NAME OF SPECIES: Paulownia tomentosa (Thunb.) Sieb. & Zucc. ex Steud. (1)		
Synonyms: Bignonia tomentosa	Thunb. (basionym); Paulownia imperialis Siebold & Zucc. (2)	
Common Name: princesstree (1).	empresstree; foxglove-tree; karritree (2). royal paulownia (3).	
A. CURRENT STATUS AND DISTRI	BUTION	
I. In Wisconsin?	1. YES NO	
	2. Abundance:	
	3. <u>Geographic Range</u> :	
	4. Habitat Invaded:	
	Disturbed Areas 🗌 Undisturbed Areas 🗌	
	5. Historical Status and Rate of Spread in Wisconsin:	
	6. Proportion of potential range occupied:	
II. Invasive in Similar Climate	1. YES NO	
Zones	Where (include trends): Much of the eastern U.S. from	
	Massachusetts to Texas, including Ohio, Indiana and Illinois (7) (8).	
	It was first introduced into the United States in the mid 1800s, and	
	has since escaped cultivation and naturalized in many areas of the	
	eastern U.S (3).	
III. Invasive in Similar Habitat	1. Upland 🛛 Wetland 🖄 Dune 📋 Prairie 📋 Aquatic 📋	
Types	Forest 🖾 Grassland 📋 Bog 📋 Fen 📋 Swamp 📋	
	Marsh 📋 Lake 📋 Stream 🔀 Other: Paulownia can invade a	
	variety of different habitats including roadsides, cliffs, riparian	
	areas, open woods, nighway embankments, stream banks, forest	
	edges, landslides, burned-over areas, rocky out-croppings, mine	
	Spoils, old nome sites, and other disturbed sites (5)	
IV. Habitat Ellected	1. <u>Soli types lavored of tolefated.</u> Finitessuree grows well in	
	bumusy loams with good drainage. Tolerates a wide range of soils	
	including poor dry ones but dislikes unamended beavy clay soils	
	(3) pH range is 4 5-7 5 (2)	
	Roval paulownia can tolerate infertile, shallow, rocky, alkaline to	
	acidic, or very dry soils. It can even invade nearly vertical rock walls	
	and cracks in concrete.(5)	
	2. Conservation significance of threatened habitats: Its ability to	
	colonize rocky or infertile sites, make paulownia a threat to	
	some rare plants that require these marginal habitats. Its ability to	
	resprout or colonize by seed quickly after a fire creates problems	
	when managing species such as table mountain pine that require	
	fire for regeneration.(5)	
V. Native Habitat	1. List countries and native habitat types: From central and	
	western China and Japan (2) (3).	
vi. Legal Classification	1. Listed by government entities? Connecticut: Potentially invasive,	
	Z. IIIEgal to sell? YES X NU	
I. Life History	1. <u>Type of plant</u> : Annual 🗌 Biennial 🔄 Monocarpic Perennial 🗌	
	Herbaceous Perennial 🗍 Vine 🗍 Shrub 🗍 Tree 🕅	

	2. <u>Time to Maturity</u> : Royal paulownia trees start bearing seed after 8 to 10 years (4).
	3. Length of Seed Viability: NA
	 4. Methods of Reproduction: Asexual Sexual Sexual Notes: Each capsule contains up to 2,000 seeds, and a large tree may produce as many as 20 million seeds a year. Also royal paulownia roots sprout easily. (4). 5. Hybridization potential: NA
II. Climate	1. <u>Climate restrictions</u> : Requires a minimum of 180 frost free days (2). Zones 5-8 (3).
	2. <u>Effects of potential climate change</u> : Global warming may move the northern limits of princesstree's range further north.
III. Dispersal Potential	1. <u>Pathways - Please check all that apply</u> :
	Unintentional: Bird Animal Vehicles/Human Wind Water Other: Tiny winged seed (4).
	Intentional: Ornamental Forage/Erosion control Medicine/Food: Other: Princesstree has also been used effectively in poor soils in surface strip-mine reclamation areas (3). The species has value for its small saw logs that are in demand for specialty products (4).
	2. <u>Distinguishing characteristics that aid in its survival and/or</u> <u>inhibit its control</u> : Princesstree is very responsive to disturbance including an increase in light, soil disturbance, and fire. It re-sprouts vigorously after being cut, invades readily after disturbance or fire (particularity spring fires), and grows rapidly (roots sprouts can grow over 15 feet a year). (5)
IV. Ability to go Undetected	
C. DAMAGE POTENTIAL	
I. Competitive Ability	 Presence of Natural Enemies: No major insect pests are known for royal paulownia in the United States. No major disease problems have appeared yet in the United States. (4) Competition with native species: No evidence of disproportionate impacts on particular species. (7)
	3. Rate of Spread: -changes in relative dominance over time:
	HIGH(1-3 yrs) MEDIUM (4-6 yrs) LOW (7-10 yrs) Notes: At least some expansion or increase in abundance is inferred given increasing levels of disturbance in general across most landscapes and the active promotion of this species as a fast- growing timber crop by many U.S. silvicultural sites. Such tree plantations could serve as focal points for dispersal. It also seems at
	least possible that this species could escape in parts of the western U.S. warmer than USDA Zone 4 or 5. Most sources report that this

