

2019-20 Snowmobile Funding Summary

<u>Category</u>		<u>Amount Requested</u>	<u>Amount Funded</u>	<u>Balance</u>
Funds Available (*)	\$ 9,454,932			\$ 9,454,932
Maintenance	(18,510 @ \$300/mile)	\$ 5,549,772	\$ 5,549,772	\$ 3,905,160

Council voted to set aside \$2 million for storm damage and other unforeseen expenses

Storm Damage	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 715,622	\$ 202,902	\$ 1,797,098
--------------	--------------	------------	------------	--------------

Available after \$2 mil set aside	\$ 1,905,160			\$ 1,905,160
Club Signs		\$ -		\$ 1,905,160
Cost Increase Requests		\$ 81,610	\$ 81,610	\$ 1,823,550
Snow Bridge Rehab		\$ 1,117,324	\$ 1,111,290	\$ 712,260
Snow Trail Rehab		\$ 14,985	\$ 14,985	\$ 697,275
Snow Relocation Mandatory		\$ 42,133	\$ 42,133	\$ 655,142
Snow Relocation Discretionary		\$ 170,516	\$ 170,516	\$ 484,626
Snow Bridge New		\$ 261,400	\$ 93,400	\$ 391,226
New Miles	(1,262 @ \$300/mile)	\$ 591,310	\$ -	\$ 391,226
Snow Acquisition		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 391,226
Snow Non-Trail Development		\$ -		\$ 391,226
Supplemental Transfer				\$ 391,226
				\$ 391,226
Total		\$ 8,544,671	\$ 7,266,608	

Preliminary Supplemental (18-19): \$ 3,492,658.21

2017-18 supplemental final: \$ 2,145,475.00

(*) Based on Chapter 20 cap

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
#S1	Oconto	High winds and rain between 7/18/19 and 7/20/19 caused excessive tree damage. The preliminary cost estimate is primarily debris clearing and removal. Some trail rehab is anticipated. 50% requested from ATV council.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$367,140	\$367,140 After Cost Share: \$183,570	\$0	Deferred until info on FEMA available
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	183570		
		FEMA Eligible?	TBD	FEMA Applied For?	TBD	\$183,570	
#S2	La Crosse S-5400S	Between the time frame of 8/17/18 and 9/14/2018, La Crosse Co experienced unusually severe storm activity resulting in rapidly moving flood water. The flooding water caused a number of washouts, loss of rip rap and mudslides on the trail in numerous locations.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$11,995	\$11,995 After Cost Share: \$11,995	\$11,995	Motion to recommend funding by Bev, 2nd by Andy. Motion passed unanimously.
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
		FEMA Eligible?	Denied	FEMA Applied For?	Yes	\$11,995	
#S3	Monroe S-5401S	The week of August 28, 2018 the county received extensive amounts of rainfall that caused severe damage on the trails. They have repaired most of the damage and are looking for reimbursement.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$28,147	\$28,147 After Cost Share: \$28,147	\$28,147	Motion to recommend funding by Fuzzy, 2nd by Arlyn. Motion passed unanimously.
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
		FEMA Eligible?	Denied	FEMA Applied For?	Yes	\$28,147	
#S4	Pierce S-5402S	Due to rain event on April 17, 2019, the snowmobile bridge over the Big River is no longer in place. The trail is gone as well.	Bridges Culverts Equip & Labor Other	\$128,500 \$3,000	\$131,500 After Cost Share: \$131,500	\$131,500	Motion to recommend funding by Andy, 2nd by Mike. Motion passed unanimously.
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
		FEMA Eligible?	No	FEMA Applied For?	No	\$131,500	

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
#S5	Langlade	Langlade County was impacted by a high wind storm on July 19, 2019. Hundreds of miles of snowmobile trail were impacted, and the State of WI declared a state of emergency. This is a preliminary estimate of the cost to clear the trails, Funds are also being requested of the ORV council.	Bridges		\$329,150	\$0	Deferred until info on FEMA available
		Culverts					
		Equip & Labor	\$329,150	After Cost Share: \$329,150			
		Other					
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:		Yes	Amount:				
FEMA Eligible?		TBD	FEMA Applied For?		TBD		
#S6	Price S-5403S	Price County proposes to repair a bridge over Beaver Creek that was washed out during storms on May 9th and May 19th. Scope of work includes adding fill to the approaches and leveling the deck.	Bridges		\$4,940	\$4,940	Motion to recommend funding by Lee, 2nd by Sam. Motion passed unanimously.
		Culverts					
		Equip & Labor	\$2,020	After Cost Share: \$4,940			
		Materials	\$2,920				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:		No	Amount:				
FEMA Eligible?			FEMA Applied For?				
#S7	Barron S-5404S	Barron Storm Damage	Bridges		\$26,320	\$26,320	Motion to recommend funding by Bob, 2nd by Dave. Passed unanimously.
		Culverts					
		Equip & Labor	\$26,320	After Cost Share: \$26,320			
		Other					
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:		No	Amount:				
FEMA Eligible?			FEMA Applied For?				
TOTAL					\$715,622	\$202,902	

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE COST INCREASE REQUESTS

Region	County		New/Increase Component	New Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
#A1	Florence	Florence County has received three bids for this bridge replacement project, ranging from \$265,000 to \$580,000. This is higher than originally anticipated. The county is seeking an additional \$81,610 to cover the lowest bid.	Bridge	\$81,610	\$81,610	\$81,610	Motion to recommend funding by Lee, 2nd by Sam. Motion passed unanimously.
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Amount:					
		Original Grant # S-4898			Funding Category Bridge Rehab		
		Previous Grant Amt \$204,390			New Grant Amt (After) \$286,000	\$81,610	
				TOTAL	\$81,610	\$81,610	

