NAME OF SPECIES: Alnus glutinosa					
Synonyms: Alnus alnus (L.) Britton, Alnus vulgaris Hill, Betula alnus L. var. glutinosa L.					
Common Name: Black alder, Euro	pean alder	Cultivars? YI	ES 🖂	NO	
A. CURRENT STATUS AND DISTRIE	A. CURRENT STATUS AND DISTRIBUTION				
I. In Wisconsin?	1. YES	NO [
	2. Abundance: Widely sca	ttered populations	S		
	3. <u>Geographic Range</u> : Mai	athon, Sheboygar	n, Racine, \	Walwor	rth
	and Dane counties				
	4. Habitat Invaded:				
	Disturbed Areas Undisturbed Areas				
	A respondent to the nursery survey reported that it "only escapes				
	on pond edges or irrigated sites."(8)				
	5. <u>Historical Status and Rate of Spread in Wisconsin</u> : Herbarium				
	records date to 1926 in Wisconsin (Walworth county). Rate of				
	spread is low in Wisconsin. (1)				
	6. <u>Proportion of potential range occupied</u> : Can occupy a much			:h	
	larger habitat than it currently does in Wisconsin.				
II. Invasive in Similar Climate	1. YES	NO [
Zones	Where (include trends): H				
	the US where it has been o				
	reported as far west as Kar				
III. Invasive in Which Habitat	1. Upland ⊠ Wetland ⊠ Dune □ Prairie ⊠ Aquatic ⊠ Forest ⊠ Grassland ⊠ Bog ⊠ Fen ⊠ Swamp ⊠				
Types					
	Marsh \(\) Lake \(\) Strea			ow in ic	OW-
IV. Habitat Affected	lying lands, but can tolerat		าเลงแลเร.		
IV. Habitat Affected	1. Soil types favored or tole It prefers wet soils with full		otablo to n	oor or	dny
	soils with pH from 5.5 to 7.		otable to b	001 01 1	ury
	2. Conservation significant	• •	ahitato		
	Its ability to be dispersed b			n mone	0-
	specific stands, makes it a t	•	•		
V. Native Range and Habitat	1. <u>List countries and native</u>			12	'/
	Native origins in Europe, w	J .	nern Africa.	. It is	
	commonly found along str				
	wetlands. It grows in early		•		
	floodplain forest, forest we	tlands, shrub wetl	land, roads	ides, ya	ards
	or gardens. (2) Can withsta	and winter temper	ratures to -	49C (7)).
VI. Legal Classification	1. Listed by government en	_			
	It is reported invasive in IL,				
	restricted or prohibited by				
	Exotic Pest Plant Council Pl		ound in KY	, but no	ot
	considered an invasive spe	_			
	2. <u>Illegal to sell?</u> YES	NO 🖂			
	Notes:				
B. ESTABLISHMENT POTENTIAL A					
I. Life History	1. Type of plant: Annual	Biennial Mo		erennia	al 📙 📗
	Herbaceous Perennial	Vine Shrub	_ Tree ⊠		
	2. <u>Time to Maturity</u> : about	2.5 years until tree	e can repro	oduce a	and
	seeds can germinate (4)				

	3. <u>Length of Seed Viability</u> : Very few alder seeds remain viable beyond the first germination season. Germination begins within 10 to 20 days after sowing and is essentially complete two weeks
	later. Seeds can survive, although not thrive, under flooding conditions. (4)
	4. Methods of Reproduction: Asexual Sexual Sexual
	Notes: Seeds are able to be transported aquatically. Stumps are
	able to sprout readily. (4) Average number of seeds per catkin is 60;
	average number of pistillate catkins per tree of a moderate crop is
	4000 for up to 240,000 seeds per tree (7).
	5. <u>Hybridization potential</u> : Readily hybridizes with other species of alder. (4)
II. Climate	1. <u>Climate restrictions</u> : The species does not extend into regions
	where the mean daily temperature is above freezing for less than 6
	months of the year. Hardy to winter temperatures of -54° C (-65° F) (4)
	2. Effects of potential climate change:
	2. Effects of potential climate change.
III. Dispersal Potential	Pathways - Please check all that apply:
	Unintentional: Bird ☑ Animal ☐ Vehicles/Human ☐ Wind ☑ Water ☑ Other: Seeds may occasionally blow across frozen snow, but dispersal is primarily by water. Seeds contain an air bladder and can float for over 12 months. Saplings rarely found more than 20-30 meters from parent tree when water transport is precluded (7).
	Intentional: Ornamental Forage/Erosion control Medicine/Food: Other: Occasionally cultivated as an ornamental tree throughout eastern North America. Also utilized for erosion control (7).
	2. <u>Distinguishing characteristics that aid in its survival and/or inhibit its control</u> : European Alder is adapted to all soil textures. Hardy to very cold temperatures. (6)
IV. Ability to go Undetected	1. HIGH ☐ MEDIUM ☐ LOW ☐
C. DAMAGE POTENTIAL	
I. Competitive Ability	Presence of Natural Enemies: Phomopsis alnea (Fungi), Japanese beetle, European alder leaf miner (Fenusa dohrnii)
	2. <u>Competition with native species</u> : It inhabits early successional
	forest, edge, floodplain forest, forest wetland, roadside, and shrub
	wetland. Has the ability to create monospecific stands. (5)
	2. Rate of Spread:
	-changes in relative dominance over time: -change in acreage over time:
	HIGH(1-3 yrs) MEDIUM (4-6 yrs) LOW (7-10 yrs)
	Notes: European alder seeds have no wings; therefore, despite
	their small size they are usually not spread more than 30 to 60 in
	(100 to 200 ft) by the wind, although they may occasionally be
	blown much farther over the top of crusted snow and can spread

