres)	54,250	55,400	54,050	49,117	46,711	43,474	40,761	39,135	38,346
		Actual Establishment Acres	25,818	32,771	35,105	40,949	42,733	38,446	37,266	33,807	34,092
		Acres Deferred Total Acres	11,716	10,150	5,878	17,393	9,700	7,030	7,564	6,456	9,005
		Evaluated for Management	37,534	42,921	40,983	58,342	52,433	45,476	44,830	40,263	43,097
		Percentage of Long-Term Harvest Goal	69%	78%	76%	119%	112%	105%	110%	103%	112%
5.6.d For NTFPs, calculation of quantitative	NA	No NTFP	s are	gath	ered	con	nmer	cially	y on	the F	MU.
sustained yield harvest levels is required only		Permits a	are re	equir	ed fo	or co	llecti	on o	f NTF	Ps b	y the
in cases where products are harvested in		general p	oubli	c. Tri	bal n	nemb	ers	withi	in the	e ced	ed
significant commercial operations or where		territory	cove	red l	by th	e Vo	ight	Decis	sion a	are	
traditional or customary use rights may be		allowed	to co	llect	NTF	s an	d so	me t	imbe	r pro	ducts
impacted by such harvests. In other situations,		through	triba	l per	mits	and,	in sc	me (cases	s, per	mits
the forest owner or manager utilizes available		from DN	R.								
information, and new information that can be											
reasonably gathered, to set harvesting levels											
that will not result in a depletion of the non-											
timber growing stocks or other adverse effects											
to the forest ecosystem.											
6.2 Safeguards shall exist which protect rare,	С										
threatened and endangered species and their											
habitats (e.g., nesting and feeding areas).											
Conservation zones and protection areas shall											
be established, appropriate to the scale and											
intensity of forest management and the											
uniqueness of the affected resources.											
Inappropriate hunting, fishing, trapping, and											
collecting shall be controlled.											
6.2.a If there is a likely presence of RTE species	С	As part o	of the	sale	deve	elopr	nent	pro	cess	and f	illing
as identified in Indicator 6.1.a then either a		out the 2	2460	Form	n, the	fore	ester	runs	a se	arch	of
field survey to verify the species' presence or		the Natu			-						
absence is conducted prior to site-disturbing		element									
management activities, or management occurs		consults	the s	peci	es gu	idan	ce d	ocun	nents	and	
with the assumption that potential RTE species		impleme	nts a	pplic	able	avoi	dand	e me	easu	res. I	n
are present.		some cas	ses th	ne fo	reste	r has	s furt	her	ques	tions	and
		works wi	ith a	distr	ict ec	olog	ist to	dev	elop		
Surveys are conducted by biologists with the		appropri					•				
appropriate expertise in the species of interest		in limited	d cas	es su	ch as	balo	d eag	gle ne	est su	urvey	⁄s. In
and with appropriate qualifications to conduct		most cas	es, tl	he sp	ecies	s is c	onsid	dered	d to b	oe pr	esent
the surveys. If a species is determined to be		if there is	s app	ropr	iate l	habit	at ar	nd th	e		
present, its location should be reported to the		correspo	ndin	g avo	oidan	ce m	ieasi	ıres a	are a	pplie	d. In
manager of the appropriate database.		most cas	es av	oida/	nce i	neas	ures	are	timir	ng	