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#A1	NER Calumet S-5405	Calumet proposes to replace two culverts lost during the March flood event on Corridor 5. The two replacement culverts will both be 30X24 based on downstream culvert sizes and consultation with County Land & Water Conservation employees.	*Structure		\$4,300	\$4,300	Motion to recommend funding by Bev, 2nd by Sam. Motion passed unanimously.
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$4,300		
			Site prep				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private: Private			Bridge Inventory #:				
Old Culvert Size: 18X24 & 24X24			New Culvert Size: 30x24				
Water Body: Pine Creek Trib			Labor Source: Contractor				
Years in System: 10			Design Weight Load: 14,000				
			# of New Mi	0	\$4,300		
#B1	NER Fond du Lac S-5406	Fond du Lac County proposes to rehab a bridge on Corridor 34, in the DNR Kettle Moraine Northern Unit, by replacing one substructure stringer and the entire deck.	*Structure		\$15,000	\$15,000	Motion to recommend funding by Joel, 2nd by Fuzzy. Motion passed unanimously.
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$15,000		
			Site prep				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private: public			Bridge Inventory #: N/A				
Old Bridge Size: 44x10			New Bridge Size: 44x10				
Water Body: Milwaukee River			Labor Source: Contractor				
Years in System: 28			Design Weight Load: 15,000				
			# of New Mi	0	\$15,000		
#C1	NER Manitowoc S-5407	Manitowoc County proposes to replace this failed bridge with a 12x40 bridge on B-1 West Trail.	*Structure	\$34,000	\$47,920	\$47,920	Motion to recommend funding by Sam, 2nd by Tom. Motion passed unanimously.
			Engineer		After Cost Share:		
			Permits		\$47,920		
			Site prep				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private: Private			Bridge Inventory #: ?				
Old Bridge Size: 12x20			New Bridge Size: 12x40				
Water Body: Mud Creek			Labor Source: Contractor				
Years in System: 13			Design Weight Load: 25,000				
			# of New Mi	0	\$47,920		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#1	NOR Douglas 5408	S Douglas County proposes to replace a broken bridge with a 60 inch by 24 foot culvert. The project will include removal of old bridge and installation of culvert. The project is a 50/50 split with ATV. Trail 24 Bridge Replacement.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$2,077	\$7,007 After Cost Share: \$3,503	\$3,503	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
	Yes or No: yes Amount: 3504						
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: private Bridge Inventory #: 13 Old Bridge Size: 12x24 New Bridge Size: 5x24 culvert Water Body: Trib Popular R Labor Source: Years in System: 25 Design Weight Load: 25,000					
			# of New Mi	0	\$3,503		
#2	NOR Polk 5409	S Polk County proposes to rehab the Cattail Trail Head Bridge near Amery. The project will consist of redecking, runners, and some railing as necessary. Project will be split 50/50 with ATV program. Cattail Trail Head Bridge Rehab	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$26,629	\$62,596 After Cost Share: \$31,298	\$31,298	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
	Yes or No: yes Amount: 31298						
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: public Bridge Inventory #: 1 Old Bridge Size: 16x140 New Bridge Size: Water Body: Apple River Labor Source: contract Years in System: Design Weight Load: 14,000					
			# of New Mi	0	\$31,298		
#3	NOR Rusk S-5410	S Rusk County proposes to replace the Trail 27 Bridge with a large arch culvert, 112"x75"x30'. The project will require a wetland delineation and H&H analysis. Trail 27 Bridge Replacement	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental wetland delin. Other	\$5,000 \$7,000	\$22,200 After Cost Share: \$22,200	\$68,200	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
	Yes or No: No Amount: 						
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: private Bridge Inventory #: 17 Old Bridge Size: 12x24 New Bridge Size: 112"x75"x30' Water Body: Twin Creek Labor Source: contract Years in System: 21 Design Weight Load: 25,000					
			# of New Mi	0	\$22,200		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#4	NOR Washburn S-5411	Washburn County proposes to rehab the Hay Creek Bridge. The project will consist of total replacement of bridge deck and wear layer. Also applied for ATV and RTP (tentatively approved at 50%). Hay Creek Bridge Rehab.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$53,768 \$7,500	\$61,268 After Cost Share: \$15,317	\$15,317	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
	Yes or No: yes Amount: \$45,951.00						
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: public Bridge Inventory #: 1311 Old Bridge Size: 12x178 New Bridge Size: Water Body: Hay Creek Labor Source: force/club Years in System: 17 Design Weight Load: 12,000					
			# of New Mi	0	\$15,317		
#5	WC Chippewa S-5412	Chippewa Co proposes to rehabilitate a 12' x 45' steel truss bridge that was originally built and installed in 1996 - \$18,000 S-1866. The decking needs replacement and there is less than 24" of clearance b/w the bottom of the bridge and the baseflow elevation of the stream. The bridge will be refurbished to replace decking, add adequate ramps, reset to increase clearance and increase weight capacity.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$54,000 \$3,000 \$900 \$1,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$5,000	\$67,900 After Cost Share: \$67,900	\$67,900	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
	Yes or No: No Amount: 						
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Old Bridge Size: 12' x 45' New Bridge Size: 12' x 45' Water Body: Cedar Creek Labor Source: Years in System: 23+ Design Weight Load: 25,000					
			# of New Mi	0	\$67,900		
#6	WC Chippewa S-5413	Chippewa Co proposes to rehabilitate a 12' X 40' steel bridge on Cor. 27. by installing riprap to stabilize upstream banks and protect bridge pilings. Investment in riprap at this time will extend the use of the current structure. The club will do site preparation and miscellaneous labor.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$1,500 \$6,782 \$4,500	\$12,782 After Cost Share: \$12,782	\$12,782	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
	Yes or No: No Amount: 						
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: 18 Old Bridge Size: 12' x 40' New Bridge Size: Water Body: Otter Creek Labor Source: Years in System: 29 Design Weight Load: 12,000					
			# of New Mi	0	\$12,782		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#7	WC Chippewa S-5414	Chippewa Co proposes to rehabilitate a 12' x 30' steel truss bridge originally built and installed in 1995 - \$7,400 S-1724. The bridge was removed from Cor. 33 when the trail was rerouted. Proposal refurbish the bridge by original manufacturer, (Anderson) reinforce to provide a 14,000 lb cap. and installed on the Boyd Cut Across Trail which currently has an at grade stream crossing. Bridge to be set on steel pilings protected by riprap.	*Structure	\$20,700	\$21,900 After Cost Share: \$21,900	\$21,900	
			Engineer				
			Permits	\$1,200			
			Site prep				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No: No Amount:							
Public or Private: private Bridge Inventory #: 3							
Old Bridge Size: 12' x 30' New Bridge Size:							
Water Body: Yellow Riv Trib Labor Source:							
Years in System: 24 Design Weight Load: 14,000			# of New Mi	0	\$21,900		
Variance or Exception?			Labor				
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
#8	WC Dunn S-5415	Dunn County proposes to replace a deteriorated bridge trailer frame bridge over Otter Creek on Corridor 64.	*Structure	\$33,400	\$43,900 After Cost Share: \$43,900	\$43,900	
			Engineer				
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$9,000			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No: No Amount:							
Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Dunn 14							
Old Bridge Size: 12'x30' New Bridge Size: 12'X40' w/appr							
Water Body: Otter Creek Labor Source:							
Years in System:			Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$43,900	
Variance or Exception?			Labor	\$1,500			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
#9	WC Jackson S-5416	Jackson Co proposes to contract new deck installation on the Glen Creek Bridge. The project will be a requested split with ATV.	*Structure		\$2,200 After Cost Share: \$1,100	\$1,100	
			Engineer				
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$2,200			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No: yes Amount: 1100							
Public or Private: public Bridge Inventory #: JC30028							
Old Bridge Size:			New Bridge Size:				
Water Body: Glenn Creek Labor Source:							
Years in System: 19 Design Weight Load: 12,000			# of New Mi	0	\$1,100		
Variance or Exception?			Labor				
			Equip Rental				
			Other				

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	
#10	WC	Jackson	Jackson Co proposes to contract new deck and railing installation on the Indian Grave Creek Bridge. The project will be a requested split with ATV.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$4,068	\$4,068 After Cost Share: \$2,034	\$0	<i>Not funded, this bridge has received snowmobile bridge rehab grant funds less than 10 years ago.</i>
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	2034		
	Public or Private:		public	Bridge Inventory #:	JC30023			
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	Indian Creek	New Bridge Size:				
		Water Body:	Labor Source:					
		Years in System:	19	Design Weight Load:	12,000			
				# of New Mi	0	\$2,034		
#11	WC	Juneau S-5417	Juneau Co proposes to repair Hillsboro Trail Bridge #3 due to severe damage to the abutments during the 2018 flooding event.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$9,200	\$9,200 After Cost Share: \$9,200	\$9,200	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
	Public or Private:		public	Bridge Inventory #:				
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 75'	New Bridge Size:				
		Water Body:	W Br Baraboo	Labor Source:				
		Years in System:	7	Design Weight Load:	12,000			
				# of New Mi	0	\$9,200		
#12	WC	La Crosse S-5418	La Crosse Co proposes to replace the Coon Creek Bridge which was lost in the severe flood event of 2018.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$79,000 \$5,000 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$6,000 \$5,000 \$5,000 \$2,000 \$5,000 \$9,000	\$115,000 After Cost Share: \$115,000	\$115,000	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	No	Amount:			
	Public or Private:		private	Bridge Inventory #:				
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 40'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 80'			
		Water Body:	Coon Creek	Labor Source:				
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:				
				# of New Mi	0	\$115,000		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A		
#13	WC	La Crosse S-5419	La Crosse Co is proposing to raise the existing bridge over Dutch Creek for better clearance in flood events, redeck the bridge and also install riprap to protect the bank. Groomer does not cross this bridge. Storm damaged last summer. <u>Option 1</u>	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$1,800	\$16,000 After Cost Share: \$16,000	\$16,000		
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:				
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	LC01				
		Old Bridge Size:	8'6" x 47.4"	New Bridge Size:					
		Water Body:	Bangor Creek	Labor Source:					
		Years in System:	Rehab 2015	Design Weight Load:					
				# of New Mi	0	\$16,000			
#14	WC	La Crosse	La Crosse County proposes to replace a club built bridge over Dutch Creek . It was rehabbed in 2015, the club paid for this. This would be <u>Option 2</u> to replace the bridge and re-home the old one.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$36,000 \$2,000 \$1,500 \$2,000	\$53,300 After Cost Share: \$53,300	\$0	Option 1 above was funded instead.	
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:				
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	LC01				
		Old Bridge Size:	8'6" x 47.4"	New Bridge Size:	12' X 50'				
		Water Body:	Bangor Creek	Labor Source:					
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	25,000				
				# of New Mi	0	\$53,300			
#15	WC	La Crosse S-5420	La Crosse County proposes to replace an older bridge over Fleming Creek. The bridge has deteriorated and safety is a concern.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$54,000 \$3,000 \$2,000 \$1,000	\$98,000 After Cost Share: \$98,000	\$98,000		
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:				
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	LC07				
		Old Bridge Size:	10' x 56'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 64'				
		Water Body:	Fleming Creek	Labor Source:					
		Years in System:	20	Design Weight Load:	25,000				
				# of New Mi	0	\$98,000			