	tree is quite tolerant of dry (as well as moist), exposed conditions. (7).
II. Environmental Effects	 <u>Alteration of ecosystem/community composition?</u> <u>YES</u> NO Notes: May establish in previously burned areas and forests defoliated by pests (including gypsy moth) or landslides (5) (7). <u>Alteration of ecosystem/community structure?</u> <u>YES</u> NO Notes: Can establish in disturbed areas and very quickly grow to the size of a large tree. Its rapid growth rate, up to 15 feet in one year, and ability for form a quick canopy has led to its designation as a "miracle tree" or "super tree" (Paulownia.org, not dated). However, it does not typically form a dense thickets or canopies. (7) <u>Alteration of ecosystem/community functions and processes?</u> <u>YES</u> NO <u>NO</u> Notes:
D. SOCIO-ECONOMIC Effects	
I. Positive aspects of the species to the economy/society:	Notes: Used as an astringent and for warts (2). Royal paulownia was introduced into this country as an ornamental, and it still retains some popularity for that purpose. Its use in reclamation of the disturbed soils of surface mines grows yearly. The wood is highly prized for the manufacture of specialty items in Asia, and there is a brisk export business of logs to Japan. The export market has led to establishment of commercial plantations in this country.
II. Potential socio-economic effects of requiring controls: Positive: Negative:	Notes:
III. Direct and indirect socio- economic effects of plant:	Notes:
IV. Increased cost to sectors caused by the plant:	Notes: NA
V. Effects on human health:	Notes: NA
VI. Potential socio-economic effects of restricting use: Positive: Negative:	Notes:
E. CONTROL AND PREVENTION	
I. Costs of Prevention (including education; please be as specific as possible):	Notes: NA
II. Responsiveness to prevention efforts:	Notes: NA

III. Effective Control tactics:	Mechanical 🛛 Biological 🗌 Chemical 🖂
	Times and uses: Control Recommendations: For large trees make
	stem injections using Arsenal AC or a glyphosate herbicide,
	anytime except March and April. For felled trees, apply these
	herbicides to stem and stump tops immediately after cutting.
	Treat saplings with a basal spray of Garlon. Treat resprouts and
	seedlings with a foliar spray in July - October. (5)
	Large trees can also be girdled though there will be resprouting.
	Hand pulling may be effective for young seedlings. Plants should
	be pulled as soon as they are large enough to grasp. The entire
	root must be removed since broken fragments may re-sprout.
	Cutting is most effective when trees have begun to flower to
	prevent seed production. (6)
IV. Minimum Effort:	Notes: Existing trees can be eliminated but new seedlings must be
	removed until growth of other vegetation prevents new
	establishment (7).
V. Costs of Control:	Notes: NA
VI. Cost of prevention or control	Notes: NA
vs. Cost of allowing invasion to	
occur:	
VII. Non-Target Effects of	Notes: Native species can be negatively affected by herbicides.
Control:	
VIII. Efficacy of monitoring:	Notes: NA
IX. Legal and landowner issues:	Notes: Planted on private lands for ornamental or commercial
	purposes and will reinvade from these sources (7).

F. REFERENCES USED:

UW Herbarium
WI DNR
TNC
Native Plant Conservation Alliance
IPANE
USDA Plants

Number	Reference
1	USDA, NRCS. 2007. The PLANTS Database (http://plants.usda.gov, 2 May 2007). National Plant Data Center,
	Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA
2	USDA, ARS, National Genetic Resources Program. Germplasm Resources Information Network - (GRIN)
	[Online Database]. National Germplasm Resources Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland. URL: http://www.ars-
	grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/taxon.pl?27045 (02 May 2007)
3	Kemper Center for Home Gardening, Missour Botanical Garden,
	http://www.mobot.org/gardeninghelp/plantfinder/Plant.asp?code=A888
4	Bonner, F.T. Royal Paulwonia. In: Burns, Russell M., and Barbara H. Honkala, tech. coords. 1990. Silvics of
	North America: 1. Conifers; 2. Hardwoods. Agriculture Handbook 654. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest
	Service, Washington, DC. vol.2, 877 p.
5	Evans C. W., D. J. Moorhead, C. T. Bargeron and G. K. Douce. 2006. Invasive Plant Responses
	to Silvicultural Practices in the South. The University of Georgia, Bugwood Network. BW-2006-03
	http://www.invasive.org/silvicsforinvasives.pdf
6	Global Invasive Species Database, 2007. Paulownia tometosa.

	http://www.issg.org/database/species/ecology.asp?si=440&fr=1&sts=sss [Accessed 2 May 2007].
7	NatureServe. 2006. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 6.1.
	NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Available http://www.natureserve.org/explorer. (Accessed: May 2, 2007).
8	Czarapata, Elizabeth J. 2005. Invasive Plants of the Upper Midwest: An Illustrated Guide to their Identification and Control. The University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, WI.

Author(s), Draft number, and date completed: Mariquita Sheehan, 1st Draft, 3 May 2007

Reviewer(s) and date reviewed: Kristine Johnson, 5-17-07

Approved and Completed Date: Thomas Boos, 9-10-07