		restrictions. In a few instances buffers are applied
		(e.g. for nesting raptors).
		(c.g. for ficating raptors).
		Each Ecological landscape master plan completes a
		Rapid Ecological Assessment. New areas are
		· · ·
		identified in the master plan (refer to master plan
C.2 I. Miles PTF and in the control of the control		updates).
6.2.b When RTE species are present or	С	As part of the sale development process and filling
assumed to be present, modifications in		out the 2460 Form, the forester runs a search of
management are made in order to maintain,		the NHI database. If an element occurrence is
restore or enhance the extent, quality and		identified then the forester consults the <u>species</u>
viability of the species and their habitats.		guidance documents and implements applicable
Conservation zones and/or protected areas are		avoidance measures. In some cases the forester has
established for RTE species, including those S3		further questions and works with a district
species that are considered rare, where they		ecologist to develop appropriate measures. Surveys
are necessary to maintain or improve the short		are only conducted in limited cases such as bald
and long-term viability of the species.		eagle nest surveys. In most cases, the species is
Conservation measures are based on relevant		considered to be present if there is appropriate
science, guidelines and/or consultation with		habitat and the corresponding avoidance measures
relevant, independent experts as necessary to		are applied. In most cases avoidance measures are
achieve the conservation goal of the Indicator.		timing restrictions. In a few instances buffers are
		applied (e.g. for nesting raptors).
6.2.c For medium and large public forests (e.g.	С	These priorities are evident when reviewing the
state forests), forest management plans and		2460 Forms for each site visit in combination with
operations are designed to meet species'		the Master Plan implementation.
recovery goals, as well as landscape level		
biodiversity conservation goals.		
6.2.d Within the capacity of the forest owner	С	All activities funded, conducted, or approved by the
or manager, hunting, fishing, trapping,		department are screened for potential impacts to
collecting and other activities are controlled to		rare species using the Natural Heritage Inventory
avoid the risk of impacts to vulnerable species		Portal. Standard guidance and other tools are
and communities (See Criterion 1.5).		available for a large number of species, and
		foresters and other land managers routinely
		consult with wildlife and Natural Heritage
		Conservation staff.
		Conscivation stan.
		In addition, Conservation Wardens and Recreation
		Officers enforce laws related to this topic.
6.3. Ecological functions and values shall be	С	officers emoree laws related to this topic.
maintained intact, enhanced, or restored,		
including: a) Forest regeneration and		
succession. b) Genetic, species, and ecosystem		
diversity. c) Natural cycles that affect the		
productivity of the forest ecosystem.	_	A caliba un citata al un consegue de a contra a con-
6.3.a.1 The forest owner or manager maintains,	С	Auditors visited numerous sites where
enhances, and/or restores under-represented		management activities were designed to maintain
successional stages in the FMU that would		or restore under-represented forest types or age

naturally occur on the types of sites found on classes. Active burning programs are implemented the FMU. Where old growth of different to maintain open wetland and barrens type community types that would naturally occur on habitats. WIDNR also has an intranet resource for the forest are under-represented in the Priority Habitat Management Guides. These guides landscape relative to natural conditions, a and tools direct staff in managing high priority resources such as barrens, wetlands, grasslands, portion of the forest is managed to enhance and/or restore old growth characteristics. oak forests and young forests. Under-represented, naturally occurring successional stages are assessed during the master planning processes. Specific property goals for management of these areas are described in the master plan and in annual work plans. Planned and completed land management activities are captured during the annual Integrated Property Management meetings. Ecological master plans identify unique and under representative species and successional stages. That regional and property assessment is used to classify areas with objectives and prescriptions to maintain and enhance. С 6.3.a.2 When a rare ecological community is If a rare ecological community is present it is present, modifications are made in both the identified in the state's NHI database, at which management plan and its implementation in point the land manager consults with an ecologist order to maintain, restore or enhance the in the Bureau of Natural Heritage Conservation to viability of the community. Based on the develop appropriate management options. More vulnerability of the existing community, commonly, rare communities are already identified conservation zones and/or protected areas are and may be part of an SNA and/or labeled as a rare established where warranted. community with a management plan developed to feature a viable community. DNR has developed an Old-Growth and Old Forest 6.3.a.3 When they are present, management maintains the area, structure, composition, and Handbook to assist in the assessment, processes of all Type 1 and Type 2 old growth. classification, and management of old forests. Type 1 and 2 old growth are also protected and Systematic reconnaissance of all forest stands on buffered as necessary with conservation zones, state lands uses three codes to designate different unless an alternative plan is developed that levels of late successional forests: relict forest, oldprovides greater overall protection of old growth forest, and old forest. The relict forest growth values. designation corresponds to FSC Type 1 old growth; these forests are also coded as reserved. In short, the Department is demonstrating exemplary efforts Type 1 Old Growth is protected from harvesting and road construction. Type 1 old to protect and promote old-growth forest stands in growth is also protected from other timber a range of forest types. Master plans identify areas management activities, except as needed to for old growth management and silviculture guides maintain the ecological values associated with the management prescriptions. the stand, including old growth attributes (e.g., remove exotic species, conduct controlled The Managed Old-growth Silvicultural Study burning, and thinning from below in dry forest (MOSS) is considering forest management

types when and where restoration is appropriate).