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#19	Dane S-5424	Dane County proposes to replace the Dahlk Mt. Vernon Creek bridge on corridor 21 which was damaged due to 2 recent major flooding events which are washing out footings that cannot be repaired due to age and construction. Replacement of this bridge will improved waterflow preventing likely future bridge damage. <i>Costs based on single quote only.</i>	*Structure	\$76,000	\$91,200 After Cost Share: \$91,200	\$91,200	
		Engineer					
		Permits					
		Site prep	\$11,000				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private:		Private	Bridge Inventory #:	9			
Variance or Exception?		Old Bridge Size: 12.5x24	New Bridge Size: 12x50				
		Water Body: Mt. Vernon	Labor Source:		\$3,000		
		Years in System: 20	Design Weight Load: 25,000		\$1,200		
			# of New Mi	0	\$91,200		
#20	Dane S-5425	Dane County proposes to replace the Streiff Spring Valley Creek bridge on corridor 21 which was damaged due to a recent major flooding event which compromised the stream banks at the site. The structure and footings have deteriorated to the point where it no longer supports snowmobile use. The replacement structure will be higher and longer, thus preventing likely future bridge damage from flooding. <i>Costs based on single quote only.</i>	*Structure	\$41,400	\$51,600 After Cost Share: \$51,600	\$51,600	
		Engineer					
		Permits					
		Site prep	\$6,000				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private:		Private	Bridge Inventory #:	14			
Variance or Exception?		Old Bridge Size: 12.5x25	New Bridge Size: 12x40		\$2,000		
		Water Body: Streiff Spring	Labor Source:		\$2,200		
		Years in System: 20	Design Weight Load: 25,000		\$2,200		
			# of New Mi	0	\$51,600		
#21	Columbia S-5426	Columbia County proposes to replace the deteriorated bridge over the Rocky Run Creek on trail 15	*Structure	\$49,000	\$77,000 After Cost Share: \$77,000	\$77,000	
		Engineer	\$3,000				
		Permits					
		Site prep	\$1,000				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private:		Public	Bridge Inventory #:	18			
Variance or Exception?		Old Bridge Size: 12x34	New Bridge Size: 12x60		\$12,000		
		Water Body: Rocky Run	Labor Source:		\$2,000		
		Years in System: 20	Design Weight Load: 25,000		\$5,000		
			# of New Mi	0	\$77,000		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#22	Taylor S-5427 (projects combined)	Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the Pleasant Creek Bridge on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tentatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure		\$13,062	\$3,266	
		Engineer			After Cost Share:		
		Permits			\$3,266		
		Site prep		\$7,812			
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No: TBD	Amount: \$9,796.50				
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #: PL 1				
		Old Bridge Size: 42' x 10.8'	New Bridge Size: 42' x 11'				
		Water Body: Pleasant Creek	Labor Source: Contractor				
		Years in System: 30	Design Weight Load: 25,000				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$5,250			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$3,266		
#23	Taylor S-5427 (projects combined)	Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the Ballpark Creek Bridge on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tentatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure		\$25,813	\$6,453	
		Engineer			After Cost Share:		
		Permits			\$6,453		
		Site prep		\$15,438			
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No: TBD	Amount: \$19,359.75				
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #: PL 2				
		Old Bridge Size: 83' x 10.8'	New Bridge Size: 83' x 11'				
		Water Body: Ballpark Creek	Labor Source: Contractor				
		Years in System: 30	Design Weight Load: 25,000				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$10,375			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$6,453		
#24	Taylor S-5427 (projects combined)	Taylor County proposes to replace the decking and railings on the Bear Creek Bridge on the Pine Line Trail. This multi-use bridge is open to snowmobile, winter ATV and summer silent sports. The bridge last received grant funding in 1989. Taylor County is tentatively approved for RTP program funding (50%), and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure		\$30,167	\$7,542	
		Engineer			After Cost Share:		
		Permits			\$7,542		
		Site prep		\$18,042			
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?					
		Yes or No: TBD	Amount: \$22,625.25				
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #: PL 3				
		Old Bridge Size: 97' x 10.8'	New Bridge Size: 97' x 11'				
		Water Body: Bear Creek	Labor Source: Contractor				
		Years in System: 30	Design Weight Load: 25,000				
			Riprap				
			Labor	\$12,125			
			Equip Rental				
			Other				
			# of New Mi	0	\$7,542		

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	
#25	NOR-R	Forest S-5428	Forest County proposes to replace a failing timber crossing over Furbush Creek . The existing structure was built by the club in the 1980's and has not received grant funding since. The county is tentatively approved for RTP funds (max \$45,000 per cap) as well.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$103,060	\$103,060 After Cost Share: \$58,060	\$58,060	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
	Yes or No:		Yes	Amount:	\$45,000			
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: 24' x 12' Water Body: Furbush Creek Years in System: 30+	Bridge Inventory #: 39-12-05 New Bridge Size: 28' x 12' Labor Source: Contractor Design Weight Load: 25,000					
			# of New Mi	0	\$58,060			
#26	NOR-R	Langlade S-5429	Langlade County proposes to replace an failing wooden bridge with a new bridge (Brown Bridge). The existing bridge is believed to be 20+ years old. The decking and railings of this bridge are in need of replacement, and the substructure is beginning to sag as well. Langlade County is tentatively approved for 50% RTP funding, and is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure Engineer Gravel Disposal Site Prep Abutments Piling/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Signs	\$19,000 \$3,000 \$660 \$2,000 \$1,465 \$5,000	\$38,285 After Cost Share: \$9,571	\$9,571	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
	Yes or No:		TBD	Amount:	\$28,713.75			
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: 16' x 12' Water Body: unnamed Years in System: 20+	Bridge Inventory #: Langlade-11 New Bridge Size: 20' x 12' Labor Source: Contractor Design Weight Load: 25,000					
			# of New Mi	0	\$9,571			
#27	NOR-R	Iron S-5430	Iron County proposes to replace the decking and railings on a steel frame bridge over the Potato River on Trail 6 in the Town of Anderson. The bridge was built in 1995 with grant funds and has not received a grant since. Iron County is asking for grant assistance from ATV Grant Program as well.	*Structure Engineer Gravel Materials Site Prep Abutments Piling/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Signs	\$5,389	\$9,156 After Cost Share: \$4,578	\$4,578	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
	Yes or No:		TBD	Amount:	\$4,577.82			
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: 35' x 12' Water Body: Potato River Years in System: 20+	Bridge Inventory #: Iron #4 New Bridge Size: Labor Source: County Design Weight Load: 25,000					
			# of New Mi	0	\$4,578			