	by flowing water.
II. Environmental Effects	1. Alteration of ecosystem/community composition?
	YES NO
	Neter Died Alder's elikute finnskus en en de etertielle bene
	Notes: Black Alder's ability to fix nitrogen could potentially have
	harmful effects on native plant species in the area it colonizes. For
	example, certain native plant species which have adapted themselves to live in nitrogen-poor soils could be disrupted by the
	influx of fixed nitrogen in the soil from Black Alder. However, Alder
	can have beneficial effects on plants that require high nitrogen.
	Part of the nitrogen fixed by alders soon becomes available to
	other species in mixed stands, especially through mineralization of
	nitrogen leached from litter. In a 3-year-old Wisconsin plantation,
	hybrid poplars in a plantation spaced at 1.2 by 1.2 in (3.9 by 3.9 ft)
	grew 21 percent taller in a 1:2 mixture with European alder than
	when grown without alder (4.9 m versus 4.0 m; 16.0 ft versus 13.1
	ft). This growth increase corresponded closely with that achieved
	through optimal ammonium nitrate fertilizer treatment, which
	stimulated a 24 percent increase. (4) Can form monospecific stands
	but these have not been observed to be so large such that they are
	significantly reducing the number of native species in the area (7).
	2. <u>Alteration of ecosystem/community structure?</u> YES NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N
	YES ☑ NO ☐ Notes: Species has the ability to form monospecific stands. (5) Can
	increase the density of the shrub/canopy layer; not noted to be
	creating a new layer (7).
	3. Alteration of ecosystem/community functions and processes?
	YES NO
	Notes: See above information about nitrogen fixation; A. glutinosa
	leaves retain much more nitrogen in the leaves than other species
	of trees. Root system is both surfce and deep taking advantage of
	water at multiple levels (7).
	4. Allelopathic properties? YES NO
	Notes:
D. SOCIO-ECONOMIC EFFECTS	
I. Positive aspects of the species	Notes: Alder may be a more promising species to grow in short-
to the economy/society:	rotation, intensive-culture plantations for cattle feed. Protein yield
	was nearly that of alfalfa. (4) Also useful in urban landscaping due
	to its ability to grow quickly.
	Based on the 2011 WNA Economic Impact Survey, the following
	information was reported for this plant. Out of the 204 nurseries
	responding, 7 reported selling this plant. 4 reported it comprised <1% of their gross plant sales. 3 reported it comprised 1 – 2.9% of
	their gross plant sales. The estimated total dollar amount
	contributed to Wisconsin's economy by this plant is \$121,063. It
	ranks 12th among the 63 taxa surveyed. The estimated wholesale
	value of plants in production is \$92,500. The majority of
	respondents said it took 3 to 5 years to produce this plant. The
	trend for the 2011 season was to remain unchanged (8).