Type 2 Old Growth is protected from harvesting to the extent necessary to maintain the area, structures, and functions of the stand. Timber harvest in Type 2 old growth must maintain old growth structures, functions, and components including individual trees that function as refugia (see Indicator 6.3.g).

On public lands, old growth is protected from harvesting, as well as from other timber management activities, except if needed to maintain the values associated with the stand (e.g., remove exotic species, conduct controlled burning, and thinning from below in forest types when and where restoration is appropriate).

On American Indian lands, timber harvest may be permitted in Type 1 and Type 2 old growth in recognition of their sovereignty and unique ownership. Timber harvest is permitted in situations where:

- 1. Old growth forests comprise a significant portion of the tribal ownership.
- 2. A history of forest stewardship by the tribe exists.
- 3. High Conservation Value Forest attributes are maintained.
- 4. Old-growth structures are maintained.
- 5. Conservation zones representative of old growth stands are established.
- Landscape level considerations are addressed.
- 7. Rare species are protected.

6.3.b To the extent feasible within the size of the ownership, particularly on larger ownerships (generally tens of thousands or more acres), management maintains, enhances, or restores habitat conditions suitable for well-distributed populations of animal species that are characteristic of forest ecosystems within the landscape.

С

techniques in creating some of the attributes of old-growth forests. 2022 was a remeasurement year for the MOSS project.

Silviculture Trials are being conducted to see if a new approach works better than others used in the past. There is also an Adaptive Silviculture for Climate Change in the Driftless Area (ASCC), and DREAM research project.

A variety of habitat restoration and enhancement projects are conducted annually on department lands including (but not limited to) barrens restoration, native prairie restoration, wetland restoration/enhancement, and young forest management. These activities are primarily guided by the WI Wildlife Action Plan, Joint Venture Waterfowl Plan, the Young Forest Initiative, and the various WI species management plans (turkey, etc.).

		Property Master Plans identify the specific priority
		habitat types for each property. Department staff
		often conduct habitat work in close partnership
		with habitat organizations (e.g. Ruffed Grouse
		Society, Wild Turkey Federation, Pheasants
		Forever, Ducks Unlimited, Trout Unlimited, etc.).
		DNR's forest management goals are ecologically
		oriented, and management is conducted to
		maintain ecological habitat conditions that are
		suited to each site. These decisions are aided by
		the habitat classification that is done as a
		component of reconnaissance surveys for each site.
		Sites visited by auditors routinely had prescriptions
		that would allow natural regeneration and
		succession to occur on the site.
6.3.c Management maintains, enhances and/or	С	The document Wisconsin's Forestry Best
restores the plant and wildlife habitat of		Management Practices for Water Quality provides
Riparian Management Zones (RMZs) to		guidance on RMZ management with respect to
provide:		these features.
a) habitat for aquatic species that breed in		Sale and/or harvest unit boundaries are designed to
surrounding uplands;		avoid or buffer wetlands, stream, lakes, and other
b) habitat for predominantly terrestrial		water bodies. Riparian buffers associated with
species that breed in adjacent aquatic		harvests are shown on maps and marked on the
habitats;		ground. Field audit in 2018 confirmed that foresters
c) habitat for species that use riparian areas		are knowledgeable of BMP requirements to protect
for feeding, cover, and travel;		riparian zones and are doing an excellent job of
d) habitat for plant species associated with		implementing them on harvest sites.
riparian areas; and,		
e) stream shading and inputs of wood and		All management activities include a section for
leaf litter into the adjacent aquatic		riparian management considerations. A special
ecosystem.		report evaluated timber sales with RMZ in 2021
,		Review of 2460 Timber Sale Narratives
		(wisconsin.gov).
Stand-scale Indicators	С	Management prescriptions for sites visited in 2024
6.3.d Management practices maintain or		were consistently written to enhance or maintain
enhance plant species composition,		current or desired composition of plant species on
distribution and frequency of occurrence		the site. Management techniques such as
similar to those that would naturally occur on		controlled burning and use of herbicides are used
the site.		in select areas. Often this was explicitly included in
		the stand level prescription on the 2460 Form.
6.3.e When planting is required, a local source	С	Planting source comes from the state's nursery in
of known provenance is used when available		Boscobel through the Division's tree improvement
and when the local source is equivalent in		program. Many native species are grown, including
terms of quality, price and productivity. The		some range-limited ones. However, many of these
use of non-local sources shall be justified, such		species are not planted on state lands. Currently,
as in situations where other management		some species are being tested for climate change
objectives (e.g. disease resistance or adapting		adaptation as part of the DREAM study. In the
, , , ,		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