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A
#28	NOR-R Price S-5431	Price County proposes to replace an existing bridge over Deer Creek. The existing bridge was last rehabbed by the club in 2000. The proposed new bridge will be 28.5' x 12.8' and include two 17' ramps to eliminate the need to place fill.	*Structure		\$33,000	\$36,300	Council puts a contingency on this funding that the county bid this out at 25k load limit, not 14k
			Engineer		After Cost Share: \$33,000		
			Gravel	\$16,000			
			Materials				
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private:		Private	Bridge Inventory #:	Price #12			
Variance or Exception?		Old Bridge Size: 28.5' x 12'	New Bridge Size:	28.5' x 12.8'			
		Water Body: Deer Creek	Labor Source:	Contractor			
		Years in System: 19+	Design Weight Load:	14,000			
			Riprap				
			Culverts				
			Signs	\$10,000			
			Equip Rental	\$3,500			
			# of New Mi	0	\$33,000		
#29	SER Sheboygan S-5432	Sheboygan County proposes to replace the center support, decking and railings on Bridge 42	*Structure		\$1,600	\$1,600	Motion (by Bob) to recommend funding projects A1 to 29, with modifications noted above, minus projects 10 and 14. 2nd by Joel. Motion approved unanimously.
			Engineer		After Cost Share: \$1,600		
			Permits				
			Site prep	\$100			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?							
Yes or No:			No	Amount:			
Public or Private:		Private	Bridge Inventory #:	42			
Variance or Exception?		Old Bridge Size: 8x12	New Bridge Size:	8x12			
		Water Body: Ben Nutt Creek	Labor Source:	club			
		Years in System: 13	Design Weight Load:	12,000			
			Riprap				
			Culverts				
			Other	\$200			
			# of New Mi	0	\$1,600		
TOTAL					\$1,117,324	\$1,111,290	\$0

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-R	Vilas S-5433	Vilas County proposes to rehab Trail 11 in the Highway O right-of-way near Presque Isle . There are two stretches of highway right-of-way that the trail utilizes to avoid Rainbow Creek. The side slopes on the highway right-of way are too steep to enable grooming and several snowmobilers have rolled their sleds trying to navigate. The project would involve installing railing on the two segments for a total of 400 linear feet on the downhill side. Fill and grading on the uphill side of the railings will allow for safe passage for groomers and snowmobilers.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$4,500 \$6,985 \$3,500	\$14,985 After Cost Share: \$14,985	\$14,985	<i>Motion to recommend funding by Bev, 2nd by Fuzzy. Motion passed unanimously.</i>
#30	Other funds received, requested, or committed?						
	Yes or No:	No	Amount:				
	Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:				
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Contract			
	Water Body:	Labor Source:					
	Years in System:	Design Weight Load:					
			# of New Mi	0	\$14,985		
TOTAL					\$14,985	\$14,985	\$0

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-grants	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete App	TOTAL			
								0	17	5	10	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10				
#31	Vilas S-5434	Vilas County proposes to relocate 1.8 miles of Corridor 10 near Sayner due to loss of easement. Trail will be relocated onto public land using existing logging roads. Scope of work includes widening/easing corners. No net gain/loss of miles.	*Structure		\$2,730	\$2,730																
			Engineer																		After Cost Share:	
			Permits		\$2,730																	
			Site prep																			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?																						
Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Amount: \$																						
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor	\$650																	
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental	\$2,080																	
		Water Body:	Labor Source:	Other																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$2,730																
#32	Rusk S-5435	Rusk County proposes to re-route Trail 31 to move the trail at the request of the landowner. The landowner is requesting this to move it away from his expanded maple syrup operation on the same property. The re-route will include a 3'x25' culvert and result in an increase of .1 miles of new trail. Corridor 31 re-route.	*Structure		\$4,050	\$4,050																
			Engineer																			After Cost Share:
			Permits		\$1,400																	
			Site prep																			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?																						
Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Amount: \$																						
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor																		
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental																		
		Water Body:	Labor Source:	Other																		
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$4,050																
#33	Pierce S-5436	Co Rd Y Reroute - Due to a landowner change and all efforts exhausted, Pierce Co is required to reroute a trail and remove a bridge that has existed for over 30 years. Proposal is to remove bridge, reroute trail north to utilize and existing highway bridge that crosses the Rush River. Two approaches would be needed.	*Structure		\$19,633	\$19,633																
			Engineer																			After Cost Share:
			Permits		\$5,020																	
			Site prep																			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?																						
Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Amount: \$																						
Variance or Exception?		Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor	\$5,483																	
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental	\$2,450																	
		Water Body:	Labor Source:	Other	\$6,680																	
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$19,633																

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-grants	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplete App	TOTAL		
								0	17	5	10	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10			
#34	Pierce S-5437	Co Rd O Reroute - Due to a landowner closure, Pierce Co is required to re-route Corridor Trail 22. The trail serves the SW portion of the county. The re-route allows continued access to a recently constructed bridge. A small section of trail will require grading and aggregate per landowner request.	*Structure		\$9,045	\$9,045														30	
			Engineer																		After Cost Share:
			Permits		\$9,045																
			Site prep	\$1,300																	
			Materials	\$6,315																	
			Abutments																		
			Pilings/Piers																		
			Approaches																		
			Culverts																		
			Riprap																		
			Labor	\$1,430																	
			Equip Rental																		
			Other																		
			# of New Mi	0	\$9,045																
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?																		
			Yes or No:	No	Amount:																
			Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:																
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:																
			Water Body:		Labor Source:																
			Years in System:		Design Weight Load:																
#35	Oconto S-5438	Oconto County proposes to relocate a 4.27 mile section of Trail 1 East of Suring. The County is pursuing three options which may include extra miles.	Brushing	\$4,800	\$6,000	\$6,675														27	
			Signs	\$1,200	After Cost Share:																
			Permits		\$6,675																
			Site prep																		
			Materials																		
			Abutments																		
			Pilings/Piers																		
			Approaches																		
			Culverts																		
			Riprap																		
			Labor																		
			Equip Rental																		
			Other																		
			# of New Mi	2	\$6,675																
			Other funds received, requested, or committed?																		
			Yes or No:	Private	Amount:																
			Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:																
			Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:																
			Water Body:		Labor Source:																
			Years in System:		Design Weight Load:																
TOTAL						\$42,133	\$42,133														

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-grants	Deduct: RR Xing	Deduct: Incomplete App.	TOTAL	Comments			
							5	15	5	10	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10					
SCR	Sauk S-5439	In 2018, the Merrimac Snowbusters completed phase 1 of a reroute through the previous Badger Army Ammo Plant. Phase 2 is scheduled to be completed in 2019. This phase eliminates 4 landowners & a fence safety concern. Funding is needed for major brushing & other tasks. This trail is a major connector from the north of Sauk County to the southern trails. Exact miles of the reroute are unknown at this time but are estimated to be about the same as the existing trail, if not less.	*Structure	\$13,000	\$13,000	\$13,000	3	17	5	10	5	2	2	10	0	0	0	0	54	If a project starts to encounter problems that may increase the cost (as happened in Phase 1), stop and contact grants staff and/or county.		
			Engineer																			
			Permits																			
			Site prep																			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?																						
Yes or No: No Amount:																						
Public or Private: Public			Bridge Inventory #:																			
Variance Exception			Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:																		
			Water Body:	Labor Source:																		
			Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																		
				# of New Mi	0	\$13,000																
NOR	Rusk S-5440	Rusk County proposes to re-route Trail 12 due to a wetland area that does not always freeze down. The project will involve some dozing and brushing with 5 miles of trail and will add 2.3 miles of new trail. Trail 12 re-route.	*Structure	\$2,200	\$2,200	\$2,200	0	17	5	10	0	0	2	10	0	0	0	0	0	44		
			Engineer																			
			Permits																			
			Site prep																			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?																						
Yes or No: No Amount:																						
Public or Private:			Bridge Inventory #:																			
Variance Exception			Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:																		
			Water Body:	Labor Source:																		
			Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																		
				# of New Mi	2	\$2,200																
NOR	Douglas S-5441	Douglas County proposes to re-route a short section of trail under a WIDOT bridge to remove an at grade crossing. The project will involve the removal of soil under the bridge to provide enough overhead clearance and adding a gravel base. This project is proposed to be split with ATV, 50% Trail 2 Re-Route	*Structure	\$2,615 \$510	\$3,125	\$1,563															0	
			Engineer																			
			Permits																			
			Site prep																			
Other funds received, requested, or committed?																						
Yes or No: yes Amount: 1562																						
Public or Private:			Bridge Inventory #:																			
Variance Exception			Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:																		
			Water Body:	Labor Source:																		
			Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																		
				# of New Mi	0	\$1,563																

