Knowles-Nelson Stewardship grant ranking criteria for Nonprofit Conservation Organization (NCO) grants

State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921 dnr.wi.gov

Applications for Stewardship grants to NCOs are evaluated against scoring criteria and ranked in priority order for funding each fiscal year.

Self-scoring instructions

Applicants must submit a completed copy of these criteria with each application. Applicants are asked to score each application themselves, filling in the point total for each question in the "self score" column. **Please explain the score you feel your project deserves; include relevant supporting information where appropriate.** DNR staff will consider your explanations and base the score on the information you provide.

Applicants should complete the self-scoring process for the subprogram that best fits the management and long-term goals of each project. NCO grant subprograms include: Habitat Areas, Natural Areas, Stream Bank Protection or State Trails. Subprogram descriptions are found on the "Stewardship subprograms" tab: <u>https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/Stewardship/ApplyNCO</u> When a project suits more than one subprogram, DNR will fund the project from the subprogram in which the project scores the best.

Please note that for some of the Natural Area criteria, sponsors may not have access to the data required to answer each question. We ask sponsors to complete as much as they are able. Applications will not be penalized for questions unanswered.

All projects earn a combined score derived from 1) the criteria for *All projects*, and 2) criteria for the most apt subprogram. Please make sure to fill out both sections.

Please contact regional grant staff with any questions. Contact information for Regional Grant Project Managers is available on <u>https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/Stewardship/GrantContacts</u>

Thank you!

NCO Stewardship grants scoring and ranking CRITERIA FOR APPLICATIONS TO ALL SUBPROGRAMS

Project Name:	max points	self score	DNR score
Applicant Name:	20		
1. Preparedness & likelihood that the project will be completed			
A. Has an appraisal been completed for this acquisition?Please provide the name of the appraiser:.5 points	.5		
 B. Is there a signed option, letter of intent, purchase agreement or sale? Please explain which: .5 points 	.5		
2. Demonstration of local public support			
 A. Conservation of this property or the larger resource area (e.g. a park, priority watershed, natural feature or environmental corridor) is <i>specifically</i> referenced in local and/or regional comprehensive plans. Broad planning statements about prioritizing natural resource conservation cannot be counted for points in this question. 2 points Please explain and include link to or copy of the relevant section of the plan. DNR Staff will not research local planning on your behalf; please provide the information to support these points. 	2		
 B. The Project has committed <i>financial</i> support from local government, user groups, friends' groups, community organizations, similar local partner(s). This question is asking for demonstration of local investment – match from federal sources via statewide or regional grantors will not count. Funds raised and committed by local chapters will. Please list: 	2		

NCO Stewardship grants scoring and ranking CRITERIA FOR APPLICATIONS TO ALL SUBPROGRAMS

3. Improving access to public lands		
A. On this map showing ratio of population to acres of public conservation lands (see link below):		
 ☐ Is the project located in a red or yellow county? ☐ Is the project located in a green county? 2 points <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Stewardship/documents/acresperpeople.pdf</u> 	4	
B. On this map showing driving distance from population centers (see link		
below):		
 □ Is the project is in zone A or B? □ Is the project in zone B □ Is the project is in zone C? 4 points 2 points 1 point 	4	
http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/stewardship/documents/ProximityToPlaces.pdf		
 C. Will the parcel enhance access to public conservation or recreation lands? (Please note that you cannot claim legal pedestrian access from one parcel to another if the properties touch only at a corner). Yes, it will link disjunct or isolated parcels 2 points Yes, it will link a larger property to a section of public road where road access was limited or nonexistent (there must be legal parking along the road). 1 points 	2	
 4. Project contributions to water quality: will this acquisition benefit water quality in one or more of the following ways? Conserve land that will retain or absorb floodwaters Conserve headwaters Conserve wetlands Conserve land in a drinking water wellhead area For any of the above, please provide an explanation and a map illustrating the water quality benefits. Maps locating headwaters, wetland and well head protection areas can be generated from DNR's Surface Water Data Viewer (https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/SurfaceWater/swdv). Tips on using that mapping resource are available on the application materials tab on the NCO grant webpage https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/topic/Stewardship/ApplyNCO	4	