to climate change) are best served by non-local		southern part of Wisconsin, American sycamore is
sources. Native species suited to the site are		being planted as a replacement for ash species.
normally selected for regeneration.		
6.3.f Management maintains, enhances, or	С	Foresters use written silvicultural guidelines for
restores habitat components and associated		retaining structural diversity in even-aged
stand structures, in abundance and distribution		management systems. The Silviculture Handbook
that could be expected from naturally		has been replaced with Wisconsin Silviculture
occurring processes. These components		<u>Guide</u> – New edition. Chapter 24 covers retention
include:		guidelines, including legacy trees. Foresters
a) large live trees, live trees with decay or		routinely retain green trees in a harvest by
declining health, snags, and well-		prescription as well as by marking individual wildlife
distributed coarse down and dead woody		trees. In addition, native vegetation is retained in
material. Legacy trees where present are not harvested; and		riparian buffers and in retention islands.
b) vertical and horizontal complexity.		Legacy trees may be identified in the 2460 Form narrative and then indicated in the WisFIRS
Trees selected for retention are generally		database.
representative of the dominant species found		database.
on the site.		
6.3.g.1 In the Southeast, Appalachia, Ozark-	С	Foresters use written silvicultural guidelines for
Ouachita, Mississippi Alluvial Valley, and Pacific		retaining structural diversity in even-aged
Coast Regions, when even-aged systems are		management systems. The Silviculture Handbook
employed, and during salvage harvests, live		has been replaced with Wisconsin Silviculture
trees and other native vegetation are retained		Guide – New edition. Chapter 24 covers retention
within the harvest unit as described in		guidelines, including legacy trees. Foresters
Appendix C for the applicable region.		routinely retain green trees in a harvest by
		prescription as well as by marking individual wildlife
In the Lake States Northeast, Rocky Mountain		trees. In addition, native vegetation is retained in
and Southwest Regions, when even-aged		riparian buffers and in retention islands.
silvicultural systems are employed, and during salvage harvests, live trees and other native		The Silviculture Guide describes legacy trees in Chapter 24, part 8 and in Chapter 61 (big tree
vegetation are retained within the harvest unit		silviculture). Legacy trees may be identified in the
in a proportion and configuration that is		2460 Form narrative and then indicated in the
consistent with the characteristic natural		WisFIRS database. There were no difficulties
disturbance regime unless retention at a lower		reaching the Green Tree retention standards or
level is necessary for the purposes of		biomass standards.
restoration or rehabilitation. See Appendix C		
for additional regional requirements and		
guidance.		
6.3.g.2 Under very limited situations, the	С	There are no opening-size limits for the Lake States-
landowner or manager has the option to		Central Hardwoods region.
develop a qualified plan to allow minor		
departure from the opening size limits		
described in Indicator 6.3.g.1. A qualified plan:		
1. Is developed by qualified experts in		
ecological and/or related fields (wildlife		
biology, hydrology, landscape ecology,		
forestry/silviculture).		