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	5	15	5	10	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10	Comments
#39	WC	Chippewa S-5442	Chippewa Co is proposing to relocate the Budweiser Snowmobile Trail. They will replace 1.0 mile of historic route with 1.8 miles of trail using existing field roads, woods roads and field crossings. This will increase safety, enjoyment and length of the trail.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$5,170	\$9,670 After Cost Share: \$9,670	\$9,670											0
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?																	
	Yes or No:		No		Amount:													
	Variance Exception	Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:															
	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:																
	Water Body:	Labor Source:																
	Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																
			# of New Mi	1	\$9,670													
#40	WC	Dunn S-5443	Dunn Co is proposing a discretionary reroute with 2/bridges. This reroute is due to a safety concern at the intersection of Hwy 40 and Co Rd M and will remove .33 miles of travel within 40' of the road. The existing trail is 0.45 miles, with 0.12 miles road route. Proposed trail is 0.61 miles with no road route. See letters of approval from DOT, Dunn County and the Colfax School District. Total project cost est. \$123,165.00	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$3,500	\$4,165 After Cost Share: \$4,165	\$4,165										0	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?																	
	Yes or No:		No		Amount:													
	Variance Exception	Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:															
	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:																
	Water Body:	Labor Source:																
	Years in System:	Design Weight Load:																
			# of New Mi	0	\$4,165													
#40	Dunn, cont'd	Bridge #1	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$78,100	\$78,100 After Cost Share: \$78,100	\$78,100											0	
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?																	
	Yes or No:		No		Amount:													
	Variance Exception	Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:														
	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	12' x 60'															
	Water Body:	Labor Source:	18 Mile Crk															
	Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	25,000															
			# of New Mi	0	\$78,100													

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-grants	Deduct: RR Xing	Deduct: Incomplete App.	TOTAL	Comments	
	Dunn, cont'd	Bridge #2	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$40,900	\$40,900 After Cost Share: \$40,900	\$40,900	5	15	5	10	10	10	5	10*	-10	-10	-10	0		
Other funds received, requested, or committed?																				
Yes or No:			No			Amount:														
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: Water Body: 18 Mile Crk Years in System:	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 12' x 45' Labor Source: Design Weight Load: 25,000																	
			# of New Mi	0	\$40,900															
NOR-R	Iron S-5444	Iron County proposes to construct a 35' x 12' bridge over an unnamed outlet of Stone Lake in the Highway 182 Right of Way near Springstead , in order to relocate the funded trail off the current creek crossing on the highway shoulder. Poor sightlines make this road shoulder crossing hazardous. The new snowmobile bridge will be placed on DOT right-of-way.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$12,816 \$250 \$143 \$4,680 \$3,029	\$20,918 After Cost Share: \$20,918	\$20,918	17	5	5	0	2	5	10	0	0	0	0	44	Motion to recommend funding projects 36-41. 2nd by Sam. Motion passed unanimously.	
Other funds received, requested, or committed?																				
Yes or No:			No			Amount:														
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Unnamed Years in System:	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 35' x 12' Labor Source: Force Acco Design Weight Load: 25,000																	
			# of New Mi	0	\$20,918															
TOTAL					\$170,516	\$170,516														

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Impact	LT Cost	Active	Incomplete	County	Total	Q & A				
#42	NOR-R	Price S-5445	Price County proposes to have a built-on-site 22' x 12.8' bridge constructed over an unnamed stream locally known as Genny Creek , on the Corridor 16 trail connecting Catawba and Prentice. Ice crossings have been used to date but a bridge would increase safety.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$13,000 \$3,000 \$500 \$10,000 \$3,500	\$30,000 After Cost Share: \$30,000	\$30,000	5	10	5	17	10	20	25	-10	-10	10	72			
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?																				
	Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Amount: _____																				
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #:	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: 22' x 12.8'	Labor Source: Contractor	Years in System:	Design Weight 14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$30,000										
#43	NOR	Rusk S-5446	Rusk County proposes to install a new 71"x47"x26' arched culvert in the road ditch where the groomer is having trouble crossing. The ditch sometimes carries water that backs up and causes flooding problems. HWY D culvert.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$3,800 \$2,200 \$400	\$6,400 After Cost Share: \$6,400	\$6,400	0	10	0	17	8	5	15	0	0	0	55			
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?																				
	Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Amount: _____																				
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Labor Source:	Years in System:	Design Weight	# of New Mi	0	\$6,400										
#44	WC	Trempeal. S-5447	Trempealeau Co proposes to replace a highway bridge crossing with a new bridge 12' x 40' over an unnamed stream. Due to increased traffic and the location of the trail crossing, it is felt a bridge would improve safety for snowmobiles and motorists.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$35,000 \$3,000 \$5,000 \$7,000 \$2,000 \$5,000	\$57,000 After Cost Share: \$57,000	\$57,000	5	5	0	15	10	0	0			10	45	Motion to recommend funding 42-44 by Bev, 2nd by Arlyn and/or Jeff. Motion passed unanimously.		
	Other funds received, requested, or committed?																				
	Yes or No: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Amount: _____																				
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: 12' x 40'	Labor Source: unnamed storm	Years in System:	Design Weight 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$57,000										

2019-20 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Permits	Location	Funding	Easement	Type	Impact	LT Cost	Active	Incomplete	County	Total	Q & A																				
NOR	Rusk	Rusk County proposes to install a new 12'x100' bridge on the S Fork Main Creek to alleviate a hazardous ice crossing. The project will require a wetland delineation and H&H analysis. Hawkins Mill Pond Bridge	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental delineation # of New Mi	\$159,000 \$5,000	\$168,000 After Cost Share: \$168,000		5	10	5	17	10	20	25	-10	-10	10	72	<i>Project withdrawn by applicant.</i>																				
#45		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td colspan="2">Other funds received, requested, or committed?</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yes or No:</td> <td>No</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Amount:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Public or Private:</td> <td>private</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Bridge Inventory #:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Old Bridge Size:</td> <td>New Bridge Size: 12x100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td>S Fork Main Cr</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Labor Source:</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Design Weight:</td> <td>25,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Other funds received, requested, or committed?		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: 12x100	Water Body:	S Fork Main Cr	Labor Source:			Design Weight:	25,000	Years in System:																
Other funds received, requested, or committed?																																						
Yes or No:	No																																					
Amount:																																						
Public or Private:	private																																					
Bridge Inventory #:																																						
Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: 12x100																																					
Water Body:	S Fork Main Cr																																					
Labor Source:																																						
Design Weight:	25,000																																					
Years in System:																																						
TOTAL																			\$261,400	\$93,400																		

