2018-19 Snowmobile Funding Summary

•

				Amount	A	mount Requested	Amount	
Category			F	Requested		w/o Cost Share	<u>Funded</u>	Balance
Funds Available								\$ 8,597,677
Maintenance	miles =	18,964.46	\$	5,689,338			\$ 5,689,338	\$ 2,908,339
Club Signs			\$	-				\$ 2,908,339
Snow Bridge Rehab			\$	690,561	\$	965,347		\$ 2,908,339
Snow Trail Rehab			\$	34,941	\$	184,331		\$ 2,908,339
Snow Relocation Mar	ndatory		\$	411,988	\$	506,448		\$ 2,908,339
Snow Relocation Disc	cretionary		\$	357,075	\$	532,500		\$ 2,908,339
Snow Bridge New			\$	363,529	\$	428,800		\$ 2,908,339
Snow Acquisition			\$	12,500	\$	25,000		\$ 2,908,339
Storm Damage			\$	40,486	\$	444,395		
Snow Non-Trail Deve	lopment		\$	-	\$	-		\$ 2,908,339
New Miles		1.685 miles + dev. cost	\$	844,358	\$	844,358		\$ 2,908,339
Total (no maintenand	ce, no nev	v miles)	\$	1,911,080	\$	3,086,821		

Region	County				Component	Costs	Total	Approved
WCR	Eau Claire	Amendment requests due to t	ne rising cost of steel & lu	umber. 1)	*Structure		\$6,950	1.1
		Amend grant S-5088 (Cleghorn			Engineer		After Cost Share:	
	Amendment	\$55,900 to \$58,050 an increase	•	/	Permits		\$6,950	
	Requests	2) Amend grant S-5089 (Highwa) from	Site prep			
		\$65,000 to \$69,100 an increase)	Materials	\$6,950		
		3) Amend grant S-5085 (Bear G		8) from	Abutments			
		\$23,400 to \$24,100 an increase		0) 110111	Pilings/Piers			
		\$23,400 to \$24,100 an increase	or \$700 .		Approaches			
		Other funds received, reque	sted, or committed?		Culverts			
		Yes or No:	Amount:		Riprap			
		Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Labor Source:		Other			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$6,950	
NOR-R	Lincoln	Lincoln County proposes replaci	5	•	*Structure	\$45,000	\$85,277	
	N- 04	Green Meadow Creek with a sto			Engineer		After Cost Share:	quotes?
	No. 01	is set too low and has been was		ounan	Permits	\$303	\$21,319	ANSWER: -We are not sure why the bids
		once. New bridge will be longer		annar y	Site prep	\$3,500		had such a difference in price. Both
		high water mark. Bridge is used		0171100.	Materials	* / • • • • •		contractors bid on the same conditions.
		Project scored well for RTP so n	umbers reflect assume a te	CIIIalive	Abutments	\$10,000		Structure cost was about the same for
		RTP grant offer.			Pilings/Piers	\$10,000		both, but one contractor bid higher on their
					Approaches Culverts			
		Other funds received, reque		00 057 75				price for site prep and abutments.
		Yes or No:YesPublic or Private:Public	Amount: \$	63,957.75	Labor	\$15,344		
╞	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 10' x 30'	New Bridge Size: 12' x		Equip Rental	\$15,344 \$1,130		
	Exception?	Water Body: Green Meadow			Other	φ1,130		
-	Exception	Years in System: Unknown	Design Weight Load: 25,0		# of New Mi	0	\$21.319	
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County proposes to rep	· ·		*Structure	0	\$9,642	1. What's the weight of the grooming
		trail near County Hwy N. This ye			Engineer		After Cost Share:	equipment to be used? ANSWER:
	No. 02	Snowmobile use. The county is			Permits		\$2,411	
		RTP/ATV/Snow programs. Proje			Site prep			19500#
		reflect assume a tentative RTP of			Materials	\$1,600		2. Will additional money be required
			lant oner.		Abutments	<i> </i>		engineering?
					Pilings/Piers			ANSWER: No
					Approaches			3. Provide photos.
		Other funds received. reque	ested, or committed?		Culverts	\$3,800		(Jillian Will Bring)
		Yes or No: Yes	Amount:	\$7.231	Riprap	\$400		
		Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #: N/A		Labor	\$1,813		
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 24" Culvert			Equip Rental	\$2,029		
	Exception?	Water Body: run-off		rce Account	Other			
		Years in System: 14+	Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$2,411	

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County p	proposes to add	ress two bridges (<1/2	2 mile apart)	*Structure		\$19,452	1. Will additional money be required
		over Deadman Cr	eek on Trail 6 v	whose pilings and sup	ports are	Engineer		After Cost Share:	engineering?
	No. 03	failing. The first b	ridge (aka Dead	dman's Creek North) i	s failing & will	Permits		\$9,726	g
				ch culvert. The secor		Site prep	\$1,100		
				ceive new pilings & su		Materials	\$2,000		
				e. Project scored we	II for RTP so	Abutments			
		\$\$ reflect assume				Pilings/Piers	\$1,960		
				0		Approaches			
				sted, or committee	1?	Culverts	\$4,692		
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$9,726		\$800		
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$2,000		
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$6,900		
	Exception?	Water Body:		Labor Source:		Other		A A T AA	
NOR-R	Terden	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$9,726	
NOR-R	Taylor			e decking and railings the		*Structure	* 0.440	\$62,827	1. Provide pictures.
	No. 04			il is open for snowmobile ounty is requesting a 50/		Engineer	\$2,116	After Cost Share:	
	NO. 04			Project scored well for R		Permits Site prep		\$15,708	
		reflect assume a ten			TT SO Humbers	Materials	\$35,751		
				ine due to recent bids	on similar work	Abutments	\$35,751		
				is \$17,572 with a reque		Pilings/Piers			
		25-25 RTP-ATV-Sno		· · ·	-	Approaches			
		Other funds rec	eived reque	sted, or committee	12	Culverts			
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$47,119				
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:	PL 9	Labor	\$17,876		
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	no change	Equip Rental	\$7,084		
	Exception?	Water Body:	Silver Creek	Labor Source:	Contract	Other			
		Years in System:	28	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$15,708	
NOR-R	Price	Price County prop	oses to replace	a bridge on Corr. 12/	Tr. 85 over the	*Structure			1. Missing & inconsistent info.
				he new bridge will be		Engineer			- Incomplete Appendix A. Will hand out.
	No. 05	span with two 17'	ramps on either	side to eliminate the	need for fill.	Permits		\$30,300	-Why only one quote? Est based on prev
						Site prep			proj, will take low bid
						Materials	\$16,000		-Who designed & determined the weight
						Abutments	AC 000		capacity for a homemade structure? Winning
					Pilings/Piers	\$3,000		bidder will supply stamped engineered	
				ata I. an a si ka	10	Approaches	\$500		plans
				sted, or committee	17	Culverts Riprap			-Need clarity: not a 64' bridge, but 30' and two
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	<u>No</u> Public	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	<u>ا</u>	Labor	\$10,000		spans. 30' bridge with two 17' approaches
ı F	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	4 12' x 30'	Equip Rental	\$800		-What is weight of the grooming/grading
		Water Body:		Labor Source:	Contract	Other	φουυ		equipment used? Tucker SNO-Cat (approx
				Design Weight Load:	12,000	# of New Mi	0		12,000 lbs)

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved
SER	Milwaukee	The county propose	es to replace t	he failing existing brid	lge over Ryan	*Structure	\$40,000	\$85,804	1. Missing and inconsistent information.
		Creek in Franklin P	ark. RTP tent	ative award = \$42,90	2	Engineer	\$11,100	After Cost Share:	-Why going from 18 - 60' ? Minimize bank
	No. 06					Permits		\$42,902	& creek disturbance & improve
						Site prep	\$16,627		
						Materials			clearance.
						Abutments			Are there other users? Will they contribute
						Pilings/Piers			funding? park users
						Approaches	\$5,077		-What are the capacities and conditions of
				sted, or committee	<u>d?</u>	Culverts			other bridges on trail? -No other bridges
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$42,902				-Has this bridge ever received grant
		Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:	RC	Labor	\$7,000		funding? No.
		Old Bridge Size:	12' X 18'	New Bridge Size:	12' X 60'	Equip Rental	\$1,000		-What is the weight of the grooming
	Exception?	Water Body:	Ryan Creek	Labor Source:	Sponsor	Other	\$5,000		equipment used on this bridge? 20K
	Chahavaaa	Years in System:	27	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0		
SER	Sheboygan			he failing existing bric	ige over an	*Structure	\$21,000	\$27,000	1. Provide trail map Provided.
	No. 07	unnamed waterbod	y on trail AY-3	i.		Engineer		After Cost Share:	
	NO. 07					Permits	¢2 100	\$27,000	
						Site prep Materials	\$2,100		
						Abutments	\$400		
						Pilings/Piers	\$400		
						Approaches			
		Other funde read	aived reque	sted, or committee	40	Culverts			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap			
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	<u>ا</u>	Labor	\$1,500		
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	8' X 23'	New Bridge Size:	12' X 25'	Equip Rental	\$1,000		
	Exception?	Water Body:	unnamed	Labor Source:	contractor	Other	\$1,000		
		Years in System:	30	Design Weight Load:	14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$27,000	
SER	Sheboygan	The county propose	es to rehab an	existing bridge over	Mullet River	*Structure		\$3,415	1. Why not use maintenance for this
				or 3. The rehab does		Engineer		After Cost Share:	project? Withdrew 2 other bridges.
	No. 08	substructure replac	ement just rele	eveling.	•	Permits		\$3,415	2. Provide trail map Provided.
			,	0		Site prep	\$200		2. Flovide traininap Flovided.
						Materials	\$2,215		3. Legal bridge?
						Abutments			
						Pilings/Piers			
						Approaches			
				sted. or committee	d?	Culverts			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap			
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$500		
		Old Bridge Size:	12' X 25'	New Bridge Size:	12' X 25'	Equip Rental	\$500		
	Exception?		Aullet River Trib		club	Other		Aa	
		Years in System:	15	Design Weight Load:	12,000	# of New Mi	0	\$3,415	