NCO Stewardship grants scoring and ranking CRITERIA FOR APPLICATIONS TO ALL SUBPROGRAMS

5. 1	Threats of conversion		
Α.	Is it very likely that the property will be converted to uses inconsistent with conservation/public recreation in the next 0-2 years?		
	These points will be awarded for <u>immediate</u> threat that conservation opportunity will be lost if this NCO purchase does not occur. Please describe the threat : 1 point	1	

(End of criteria for all subprograms)

Project Name:	max	self	DNR
	points	score	score
Applicant Name:	80		
The following questions are designed to measure the subject property's value for	habitat cons	ervation:	
 Wisconsin Wildlife Action Plan (WWAP) Conservation Opportunity Areas Data relevant to this question can be found on the WWAP web page: <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Wildlifehabitat/ActionPlan.html</u> Is the project located within a Conservation Opportunity Area (COA), and management plans will maintain the habitat of that COA? 			
Name the COA:	18		
This in a COA of:			
□ Global significance 18 poin	ts		
□ Continental significance 15 poir	ts		
□ Upper Midwest significance 12 poin	ts		
□ Statewide significance 9 poin	ts		
2. Consistency with waterfowl habitat conservation priorities - see map			
depicting areas of conservation priority watersheds:			
https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/sites/default/files/topic/Stewardship/WI_Priority_	\mathbf{w}		
aterfowl_Habitat_Watersheds_V2.pdf	. 8		
The acquisition is located in priority area 7, 8, or 9. 8 poir	its		
The acquisition is located in priority area 4, 5, or 6. 4 poir			
□ The acquisition is located in priority area 1, 2, or 3. 0 poir	its		
OR – a project cannot get points for both questions 2	and 3		I
3. Preservation of important and viable grassland habitat			
 Property lies within DNR's Southwest Grassland and Stream Conservation Area or in the Central Wisconsin Grasslands Conservation Area in Adams, Portage, Marathon, and Wood Counties south of Hwy 153. See maps: <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/stewardship/documents/GrasslandHabitatMap.p</u> OR Property will add to an area of permanently conserved grassland that, including this property, exceeds 250 acres. 8 point 	_		
8 point	>		

Pro	operty's value for wildlife-based recreation		
4.	Is the property well-suited for wildlife viewing? Is there is a population of raptors, shorebirds, waterfowl, or songbirds on this property all or part of the year? 4 pts. Describe:		
	 a. Does the property offer a good viewing opportunity – e.g. treeless bluff top, shoreline, boardwalk or viewing platform? 2 pts. Describe: 	8	
	 b. If a. is true, is this viewing opportunity less than ½ mile from legal parking? Yes 2 pts No (these points are additive: if all three things are true, a project can score 8 points). 		
5.	Is the property reasonably accessible?		
	These points are to give priority to projects where pedestrian use will not be complicated significantly by terrain or distance from public roads. All projects start with 4 points unless legal parking is further than ¾ mile by foot from legal parking, or more than 30 minutes by boat from a public landing, in which case those 4 are subtracted. Describe access to this property:	4	
Pro	stection of designated waters and important aquatic habitat		
6.	Is any of the following true of this parcel? □ Property includes frontage on a water body designated an Outstanding or Exceptional Resource Water http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/SurfaceWater/orwerw.html. 7 points □ Property includes frontage on a Class I or Class II trout stream http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/fishing/trout/streammaps.html 6 points □ Property includes frontage on a federal or state-designated wild or scenic river. http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/lands/wildrivers/ 5 points	7	
	 Property includes coastal wetlands as identified in the Coastal Wetlands of Wisconsin <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/wetlands/cw/</u> 4 points 		