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access										TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED				
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	7								
NM-2	NOR	Douglas	Trail 217	5.9	y	n	n	y	y	2	3	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	15		\$1,770	
NM-3	NOR	Sawyer	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	0.78	y	n	n	y	y	3	3	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	15		\$234	
NM-4	SCR	Rock	proposed new trail	7.15	x	x	x	x	x	1	3	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	14		\$2,145	
NM-5	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 18	3.44	y	n	n	y	y	3	2	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	14		\$1,032	
NM-7	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 3	1.6	y	n	n	y	y	3	3	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	14		\$480	
NM-10	NOR-R	Taylor	Taylor to Price Connector	11.9	Y			Y	Y	3	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$3,570	
NM-12	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	5.69	y	n	y	y	y	1	3	3	3	2	1	0	0	0	13		\$1,707	
NM-14	NOR-R	Price	Trail 85	3	Y			Y	Y	3	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$900	
NM-15	NOR-R	Taylor	G Trail	2				Y	Y	3	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$600	
NM-6	NOR-R	Taylor	Trail 86 aka North Loop	3	Y			Y	Y	3	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$900	
NM-65	NOR-R	Price	Trail 106	1.5	Y			Y	Y	3	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$450	
NM-9	WCR	Eau Claire	Fairchild Connector	12.2	X	X	X	X	X	3	3	1	3	1	2				13		\$3,660	
NM-1	NOR-R	Forest	Wolf River State Trail	14.2	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	3	3	3	2	2	2	0	-3	0	12	\$0	\$4,260	
NM-11	WCR	Chippewa	Shortcut	6.2		X	X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2					12		\$1,860	
NM-13	NOR-R	Taylor	State Corridor 25	4.3				Y	Y	3	2	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	12	\$0	\$1,290	
NM-16	NOR-R	Price	Catawba to Taylor	21	Y			Y	Y	0	3	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	12	\$0	\$6,300	
NM-17	WCR	Trempealeau	Newcomb Valley Trail	15	X	X	X	X	X	2	2	1	3	2	2				12		\$4,500	
NM-18	NOR	Douglas	Trail 17 Connector(3 Counties)	12	y	n	n	y	y	1	3	3	3	2	0	0	0	0	12		\$3,600	
NM-19	WCR	Monroe	Trail 34	12		X	X	X	X	2	3	1	2	2	2				12		\$3,600	
NM-20	NOR	Rusk	Trail 16	6	y	y	n	y	y	3	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	12	\$400	\$2,200	
NM-21	NER	Winnebago	C2 Drifters Waupaca Trail	4.65	x			y	y	2	3	1	3	1	2				12		\$1,395	
NM-22	NOR-R	Taylor	River Trail	1.8		Y	Y	Y	Y	3	2	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	12	\$0	\$540	
NM-226	SCR	Iowa	Bareneveld Trail Eagles request	17.5	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	2	2	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	12	\$0	\$5,250	
NM-230	SCR	Sauk	HC3W	1.77	x	x		x	x	3	3	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	12		\$531	
NM-25	NER	Marquette	Ranger City Corridor 1	23.25	x			y	y	2	2	2	2	2	2				12		\$6,975	
NM-24	SCR	Richland	Ithaca trail	13	x			y	y	0	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	11	\$3,500	\$7,400	
NM-26	NER	Marquette	#1 Montello West	12.7		x	x	y	y	1	2	1	3	2	2				11		\$3,810	
NM-27	NOR	Rusk	Trail 113	3.4	n	n	y	y	y	1	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	11	\$2,600	\$3,620	
NM-30	WCR	Trempealeau	Boondocks	8.5	X	X	X	X	X	2	3	1	3	2					11		\$2,550	

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access				Gateway-Population Access				Gateway-High Use				Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/Stater 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
					1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4															
NM-32	SCR	Lafayette	Arthur-Belmont	7.75	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	11										\$2,325		
NM-34	NOR	Ashland	Trail 13A	6	n	y	y	y	y	0	1	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	11										\$1,800		
NM-38	NOR-R	Taylor	P Trail SOUTH	2			Y	Y	Y	3	1	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	11	\$0									\$600		
NM-40	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 10D Eagle River	2		Y	Y	Y	Y	3	3	3	0	2	0			11	\$0										\$600		
NM-41	NOR-R	Lincoln	Hwy JJ Merrill Access	1.5		Y	Y	Y	Y	3	1	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	11	\$0									\$450		
NM-45	SCR	Grant	Ellenboro-Arthur	18	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	11										\$5,400		
NM-31	NOR-R	Taylor	C Trail Central	8.4			Y	Y	Y	0	2	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	10	\$0									\$2,520		
NM-37	NOR-R	Taylor	A Trail	3.5	Y			Y	Y	0	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	10	\$0									\$1,050		
NM-39	NOR-R	Taylor	E Trail	2.9	Y			Y	Y	0	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	10	\$0									\$870		
NM-43	SCR	Dane	County Line #17	21.1	x	x	x	y	y	2	3	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	10										\$6,330		
NM-44	SCR	Dane	Waubesa Snobirds #29	19.2	x	x	x	y	y	2	1	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	10										\$5,760		
NM-47	WCR	Chippewa	Lake Hallie Trail	13		X	X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2				10											\$3,900		
NM-48	SCR	Dane	Marshall/Utica/Nora/Rockdale #27	9.7	x	x	x	y	y	2	2	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	10										\$2,910		
NM-49	NER	Calumet	Fond du Lac Co line to Chilton	8.6	x				y	2	3	1	1	2	1			10											\$2,580		
NM-50	NER	Marquette	#2	6.7	x		x	y	y	1	3	1	3	2				10											\$2,010		
NM-51	NER	Waushara	Coloma #23A-Co Line	6.7	x	x	x	y	y	2	3	1	1	2	1			10											\$2,010		
NM-52	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail I	6			Y	Y	Y	3	2	3	2	2	1	0	-3	0	10	\$0									\$1,800		
NM-54	NER	Marquette	#3	4.5	x		x	y	y	1	3	1	3	2				10											\$1,350		
NM-57	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 19	3.8	n	n	y	y	y	3	2	3	3	2	0	-3	0	0	10										\$1,140		
NM-58	WCR	Chippewa	Big C	3.8		X	X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2				10											\$1,140		
NM-60	SCR	Iowa	Arthur club request	2.9	x	x	x	y	y	1	3	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	10										\$870		
NM-63	NOR-R	Taylor	C Trail North	1.9		Y	Y	Y	Y	1	2	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	10	\$0									\$570		
NM-64	NER	Oconto	Marinette 2	1.846	x			y	y	1	3	2	1	2	1			10											\$554		
NM-67	SCR	Dodge	Throttle Jockey Waukesha Co Line	1.4	x	x	x	y	y	1	3	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	10										\$420		
NM-8	WCR	LaCrosse	Segment 1	17.11	X	X	X	X	X	2	3	1	3	2	2		-3	10											\$5,133		
NM-82	NOR-R	Florence	Junction 17 to Junction 18	5.1			Y	Y	Y	3	1	3	2	2	2	0	-3	0	10	\$0									\$1,530		
NM-90	NER	Manitowoc	I-2	3.1				y	y	2	1	1	2	2	2			10											\$930		
NM-129	WCR	St Croix	250th Trail (9A)	8			X	X	X	0	2	1	3	2	1			9											\$2,400		
NM-23	NOR-R	Taylor	Medford North Trail	1.7		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	2	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	9	\$0									\$510		