	1	
2. Is based on the totality of the best		
available information including peer-reviewed		
science regarding natural disturbance regimes		
for the FMU.		
3. Is spatially and temporally explicit and		
includes maps of proposed openings or areas.		
4. Demonstrates that the variations will		
result in equal or greater benefit to wildlife,		
water quality, and other values compared to		
the normal opening size limits, including for		
sensitive and rare species.		
5. Is reviewed by independent experts in		
wildlife biology, hydrology, and landscape		
ecology, to confirm the preceding findings.		
6.3.h The forest owner or manager assesses	С	A team called the Wisconsin Department of Natural
the risk of, prioritizes, and, as warranted,		Resources' Department Invasive Species Team
develops and implements a strategy to prevent		(DIST) meets to develop tools to assist land
or control invasive species, including:		managers in addressing invasive species. They have
a method to determine the extent of		generated a rapid response protocol called the
invasive species and the degree of threat to		Wisconsin DNR's Response Framework for Invasive
native species and ecosystems;		Species. The team also works with an advisory
2. implementation of management practices		committee and conducts education and outreach
that minimize the risk of invasive		on invasive species topics.
establishment, growth, and spread;		Several thousand acres were treated for invasives
3. eradication or control of established		species, primarily for the purposes of site prep and
invasive populations when feasible: and,		release, as reported by FME staff. Refer to site
4. monitoring of control measures and		notes for examples (e.g., buckthorn treatment).
management practices to assess their		Annual invasive species inventories are updated as
effectiveness in preventing or controlling		well as Forest RECON updates include invasive
invasive species.		species inventories.
6.3.i In applicable situations, the forest owner	С	DNR uses prescribed fire in wildlife management
or manager identifies and applies site-specific		work to maintain open habitat characteristics of
		lowland and upland habitat. Prescribed fires are
fuels management practices, based on: (1)		•
natural fire regimes, (2) risk of wildfire, (3)		planned and controlled to meet safety and risk
potential economic losses, (4) public safety, and (5) applicable laws and regulations.		requirements. Many DNR personnel are certified fire fighters, and respond to wildfires when
and (5) applicable laws and regulations.		• •
		necessary, as part of multi-agency and multi-
		jurisdictional teams. For example, DNR has an
		agreement with the BIA, MTE, and Ho-Chunk on
		prescribed burning and wildfires on tribal lands.
		Both natural fires have occurred as well prescribed
		fire.
6.7.a The forest owner or manager, and	С	2023 audit: Pre-harvest inspection forms were
employees and contractors, have the equipment		reviewed by auditors during site visits. No harvest
and training necessary to respond to hazardous		sites visited were active during the audit. The state
spills		requirement is to maintain an accessible spill
		containment and clean-up kit appropriate for the
		material on the operation. There was no evidence

NC

of violations of this requirement during onsite inspection of idle equipment; no spills or persistent leaks on equipment were observed. It was not possible to inspect inside the cab or in compartments to verify if spill kits were available on harvest equipment. It is also possible that operators could keep spill kits in trailers or transport vehicles onsite. Given that spill kits must be accessible, and not necessarily located on harvest equipment, there is an opportunity to review best practices for back-up options, such as recommending that oil absorbent sheets be available on harvest equipment. See OBS 2023.2

2024 audit: The Potter Tract was an active timber sale with Hackaway Forest Products. The contractors onsite were interviewed. The foreman of the crew was not onsite but was interviewed via phone call. There was no complete spill kit onsite during the active harvesting that was occurring while the auditor was onsite. The truck driver indicated that a kit was in the foreman's truck but not currently there. Therefore, there is a nonconformance with indicator 6.7.a. OBS 2023.2 has been elevated to a Corrective Action Request (CAR 2024.1).

7.3.a Workers are qualified to properly implement the management plan; All forest workers are provided with sufficient guidance and supervision to adequately implement their respective components of the plan.

Logging contractors are FISTA trained. FISTA requires continuing education. DNR staff training is documented in the Cornerstone enterprise training database for State employees. Numerous staff training records were provided during the audit and a sample of qualifications and training records were reviewed.

However, management system procedures were not properly implemented on the Lodi Dodi timber sale. A new forester found that 65 acres were harvested with zero loads of timber accounted for in the pre-paid ticket system. DNR did receive three mill slips but none included the required DNR haul ticket. Documents indicate the mandatory pre-sale meeting with the logging contractor and DNR staff was not done onsite and signed, as is required in the Timber Sale Handbook, and there were only 3 harvest inspections done with the first being done 6-weeks after cutting began. The logging contract breach has been properly forwarded to legal and