 Does the parcel include naturally occurring shoreline? Include the length of both banks of a stream or river if the stream bisects the parcel with at least 66' on both sides. This is protected shoreline on the parcel only, not a bigger project area. Points not awarded for ditches or ponds created for agricultural or landscaping purposes. Parcel includes .25 – 1+ mile of frontage on a water body? 6 points Parcel includes .1 to .25 mile of frontage on a water body? 3 points Parcel includes some but less than .1 mile of frontage? 1 point 	6	
Protection of important habitat types or species		•
 8. Does the property contain an identified natural community type designated as a "major conservation opportunity" by the WWAP? Use the map on the ecological landscapes webpage (https://dnr.wi.gov/topic/landscapes/index.asp?mode=Choose) to navigate to the appropriate region of the state. Use the "community opportunities" tab to identify natural communities designated "major" conservation opportunities. List the ecological landscape in which the property lies and any "major" natural communities present on the parcel: 	5	
9. Does the property host any state or federal threatened or endangered species? If so, please list:	6	
Value added factors 10. Is this acquisition adding to contiguous conserved land?		

 11. Is the site in an environmental corridor? For this question, "environmental corridor" is defined as land which has been designated as a corridor by local or regional planning, or which lies within a linear area of interconnected green space or network of natural areas and features, conserved lands, and open space. Describe: 	6	

(End of Habitat Area criteria)

Project Name:		max points	self score	DNR score
Applicant Name:		80		
1. Rarity, quality, and number of natural con Please reference Wisconsin DNR's NHI Wol answer the questions below. <u>http://dnr.wi</u>	rking List of natural community types to			
The S-Rank, or "State Element Rank" is an abundance of a community type.	indicator of the statewide extent or			
The EO-Rank, or "Element Occurrence Ran natural community at this site. Applicants complete the EO-Rank questions. DNR will	may not have access to the data needed to			
The "primary community" is the focal com property, not necessarily the largest or mo				
WHAT IS THE PRIMARY natural communit	y type?			
Find the state rarity (S-Rank) of the commu Rank) of the specific <u>occurrence</u> of the com below. For community types not ranked o aquatic types, use S3. For parcels on which community type (i.e. old field, agricultural	nmunity type and score as indicated n the NHI Working List, such as most h there is no classified natural			
<u>S - Rank point scale</u> :	EO - Rank point scale:			
S1 or S2 = 8 points	A or B = 16 points			
S2S3 = 6 points	BC = 8 points			
S3 = 4 points	C = 6 points			
S4 = 1 points	D = 1 points			
1A. Primary community score - S - Rank	+ EO - Rank =	A. 24		

 1B. If there are additional natural communities present with EO ranks of A, B or C, list the communities present. 6 points for each, up to 12 total. List any ADDITIONAL natural community types Additional community score (12 max) 	B. 12	
 1C. Up to 4 additional points may be awarded if this parcel hosts an especially diverse or otherwise exceptional example of one of the natural communities considered above. Please list the community type and include justification for your claim that this is an exceptional natural community. 		
Additional points (4 max)	C. 4	
TOTAL Score of sections 1 A, B and C(40 max)	TOTAL 40	

 2. Occurrence of rare species. Rare species are defined as those listed as state or federally endangered or threatened, or which have an NHI Working List State Element Rank of S3 or rarer. The Working List is on DNR's website: http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/nhi/wlist.is on DNR's website: 2A. Rare species occurrence has been documented on the parcel within the past 20 years. Documented" occurrence means a rare species is catalogued in the NHI, or its presence is otherwise cited by a dependable source. The parcel must support, or be capable of supporting, a reproducing population of the species, or – for animals – provide essential non-breeding habitat (example: bald eagle winter roost). Migratory capables of the parcel during population of the species. 	Α9	
species observations during non-breeding periods should not score points here Please list: 9 points		
*".		
 2B. Rare species occurrence other than those listed in 2A has been documented in the vicinity of the parcel in the past 20 years AND the parcel either currently provides viable habitat likely to support the species, or viable habitat for the species will be restored on the parcel. Please list: 6 points 	В б	
OR (one cannot get points for both 2B and 2C) 2C. Rare species occurrence other than those listed in 2A has been documented in the vicinity in the past 20 years, but the parcel contains poor to marginal habitat for that species. Please list: 3 points	С 3	
SUBTOTAL 2A & B or C (15 points max)	15	