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access				Gateway-Population Access			Gateway-High Use		Map attached Y/N		Certification attached Y/N		Public Lands 0-3 pts			Connect Counties/Stateres 0-3 pts			Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts		Trail Ratio 1-3 pts		Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts		County Coordinator 0-2 pts		Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts		Deduct parallel trails 3 pts		Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts		TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
					1	2	3	4	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2					
NM-29	SCR	Grant	Preston to Fennimore	9	x	x	x	y	y	2	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9		\$2,700						
NM-33	SCR	Grant	Sandy Hook Trail	6.01	x	x		y	y	2	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9		\$1,803								
NM-36	NOR-R	Taylor	C Trail South	3.7	Y			Y	Y	1	3	2	3	2	1	0	-3	0	9		\$0		\$1,110																		
NM-38	NOR-R	Taylor	P Trail NORTH	1.1			Y	Y	Y	3	1	2	3	2	1	0	-3	0	9		\$0		\$330																		
NM-46	SCR	Grant	Beetown to North Andover	14	x	x	x	y	y	2	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	9				\$4,200																		
NM-53	SCR	Jefferson	Waterloo Spur	5	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	9				\$1,500																		
NM-55	SCR	Jefferson	Hwy 16 parallel	4.5	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	9				\$1,350																		
NM-681	SCR	Dane	County Line #59	9.3	x	x	x	y	y	2	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	9				\$2,790																		
NM-682	SCR	Dane	CP Riders #69	12.7	x	x	x	y	y	2	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	9				\$3,810																		
NM-69	WCR	Juneau	East River Trail	20.26		X		X	X	0	2	1	2	2				9					\$6,078																		
NM-70	SCR	Columbia	Trail #41 - Cambira to Rio connect	15	x	x	x	y	y	0	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	9				\$4,500																		
NM-72	SCR	Dodge	Segment #3	9.2	x	x	x	y	y	1	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	9				\$2,760																		
NM-73	NER	Waushara	Borth to Poyssippi	8.2	x	x	x	y	y	1	3	1	1	2	1			9					\$2,460																		
NM-74	NOR	Polk	Beaver Township	8.13	y	y	y	y	y	1	1	2	2	1	2	0	0	0	9				\$2,439																		
NM-75	WCR	Trempealeau	Pine Creek Trail	8	X	X	X	X	X	2	3	1	3	2			-2	9					\$2,400																		
NM-77	WCR	Juneau	Finley Trail	7.19	X			X	X	1	3	1	2	2				9					\$2,157																		
NM-86	SCR	Lafayette	Blanchardville	4.8	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	9				\$1,440																		
NM-89	NOR	Bayfield	Trail 22	3.38	n	n	y	y	y	3	1	3	3	2	0	-3	0	0	9				\$1,014																		
NM-91	SCR	Dane	Marshal #20	2.8	x	x	x	y	y	2	1	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	9				\$840																		
NM-92	WCR	Adams	CP1 Coloma Link	2.64	X	X	X	X	X	1	3	1	2	1	1			9					\$792																		
NM-94	NOR-R	Taylor	Medford East Trail	2.5		Y	Y	Y	Y	1	1	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	9		\$0		\$750																		
NM-96	NOR	Burnett	Trail 5	2	n	y	n	y	y	3	1	2	3	2	1	0	-3	0	9				\$600																		
NM-97	NER	Brown	Connecting Trail	1.36	x	x	x	y	y	0	2	1	2	2	2			9					\$408																		
NM-101	NER	Waupaca	Trail 5	10.1	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	1	1	2			8					\$3,030																		
NM-102	SCR	Dodge	Segment #4	9.9	x	x	x	y	y	1	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	8				\$2,970																		
NM-104	SCR	Dodge	Segment #5	6.5	x	x	x	y	y	1	3	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	8				\$1,950																		
NM-106	NER	Marinette	River Road Riders Int 204-Int 226	5.1	x				y	1	1	2	2	2	0			8					\$1,530																		
NM-107	NOR-R	Price	Trail 118	5			Y	Y	Y	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	-3	0	8		\$0		\$1,500																		
NM-108	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail SF	4			Y	Y	Y	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	-3	0	8		\$0		\$1,200																		

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access			Gateway-Population Access			Gateway-High Use			Map attached Y/N			Certification attached Y/N			Public Lands 0-3 pts			Connect Counties/Staates 0-3 pts			Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts			Trail Ratio 1-3 pts			Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts			County Coordinator 0-2 pts			Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts			Deducts parallel trails 3 pts			Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts			TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
					1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3							
NM-111	NOR-R	Price	Trail 121	3.1			Y	Y	Y	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	-3	0	8	\$0	\$930																													
NM-112	NER	Fond du Lac	Kiekhaefer Park- Peebles Shell	3	x	x	y	y	0	2	1	1	2					6		\$900																														
NM-113	NER	Manitowoc	D-2-3	2.5	x		y	y	2	3	1	2	2	1		-3		8		\$750																														
NM-114	WCR	Jackson	Casino Connector	2.2	X	X	X	X	3	1	1	2	2	2		-3		8		\$660																														
NM-119	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 17B Lac du Flambeau	1.4			Y	Y	Y	3	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$420																														
NM-120	NER	Manitowoc	M-2	1.2	x		y	y	2	1	1	2	2	0				8		\$360																														
NM-121	NER	Brown	Access To Food/Gas/Park	0.83		x	x	y	y	2	1	1	2	2				8		\$249																														
NM-122	NER	Brown	Access to Village Park/Gas/Food	0.8		x	x	y	n	2	1	1	2	2				8		\$240																														
NM-123	NER	Manitowoc	G-2	0.5		x		y	y	2	1	1	2	2	0			8		\$150																														
NM-124	SCR	Lafayette	Fayette Access	0.5		x	x	y	y	0	2	1	3	2	0	0	0	8		\$150																														
NM-233	SCR	Sauk	W7	4.07	x	x		x	x	0	3	1	3	1	0	0	0	8		\$1,221																														
NM-28	NER	Marinette	River Road Riders-Corridor 3	8.2	x		y	y	3	1	2	2	2	0		-3		7		\$2,460																														
NM-35	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail Alt 13	4		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	3	3	2	2	1	0	-3	0	8	\$0	\$1,200																													
NM-83	SCR	Columbia	#69 - Columbus connector trail	5	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	8		\$1,500																														
NM-88	SCR	Columbia	Connecting trail #61 - I-50 to Dane	3.69	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	8		\$1,107																														
NM-98	WCR	Buffalo	Gilmanton to Waumandee	17		X	X	X	X	0	2	1	2	2	2		9		\$5,100																															
NM-99	NER	Shawano	Tigerton to Carolina	13.6		x		y	n	0	2	2	0	2	2			8		\$4,080																														
NM-105	SCR	Jefferson	Palmyra-Hebron	5.28			x	y	y	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	7		\$1,584																														
NM-116	WCR	Portage	Portage to Big Eau Pleine	1.8			X	X	X	3	2	1	2	1			-2	7		\$540																														
NM-126	WCR	Chippewa	Rough Rider	11.1			X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2		-3		7		\$3,330																														
NM-127	SCR	Dodge	Segment #2	11	x	x	x	y	y	1	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	7		\$3,300																														
NM-128	NER	Marquette	#2A	8.4	x		x	y	y	1	3	1	3	2		-3		7		\$2,520																														
NM-130	NOR	Burnett	Trail 80	7.7	y	n	n	y	y	0	3	2	3	1	1	-3	0	7		\$2,310																														
NM-131	WCR	Marathon	Stratford Connector	6.38		X		X	X	2	1	2	1	2	2		-3	7		\$1,914																														
NM-132	NOR	Polk	West Sweden	6.34	y	y	y	y	y	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	7		\$1,902																														
NM-133	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 12 Conover	5.6		Y	Y	Y	Y	3	1	3	0	1	2	0	-3	7	\$0	\$1,680																														
NM-134	WCR	Chippewa	Buckhorn	5.3	X		X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2		-3		7		\$1,590																														
NM-135	NER	Shawano	Outagamie Trail	4.6	x			y	n	0	3	2	0	2				7		\$1,380																														
NM-136	NOR-R	Lincoln	Old J	4.6			Y	Y	Y	3	1	2	2	2	0	0	-3	7	\$0	\$1,380																														