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved
SER	Sheboygan	The county proposes to	rehab a w	orn existing bridge o	ver the Ben	*Structure			1. Why not use maintenance for this
		Nutt Creek on trail PSR-	-5. Substr	ructure is solid.		Engineer		After Cost Share:	project? Withdrawn.
	No. 09					Permits		\$1,715	
						Site prep	\$100		2. Provide trail map. Provided
						Materials	\$1,415		
						Abutments			
						Pilings/Piers			
						Approaches			
		Other funds received		sted, or committee	<u>d?</u>	Culverts			
			No	Amount:		Riprap			
				Bridge Inventory #:		2 Labor	\$200		
		5		New Bridge Size:	8' X 12'	Equip Rental			
	Exception?	5		Labor Source:	club	Other			
	<u>.</u>				12,000	# of New Mi	0	\$1,715	
SER	Sheboygan	The county proposes to	deck and	rail existing bridge of	n WDNR land.	*Structure			1. Why not use maintenance for this
	No. 10					Engineer		After Cost Share:	project? Withdrawn.
	No. 10					Permits		\$2,365	2. Provide trail map.
						Site prep	\$200		
						Materials	\$1,865		
						Abutments			
						Pilings/Piers			
			_			Approaches			
		Other funds received			d?	Culverts			
			No	Amount:		Riprap	# 000		
║		pt		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$300		
	Variance or	0		New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	12' X 20'	Equip Rental Other			
	Exception?	5	named 16		club	# of New Mi	0	¢0.065	
SC	Columbia	Years in System: Columbia County propos		Design Weight Load:	12,000		0 \$59,800	\$2,365 \$74,103	1. Drovide troil men
30	Columbia	as Fabrizious.	ses to rep	lace an existing failin	ig bridge knowl	Engineer	φ υ 9,600	After Cost Share:	1. Provide trail map.
	No. 11	as Fabrizious.				Permits			
						Site prep	\$12,000	φ 74,10 5	Provided
						Materials	φ12,000		
						Abutments			
						Pilings/Piers			
						Approaches			
		Other funds received	d roquos	sted or committee	42	Culverts			
			No	Amount:	Υ. Ι	Riprap			
				Bridge Inventory #:		Labor			
	Variance or	P		New Bridge Size:	12' x 54	Equip Rental			
	Exception?	-		Labor Source:		Other	\$2,303		
			30	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$74,103	

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved
SC	Richland	Richland County r	proposes to pla	ce riprap on approxim	nately 660 feet	*Structure			NOTE: This may be moved to Trail Rehab
		of shoreline to sta			,	Engineer			depending on available funds. It's more appropriately
	No. 12					Permits		\$19,998	placed in that category.
						Site prep			
						Materials			
						Abutments			
						Pilings/Piers			
						Approaches			
		Other funds rec	ceived, reque	sted, or committe	d?	Culverts			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$19,998		
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Pne River	Labor Source:		Other			
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$19,998	
SC	Sauk			e a bridge known as S		*Structure	\$85,000		1. What are the other uses?
		Honey Creek. The	e present bridg	e was compromised o	of a 61 foot	Engineer		After Cost Share:	2. What is the length of the easement?
	No. 13	flatbed trailer.				Permits		\$106,500	Ğ
						Site prep			
						Materials			
						Abutments			
						Pilings/Piers			
						Approaches			
				sted. or committe	<u>d?</u>	Culverts			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap			
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor			
		Old Bridge Size:	10' x 50'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 70'	Equip Rental	\$12,000		
	Exception?	Water Body:	Honey Creek	Labor Source:		Other	\$9,500	• · · · · · · · ·	
		Years in System:	24	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$106,500	
WC	Dunn			e an existing failing sr		*Structure	\$43,800	\$56,800	1. What are the other uses?
	No. 14	-	Silbert Creek Si	nowmobile Bridge. 20	0 year LUA from	Engineer	\$3,000		
	NO. 14	landowner.				Permits	¢4,000	\$56,800	
						Site prep	\$1,000		
						Materials	¢0,000		
						Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$2,000		
						Approaches			
			•	- (- 1	10	Culverts			
				sted, or committe	q <i>′</i>	Riprap	\$2,000		
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	Dunn 1	Labor	\$2,000 \$5,000		
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	private 11' x 60'	New Bridge Size:	Dunn-1 12' x 60'	Equip Rental	φ0,000		
	Exception?	Water Body:	Gilbert Creek	Labor Source:	12 1 00	Other			
		Years in System:	20+	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$56,800	
		i cais ili Systeill.	207	Design Weight Load.	20,000		0	φ50,600	

Region	County				Component	Costs	Total	Approved
WC	,	Eau Claire County proposes to re	eplace an existing failing	g snowmobile	*Structure	\$21,500	\$28,300	
		bridge known as Schultz Road B			Engineer		After Cost Share:	
	No. 15	Corridor 20 trail that links Eau Cl			Permits	1	\$28,300	
		Jackson County trails.			Site prep			
					Materials			
					Abutments			
					Pilings/Piers			
					Approaches			
		Other funds received, reque	sted, or committed	?	Culverts			
		Yes or No: No	Amount:		Riprap			
		Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:	18	Labor	\$5,200		
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 10' x 20'	New Bridge Size: 1	12' x 24'	Equip Rental	1		
	Exception?	Water Body: Unnamed trib.	Labor Source:		Other	\$1,600		
		Years in System: 20+	Design Weight Load: 2		# of New Mi	0	\$28,300	
WC		Eau Claire County proposes to re			*Structure	\$32,000		Explain the length of the bridge.
		culvert with a clear span bridge.			Engineer		After Cost Share:	The bridge length was determined based on
	No. 16	the last 3 years. The trail is Corr	idor 31 which connects	to Chippewa	Permits		\$40,500	the slopes of the creek crossing. This was
		County.			Site prep	1		going from high bank to high bank on the
					Materials			north & south side of the current culvert
					Abutments	1		crossing. This length was selected based
					Pilings/Piers			upon the characteristics of the stream
					Approaches			throughout this property and history of how
		Other funds received, reque		?	Culverts			much water comes through this crossing
		Yes or No: No	Amount:		Riprap			when it has repeatedly blown out in the
		Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$6,500		
		Old Bridge Size: 36' x 20'	0	12' x 36'	Equip Rental	\$1,000		past. Given the history of this site, I would
	Exception?	Water Body: Unnamed trib.	Labor Source:		Other	\$1,000		not recommend a bridge shorter than 36'.
14/0		Years in System:	Design Weight Load: 2		# of New Mi	0	\$40,500	
WC		Marathon County proposes to rep	place an existing failing	Club built	*Structure	\$49,000	\$70,000	None
	No. 17	bridge on a funded trail.			Engineer Permits	\$4,000	After Cost Share:	
	NO. 17					¢0.000	\$35,000	
					Site prep Materials	\$2,000		
					Abutments			
					Pilings/Piers	1		
					Approaches	1 1		
		Other funde received record		2	Culverts			
		Other funds received. reque Yes or No: Yes	Amount:	<u>′</u> \$35.000		\$1,000		
		Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:	<u></u> 9		\$1,000		
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 24		9 12' x 66'	Equip Rental	\$2,000		
	Exception?	Water Body: Baldwin Crk	Labor Source:		Other	\$2,000 \$1,000		
		Years in System: 33		25,000	# of New Mi	φ1,000 0	\$35,000	
I			Leongh Worght Load. 2	_0,000			ψ00,000	

Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved
WC	Trempealeau	Trempealeau Cour	nty proposes to	allow the original ve	ndor to come in	*Structure	\$15,000	\$15,000	None
		and lift, re-set and	do bank stabili	zation to the original	bridge. The	Engineer		After Cost Share:	
	No. 18	company would do	the same for a	another bridge near th	his one that has	Permits		\$15,000	
		similar issues for th	nis price. The	option would save a	major amount	Site prep			
		of money as oppos	sed to replacing	the entire bridge.	-	Materials			
						Abutments			
						Pilings/Piers			
						Approaches			
						Culverts			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap			
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental			
	Exception?	Water Body:		Labor Source:		Other			
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$15,000	
NOR	Burnett			o trail 925 bridge. The		*Structure			1. What is the length of the easement?
		include deck replac	cement. This is	s a 50/50 grant with A	ATV. Trail 925	Engineer			2. Provide better pictures to show decking
	No. 19	bridge rehab.				Permits			wear. PROVIDED
						Site prep			3. Why additional labor charge for more
						Materials	\$7,000		weight? A: We obtained pricing on the
						Abutments			upgrade to see what the cost would be to
						Pilings/Piers			bring it up to the current 14K standard. The
						Approaches			estimated cost is \$3,000 – which consists of
				sted. or committee	d?	Culverts			engineering analysis, more supports, and/or
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	yes	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	\$8,000	Riprap Labor	\$9,000		thicker wood. That is not the reason for the
		Old Bridge Size:	private 12x42	New Bridge Size:	9		\$9,000		bridge rehab – the main concern is the
	Variance or Exception?		Glendenning Cr			Equip Rental Other			replacement of the bridge deck
	Exception?	Years in System:	Glenderning Ci	Design Weight Load:	12 000	# of New Mi	0	\$8,000	
NOR	Rusk		see to roplace	Moose Ear Creek Br		*Structure	\$35,000	\$49,700	1. What is the bridge inventory number?
NOR	Rusk	Ear Bridge Replac	•	MOUSE Lai Cleek Di	luge Moose	Engineer	\$8,500	After Cost Share:	
	No. 20	Ear Bridge Replac	sement.			Permits	<i>\$</i> 0,000	\$24,850	2. Provide better photos and trail map.
						Site prep	\$3,500	φ24,000	
						Materials	<i>Q0,000</i>		
						Abutments			
						Pilings/Piers			
						Approaches			
		Other funds rec	eived reque	sted. or committee	d?	Culverts			
		Yes or No:	Ves	Amount:	\$24.850		\$2,500		
		Public or Private:	public	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	. ,		
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12x24	New Bridge Size:	12x36	Equip Rental			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Moose Ear Cr	Labor Source:		signs	\$200		
	•	Years in System:	20	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$24,850	

Region	County				Component	Costs	Total	Approved
NOR	Rusk	Rusk County proposes to replace	ELittle Soft Maple Cree	k Bridge. The	*Structure	\$35,000	\$49,200	1. Bridge inventory number?
		bridge is proposed to be 12x36.	Little Soft Maple Cr B	ridge	Engineer	\$8,500	After Cost Share:	
	No. 21	Replacement.			Permits	\$2,000	\$49,200	
		•			Site prep	\$1,500		
					Materials			
					Abutments			
					Pilings/Piers			
					Approaches			
		Other funds received, reque	sted, or committed		Culverts			
		Yes or No: No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000		
Ļ		Public or Private: private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor			
		Old Bridge Size: 12x24			Equip Rental			
ŀ	Exception?	Water Body: Lt Soft Maple Cr			signs	\$200	• • • • • • •	
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$49,200	
WC	Marathon	Marathon County is requesting a			*Structure	\$80,000	\$104,500	
	No. 44	The total length of the bridge with				\$4,000	After Cost Share:	1. Why wasn't a bigger or
	No. 44	100'. The bridge would replace a		ou ourrort.	Permits	* ••••••	\$68,500	dual-culvert considered?
		This project has been approved f		,	Site prep	\$2,000		uual-cuivert considered?
		bridge. Requested an amendme	nt from ATV for \$16,25	50.00.	Materials Abutments			2. Need another 14K quote.
					Pilings/Piers Approaches			3. How was the bridge
			atad an aammittad		Approaches Culverts			length determined?
		Other funds received, reque				\$1,500		, and the second se
		Yes or No: Yes Public or Private:	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	\$36,000	Labor	\$1,500		SEE HANDOUT
ŀ		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$14,000		
		Water Body:	Labor Source:		Other	\$1,000		
ŀ		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	φ1,000 0	\$68,500	
			- co.gii troigiit Loud.			TOTAL	\$690,561	

Region	County		Desc	ription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved
NOR-R	Lincoln	Lincoln County proposes to re	eplace a wa	ashed-out culvert with	n a pair of 42"x24'	*Structure		\$10,003	Why not put this out for bid? (Why
		culverts (technically a bridge r	rehab proje	ect), and install a pair	r of 36"x24' culverts	Engineer		After Cost Share:	iust county bids?)
	No. 25	at another location a half-mile	away on F	our Mile Trail, Corri	idor 19. The	Permits	\$303	\$5,002	ANSWER: This cost came in low to
		county requests the council wa	ave the rec	quirement that they re	ehab a minimum of	Site prep	\$200		justify bidding it out. It is north of a
		3 miles of trail, as these culver	ert projects	are the only work nee	eded. Trail used by	Materials			
		snowmobiles and winter ATVs	s, 50/50 sp	lit is requested.	-	Abutments			county gravel pit and work will be
		I				Pilings/Piers			done when equipment is in the area
						Approaches			to eliminate equipment moving cost.
		Other funds rec <u>eived. rea</u>		or committed?		Culverts	\$3,100		Also, we can work more closely with
		Yes or No: Ye		Amount:	\$ 5.002	Riprap			force acct labor source to ensure
		Public or Private: Pub		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$1,500		culverts are installed according to
		Old Bridge Size: 54"x36		New Bridge Size:	2 42"x24'	Equip	\$4,900		BMP and permit guidelines.
	Exception?	Water Body: unnar		Labor Source:	Force Account	Other			
	Y	Years in System: unkno		Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$5,002	
NOR-R		Florence County proposes to I				*Structure			1. Why is admin/overhead included?
		Bridge off of County Hwy N, to				Engineer			That has routinely been denied in
		fatality occurred in 2016 when				Permits	\$1,000	\$4,748	the past by the Council.
		narrow section. Additionally, e				Site prep Materials	\$1,000 \$1,250		ANSWER (Jillian): Admin/overhead
						Abutments	φ1,250		not included. Grants routinely cover
			nent deposit into the nearby Pine River. County requests an exception t mile minimum for rehab projects due to the safety & enviro concerns of the safety & enviro concerns of the safety and the safety and the safety are safety as a safety with the safety						salary+fringe on force account labor,
		project. Requesting RTP & A	mile minimum for rehab projects due to the safety & enviro concerns of ct. Requesting RTP & ATV Funds.						but they are usually combined into
		Other funde received rec							the individual's hourly rate. This
		Yes or No: Ye		Amount:	\$ 14,244	Culverts Ripran	\$2,000		estimate separated them out. Will
		Public or Private: Pub		Bridge Inventory #:	<u> ⊅ 14,244</u>	Labor	\$6,684		
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: N//		New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$8,058		advise they be combined in future.
		Water Body: N/		Labor Source:	Force Account	Other	\$0,000		
	Y	Years in System: unkno		Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$4,748	
NOR-R	Iron	Iron County proposes to rehab		<u> </u>	Saxon. Proiect will	*Structure		\$148,736	None
		replace 30 plastic culverts that			•	Engineer		After Cost Share:	
	No. 27	and put down a gravel base to				Permits		\$18,592	
		concerns. The county is reque				Site prep			
		split on the remaining costs be				Materials	\$16,700		
		numbers reflect assume a tent				Abutments			
		I		0		Pilings/Piers			
		1				Approaches			
		Other funds received, req		or committed?		Culverts	\$4,482		
		Yes or No: Ye		Amount:	\$ 130,144	Riprap			
		Public or Private: Pub		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$50,913		
		Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	_	Equip	\$76,641		
	Exception?	Water Body:		Labor Source:	Force Account	Other			
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$18,592	

Region	County	Des	cription	Component	Costs	Total	Approved
NER	Oconto	The county proposes to install 6 gates	on USFS land to stop ATV and 4x4 tr	ucks *Structure		\$6,600	1. Can this be covered out of
		from rutting up the snowmobile trail in t	he summer.	Engineer		After Cost Share:	maintenance? County will be there.
	No. 28	-		Permits		\$6,600	,
				Site prep			
				Materials	\$6,600		
				Abutments			
				Pilings/Piers			
				Approaches			
		Other funds received. requested.	or committed?	Culverts			
		Yes or No: No	Amount:	Riprap			
		Public or Private: public	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Labor Source:	Other			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$6,600	
					TOTAL	\$34,941	\$0

	2018-1	9 SNOWMOBILE MANDA	TORY TRAIL RE		ON RE		Sarety LUA Le Reason	Miles L Trail Ty	County Snowfal	Ded: R Deduct:	TOTAL
Region	County	Description		Component	Costs	Total	Approved 0 15 5	10 10 1	0 5 10*	10 10 1	0
NOR-R	Vilas No. 30	Vilas County proposes to relocate Trail 4 of easement. The relocation involves corspan (25'/55'/40') bridge over the Wiscor land. This relocation will move the trail in while both Countral and a countral for a solution of the trail of	nstruction of a 120' 3- nsin River on county n this area entirely onto	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials	\$109,400	\$216,400 After Cost Share: \$216,400	17	5 5 10	2 5 10	0 0	0 54
		public land. County has applied for RTP receive a grant due to high demand for th	nose funds.	Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$16,000 \$9,500		None				
	Variance or	Public or Private: Public Bridge	mount: \$ - Inventory #:	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$2,000 \$56,000						
		Water Body: Wisc River Labor S		Other	\$23,500			1 1 1	1 1 1		
WOD	Ol in a sure			# of New Mi	0	\$216,400					
WCR	Chippewa	Chippewa County is requesting a manda fact it is no longer possible to cross St. H intersections of 70 & 6. Corridor 33 will b	lwy 29 between the	*Structure Engineer Permits		\$0 After Cost Share: \$0	10	5 10 5	10 2 10		52
		currently non-funded trail known as the V requesting funding for this reroute off 1.8 development.	miles. No new	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches			None				
		Other funds rece <u>ived. reque</u> sted. c		Culverts							
		Public or Private: Bridge	Inventory #:	Riprap Labor							
		Water Body: Labor S	•	Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	2	\$540					T
NER		The county proposes to reroute the existing property onto mostly public property. The	ing funded trail off private	*Structure Engineer	\$99,000 \$8,500	\$206,500	1. Are there a	nv local fu		nds from	50
		by a new landower. The county was alrea the existing 23 year old bridge on the No has been adjusted to accomodate snowr utilize an additional .6 mile of the Northw	rthwestern Trail. The plan nobile. The reroute will	Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$1,000 \$5,000 \$31,000	\$111,500	other users b 2. Is it possib 3. Can the ex	eing contr le to obtai	ibuted? Y o n a longer	es, 50K LUA? -T	
		received \$45,000 in RTP funds last year <u>\$50,000.</u> Other funds rece<u>ived, reque</u>sted, o	and will contribute	Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts	\$30,000		used by snov 4. Is this a rec County- Lett	/mobilers? quest by th	Yes for n e City or (ow. County?	
	Variance or	Public or Private: public Bridge		Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$32,000						
	Exception?	Water Body: Silver Creek Labor S	•	Other # of New Mi	0	\$111,500					