question 2 cont'd		
2D ADDITIONAL POINTS (4 points max)		
Up to 4 additional points may be awarded for parcels whe	re any of the following is	
true. Check any that apply below and justify the case for t	he exceptional nature of	
this tract.		
This property:		
hosts an exceptional population of a rare species		
contains rare species habitat which is extremely li		
hosts an outstanding congregation of multiple rar		
hosts a rare species which is endemic to WI or the	0	
is within designated critical habitat for federally list	sted species D 4	
is part of a state or federal species recovery plan		
Please describe:		
TOTAL points question 2 (A, B or C, and D) (19 MAX)	TOTAL	
	19	
3. Degree to which the natural values are already protected		
primary natural community found on this parcel, what pe		
quality sites are currently protected statewide? (Applican		
needed to complete this; ok to leave this section blank.)	,	
Community type:		
~0-25% protected	6	
~26-75% protected 3 points		
> 75% protected 0 points		

4.	Other significant features?		
	 Does the property host an archaeological feature (e.g. effigy mound, midden, rock art, etc.) 3 points 		
	 Does the property host an unusual or significant geological formation (e.g. representative or outstanding example of a glacial landform, bedrock outcrop, algific talus slope, escarpment, dalles, etc. 3 points 	9	
	 Does the property support an important animal species concentration site for Species of Greatest Conservation Need as identified in the WWAP (e.g., bat or reptile hibernaculum, roost, rookery, mussel bed, etc.) 3 points 		
Ple	ease describe features for points in question 4 above:		
5.	 Is the ecological integrity of this parcel and/or adjacent protected lands threatened by invasive species, ecological succession (due to absence of fire, e.g.), or other threats <i>that acquisition will reduce?</i> Choose one of the following: Threat very high: high likelihood of significant damage from natural threats in next 2 years 3 points Threat high: likelihood of damage in 2 – 5 years 2 points Threat moderate: likelihood of damage > 5 years 1 point No identifiable threat 0 points 	3	
6.	Is parcel within a Conservation Opportunity Area identified in the Wisconsin Wildlife Action Plan http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/Wildlifehabitat/ActionPlan.html Name of COA:	3	
7.	Is the property within approved boundary of an existing DNR owned or managed		
	State Natural Area? This question is a tie breaker – no numeric score assigned.		

(End of Natural Area Criteria)

NCO Stewardship grants scoring and ranking STREAM BANK PROTECTION

Project Name:	max points	self-	DNR
Applicant Name:	80	score	score
1. Degree to which the project will protect water quality and aquatic habitat.			
 River or stream has high ecological importance: is classified as an exceptional warm water sport fish, class I trout stream or as an Outstanding Resource Waterway. River or stream has ecological importance or protects against an imminent threat to its ecological integrity: is classified as an Exceptional Resource Waterway, or class II trout stream. River or stream has average ecological importance: is a class III trout stream or average or marginal warm-water sport fish stream. River or stream has limited ecological importance: is a stream with aquatic life or a warm-water forage fish stream. River or stream is designated an impaired water, listed as 303d by the WI DNR. Data related to these criteria can be found on DNR's Surface Water Data Viewer: http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/swdv/ 	16		
Intep.//dni.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/swdv/			
Use this space to explain how the water body protected by this parcel is designated or	r classified p	er question	1 above.
 2. Protection of endangered resources or rare habitat. The property preserves an existing rare natural community or rare species habitat or will be restored to a rare natural community or habitat for rare species. 3 points The property contains state or federal threatened or endangered species. 3 points Please list rare natural communities and/or species occurring: 	6		
3. Parcel includes frontage on a state or federally designated Wild River. <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/lands/wildrivers/</u> 6 points Please name the River:	6		