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access										TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED			
					1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	7							
NM-137	WCR	St Croix	Cty Rd C (4A)	3.5	X	X	X	X	0	1	1	3	2				7		\$1,050		
NM-138	WCR	Chippewa	High Rider	3.2	X	X	X	X	2	2	2	2	2			-3	7		\$960		
NM-139	NER	Oconto	Chute, Unfunded 1	2.774			x	y	y	1	1	2	1	2			7		\$832		
NM-140	NER	Calumet	Badger Creek Trail	2.4	x				y	2	3	1	1	2	1		-3	7		\$720	
NM-141	NOR-R	Price	Trail 88	2.4			Y	Y	Y	0	2	3	2	2	0	0	0	-2	7	\$0	\$720
NM-142	WCR	Marathon	Wittenberg Connector	2.26	X			X	X	2	3	2	1	2			-3	7		\$678	
NM-143	NOR-R	Lincoln	Vascheau	1.9			Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$570
NM-144	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 3	1.638		x		y	y	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$491	
NM-145	NER	Oconto	Chase, Unfunded 1	0.728		x		y	y	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$218	
NM-146	WCR	Marathon	Wiouwash	0.68			X	X	X	2	3	2	1	2			-3	7		\$204	
NM-147	NER	Shawano	Wildlife Trail	0.52	x			y	n	0	3	2	0	2				7		\$156	
NM-148	NER	Oconto	Chase, Unfunded 2	0.361			x	y	y	1	1	2	1	2				7		\$108	
NM-231	SCR	Sauk	HC4N + H6	7.89			x	x	x	0	1	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	7		\$2,367
NM-42	NOR-R	Iron	Cramer Reroute Ext	2.3	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	3	1	3	2	2	2	-3	-3	0	7	\$34,382	\$35,072
NM-56	SCR	Dane	White Lightning #18	3.9	x	x	x	y	y	2	3	1	3	1	0	0	-3	0	7		\$1,170
NM-61	SCR	Dane	Yellow Thunder #61	2.2	x	x	x	y	y	2	3	1	3	1	0	0	-3	0	7		\$660
NM-62	SCR	Dane	Golden Triange #73	2.1	x	x	x	y	y	2	3	1	3	1	0	0	-3	0	7		\$630
NM-66	NER	Marinette	Kumalong Riders Crivitz to Trail 18	1.45		x	x		y	2	2	2	2	2	0		-3	7		\$435	
NM-71	WCR	Monroe	Trail 28	10			X	X	X	0	2	1	2	2				7		\$3,000	
NM-76	WCR	Adams	GM5 Grank Marsh Link	7.95	X		X	X	X	1	1	1	2	1	1			7		\$2,385	
NM-80	WCR	Monroe	Trail 65	6		X	X	X	X	0	2	1	2	2				7		\$1,800	
NM-81	SCR	Columbia	New Trail #48 - conecting #43 to #	6	x	x	x	y	y	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	7		\$1,800
NM-84	SCR	Jefferson	Watertown By-Pass	5	n	x	x	y	y	0	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	7		\$1,500
NM-100	WCR	St Croix	Erin to Emerald Connect Cor 63&6	11			X	X	X	0	2	1	3	2	1		-3	6		\$3,300	
NM-115	WCR	Marathon	Eau Pleine Connector	1.99	X			X	X	2	1	2	1	2				-2	6		\$597
NM-125	SCR	Grant	Lancaster to Beetown	15	x	x	x	y	y	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	6		\$4,500
NM-149	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 1	8.73		x		y	y	1	2	2	1	2	1		-3	6		\$2,619	
NM-150	NOR-R	Lincoln	Somo	8.5		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	3	2	2	1	0	0	0	-2	6	\$0	\$2,550
NM-151	WCR	Juneau	Necedah to Germantown	8.32		X		X	X	0	1	1	2	2				6		\$2,496	

2019-20 NEW MILES

1. Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked for the application to be eligible.
2. Column H indicates if the required map was submitted.
3. Column I indicates if the certification statement was signed.
4. Columns J through R are the actual ratings and rankings with point value.
5. Column P thru R should be entered as negative numbers "-1"

PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	Gateway-Primary Access			Gateway-Population Access			Gateway-High Use			Map attached Y/N			Certification attached Y/N			Public Lands 0-3 pts			Connect Counties/Stater 0-3 pts			Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts			Trail Ratio 1-3 pts			Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts			County Coordinator 0-2 pts			Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts			Deduct if runs to lake/river 2 pts			Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	TOT	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED
					1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3								
NM-152	NOR-R	Lincoln	McCord	7.7			Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$2,310																										
NM-153	WCR	Adams	R-4,R-5,R-6 Rome Area Access	6.92	X	X	X	X	X			1	1	2	2				6		\$2,076																											
NM-155	NER	Waupaca	Trail 10	5.7	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$1,710																												
NM-156	WCR	Pierce	Plum City North	5.7	X	X	X	X	X	1	2	1	2	2	1		-3	6		\$1,710																												
NM-157	NER	Shawano	Docs Trail	5.6		x		y	n	0	2	2	0	2				6		\$1,680																												
NM-158	SER	Sheboygan	7TSF2,PSR Club-2	5.59	x	x	x	y	y	3	1	1	1	2	1		-3	6		\$1,677																												
NM-159	WCR	Pierce	E Prescott Trail	5.3	X		X	X		1	2	1	2	2	1		-3	6		\$1,590																												
NM-160	NOR	Ashland	Trail 9A (Special note)	5	n	n	y	y	y	0	1	3	3	2	0	-3	0	0	6		\$1,500																											
NM-161	WCR	Chippewa	Weiser Trail	4.5			X	X	X	2	1	2	2	2			-3	6		\$1,350																												
NM-162	NER	Oconto	Red Arrow, Unfunded 1	4.465	x			y	y	3	1	2	1	2			-3	6		\$1,340																												
NM-163	NER	Oconto	Oconto Falls unfunded 2	4.359			x	y	y	3	1	2	1	2			-3	6		\$1,308																												
NM-164	WCR	Monroe	Trail 60	4			X	X	X	2	2	1	2	2			-3	6		\$1,200																												
NM-165	WCR	Buffalo	Mondovi North to Cty Line	3.9		X	X	X	X	0	1	1	2	2	0			6		\$1,170																												
NM-166	NER	Door	West Jacksonport Cross Trail	3.5			x		n	0	1	1	1	1	2			6		\$1,050																												
NM-167	NER	Shawano	Mattoon to Langlade Co	3.5	x			y	n	0	3	2	0	1				6		\$1,050																												
NM-168	SER	Sheboygan	RL Club -4	3.35	x	x	x	y	y	1	3	1	1	2	1		-3	6		\$1,005																												
NM-169	SER	Sheboygan	NF Club-1	3.24	x			y	y	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$972																												
NM-171	NER	Waupaca	Trail 1	3	x	x	x	y	y	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$900																												
NM-172	NOR-R	Vilas	Trail 1 Eagle River	3		Y	Y	Y	Y	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$900																											
NM-174	Shwno?	Oconto	Gillett, Unfunded 3	1.95	x			y	y	1	3	2	1	2			-3	6		\$585																												
NM-175	NER	Oconto	Red Arrow, Unfunded 2	1.589			x	y	y	3	1	2	1	2			-3	6		\$477																												
NM-176	NER	Waupaca	Trail 7	1.5	x		x	y	y	0	3	1	1	1				6		\$450																												
NM-178	WCR	Adams	GM6 Grand Marsh to Adams	1		X		X		1	1	1	2	1				6		\$300																												
NM-232	SCR	Sauk	H3	6.97		x	x	x	x	0	1	1	3	2	2	0	-3	0	6		\$2,091																											
NM-59	NOR-R	Taylor	Q Trail	3.8		Y		Y	Y	0	2	2	3	2	0	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$1,140																											
NM-79	NOR-R	Price	Trail 94	6.6		Y		Y	Y	0	2	3	2	2	0	0	-3	0	6	\$0	\$1,980																											
NM-85	NOR	Douglas	Trail 6	4.8	n	y	n	y	y	0	1	3	3	2	0	0	-3	0	6		\$1,440																											
NM-87	SER	Racine	Browns Lake South/Rail trail	4.7		x	x	y	y	3	2	1	0	2	1		-3	6		\$1,410																												
NM-95	SCR	Dane	Ashton #51	2.2	x	x	x	y	y	2	1	1	3	2	0	0	-3	0	6		\$660																											