II. Potential Socio-Economic	Positive: Preservation of natural soil chemistry in wetlands and
Effects of Requiring Controls:	other areas colonized by this species. Negative: Costs of tree removal and control, loss of potential
	benefits the tree may provide.
III. Direct and indirect Socio- Economic Effects of Plant :	 Notes: Black alder has several beneficial uses in forestry. Alders have been recommended for afforestation of disturbed areas throughout much of the temperate world (46,52). Their tolerance of low pH and their rapid growth, abundant leaf litter production, and ability to fix atmospheric nitrogen combine to make black alder especially desirable for planting on mining spoil banks, which typically contain little organic matter and available nitrogen. (4) The species is a nitrogen fixer and invades systems with few or no known native nitrogen fixers, and consequently causes soil nitrogen availability to increase to levels that favor other non-native invaders at the expense of native species. (5)
IV. Increased Costs to Sectors Caused by the Plant::	Notes: Cost of control, removal, and community restoration.
V. Effects on human health:	Notes: N/A
VI. Potential socio-economic	Positive: Restricting use for right of way planting or other uses
effects of restricting use:	would prevent planting and new potential infestations Negative: Some nurseries would need to stop selling it.
E. CONTROL AND PREVENTION	-3
I. Costs of Prevention (please be as specific as possible):	Notes: Not much is known about managing this species. (5) Education and public awareness cost is highly variable and depends on numerous factors. Among these include the cost of materials such as pamphlets, fliers, and services such as informational webpage development.
II. Responsiveness to prevention efforts:	Notes: Prevention would be based on educating potential users to not plant it.
III. Effective Control tactics:	Mechanical Biological Chemical S Times and uses: For mechanical control, cut tree at stump and treat with herbicides. For chemical control use 50% glyphosate solution. Follow label and state requirements.
IV. Costs of Control:	Notes: Cost of chemicals for removal, PPE for workers, equipment for tree stump removal (Sprouting from a cut trunk is possible).
V. Cost of prevention or control vs. Cost of allowing invasion to	Notes: No information available about managing this species at this point, so the effects of doing so are merely speculative.
occur:	Management seems necessary due to the potential reduction of biodiversity in the areas black alder colonizes.
VI. Non-Target Effects of Control:	Notes:
VII. Efficacy of monitoring:	Notes:
VIII. Legal and landowner issues:	Notes:

F. HYBRIDS AND CULTIVARS	
I. Known hybrids?	Name of hybrid: A. <i>cordata x,</i> A. <i>glutinosa,</i> A. <i>glutinosa x</i> A.
YES NO	incana, A. glutinosa x A. rubra, and A. glutinosa x A. orientalis. (4) Names of hybrid cultivars: 'Aurea', 'Laciniata', 'Imperialis', 'Ouercifolia', 'Sorbifolia', 'Incisa', 'Rubrinervia', and 'Pyramidalis'(3) Brent McCown trying to hybridize with japonica for sterility (9)
II. Species cultivars or varieties	Names of cultivars or varieties and any information about the invasive behaviors of each: A nursery survey respondent reported growing Imperialis and Laciniata (8) Invasive behavior remains the same and the ornamental cultivars differ mainly in leaf size and branching patterns. (3)

G. REFERENCES USED:

\boxtimes UW	Herbarium
----------------	-----------

☑ IPANE

USDA Plants

Number	Reference
1	Wisconsin State Herbarium. 2011. WISFLORA: Wisconsin Vascular Plant Species. Department of Botany, University of Wisconsin-Madison, WI 53706. Accessed 11-10-11. http://www.botany.wisc.edu/wisflora/
2	US Forest Service, Northeastern Area. 2011. Forest Health Protection- Invasive Plants, Weeds of the Week. Accessed 11-10-11. http://na.fs.fed.us/fhp/invasive_plants/weeds/european-alder.pdf
3	Steiner, Kim C. European Black Alder: Characteristics and Potential for Improvement. Pennsylvania Agricultural Experiment Station. 6818.
4	US Forest Service, Northeaster Area. 2011. Publications. Accessed 11-10-11. http://na.fs.fed.us/spfo/pubs/silvics_manual/volume_2/alnus/glutinosa.htm
5	Natureserve. 2009. Natureserve Explorer: An Online Encyclopedia of Life. Accessed 11-10-11. http://www.natureserve.org/explorer/.
6	Alaska Natural Heritage Program. 2011. Accessed 11-10-11. http://aknhp.uaa.alaska.edu/services/AKNHP.cfc?method=downloadDocumentByUsdaCode&documentType =species_bio&usdaCode=ALGL2
7	Jordan, M.J., G. Moore and T.W. Weldy. 2008. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of New York. Unpublished. The Nature Conservancy, Cold Spring Harbor, NY; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, Brooklyn, NY; The Nature Conservancy, Albany, NY. http://www.newyorkinvasivespecies.org/PlantAssessments/Alnus.glutinosa.NYS.pdf
8	Wiegrefe, Susan. 2011. Wisconsin Nursery Association Survey of the Economic impact of potentially invasive species in Wisconsin
9	Tree, shrub, vine species assessment group pre-screen meeting.

Author(s), Draft number, and date completed: Scott Lee, Draft #1, 7/29/2011

Reviewer(s) and date reviewed: Kearns 9/25/2011

Approved and Completed Date: 12/16/11