		upper management for investigation and resolution. Therefore, a minor Corrective Action Request is issued to provide forest workers with sufficient guidance and supervision to adequately implement their respective components of the plan (management system), as required by the indicator. See CAR 2024.2
8.2. Forest management should include the research and data collection needed to monitor, at a minimum, the following indicators: a) yield of all forest products harvested, b) growth rates, regeneration, and condition of the forest, c) composition and observed changes in the flora and fauna, d) environmental and social impacts of harvesting and other operations, and e) cost, productivity, and efficiency of forest management.	С	
8.2.a.1 For all commercially harvested products, an inventory system is maintained. The inventory system includes at a minimum: a) species, b) volumes, c) stocking, d) regeneration, and e) stand and forest composition and structure; and f) timber quality.	С	Refer to C5.6. Reconnaissance data is collected preharvest and as part of the CFI system. See https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/ForestPlanning/forestInventory.html for more information. See also Wisconsin Forest Inventory Reporting System (WisFIRS), Public Lands Handbook chapter 100. Forest RECON is update at 5% per year. Forest Regeneration Metric (FRM) is completed on all harvested stands. CFI is updated on State Forests. Annual reporting requirements include parts a) and b) with other portions covered in WisFIRS and alterations updating done by foresters including stand condition observations during reconactivities.
8.2.a.2 Significant, unanticipated removal or loss or increased vulnerability of forest resources is monitored and recorded. Recorded information shall include date and location of occurrence, description of disturbance, extent and severity of loss, and may be both quantitative and qualitative.	С	Recon is conducted after large-scale loss events to reassess timber volumes according to interviews with staff. Salvage harvests are often arranged to harvest material from blow-down events. Through interviews with staff, each area is regularly inspected to detect potential thefts or damage to other resources.
8.2.b The forest owner or manager maintains records of harvested timber and NTFPs (volume and product and/or grade). Records must adequately ensure that the requirements under Criterion 5.6 are met.	С	FME also maintains harvest volume records in 2460 forms and invoices. Post-harvest reports in the WisFIRS system capture records of harvested material. NTFP records are maintained in the form of permits applied for since NTFPs are not commercially harvested.

С	
	CFI captures data on plant communities. Invasive species monitoring currently done as part of recon. Recommendations in the statewide strategic plan for invasives call for a more all-encompassing approach that would incorporate monitoring from members of the public. State Natural areas are monitored through inspection reports, thus addressing RSAs and HCVs. FME staff are ready to update GAP analyses, but are going to wait for the new FSC standard to avoid duplicative work.
NC	Review of WisFIRs records indicate that only 4 of 15 completed harvest operations visited had the next treatment scheduled in WisFIRs. Next scheduled treatments can include regeneration monitoring following operations, thinning, or harvesting and is required stand data to be updated in WisFIRS according to the Public Forest Lands Handbook. Therefore, a minor Corrective Action Request is issued to address the non-conformance. See CAR 2024.03
С	Interviews with facilities managers indicate that road monitoring is an ongoing process. FME completed a formal review of roads and parking lots and identified areas for improvement.
С	Statewide forest action plan looks into detail of effects of timber on state economy, updated every 5 years, looking at state of forest products industry, salaries of foresters, etc. DNR has daily interaction with state forest products sector. Three EL master plans completed with assessments on social and impact analysis. BMP monitoring and assessment. Statewide BMP monitoring for state lands last conducted in 2023. Stakeholder responses are reviewed on a property-level as part of annual management planning process, as confirmed in interviews with staff. At
С	process, as confirmed in interviews with staff. At the state-level, comments are considered and changes made to plans if warranted. Opportunities for joint monitoring are provided to local tribes. The Chippewa Flowage Joint FMP is multi-agency and includes specific opportunities for monitoring by tribal representatives.
	NC C

8.2.e The forest owner or manager monitors the costs and revenues of management in order to assess productivity and efficiency. 9.4 Annual monitoring shall be conducted to assess the effectiveness of the measures employed to maintain or enhance the applicable conservation attributes.	С	Although financial return is not the primary motivation of the state agency, revenue and costs are tracked and detailed as part of standard financial record keeping. Work planning metrics are established each year for public lands including time standards for timber sale establishment, administration, inventory and cultural treatments.
9.4.a The forest owner or manager monitors, or participates in a program to annually monitor, the status of the specific HCV attributes, including the effectiveness of the measures employed for their maintenance or enhancement. The monitoring program is designed and implemented consistent with the requirements of Principle 8.	С	Site inspections and photo points are employed on many State Natural Areas. Each of the District Ecologists visits the SNAs in their area at least once per year to evaluate any threats to the conservation value and to evaluate the effectiveness of any past management efforts. On a more informal level, virtually all SNA sites are visited by DNR personnel or cooperators capable of reporting any significant changes in the attributes of the SNA. Also, members of the public using State Natural Areas often inform DNR staff of issues they identify while on the property (e.g., serious invasion of unwanted plants or animals, storm damage, or unauthorized site disturbance). The SNA program has standardized methods for conducting long-term monitoring of ecosystems.
9.4.b When monitoring results indicate increasing risk to a specific HCV attribute, the forest owner/manager re-evaluates the measures taken to maintain or enhance that attribute, and adjusts the management measures in an effort to reverse the trend.	С	SNA inspection reports identify risks to the HCVF attributes (e.g. presence of invasives) and appropriate measures are taken to control the risks to the HCVF attributes on the site. SNA crews across the state address these issues.

