2025-26 Snowmobile Funding Summary

<u>Category</u>	Funds Availble	<u>Amo</u>	unt Requested	<u>Am</u>	ount Funded	Ва	<u>lance</u>
Funds Available						\$	-
Maintenance	(20,250 @ \$300/mile)	\$	6,075,000	\$	6,075,000	\$	(6,075,000)
WI River Bridge in Sauk Co		\$	250,000	\$	250,000	\$	(6,325,000)
SNARS / GPS Units	Verify	\$	205,000	\$	215,000	\$	(6,540,000)
Funds For Development, etc						\$	-
Storm Damage		\$	63,000	\$	-	\$	-
Cost Increase Requests		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Bridge Rehab		\$	3,051,529	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Trail Rehab		\$	315,881	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Relocation Mandatory		\$	1,090,286	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Relocation Discretionary		\$	147,755	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Bridge New		\$	700,527	\$	-	\$	-
New Miles	(352.4 @ \$300/mile)	\$	485,955			\$	-
Snow Acquisition		\$	155,888	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Non-Trail Development		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Development Total		\$	6,010,821	\$	-		
Grand Total (Maint + Dev)		\$	12,290,821	\$	6,290,000	\$	(6,540,000)

Prelim. Supplemental (24-25): \$ 931,778.77

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE STORM DAMAGE REQUESTS

Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County is requesting grant funding to assist in trail clearing of debris from an ice storm on March 29 and 30, 2025. This storm caused significant damage to the area, including depositing heavy debris on many trails. The project costs are based on estimated work hours and equipment use to clear the trails.	Trail Clearing	\$63,000	\$63,000		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Gov Declared Emergency? Yes FEMA Eligible? FEMA Applied For?			\$63,000		
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Gov Declared Emergency? FEMA Eligible? FEMA Applied For?			\$0		

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

		2025-26 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA	ATION I	REQUESTS	}		Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A		ا 1	3	2 !	5 4	-1	23
#1	Washburn	Washburn County proposes to replace the Gull Creek Bridge on the Big Bend Fire Lane unplowed snow trail. The current timber bridge is too low to the creek and prone to beaver damage. The new bridge would be a clearspan 100,000 lb bridge, the county will cover the	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep	\$37,000 \$4,000 \$5,000	\$70,000 After Cost Share: \$35,000		Bridge inspection in work. Since this bridge benefits the County Forest, would the Co		10	3	2	5 3		23
		cost difference for the extra weight over 25,000. Cost split 50/50 w/ATV	Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$12,000			consider funding 50% of the bridge? Is this even eligible since it's a bridge for a							
	Variance	Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 35,000 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Old Bridge Size: 20x16 New Bridge Size: 40x12	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$2,000 \$10,000			Co Forest road? (Yes). Engineering, abutments, etc may be bigger							
	Exception	Water Body: Gull Creek Labor Source: Contractor Years in System: 30+ Design Weight Load: 100,000	Other # of New Mi	0	\$35,000		because of the 100k as well, NOT							i I
# 2	Fond du Lac	Redecking of a bridge on the Wild Goose State Park Trail. The bridge project does not include modifications to the substructure; it only involves the removal and replacement of the entire deck. Width increasing from 9.5ft to 12ft.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$183,000 \$55,000	\$248,000 After Cost Share: \$248,000		Is this a current price? What exactly is proposed, timber, concrete.	Poor to Satisfactory	10	3	1	5 1	0	20
	Variance	Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: 77' x 9.5' Water Body: E Branch Fond Labor Source:	Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$10,000			etc? Engineering seems high.	У						
	Exception	Years in System: 122 Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$248,000									ı l
NOR-R	Lincoln	Lincoln County proposes to replace the existing bridge on Corridor 21 over an unnamed stream in the western part of the County. This	*Structure Engineer Permits	\$70,000 \$4,000	\$101,000 After Cost Share: \$101,000			Critical	10	0	2	5 3	0	20
#6		trail connects to the Taylor County network. The existing bridge is in very poor shape and needs replacing. This trail is only open to snowmobiles.	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$5,000 \$10,000	\$101,000									
			Approaches											
	Variance	Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Both Bridge Inventory #: Old Bridge Size: 18ft x 10ft New Bridge Size: 60ft x 12ft	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$2,000 \$5,000										
		Water Body: Unnamed Labor Source: Contract Years in System: 10 years + Design Weight Load: 25,000	Removal # of New Mi	\$5,000 0	\$101,000									