	2018-1	9 SNOWMO	BILE MA	NDATORY T	RAIL RE	ELOCATI	ON RE	QUESTS		Reason	LUA Le	Trail Ty	Miles L	Other F	ounty	Deduct:		Ded: In
egion	County		Desc	ription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	0	15	5 10	0 10	10	5 10 [°]	* 10	10	10
WC	Jackson	Jackson County is	requesting a n	nandatory relocation	of Trail 94	*Structure	\$22,000	\$83,548			12	0	5 10	2	2 1	0		
		with a new bridge o	ver an unnam	ed tributary of Pigeo	n	Engineer	\$4,000	After Cost Share:										
				is section of trail is b		Permits		\$83,548										
				new trail only one mil		Site prep	\$1,000		1. P	rov	ahi	trai	il m	an				
				ew bridge will also re		Materials			±. 1	100	iuc	tra		ap.				
		approaches.	0	0		Abutments												
						Pilings/Piers	\$10,000											
						Approaches	\$36,000											
		Other funds rece	eived. reaue	sted, or committe	ed?	Culverts												
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000											
		Public or Private:	private	Bridge Inventory #:	•	Labor	\$5,000											
ſ	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	12' x 30'	Equip Rental	\$3,548											
	Exception?		Sand Creek	Labor Source:		Other				11 1		_						-
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	14,000	# of New Mi	0	\$83,548										

2018-19 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

	2018-1	9 SNOWMOBILE DI	SCRETIONARY TRA		OCATIO	ON REQUE	ESTS	Reason	LUA Length	Safety	Trail Type	Miles Lost	Other Funds	Snowfall	County Pts.	Deduct: Multi-a	Ded: Incomplet	TOTAL
Region	County	Desc	cription	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	5	15	5	10	10	10	5	10* ·	10 1	0 1	Э
NOR-R		Forest County proposes to reroute Laona (Lumberjack Memorial Tra wetland via Starks Settlement Rd, t road has presented a safety issue. seperate to the road. The club is d (~\$13,750), and the town is donatin	ils). The existing trail bipassed a but significant logging traffic on the The new trail will run adjacent but onating significant time and labor	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$7,500	\$24,750 After Cost Share: \$3,500		5	15	5	10	0	10	3	10	-5	0	0 53
		been reduced accordingly. Other funds received. reau	ested, or committed?	Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts	\$400		Non	e										
		Yes or No: Yes	Amount: \$21.250	Riprap														
		Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: Water Body:	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	Labor Equip Other	\$1,000 \$15,050 \$800													
	Exception	Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	- φουυ Ο													
NOR-R	-	Langlade County proposes to const	truct a 160' bridge over the Wolf	*Structure Engineer	\$190,000 \$46,750	\$308,350		5	17	5	10	0	10	2	5	0	0	0 54
	No. 36	Highway 64 bridge. This will elimin highway bridge. The bridge will be	ate an unsafe crossing on a narrow open to multiple uses, and the unds from the ATV program. County	Permits Site prep Materials	\$5,000	\$154,175												
		demand for those funds.		Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$15,000 \$20,000		Cla		th	e p	ric	e of	f th	e F	1&1	4		
		Other funds received, required Yes or No: Yes Public or Private: Public	lested, or committed? Amount: \$154,175 Bridge Inventory #:	Culverts Riprap Labor	\$5,000 \$10,000		stu	dy.										
	Variance	Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Wolf River Years in System:	New Bridge Size:160' x 12'Labor Source:Contract	Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$16,600													
NOR-R	Langlade	Langlade County proposes to c		*Structure	\$63,500			5	17	5	10	0	5	2	5	0	0	0 49
non n			he funded snowmobile trail off of	Engineer Permits	\$10,000	After Cost Share: \$102,500		Ŭ		0		Ũ	U	-	Ũ	Ū	Ū	
		crossing on a narrow highway b RTP but is not expected to rece for those funds.	eive a grant due to high demand	Site prep Materials Abutments	\$2,000 \$6,000													
		Other funds received, requ		Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts	,		Noi	ne					1					
		Yes or No: Yes (Rqstd) Public or Private: Public	Amount: \$ - Bridge Inventory #:	Riprap Labor	\$2,000 \$5,000													
		Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Lily River Years in System:	Labor Source: Contract	Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$14,000 0													

2018-19 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

	2018-1	9 SNOWMOB		SCRETIONA	RY TRA		CATIC	ON REQUE	ESTS		LUA Lengtn Reason	Safety	Trail Type	Other Funds Miles Lost	Snowfall	County Pts.	Ded: RR Xing	Ded: Incomplet	TOTAL
Region	County		Desc	ription		Component	Costs	Total	Approv	ed	5 1	55	10	10 1	0 5	10* ·	10 10	10	
NER	Kewaunee No. 38	The county proposes private property over Existing bridge too ol	the East T			*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep	\$50,400 \$8,500	After Cost Share: \$61,900			0 1	2 () 10	5	2 2	10	-5		36
						Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$0,000			1. Pr 2. Ho 3. pr	ow lo	ong i	s the	LUA	? 10	-19 y	/rs		
			No Private 30' X !2'	ested, or commit Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	50' X 12'	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$2,000			4. W 20K	hat i	s the	e des	ign v	veig	ht lo	ad?		
	Variance Exception	-	Bast Twin	Labor Source:	contractor	Equip Rental Other	\$2,000 \$1,000			II		1	1 1		1		1	T	
	Exception	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	φ1,000 0	\$61,900											
NOR-R	Price	Price County proposes Creek in northern Price	to construct	a 35' clear span bridg	e over Hay	*Structure Engineer		\$35,000 After Cost Share:	in rehab										
	No. 41	bridge south of Hwy 182 private landowner. Sind 182 bridge to cross Hay allow snowmobilers to b be constructed on both	ce then, snov y Creek. Cor bypass the hi	wmobilers have utilized nstruction of this new b ighway bridge. Two 1	d the Highway bridge will 7' ramps will	Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$18,000 \$3,000 \$500						Cou vidir						
		Other funds re <u>cei</u> Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Culverts Riprap						deta	ails c	on t	nis p	oroje	ect.		
		Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: H Years in System:	Public Hay Creek	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Contract	Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$10,000 \$3,500												
		i cais ili Systeili.		Design Weight Load.	12,000		TOTAL	\$357,000		\$0								1	L