Appendix 5 – Chain of Custody Indicators for FMEs Conformance Table

☐ Chain of Custody indicators were not evaluated during this evaluation.

Appendix 6 – Trademark Standard Conformance Table

1. General Requirements for Use of the FSC Trademarks (FSC "checkmark-and-tree" logo, initials "FSC," and/or name "Forest Stewardship Council")

Trademark uses reviewed:						
Trademark Application (on-product/promotional)	Case Approval #, or Email (include approver name & date), or other appropriate documentation	Are all elements correct? (e.g., trademark symbol, color scheme, size, etc.) If not, describe in Nonconformities below.				
Forest Products Services teacher presentation slide	315478	Y⊠N□				
State Forest APIP template	244661	$Y oxtimes N \Box$				
State master plan template language revised	236668	V ⋈ N □				
□ All known uses reviewed. □ Sample reviewed. Rationale that sample choice is sufficient to confirm requirements are met: There have been no new uses requested since 2020 and no unauthorized uses were detected during the audit or communicated in interviews with staff. □ Trademark uses detected include those grandfathered in under prior FSC trademark rules (e.g., FSC-TMK-50-201). Place the initials "GF" by the specific Trademark Applications above. Note: This only applies to printed items or physical promotional materials (e.g., hats, load tickets) in stock. New printings, items, and websites must be updated per FSC-STD-50-001 requirements. If the organization only has GF uses and no new uses, the rest of this checklist is NA.						
1.2 Trademark License Agreement and valid certificate In order to use these FSC trademarks, the FME shall have a valid FSC trademark license agreement and hold a valid certificate. Note: Consultations for certification Organizations applying for forest management certification or conducting activities related to the implementation of controlled wood requirements, may refer to FSC by name and initials for stakeholder consultation. Maintained on file by SCS Office						
Evidence 1.2: Maintained on file l	by SCS Main Office.					
1.6 Product Group List The products intended to be labe been included in the organization	have ☐ NC ☐ C w/ OBS					
Evidence 1.6: ⊠ Refer to Product ☐ The following nonconformance ☐ Refer to OBS related to Product	e(s) were detected in Product Gro					
1.3 Trademark License Code The FSC trademark license code a accompanies any use of the FSC t	— · · · ·					
code once per product or promotional material. 1.4 Trademark Symbol						
The FSC logo and the 'Forests For trademark symbol ® in the upper materials to be distributed in a coregistered. For use in a country where the trasymbol ™ is recommended. The Tavailable in the FSC trade-mark por The symbol ® shall also be added	the ucts or nark is e of the ment is NC C w/ OBS NA, one or more of noted exceptions applies					