NCO Stewardship grants scoring and ranking STREAM BANK PROTECTION

4. Potential threat from agriculture and urban runoff. The project will provide for acquisition of lands threatened by agricultural or urban runoff.	8		
Use this space to describe circumstances justifying the points in question 4 above.		•	
5. Critical drainage area protected?			
 The proposed project includes and protects all the land within 66 feet of both sides of the river or stream. The proposed project includes and protects all the land within 66 feet of only one side of the river or stream. 3 points 	6		
6. Length of protected shoreline? (count the length of both banks of a stream or river			
if the stream bisects the parcel with at least 66' on both sides)			
$\Box Parcel includes \ge 1 \text{ mile of frontage} \qquad \qquad 11 \text{ points}$	11		
Parcel includes .5 to 1 mile of frontage	11		
Parcel includes .1 to .5 mile of frontage 5 points			
Parcel includes less than .1 mile of frontage 1 point			
7. Is the stream within the Department's Stream Bank protection easement acquisition area? (Applicants may not have info to answer this. DNR will confirm points).	3		
Relationship to conservation programs per chapter NR 51.63 (c)			
 8. This property is enrolled in or part of one of the following plans or programs: (one point each; maximum of four points) Conservation Reserve Program – 16 USC 3831 to 3836 Land and Resource Management Planning program under s. 92.10, Wis. Stats. A Soil and Water Conservation Plan under s. 92.104, Wis. Stats. The soil and water resource management program under s. 92.14, Wis. Stats. The Priority Watershed Program Plan (nonpoint) under s. 281.65, Wis. Stats. 	4		
Additional factors			
 9. Plans for restoration and improvements (one point each) Project management plans include restoration of badly eroded sections of stream bank. Project management plans include demolition or removal of impervious surfaces. 	3		
Submitted land management plan calls for restoration to improve fish habitat via measures NOT mentioned in two points above.			

NCO Stewardship grants scoring and ranking STREAM BANK PROTECTION

Use this section to explain the points assigned for question 9 above:		
10. Relationship to resource protection planning		
This project will implement recommendations <i>specifically</i> described in an approved resource protection plan. (e.g. regional planning commission natural resources plans, local comprehensive planning, etc.). Provide relevant	4	
sections of plans, or specific links to such, that support these points.		
11. Connectivity		
□ This parcel is the first piece within a planned river protection corridor; is not		
adjacent to another protected parcel. 3 points		
This parcel is extending or adding to a currently protected area in the river	10	
corridor. 7 points		
This parcel connects 2 previously protected parcels. 10 points		
12. Access: This parcel will create new public access to a river or stream (this point is		
not for creating new access along the river corridor itself, rather new access to the		
river or stream from publicly accessible lands or a public road).		
Pease describe:	3	

(end of Stream Bank Protection criteria)

NCO Stewardship grants scoring and ranking STATE TRAILS

Complete the score for this section and fill in the total points in the "self score" cell at the top of this page

Project Name:	max points	self score	DNR score
Applicant Name:	80		
Gateway question: Is the subject parcel to be part of a designated "State Trail" by the legislature or the DNR under ch. NR 51.73, Wis Adm. Code? If "no," project is ineligible			
Filter question: If the subject property is in a rail corridor, is it vulnerable to possible reversion to rail use under state or federal law? If yes, DNR staff must evaluate potential risk before application can proceed.	n/a		
1. Trail project significance: The following questions are related to the merits of the overall trail project, not exclusively to the subject parcel of the application.			
 Trails system benefitting: Is the trail part of the National Ice Age Trail, the North Country Trail, or any other corridor designated as a National Trail by the US National Park Service. AND shace the mast applicable of one of the following: 			
 AND – chose the most applicable of one of the following: Consistency with existing land use plans: Is the project identified in the State Trail Network Plan?- 9 points https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/sites/default/files/topic/Parks/NetworkPlanPR031_3.pdf Is the trail identified as priority in the County's Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan, Open Space Plan, Green Space plan or equivalent publicly adopted land use plan? 	24		
adopted land use plan? 7 points Is the trail identified as a priority in local or municipal land use plans. 3 points 			
2. Connectivity			
 The subject parcel will create or improve connections between existing segments of public trails with similar uses. The subject parcel will create or improve connections to resource areas of statewide or regional significance or outstanding natural scenery as identified 			
 in a published resource management plan (applicant must provide documentation of the significance of areas. 7 points The subject parcel will create or improve links between existing parks or trails or to a city center or other recreational sites. 3 points 	20		
OR ☐ The subject parcel will provide a buffer along a trail corridor. (These points only available to parcels that will provide ONLY a buffer and not include the trail itself). 3 points			