No. 40 flowing wetland on puwetland does not free problem. The county Other funds receive yets or No: Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: WC Chippewa No. 42 Other funds receive years in System: WC Chippewa No. 42 Chippewa Work of the results in destate 12' x 48' with ramps. Snowmobile trail only Other funds receive years in System: WC Marathon Marathon Marathon County is results in destate 12' x 48' with ramps. Snowmobile trail only WC Marathon Marathon Marathon County is results in System: WC Marathon Marathon county is results in approach on e unstable ice crossing With an approach on e unstable ice crossing Variance or Exception? Other funds receive years in System: WC Marathon No. 45 Marathon County is results in the state of the Big Eau with an approach on e unstable ice crossing Wariance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: NOR Rusk Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek.	es to construct a 12' x 120' bridge over a ublic land (Boulder Juction Corr 15&6). eze down and relocating the trail would be y has secured \$23,021 in RTP grant fundin ived. requested. or committed? Yes Amount: \$23,0 Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A New Bridge Size: 120' x Labor Source: Contra Design Weight Load: 25,00 requesting a NEW bridge on Corridor 27 o rail currently utilizes a natural at grade rd on equipment, potentially dangerous, an abilized stream banks. The bridge size will	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials \$1 Abutments \$ Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts 1 Riprap Labor \$ Lequip Rental \$ Other # of New Mi	\$0 \$5,000 \$110,000 \$10,500 \$8,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$55,000 \$10,000 \$0 \$0 0	Total \$200,500 After Cost Share: \$177,479	Approved	Max Pts 10 5 Will/ca trucks Need I	? YES	17 restri		cess	25 22 15 0	-	0	6 10 0	0 140
No. 40 flowing wetland on puwetland does not freeproblem. The county Other funds receive Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: WC Chippewa No. 42 Other funds receive WC Chippewa No. 42 Chippewa Roger Creek. The trac Chippewa No. 42 Other funds receive WC Chippewa No. 42 Other funds receive Variance or Exception? Public or Private: Other funds receive Variance or Did Bridge Size: Variance or Did Bridge Size: Variance or Did Bridge Size: WC Marathon Marathon Marathon County is re Branch of the Big Eau with an approach on eu with an approach on eu unstable ice crossing Variance or Exception? Variance or Other funds receive Variance or Marathon County is re Branch of the Big Eau with an approach on eu Unstable ice crossing Variance or Variance or Exception? <th>ublic land (Boulder Juction Corr 15&6). eze down and relocating the trail would be y has secured \$23,021 in RTP grant fundin ived. requested. or committed? Yes Yes Amount: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A N/A Bridge Inventory #: NA New Bridge Size: 120' x Labor Source: Contra Design Weight Load: requesting a NEW bridge on Corridor 27 o rail currently utilizes a natural at grade rd on equipment, potentially dangerous, an abilized stream banks. The bridge size will</th> <th>e Engineer Permits Site prep Materials \$1 Abutments \$ Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts 1 Riprap Labor \$ Culverts \$ Unverts \$ Culverts \$ Culver</th> <th>\$0 A \$0 \$110,000 \$110,500 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$55,000 \$10,000 \$0 0</th> <th>After Cost Share:</th> <th></th> <th>Will/ca trucks</th> <th>an we ? YES</th> <th>restri</th> <th>ct ac</th> <th>cess</th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>0</th> <th>0</th> <th>0 77</th>	ublic land (Boulder Juction Corr 15&6). eze down and relocating the trail would be y has secured \$23,021 in RTP grant fundin ived. requested. or committed? Yes Yes Amount: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A N/A Bridge Inventory #: NA New Bridge Size: 120' x Labor Source: Contra Design Weight Load: requesting a NEW bridge on Corridor 27 o rail currently utilizes a natural at grade rd on equipment, potentially dangerous, an abilized stream banks. The bridge size will	e Engineer Permits Site prep Materials \$1 Abutments \$ Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts 1 Riprap Labor \$ Culverts \$ Unverts \$ Culverts \$ Culver	\$0 A \$0 \$110,000 \$110,500 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$1,000 \$55,000 \$10,000 \$0 0	After Cost Share:		Will/ca trucks	an we ? YES	restri	ct ac	cess		0	0	0	0 77
Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Ware Body: Years in System: WC Chippewa No. 42 Chippewa County is r Roger Creek. The tra crossing which is hard often results in destat 12' x 48' with ramps. Snowmobile trail only Other funds recein Variance or Old Bridge Size: Variance or Old Bridge Size: Variance or Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: WC Marathon Marathon Marathon of the Big Eau No. 45 With an approach on eau with an approach on eau unstable ice crossing Other funds recein Years in System: WC Marathon No. 45 With an approach on eau With an approach on eau unstable ice crossing Variance or Old Bridge Size: Variance or Old Bridge Size: Variance or Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: NOR Rusk Rusk County propose <t< td=""><td>Yes Amount: \$23.0 Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A N/A New Bridge Size: 120' x Labor Source: Contra Design Weight Load: 25,00 requesting a NEW bridge on Corridor 27 o rail currently utilizes a natural at grade rd on equipment, potentially dangerous, an abilized stream banks. The bridge size will</td><td>Culverts Riprap Labor Labor Uritized provide the second seco</td><td>\$0 \$1,000 \$55,000 \$10,000 \$0 0</td><td></td><td></td><td>Need I</td><td>oreako</td><td>lown</td><td>(in d</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1</td></t<>	Yes Amount: \$23.0 Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A N/A New Bridge Size: 120' x Labor Source: Contra Design Weight Load: 25,00 requesting a NEW bridge on Corridor 27 o rail currently utilizes a natural at grade rd on equipment, potentially dangerous, an abilized stream banks. The bridge size will	Culverts Riprap Labor Labor Uritized provide the second seco	\$0 \$1,000 \$55,000 \$10,000 \$0 0			Need I	oreako	lown	(in d						1
Yes or No: Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: WC Chippewa No. 42 Chippewa County is r Roger Creek. The tra crossing which is hard often results in destat 12' x 48' with ramps. Snowmobile trail only Other funds recein Yes or No: Exception? Other funds recein Yes or No: Public or Private: WC Marathon Marathon No. 45 Marathon County is re Branch of the Big Eau with an approach on e unstable ice crossing WC Marathon No. 45 Variance or Exception? Other funds recein Yes or No: Public or Private: WC Marathon No. 45 No fardage Size: Water Body: Years in System: WC Marathon No. 45 No fardage Size: Years in System: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: Years in System: NOR Rusk Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek.	Yes Amount: \$23.0 Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A N/A New Bridge Size: 120' x Labor Source: Contra Design Weight Load: 25,00 requesting a NEW bridge on Corridor 27 o rail currently utilizes a natural at grade rd on equipment, potentially dangerous, an abilized stream banks. The bridge size will	Labor \$ Equip Rental \$ Other # of New Mi r *Structure \$	\$55,000 \$10,000 \$0 0						(···· 🏎	ollars	5)				
WC Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: WC Chippewa No. 42 Chippewa County is r Roger Creek. The tra crossing which is hard often results in destat 12' x 48' with ramps. Snowmobile trail only Variance or Exception? Other funds recein Yes or No: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: WC Marathon Marathon Marathon County is r Branch of the Big Eau with an approach on e unstable ice crossing WC Marathon No. 45 Other funds recein Yes or No: Variance or Exception? Other funds recein Years in System: WC Marathon No. 45 Other funds recein Yes or No: Public or Private: Public or Private: Nofter funds recein Yes or No: Public or Private: NOR Rusk Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek.	Public N/A Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 120' x wetland Labor Source: Design Weight Load: 25,00 requesting a NEW bridge on Corridor 27 o rail currently utilizes a natural at grade 26,00 rd on equipment, potentially dangerous, an abilized stream banks. The bridge size will	Equip Rental S Other # of New Mi # structure S	\$10,000 \$0 0												
WC Chippewa Chippewa County is r No. 42 Roger Creek. The tracossing which is hard often results in destat 12' x 48' with ramps. Snowmobile trail only Other funds recein Yes or No: Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: WC Marathon Mo. 45 Marathon County is re Branch of the Big Eau with an approach on e unstable ice crossing Variance or Exception? Other funds recein Yes or No: WC Marathon Morathon Marathon County is re Branch of the Big Eau with an approach on e unstable ice crossing Variance or Old Bridge Size: Public or Private: Variance or No: Public or Private: No. 45 Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek. Fork of Main Creek.	requesting a NEW bridge on Corridor 27 o rail currently utilizes a natural at grade rd on equipment, potentially dangerous, an abilized stream banks. The bridge size will	er *Structure					1	1 1		1 1					
No. 42 Roger Creek. The tracossing which is hard order results in destat 12' x 48' with ramps. Snowmobile trail only Other funds received to the results of the result	rail currently utilizes a natural at grade rd on equipment, potentially dangerous, an abilized stream banks. The bridge size will			\$177,479											_
Yes or No: Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: WC Marathon Mo. 45 Marathon County is re Branch of the Big Eau with an approach on e unstable ice crossing Other funds recein Yes or No: Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Other funds recein Yes or No: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Years in System: NOR Rusk Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek.	Landowner granted permanent easement y.	Permits	\$57,200 \$2,000	\$62,200 After Cost Share: \$62,200		Nor	ne								C
WC Marathon Marathon County is re Branch of the Big Eau with an approach on e unstable ice crossing Other funds receiv Yes or No: Other funds receiv Yes or No: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: NOR Rusk Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek.	ived. requested. or committed? No Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 12' x 48' Labor Source:	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$1,000 \$2,000												
No. 45 Branch of the Big Eau with an approach on or unstable ice crossing Other funds receiv Yes or No: Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Water Body: Years in System: NOR Rusk Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek.	Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi *Structure	0 \$63,000	\$62,200 \$84,500										_	0
Yes or No: Public or Private: Variance or Old Bridge Size: Exception? Water Body: Years in System: NOR Rusk Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek.	au Pleine River. The total length of this bri- each end would be 85'. This area is an g. Asking ATV to fund half the project.			After Cost Share: \$42,250		None									
Public or Private: Variance or Old Bridge Size: Exception? Water Body: Years in System: Years in System: NOR Rusk Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek. Fork of Main Creek.	ived. requested, or committed?	Culverts													
NOR Rusk Rusk County propose Fork of Main Creek.	Yes Amount: 422 Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	Labor \$	\$1,500 \$13,000 \$2,000 \$1,000												
Fork of Main Creek.	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$42,250											_
No. 46 relocation. The curre flooding.	es to construct a 12'x95' bridge on the Sou The project will include 840 feet of trail ent crossing is very unstable and prone to	Engineer	\$74,700 \$4,000		Need pictures and a trail map	1.	Provi	de tr	ail n	nap.					(
Yes or No: Public or Private: Variance or Old Bridge Size:			\$2,700 \$200												
Years in System:	Ived. requested. or committed? No Amount: private Bridge Inventory #: none New Bridge Size: 12x95 Main Creek Labor Source: 12x95	# of New Mi	0	\$81,600											