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS Q & A 10 3 2 5 Region County Component Costs Total Approved Vilas \$108.543 NOR-R Vilas County proposes to replace the existing culvert on Sucker *Structure Updated for 10 After Cost Share: required RTP Creek within the Hwy 45 ROW. The bridge inspection indicates the Engineer \$31,000 Permits \$10,855 Arch Study culvert is in poor condition. The new culvert will be 6 feet in diameter #7 Site prep by 40ft long. The county is requesting RTP (\$86,834), Snow Materials (\$10,854.50), and ATV (\$10,854.50) funds for this project. Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts \$27,500 Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes Amount: \$ 97.688.50 Riprap \$4,200 Yes or No: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: \$23.343 **Public** Vilas-39 Labor New Bridge Size: Equip Rental Variance Old Bridge Size: 6' x 6' x 40' 6ft x 40ft Exception Water Body: Sucker Creek Labor Source: Contract Removal \$22,500 Years in System: More than 10 Design Weight Load: 25,000 # of New Mi \$10,855 WC Chippewa Chippewa County wishes to replace the Paint Creek bridge on the *Structure \$35,000 \$68,000 Needs 3 After Cost Share resolution. Budweiser trail. The existing bridge was constructred with club Engineer \$4,000 Rcvd fundina Permits \$68,000 funds prior to 1991 and is beiningto deteriorate due ot age and #10 in 2019, what Site prep \$5,000 corrosion. Materials was done Abutments \$12,000 with that? Why not Pilinas/Piers waiting 10 Approaches vears? Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? No Amount: 6 Riprap \$2.000 Yes or No: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Labor \$5,000 Private Old Culvert Size: New Culvert Size: Equip Rental Variance 40' x 12' 40' x 12'

Other

of New Mi

*Structure

Engineer

Permits

Site prep

Materials

Abutments

Pilinas/Piers

Approaches

Equip Rental

of New Mi

Culverts

Labor

Other

54500 Riprap

12' x 50'

25,000

\$5,000

\$43,000

\$4,000

\$20.000

\$15,000

\$2,000

\$10,000

\$15,000

\$68,000

\$54,500

\$54,500

Split 50/50

Would like to

see a quote

with ATV.

for the

from

structure

someone

other than

iust Wheeler.

0

5

\$109,000

After Cost Share:

Exception Water Body:

Cheese

Country

Variance

Exception Water Body:

SC

#3

Years in System:

Yes or No:

Public or Private:

Old Culvert Size:

Years in System:

Paint Creek

34

propose replacing the entire bridge structure.

Labor Source:

The Tri County trail commission wishes to replace Bridge #72 on the

DNR, major deficiencies were found in the wood pilings of the bridge.

removed. Due to the deck having a poured concrete cap, this would

Pecatonica state trail. In a routine bridge inspection conducted by

In order to replace degraded pilings the bridge decking would be

ruin the decking, so for the most cost-effective method we would

Other funds received, requested, or committed?

Yes

Public

10' x 42'

Boner branch

Design Weight Load: 14,000

Amount:

Design Weight Load:

Bridge Inventory #:

New Culvert Size:

Labor Source:

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHABILITATION REQUESTS

		2025-26 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	IABILITA	ATION	REQUESTS	}		Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	L	10	3	2 5	5 4	-1	23
# 4	Cheese Country	The Tri County Trail Comm. wishes to rehab Bridge #3 on the Cheese Country Trail over Brewery Creek. Will remove existing deteriorated deck and railing, and remove center piling to provide better stream-flow through the bridge structure. Once that work is completed, a Wheeler wood decking and railing system is proposed to be installed witf1 some minor approach work.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$32,555	\$99,555 After Cost Share: \$49,778		Split 50/50 with ATV, waiting on 2nd est. If new bridge is 40k, will Tri Co pay the difference between 25k to 40k? Inspections suggest It doesn't need replacement,	Decking Fair	10	0	2	5 1		18
	Variance Exception	Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 49777.5 Public or Private: Old Culvert Size: 13' x30' Water Body: Years in System: Public Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load: 25,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$45,000 \$12,000 \$10,000	\$49,778		follow maint suggestions? (App says debris accumulating) Would like to see a quote for							
# 5	Cheese Country	The Tri County trail commission wishes to rehab Bridge #2 on the Cheese Country Trail over Brewery Creek. Will remove existing deteriorated deck and railing, and remove the center piling to provide better stream-flow through the bridge structure. Once that work is completed, a Wheeler wood decking and railing system is proposed to be installed witf1 some minor approach work.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$32,555	\$99,555 After Cost Share: \$49,778		Split 50/50 with ATV, waiting on 2nd est. If new bridge is 40k, will Tri Co pay the difference between 25k to 40k? Inspections	Decking Fair	10	0	2	5 1		18
	Variance Exception	Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 49777.5 Public or Private: Old Culvert Size: 13' x30' Water Body: Water Body: Years in System: Design Weight Load: Public Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load: 25,000	Approaches Culverts	\$45,000 \$12,000 \$10,000	\$49.778		suggest It doesn't need replacement, follow maint suggestions? (App says debris accumulating) Would like to see a quote for the structure from							
sc #94	Cheese Country	The Tri County trail commission requests a cost increase to S-5944 (redeck/rehab of Bridge #48). Changes in personnel and project resources have led to an increase in total project costs. Original award was for \$141,737 split with ATV (\$70,868.50). New total is \$256,550, also split. Increased ask from each program is	Cost Increase	\$57,407	\$57,407 After Cost Share: \$57,407		Cost Increase Request. Split 50/50 with ATV, waiting on 2nd est. Would like to see a quote for the structure from someone other	Fair	10	0	2	5 1	0	18
	Variance Exception	\$57,407 Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: 48 Old Culvert Size: 13' x 115' New Culvert Size: 13' x 115' Water Body: Pecatonica Labor Source: Years in System: 50+ Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$57,407		than just Wheeler. Why 13' wide?							

