## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

1. Type of Estimate and Analysis		2. Date		
Original Updated Corrected		December 12, 2023		
3. Administrative Rule Chapter, Title and Number (and Clearinghouse Number if applicable) Ch NR 12, WM-09-23				
4. Subject Wildlife damage and abatement.				
5. Fund Sources Affected       6. Chapter 20, Stats. Appropriations Affected         GPR       FED       PRO       PRS       SEG       SEG-S				
7. Fiscal Effect of Implementing the Rule ⊠ No Fiscal Effect □ Increase Existing Revenues □ Indeterminate				
Indeterminate       Decrease Existing Revenues       Could Absorb Within Agency's Budget         8. The Rule Will Impact the Following (Check All That Apply)				
State's Economy				
Local Government Units				
Small Businesses (if checked, complete Attachment A)				
9. Estimate of Implementation and Compliance to Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(1).				
\$0				
<ol> <li>Would Implementation and Compliance Costs Businesses, Local Governmental Units and Individuals Be \$10 Million or more Over Any 2-year Period, per s. 227.137(3)(b)(2)?</li> </ol>				
$\Box$ Yes $\boxtimes$ No				
11. Policy Problem Addressed by the Rule				
Current rules implement statutory requirements for wildlife damage and nuisance conflict management. These rules				
have been in place for decades. Recently the department along with impacted stakeholders undertook a comprehensive				
review of all of the rules related to wildlife damage and nuisance conflict management. The proposed rules are consistent with longstanding practices while making updates to provide better clarity for stakeholders and partners.				
12. Summary of the Businesses, Business Sectors, Associations Representing Business, Local Governmental Units, and Individuals				
that may be Affected by the Proposed Rule that were Contacted for Comments.				
A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis will be posted on the department's website in May 2024 and				
various interest groups may be contacted. No fiscal effects on small businesses, their associations, or local governments				
are anticipated.				
13. Identify the Local Governmental Units that Participated in the Development of this EIA. A notice for solicitation of comments on this analysis will be posted on the department's website during a 10 day period				
in May 2024 and various interest groups, including local governments, may be contacted.				
14. Summary of Rule's Economic and Fiscal Impact on Specific Businesses, Business Sectors, Public Utility Rate Payers, Local				
Governmental Units and the State's Economy as a Whole (Include Implementation and Compliance Costs Expected to be Incurred)				
These rules, and the legislation which grants the department rule-making authority, do not have fiscal effects on the				
private sector or small businesses. No costs to the private sector or small businesses are associated with compliance to				
these rules.				
15. Benefits of Implementing the Rule and Alternative(s) to Implementing the Rule These proposals will contribute to providing good opportunities for hunting and transing and maintenance of the				
These proposals will contribute to providing good opportunities for hunting and trapping and maintenance of the economic activity generated by people who participate in those activities.				
16. Long Range Implications of Implementing the Rule				
The long range implications of this rule proposal will be the same as the short term impacts. These proposals will contribute to				
providing good opportunities for hunting and trapping and maintenance of the economic activity generated by people who participate				
1	1			

## ADMINISTRATIVE RULES Fiscal Estimate & Economic Impact Analysis

in those activities.

17. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Federal Government			
These rules are consistent with any federal regulations that guide wildlife damage management. Federal agencies also			
partner with the state in implementing wildlife damage and abatement programs.			
18. Compare With Approaches Being Used by Neighboring States (Illinois, Iowa, Michigan and Minnesota)			
The US department of agriculture's Wildlife Services and US fish and wildlife service partner with the state in			
implementing wildlife damage and abatement programs in Minnesota and Illinois and these rules are generally similar			
with nuisance wildlife management in those states. USDA Wildlife Services does not partner with Michigan but their			
rules on wildlife conflict management are similar to that of Wisconsin.			
19. Contact Name	20. Contact Phone Number		
Scott Karel	608-206-0222		

This document can be made available in alternate formats to individuals with disabilities upon request.