2018-19 SNOWMOBILE ACQUISITION REQUESTS

Region	County	Des	cription	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	
NOR			sition of 10 acres of land by Danbury	*Structure		\$25,000	1. Why isn't the County	
		on Trail 45. This parcel is located l project is 50% ATV. Trail 45 Acqu	3	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials		\$12,500	purchasing this parcel? 2. Will any local funds be contributed?	
				Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches				
		Other funds received, request	ted or committed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: ves	Amount: 12.500	Riprap				
			Bridge Inventory #:	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental				
	Exception?		Labor Source:	Acquisition	\$25,000			
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$12,500		
				*Structure		\$0		\$0
				Engineer		After Cost		
				Permits		\$0		
				Site prep				
				Materials				
				Abutments				
				Pilings/Piers				
				Approaches				
		Other funds received, request		Culverts				
		Yes or No: No Public or Private:	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	Riprap Labor				
			New Bridge Size:	Equip Rental				
		0	Labor Source:	Other				
			Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$0		
uI					•	\$12,500		\$0

	2	2018-19 NEW MILES		Public Lands 1-3 pts		Close Gap/Extend Trl. 1-3 pt	Connect Communties 1 pts	Mean snowmwfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Grooming equip. 2-3 pts	unty Priority	Average Days Open 1-3 pts	Deduct bidge/lake -2-3 pts	CSS Pts 2 pts/Co.	Deducts 0 - 20 pts	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts					
REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 1	1 12	13	14	TOT	MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL
NOR-R		Wolf River State Trail	14.4														0	14.4	\$4,320		\$4,320
	Lincoln	Multiple New Trails (No Dev)	15.2														0	15.2	\$4,560		\$4,560
NOR-R		Multiple New Trails (No Dev)	23														0	23	\$6,900		\$6,900
NOR-R		Catawba Trail w/ Bridge Dev	15.8														0	15.8	\$4,740	\$98,190	
NOR-R		Multiple New Trails (No Dev)	25.9														0	25.9	\$7,770		\$7,770
NOR-R		The 51 Trail w/ Bridge Dev	3.8														0	3.8	\$1,140	\$88,000	
	Oneida	Multiple New Trails (No Dev)	115														0		\$34,500		\$34,500
NOR-R		Multiple New Trails (No Dev)	43														0		\$12,900		\$12,900
	Racine		15.69														0	15.69	. ,		\$4,707
SER	Sheboygan	Random Lake Connector	3.35														0	3.35			\$1,005
SER	Sheboygan	Silver Springs Connector	5.59														0	5.59			\$1,677
SER	Sheboygan	Boltonville Connector	3.24														0	3.24	\$972		\$972
SER	Sheboygan	Ada Connection	3.7														0	3.7	\$1,110		\$1,110
SER	Sheboygan	I-43 park and Ride Connector	5.7														0	5.7	\$1,710		\$1,710
SC	Columbia	Keyeser Trail 71	7														0	7	\$2,100		\$2,100
SC	Columbia	New Trail - Trail 18	2.5														0	2.5	\$750		\$750
SC	Columbia	New Trail - Trail 41	15														0	15	. ,		\$4,500
SC	Columbia	New Trail - Trail 61	4.3														0	4.3	\$1,290		\$1,290
SC	Columbia	Trail 70 Otsego to Casino Trail	4.1														0	4.1	\$1,230		\$1,230
SC	Dane	Ashton Trail 51	2.2														0	2.2	\$660		\$660
SC	Dane	Co Line Sno Drifters Trail 59	22														0	22	\$6,600		\$6,600
SC	Dane	County Line Club Trail 17	21.5														0	21.5	\$6,450		\$6,450
SC	Dane	Marshall & Utica/Nora/Rockdale	14.8														0	14.8			\$4,440
SC	Dane	Waubesa Snowbirds Trial 29	27														0	27	\$8,100		\$8,100
SC	Dane	White Lightning Trail 18	3.9														0	3.9	\$1,170		\$1,170
SC	Dane	Yellow Thunder Trail 61	2.1														0	2.1	\$630		\$630
SC	Dodge	Lowell to Hubbleton	11.5														0	11.5	\$3,450		\$3,450
SC	Dodge	Hwy P near Huilsburg to Corridor 34	10.1														0	10.1	\$3,030		\$3,030
SC	Dodge	South of Grant Road to Neosho	9.9														0	9.9	\$2,970		\$2,970
SC	Dodge	Corridor 13 near Hooperville	6.5														0	6.5	\$1,950		\$1,950
SC	Grant	Ellenboro-Arthur Trail	18														0	18	\$5,400		\$5,400

	2	2018-19 NEW MILES		Public Lands 1-3 pts	Connect Counties 3 pts	Close Gap/Extend Trl. 1-3 pt	Connect Communties 1 pts		1-3 pts	2-3 pts	2-3 pts	1-3 pts	bidge/lake -2-3 pts	llel trails -3 pts	CSS Pts 2 pts/Co.	Deducts 0 - 20 pts	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts					
REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	тот		\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL
SC	Grant	Arthur-Belmont Trail	0.5															0		\$150		\$150
SC	Grant	Beetown-North Andover	14															0		\$4,200		\$4,200
SC	Grant	Cuba City	14															0	14	\$4,200		\$4,200
SC	Grant	Dickeyville-Cuba Ctiy	10.1															0		\$3,030		\$3,030
SC	Grant	Fennimore-Preston	9															0	•	\$2,700		\$2,700
SC	Grant	Lancaster-Beetown	15															0		\$4,500		\$4,500
SC	Iowa	Barneveld Trail Eagles (North)	7.25															0		\$2,175		\$2,175
SC	Iowa	Barneveld Trail Eagles (South)	17.5															0		\$5,250		\$5,250
SC	Iowa	IG Snocats Edmund to Co A	17	-														0	17	\$5,100		\$5,100
SC	Iowa	IG Snocats Co J Norms Rd to	7															0	•	\$2,100		\$2,100
SC	Iowa	T-Riders	12															0	•=	\$3,600		\$3,600
SC	Jefferson	Club Trail Connection on Corr 9	10.9															0		\$3,270		\$3,270
SC	Jefferson	Connection on Corridor 13	2.5															0		\$750		\$750
SC	Jefferson	Connection on Corridor Trail 15	3.5															0	0.0	\$1,050		\$1,050
SC	Jefferson	Corridor 13 Trail Connection	7.4															0		\$2,220		\$2,220
SC	Jefferson	Extension of Corridor Trail 13	6.5															0		\$1,950		\$1,950
SC	Jefferson	Extension of Corridor Trail 15	8.5															0	8.5	\$2,550		\$2,550
SC	Jefferson	Waterloo Spur Trail	8															0	8	\$2,400		\$2,400
SC	Jefferson	Watertown	4															0	4	\$1,200		\$1,200
SC	Lafayette	Arthur-Belmont Trail	7.6															0	7.6	\$2,280		\$2,280
SC	Sauk	HC3W	2.02															0	2.02	\$606		\$606
SC	Sauk	HC4W	3.89															0	3.89	\$1,167		\$1,167
SC	Sauk	H6	4															0	4	\$1,200		\$1,200
SC	Sauk	W7	4.58															0	4.58	\$1,374		\$1,374
SC	Sauk	W8	5.33															0	5.33	\$1,599		\$1,599
NOR	Ashland	13A	6															0	6	\$1,800		\$1,800
NOR	Bayfield	Trail 18	3.44	3	0	1	0	3	3	3	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	18	3.44	\$1,032		\$1,032
NOR	Burnett	Trail 7	1.9	3	3	1	0	2	3	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	18	1.9	\$570		\$570
NOR	Burnett	Trail 18	0.6	0	0	1	0	2	3	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	11	0.6	\$180		\$180
NOR	Burnett	Trail 22	5.3	0	0	1	0	2	3	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.3	\$1,590		\$1,590
NOR	Burnett	Trail 80	7.7	0	3	0	1	2	3	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	14	7.7	\$2,310		\$2,310

	2018-19 NEW MILES			connect Counties	Connect Communties 1 pts Close Gan/Extend Trl 1-3 nts	Mean snowmwfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	2-3		Average Days Open 1-3 pts	Deduct bidge/lake -2-3 pts	CSS Pts 2 p	Deducts 0 - 20 pts	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts					
REGION COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1		3 4			7				1 12			тот	MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL
NOR Burnett	Trail 5	2	3	0		0 2		3	2	-	-	0 (2	\$600		\$600
NOR Douglas	Trail 6	4.8	1	0	1	1 3			0		-	0 (-	4.8	\$1,440		\$1,440
NOR Douglas	Trail 17	12	1		3	1 3			0		-	0 (-		12	\$3,600		\$3,600
NOR Douglas	Trail 217	13.6	3	3	3	1 3		2	2		-	0 (•		13.6	\$4,080		\$4,080
NOR Polk	Trail West Sweden Loop	6.34	2	0	3	1 1		2	2		-	0 (-		6.34	\$1,902		\$1,902
NOR Polk	Beaver Township	6.34	2			0 1		2	2		-	0 (-	-	-	6.34	\$1,902		\$1,902
NOR Rusk	Trail 113 extension	3.8	1	0	3 ′	1 2		3	2		-	0 (-	v	••	3.8	\$1,140	\$2,600	\$3,740
NOR Bayfield	Trail 17 Connector	5.69	3	3	3	1 3	3	3	2	3	0	-3 (0	0	21	5.69	\$1,707		\$1,707
WC Adams	Two Miles Trail	2.8													0	2.8	\$840		\$840
WC Adams	Four Mile Trail	4													0	4	\$1,200		\$1,200
WC Adams	Five Mile Trail	5													0	5	\$1,500		\$1,500
WC Adams	Seven Mile Trail	7													0	7	\$2,100		\$2,100
WC Adams	Eight Mile Trail	8													0	8	\$2,400		\$2,400
WC Buffalo	Various Locations	24													0	24	\$7,200		\$7,200
WC Chippewa	29 Pines - Rough Rider Trail	16.1													0	16.1	\$4,830		\$4,830
WC Chippewa	Arnold Trail	0.2													0	0.2	\$60		\$60
WC Chippewa	Art's Loop Trail	3.1													0	3.1	\$930		\$930
WC Chippewa	B & B Trail	1.1													0	1.1	\$330		\$330
WC Chippewa	Baiers Trail	0.2													0	0.2	\$60		\$60
WC Chippewa	Big C Trail	2													0	2	\$600		\$600
WC Chippewa	Bloomer City Loop	3.1													0	3.1	\$930		\$930
WC Chippewa	Bloomer Kwik Trip Trail	1													0	1	\$300		\$300
WC Chippewa	Boyd Loop Trail	1.2													0	1.2	\$360		\$360
WC Chippewa	Buckhorn Trail	5.6													0	5.6	\$1,680		\$1,680
WC Chippewa	Cedar Bay Trail	0.2													0	0.2	\$60		\$60
WC Chippewa	Charles Trail	0.4													0	0.4	\$120		\$120
WC Chippewa	Cornell City Loop Trail	2													0	2	\$600		\$600
WC Chippewa	Drywood Trail	1.7													0	1.7	\$510		\$510
WC Chippewa	Extreme Motorsports Trail	0.5													0	0.5	\$150		\$150
WC Chippewa	Fitzwell's Trail	0.2											1		0	0.2	\$60		\$60
WC Chippewa	Flambeau Ridge North	9.3													0	9.3	\$2,790		\$2,790