NCO Stewardship grants scoring and ranking STATE TRAILS

The subject parcel will provide public access to a currently landlocked parce	l.		
(Note: it is not possible to get both 10 points for the first item above and 3			
points for this item). 3 point	S		
Use this section to explain the points given in question 2 above:			
3. Trail corridor improvement			
The subject parcel will replace an on-road or sidewalk section of trail with an off-	6		
road section of trail. 6 point	s		
4. Length of trail			
□ The subject parcel will create or improve access to less than 1 mile of			
contiguous trail. 2 point	·s		
□ The subject parcel will create or improve access to between 1 and 5 miles of			
contiguous trail.	9		
.	.5		
□ The subject parcel will create or improve access to more than five miles of			
contiguous trail 9 poin	τs		
5. Number of allowable uses - The questions below are counting common trail use	s:		
hiking, skiing, equestrian use, bicycling and motorized recreation.			
□ Trail will provide access for 3 or 4 recreational uses. 8 poin	ts 8		
 Trail will provide access for 2 recreational uses. 6 point 	ts		
□ Trail will provide access for 1 recreational use. 3 poin	ts		
6. Redevelopment: Project is related to brownfield redevelopment as defined in			
s. 23.09(19)(a) 1., Wis. Stats.	4		
7. Subject parcel's resource significance and degree to which this project will resu	lt		
in natural resource protection. Will the subject parcel and proposed			
management plan: (three points each)			
Protect state or federal threatened or endangered species or species of			
special concern? 3 poin	ts		
Please list:			
	9		
 Preserve an identified archeological or historical site? 3 poin 	ts		
Please explain			
Preserve unique geological or physical characteristics?	ts		
Please explain			
	1	1 1	

Stewardship Grants to NCOs – Project Selection Process

Authority to create scoring criteria appears in s. NR 51.04, Wis. Adm. Code, and reads as follows:

NR 51.04 Application procedures and eligibility for grant assistance.

(1) APPLICATION PROCEDURES.

(a) Applications for stewardship grant assistance shall be submitted to the appropriate region office on forms provided by the department. The department may request more detailed information and may withhold evaluation of the application until the additional information requested has been received.

(b) The department may approve applications as they come in, year-round to the extent that funds are available or the department may establish application deadlines for individual components of the stewardship programs in order to rate and rank competing applications.

All applications postmarked by deadline/s will be evaluated against established subprogram
criteria for possible award of Stewardship grant funds in the fiscal year (July 1 st - June 30).
Projects will be scored by grant program staff. Project scores will be composed of both points applicable to all subprograms and points earned in the relevant subprogram.
Statewide consistency in scoring will be ensured by review of central office program management staff.
Projects will compete against all other projects in the same subprogram.
The Natural Resources Board has approved the allocation of NCO funds as follows (<i>based on current state budget setting \$7 million aside for NCO grants</i>): 40% Natural Areas = \$2,800,000
40% Habitat Areas = \$2,800,000 10% Stream Bank Protection = \$700,000 10% State Trails = \$700,000
Projects meeting the requirements of more than one Stewardship grant subprogram will be evaluated in multiple subprograms to determine which subprogram is the best fit for the project.
Grant funds will be allocated, by subprogram, beginning with the highest-scoring project, in
rank order until all subprogram funds are exhausted. If less than 50% cost-share is available
to award, those remaining funds will be offered to the next highest-ranked application and the applicant may accept or refuse the lesser amount.
Successful applicants will receive "tentative award" notices, in writing, approximately 12-15 weeks after the application submission deadline.
Sponsors of eligible but unfunded applications will have the option to request that their application to be considered in the next grant cycle.
If all funds allocated to a particular subprogram are not awarded, the remaining balance in that subprogram becomes available for award to projects in other subprograms.
If a grant award is withdrawn or terminated by the DNR or refused by the applicant, funds
associated with that application will be offered to the next highest ranked project in the same subprogram. If there is not such an application, those unused funds will become available for award to unfunded projects, regardless of subprogram, with the highest percentage of the maximum possible score. (<i>Note: Applications in subprograms NA, HA and Stream Bank have higher priority than Trails per s. 23.0917(3)(c). Wis. Stats.</i>)