Co	uncil' at the first or most prominent use in any text; one use per	
ma	terial is sufficient (e.g. website or brochure).	
	TE: The use of the trademark symbol is not required for FSC claims in sales and	
	ivery documents, or for the disclaimer statement specified in requirement 6.2.	
	Restrictions on using FSC trademarks	⊠ C
The	e organization has not used the FSC trademarks in the following ways:	□ NC
a)	in a way that could cause confusion, misinterpretation, or loss of credibility to the FSC certification scheme;	☐ C w/ OBS
b)	in a way that implies that FSC endorses, participates in, or is responsible for activities performed by the organization, outside the scope of certification;	
c) d)	to promote product quality aspects not covered by FSC certification; in product brand or company names, such as 'FSC Golden Timber' or website	
	domain names;	
e)	in connection with FSC controlled wood or controlled material – they shall	
	not be used for labelling products or in any promotion of sales or sourcing of	
	controlled material or FSC controlled wood; the initials FSC shall only be	
	used to pass on FSC controlled wood claims in sales and de-livery	
2.2	documentation, in conformity with FSC chain of custody requirements. Translations	
		С
	e name 'Forest Stewardship Council' has not been replaced with a	□ NC
	nslation. A translation may be included in brackets after the name, for	☐ C w/ OBS
exa	ample: Forest Stewardship Council® (translation)	⋈ NA, no translations
Evi	dence 1.3, 1.4, 2.1, and 2.2 : $oxtimes$ Refer to Trademark uses reviewed above	2;
	The following nonconformance(s) were detected ; or	
	Refer to OBS:	
	Refer to OBS: ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules	⊠ C
Sec		⊠ C □ NC
Sec The	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules	□NC
Sec The	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules e organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard	
Sec The rec	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules e organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3);	□NC
Sec The rec	e organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9);	□NC
Sec The red	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules e organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and	□NC
Secondarian Second	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules c organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7).	□ NC □ C w/ OBS
Sec The rec	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules c organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and	□ NC □ C w/ OBS
Sec The rec • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules corganization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval corganization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC
Sec The rec • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules corganization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval corganization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval.	□ NC □ C w/ OBS
Sec The rec • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules c organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC
Sec The reconstruction of the second of the	e organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval e organization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval.	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC
Secondary Second	etions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval organization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval. organization has an approved trademark use management system in ce. (If the organization has a trademark use management system,	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC
Sec The reconstruction of the second of the	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules corganization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval corganization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval. corganization has an approved trademark use management system in ce. (If the organization has a trademark use management system, mplete Annex A.)	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS
Secondaria	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules corganization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval corganization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval. corganization has an approved trademark use management system in ce. (If the organization has a trademark use management system, mplete Annex A.) FSC trademarks may be used to identify FSC-certified materials in the	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS
Secondaria	e organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval e organization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval. e organization has an approved trademark use management system in ce. (If the organization has a trademark use management system, mplete Annex A.) FSC trademarks may be used to identify FSC-certified materials in the ain of custody before the products are finished. It is not necessary to	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC
Secondarion Secondario Secondari	etions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules corganization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval corganization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval. corganization has an approved trademark use management system in the ce. (If the organization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has an approved trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has an approved to identify FSC-certified materials in the corganization has an approved to identify FSC-certified materials in the corganization marks may be used to identify FSC-certified materials in the corganization marks for approval. All segregation marks shall	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ NC □ C w/ OBS
Secondaria	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules corganization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval corganization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval. corganization has an approved trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system, in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a trademark use management system in the corganization has a tr	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS □ NA, trademarks no used for
Secondaria	e organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval e organization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval. e organization has an approved trademark use management system in ce. (If the organization has a trademark use management system, mplete Annex A.) FSC trademarks may be used to identify FSC-certified materials in the ain of custody before the products are finished. It is not necessary to omit such segregation marks for approval. All segregation marks shall removed before the products go to the final point of sale or are ivered to uncertified organizations.	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS □ NA, trademarks no used for segregation marks
Secondaria	ctions 8 and 9 Graphic Rules a organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval a organization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval. To organization has an approved trademark use management system in ce. (If the organization has a trademark use management system, mplete Annex A.) FSC trademarks may be used to identify FSC-certified materials in the pain of custody before the products are finished. It is not necessary to comit such segregation marks for approval. All segregation marks shall removed before the products go to the final point of sale or are ivered to uncertified organizations. Idence Graphic Rules, 1.5, and 4.6: Refer to Trademark uses reviewed	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS □ NA, trademarks no used for segregation marks
Secondaria	e organization has only used FSC logos that conform to the standard quirements governing: color and font (8.1-8.3); format and size (8.4-8.9); label placement (8.10); and 'Forests For All Forever' marks (9.1-9.7). Trademark Use Approval e organization has submitted all intended uses of the FSC trademarks SCS for approval. e organization has an approved trademark use management system in ce. (If the organization has a trademark use management system, mplete Annex A.) FSC trademarks may be used to identify FSC-certified materials in the ain of custody before the products are finished. It is not necessary to omit such segregation marks for approval. All segregation marks shall removed before the products go to the final point of sale or are ivered to uncertified organizations.	□ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS ☑ C □ NC □ C w/ OBS □ NA, trademarks no used for segregation marks

Appendix 7 – Group Management Program

 \boxtimes This is not a group certificate, so this appendix is not applicable.

Appendix 8 – Additional Checklists

 \boxtimes No additional checklists, so this appendix is not applicable.