	2	2018-19 NEW MILES		Public Lands 1-3 pts	connect Counties 3 pts	-		1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	2-3 pts	pts	-2-3 pts		CSS Pts 2 pts/Co.	Deducts 0 - 20 pts	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts					
REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3	4	5	6 [·]	78	B 9	9 10	11	12	13	14	TOT	MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL
WC	Chippewa	Flambeau Ridge South	5														0	-	\$1,500		\$1,500
WC	Chippewa	Highrider Trail	5.7														0	5.7	\$1,710		\$1,710
WC	Chippewa	Huron Trail	0.9														0	0.9	\$270		\$270
WC	Chippewa	Kutzee's Trail	2														0	2	\$600		\$600
WC	Chippewa	Lake Hallie Trail	12.8														0	12.8	\$3,840		\$3,840
WC	Chippewa	Loopy's Trail	2														0	2	\$600		\$600
WC	Chippewa	Low Down Trail	1.1														0	1.1	\$330		\$330
WC	Chippewa	Mornings Corner Trail	1														0	1	\$300		\$300
WC	Chippewa	Old Abe Supper Club Trail	0.7														0	0.7	\$210		\$210
WC	Chippewa	Old Abe Trail South	5.9														0		\$1,770		\$1,770
WC	Chippewa	Pike Lake Trail	0.2														0	0.2	\$60		\$60
WC	Chippewa	Quality Fuel Trail	1.2														0	1.2	\$360		\$360
WC	Chippewa	Riverview Trail	0.6														0	0.6	\$180		\$180
WC	Chippewa	Rock Lake Trail	0.5														0	0.5	\$150		\$150
WC	Chippewa	Shortcut	6.3														0	6.3	\$1,890		\$1,890
WC	Chippewa	Stanley Bowl Trail	1														0	1	\$300		\$300
WC	Chippewa	Sweeney's Trail	2.4														0	2.4	\$720		\$720
WC	Chippewa	Tilden Cut Across Trail	3.2														0	3.2	\$960		\$960
WC	Chippewa	Trail K	7.3														0	7.3	\$2,190		\$2,190
WC	Chippewa	Weiser Trail	4.5														0	4.5	\$1,350		\$1,350
WC	Eau Claire	Fairchild Connector	12.2														0	12.2	\$3,660		\$3,660
WC	Juneau	Castle Rock Ridge Runners	3.85														0	3.85	\$1,155		\$1,155
WC	Juneau	Lyndon Knights	4.78														0	4.78	\$1,434		\$1,434
WC	Juneau	Sunset Drifters	19.79														0	19.79	\$5,937		\$5,937
WC	Juneau	Winter Wanderers	11.15														0	11.15	\$3,345		\$3,345
WC	La Crosse	Trail 1	23														0	23	\$6,900		\$6,900
Wc	La Crosse	Trail 2	15														0	15	\$4,500		\$4,500
WC	Marathon	Eau Pleine Connector	2														0	2	\$600		\$600
WC	Marathon	Hatley Connector	5.72														0	5.72	\$1,716		\$1,716
WC	Marathon	Kelly Connector	2.1														0	2.1	\$630		\$630
WC	Marathon	Lions Park Connector	1.3														0	1.3	\$390		\$390

	-	018-19 NEW MILES		Public Lands 1-3 pts	Connect Counties 3 pts	Close Gap/Extend Trl. 1-3 pt		1-3 pts	1-3 pts		2-3 pts		Deduct parallel trails -3 pts	ots/Co.	Deducts 0 - 20 pts	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts					
REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 [·]	10	1 12	13	14	TOT	MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL
WC	Marathon	Memories Connector	4.74										_	_			0	4.74	\$1,422		\$1,422
WC		North Marathon City Connector	2.8											_			0	2.8	\$840		\$840
WC	Marathon	Pine Lane	1.3										_	_			0	1.3	\$390		\$390
WC	Marathon	Poniatowski Connector	1.5										_	_			0	1.5	\$450		\$450
WC	Marathon	South Marathon City Connector	2.9										_	_			0	2.9	\$870		\$870
WC	Marathon	Stratford Connector	6.6										_				0	6.6	\$1,980		\$1,980
WC		West Marathon City Connector	6.3										_				0	6.3	\$1,890		\$1,890
WC		Wiouwash Connector	0.7											_			0	0.7	\$210		\$210
WC	Marathon	Wittenberg Connector	2.3											_			0	2.3	\$690		\$690
WC	Monroe	Trail 28	10											_			0	10	\$3,000		\$3,000
WC	Monroe	Trail 34	12	-													0	12	\$3,600		\$3,600
WC	Monroe	Trail 60	4														0	4	\$1,200		\$1,200
WC	Monroe	Trail 65	6														0	6	\$1,800		\$1,800
WC	Pierce	Bendilly's Trail	3														0	3	\$900		\$900
WC	Pierce	Beskar's Trail	1.6														0	1.6	\$480		\$480
WC	Pierce	E-Trail (Priority)	7.5														0	7.5	\$2,250		\$2,250
WC	Pierce	Gordy's Trail	1.6														0	1.6	\$480		\$480
WC	Pierce	Kusilek Access Trail	0.3	_													0	0.3	\$90		\$90
WC	Pierce	Peterson Trail	1														0	1	\$300		\$300
WC	Pierce	Plum Creek Trail	5.7														0	5.7	\$1,710		\$1,710
WC	Pierce	Team Oil Trail	2.7														0	2.7	\$810		\$810
WC	Pierce	Village Trail	0.4														0	0.4	\$120		\$120
WC		Almond Sno Eagles	2														0	2	\$600		\$600
WC	Portage	Junction City	1.8														0	1.8	\$540		\$540
WC	Portage	Tomorrow River	11														0	11	\$3,300		\$3,300
WC	St Croix	250th Trail (9A)	8														0	8	\$2,400		\$2,400
WC	St Croix	County Road C Trail (4A)	3.5														0	3.5	\$1,050		\$1,050
WC		Erin Corner to Emerald Trail (9B)	11														0	11	\$3,300		\$3,300
WC		Blair Connector to the North	2														0	2	\$600		\$600
WC		Boondocks Trail	8.5														0	8.5	\$2,550		\$2,550
WC	Trempealeau	Corridor 31 to the Buffalo Co Line	8														0	8	\$2,400		\$2,400

	2	018-19 NEW MILES		Public Lands 1-3 pts		Close Gap/Extend Trl. 1-3 pt	Connect Communties 1 pts	Mean snowmwfall 1-3 pts		2 2 3	unty Priority	Average Days Open 1-3 pts	bidge/lake -:	CSS Pts 2 pts/Co. Deduct parallel trails -3 pts	Deducts 0 - 20 pts	allel					
REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10 ⁻	11 12	13	14	TOT	MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL
WC		Elk Creek to Pleasantville/Whitehall	3.5										_				0	3.5	\$1,050		\$1,050
WC		Independence to the Buffalo Co Line	5														0	5	\$1,500		\$1,500
WC		Pine Creek Trail	8	_					_								0	8	\$2,400		\$2,400
NER	Brown	Village of Denmark	1.3	0		0			0								0	1.3	\$390		\$390
NER	Brown	Village of Denmark	0.75			0											0	0.75	\$225		\$225
NER	Brown	Village of Denmark	0.75			0											0	0.75	\$225		\$225
NER	Brown	Midnite Trail Riders	1.9	0	•	0											0	1.9	\$570		\$570
NER	Calumet	Fond du Lac County Line to Chilton	9		0							0					0	9	\$2,700		\$2,700
NER	Calumet	Badger Creek Trail	2.4		0												0	2.4	\$720		\$720
NER	Door	West Jacksonport Cross Trail	4														0	4	\$1,200		\$1,200
NER		Rosendale Center	5.6														0	5.6	\$1,680		\$1,680
NER		Kiekhaefer to Pebbles	2.78														0	2.78	\$834		\$834
NER	Fond du Lac	Wonser Rd to Wild Goose ST	2.96														0	2.96	\$888		\$888
NER	Fond du Lac	Lamartine to Brandon	15														0	15	\$4,500		\$4,500
NER		New Fane Rider	1.24														0	1.24	\$372		\$372
NER	Green Lake	several segments	7														0	7	\$2,100		\$2,100
NER	Kewaunee	DU-LL	1.3	1		1		1		2	1	3					9	1.3	\$390		\$390
NER	Kewaunee	L-ELL	8.1	1		1		1		2	1	3					9	8.1	\$2,430		\$2,430
NER		KU-SLN	2.4	1		1		1		3		3		-3			6	2.4	\$720		\$720
NER		Kiel to Valders/Newton D-2-2, D-2-1,B	12.2	2		3	1	1	1	3	2	1					14	12.2	\$3,660		\$3,660
NER		Badger Creek Trail D-2-3	2.5	2	3	1	1	1	1	3	2	1					15	2.5	\$750		\$750
NER	Manitowoc	G-1	0.5	2	0	1	0	1	1	3	2	1					11	0.5	\$150		\$150
NER		M-2	1.2	2	3	1	1	1	1	3	2	1					15	1.2	\$360		\$360
NER	Marinette	East Trail	29														0	29	\$8,700		\$8,700
NER	Marinette	Hazelnut Trail	5														0	5	\$1,500		\$1,500
NER	Marinette	Trail #6-Int 204 West to Int 226	6.5														0	6.5	\$1,950		\$1,950
NER	Marinette	Int 206 East to Menominee River	2.5														0	2.5	\$750		\$750
NER	Marinette	Int 220 to Int 217	1.6														0	1.6	\$480		\$480
NER	Marinette	Bear Point to Boat Landing	2.1														0	2.1	\$630		\$630
NER		Int 224 West to Lake Noquebay	3.1														0	3.1	\$930		\$930
NER	Marinette	Int 310 to Marinette, Int 315 to Int 318	7														0	7	\$2,100		\$2,100

	2	2018-19 NEW MILES		Public Lands 1-3 pts					<u>د</u> لا	2-3 pts	2-3 pts	bidge/lake -2-3 pts Davs Open 1-3 pts		CSS Pts 2 pts/Co.	Deducts 0 - 20 pts	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts					
REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 1	0 11	12	13	14	тот	MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL
NER	Marinette	Int 213 West to Crivitz	0.8														0	0.8	\$240		\$240
NER	Marinette	Int 308 South to Bay of Green Bay	19.7														0	19.7	\$5,910		\$5,910
NER	Marinette	Int 314 to City of Peshtigo	5.7														0	5.7	\$1,710		\$1,710
NER	Marinette	Middle Inlet to Camp 5	3														0	3	\$900		\$900
NER	Marinette	51 Rd to Cty W, White Potato lake, ar	5.8														0	5.8	\$1,740		\$1,740
NER	Marinette	FR1510 from FR600 to Benson Lak R	4														0	4	\$1,200		\$1,200
NER	Marinette	Intersection 107 to 112	7.5														0	7.5	\$2,250		\$2,250
NER	Marquette	Seg 1-Corr 30 to Oxford	12.7	2		3	1	2		3		2					15	12.7	\$3,810		\$3,810
NER	Marquette	Seg 2-Corr 30/East cnty line-Wausha	17.5	2		3	1	2		3		2					15	17.5	\$5,250		\$5,250
NER	Marquette	Seg 3-Pacwaukee Spur	2.9	2		1	0	2		3		2					12	2.9	\$870		\$870
	Marquette	Seg 4-Oxford to Brooks Cutoff	3.8	2		3	1	2		3		2					15	3.8	\$1,140		\$1,140
NER	Marquette	Seg 5-Endeavor Loop	3.9	2		1	0	2		3		2					12	3.9	\$1,170		\$1,170
NER	Oconto	Anderson lake	5.37	1	0	1	0			3		3					8	5.37	\$1,611		\$1,611
NER	Oconto	Cream City Road	1.24	1	0	1	0			2		1					5	1.24	\$372		\$372
NER	Oconto	Krake Rd	1.05	1	0	1	0			3		3					8	1.05	\$315		\$315
NER	Oconto	Ln 124 to 141	2.84	1	0	3	1			3		2					10	2.84	\$852		\$852
NER	Oconto	Ln 139 to County Line	1.75	1	3	3				3		2					13	1.75	\$525		\$525
NER	Oconto	Ln 260 to Oconto Falls	7.28	1	0	3	1			3	0	1					9	7.28	\$2,184		\$2,184
NER	Oconto	Lower Loop	1.59	3	0	1	0			3		3					10	1.59	\$477		\$477
NER	Oconto	Maiden Lake	0.86	1	0	1	0			3		3					8	0.86	\$258		\$258
NER	Oconto	Marinette 1	4.77	1	3	3	1			3		3					16	4.77	\$1,431		\$1,431
NER	Oconto	Saul Springs	4.47		3	1	0			3		3					13	4.47	\$1,341		\$1,341
NER	Oconto	W Stiles Tower Rd	4.36	3	0	3	1			3	0	1					11	4.36	\$1,308		\$1,308
NER	Outagamie		22.2														0	22.2	\$6,660		\$6,660
NER	Shawano	11 Trail	2.43														0	2.43	\$729		\$729
NER	Shawano	AP Trail	9.63														0	9.63	\$2,889		\$2,889
NER	Shawano	Docs Trail	5.2														0	5.2	\$1,560		\$1,560
NER	Shawano	Mattoon Trail	3.23								T						0	3.23	\$969		\$969
NER	Shawano	Mosling/H Trail	1.62								Τ						0	1.62	\$486		\$486
NER	Shawano	Mountain Bay to Gresham	0.8														0	0.8	\$240		\$240
NER	Shawano	Outagamie Trail	6.38														0	6.38	\$1,914		\$1,914

	2	2018-19 NEW MILES		Public Lands 1-3 pts	Connect Counties 3 pts	Close Gap/Extend Trl. 1-3 pt	Connect Communties 1 pts	- -	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Grooming equip. 2-3 pts	County Priority 2-3 pts	1-3 pts	2-3 pts	Deduct parallel trails -3 pts	CSS Pts 2 pts/Co.	Deducts 0 - 20 pts	Deduct if Parallel 15 pts					
REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	тот	MILES	\$ MTC	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL
NER	Shawano	Red River Loop	8.86															0	8.86	\$2,658		\$2,658
NER	Shawano	S Trail	2.74															0	2.74	\$822		\$822
NER	Shawano	SH Trail	4.45															0	4.45	\$1,335		\$1,335
NER	Shawano	Swamp Trail	3.19															0	3.19	\$957		\$957
NER	Shawano	Tigerton to Caroline	12.33															0	12.33	\$3,699		\$3,699
NER	Shawano	Underhill Trail	4.89															0	4.89	\$1,467		\$1,467
NER	Shawano	Wildlife Trail	0.57															0	0.57	\$171		\$171
NER	Waupaca	Shawano Co line to Embarrass	3	-	3	3		1	0	2	0	2	0	0				13	3	\$900		\$900
	Waupaca	#38 to New London to Out co line	5.5	1	3	3		1	0	2	2		-3					12	5.5	. ,		\$1,650
	Waupaca	#61to Hwy 22-Waupaca High School	2.6	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2						7	2.6	\$780		\$780
	Waupaca	Steve's woods to Waushara co line	2.1	1	3	3	0	1	0	2	0	2						12	2.1		\$170,000	
	Waupaca	#24a to Portage County line	10.1	1	3	3	0	1	0	2	0	2						12	10.1	\$3,030		\$3,030
NER	Waupaca	#17 to #18 #29a to #37a #49 to #48	12.5	1	0	3	0	1	0	3	0	2						10	12.5	\$3,750		\$3,750
NER	Waupaca	#71 to Winnebago co line	3	1	3	3	0	1	0	2	0	2						12	3	\$900		\$900
	Waupaca	Corridor 9 to Clintonville Parking	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	2						8	1	\$300		\$300
	Waupaca	Int 39a to Sheridan to Int 47a	2.5	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	0	2						8	2.5	\$750		\$750
NER	Waupaca	Wiouwash state trail-Marion to Shaw	2.5		3	3		1	0	2	0	2						12	2.5	\$750		\$750
NER	Waushara	Tri-Co #30A to #26	8.5	0	0	1	0		1	3	0	2	0					8	8.5	\$2,550		\$2,550
NER	Waushara	Coloma #23A to Co Line	6.7	0	3	1	0		1	3	1	2						12	6.7	\$2,010		\$2,010
NER	Waushara	Sawdust City #47 to #54	4.1	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	2						8	4.1	\$1,230		\$1,230
	Waushara	Borth #55A to Co Line	8.5		-			-	1	3	1	2						13	8.5	. ,		\$2,550
	Waushara	Berlin #5 to #6A	3.9	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	1						7	3.9	. ,		\$1,170
	Winnebago	Bison Rd to Marsh Rd	6.25															0	6.25	\$1,875		\$1,875
NER	Winnebago	Bison Rd to Marsh Rd	6.25															0	6.25	\$1,875		\$1,875
																			0	\$0		\$0
																			1,618.56	\$485,568	\$358,790	\$844,358