-		2025-26 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA	ATION I	REQUESTS	3		Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A]] [3 2	2 5	4	-1 2	23
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to replace the existing bridge on the Nicolet State Trail over Stream 30-12 in the Township of Caswell. The bridge inspection indicates the bridge is in poor condition. The existing bridge is about 76 feet in length and is almost 70 years old.	*Structure Engineer Permits/Erosi Site prep	\$171,320 \$66,900 \$8,000	\$400,800 After Cost Share: \$150,400			Poor	10	1 2	2 5	1	-1	18
		The county is requesting RTP (\$100,000), Snow (\$150,400), and ATV (\$150,400) funds for this project.	Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$31,780 \$44,064 \$2,312										
	Variance Exception	Other funds received, requested, or committed?Yes or No:YesAmount:\$ 250.400Public or Private:Public Public Old Bridge Size:Bridge Inventory #:NR-21-006Old Bridge Size:76ft x 12ft Vater Body:New Bridge Size:85ft x 12ft Vater Body:	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$8,160 \$48,264 \$20,000										
		Years in System: More than 10 Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$150,400			↓	- 10			_	4	ᆜ
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to replace the bridge on the Wolf River State	*Structure Engineer	\$171,320 \$74,900	\$382,032 After Cost Share:			Poor	10	1 2	2 5	1	-1	18
#9		Trail over Swamp Creek in the Town of Lincoln. The county received a redecking grant last year, but the new bridge inspection indicated the bridge is in poor condition. The county returned the redeck grant to apply for funds to replace it instead. The county is requesting RTP	Permits Site prep Materials	ψ/4,900	\$141,016			r						
		(\$100,000), Snow (\$141,016), and ATV (\$141,016) funds for this project.	Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$31,780 \$35,304 \$2,312										
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 241.016 Public or Private: Public of Bridge Inventory #: FC-8 Old Bridge Size: 60ft x 12ft of Water Body: New Bridge Size: 70ft x 12ft of	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$6,900 \$39,516 \$20,000										
		Years in System: More than 10 Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$141,016			Ш					\bot	
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to replace the existing bridge on the Nicolet	*Structure	\$497,300	\$972,552 After Cost Share:			Poo	10	1 2	2 5	1	-1	18
#11		State Trail over the Peshtigo River in the Town of Caswell. The bridge inspection indicates the bridge is in poor condition and recommends closure until repairs can be made. The existing bridge is 194 feet in length and is over 80 years old. The county is requesting RTP (\$100,000), Snow (\$436,276), and ATV (\$436,276)	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$109,000 \$31,780	\$436,276)ľ						
	Variance	funds for this project. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: S 536,276 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Old Bridge Size: 194ft x 12ft New Bridge Size: 194ft x 12ft	Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$82,320 \$4,320 \$13,420 \$187,732 \$46,680										
		Water Body: Peshtigo Rvr Labor Source: Contract Pears in System: More than 10 Design Weight Load: 25,000	Other # of New Mi	0	\$436,276									

		2025-26 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REF	HABILITA	ATION I	REQUESTS	3		Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A			3 2	2 5	4	-1 2	23
#12	Monroe	Monroe County wishes to replace an aging and damaged bridge. Bridge has structural damage from corrosion, the railings and deck are in poor condition. Structural damage from grooming equipment is present due to the severe angle of the bridge in relation to the trail. The deck of the bridge needs to be replaced. The certified bridge inspector recommended to close the bridge or to perform a load rating on the bridge at a cost of \$9 500.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$39,000 \$3,000 \$2,000	\$50,000			Critical	10	1 (0 5	1	0	17
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Old Culvert Size: 11' x 27' Water Body: Years in System: Public Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: 12' x 70' Labor Source: Design Weight Load: 25,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$3,000 \$3,000	\$50,000									
#13	Monroe	Monroe County wishes to replace damaged bridge that is at the end of its life. Bridge has structural damage from ice/water expanding and bulging/cracking the tubular steel. Some structural damage from grooming equipment as well. The deck of the bridge ne ds to be replaced. The certified bridge inspector recommended a 1 ton weight limit on the bridge or to perform a load rating on the bridge at a cost of \$9,500. Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Permits Site prep	\$80,000 \$10,000 \$3,000 \$17,000			When did they last receive grant funding?	Poor	10	1 (0 5	1	0	17
- N/O		Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Old Culvert Size: 12' x 70' New Culvert Size: 12' x 70' Water Body: Mill Pond Labor Source: Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: 25,000	Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	0	\$110,000				10					15
# 14	u	Trempealeau County wishes to replace bridge #32 over the North Fork of the buffalo river. The bridge decking needs to be repaired yearly, I-beams and cross members are significantly rusted, some with holes through, and the abutments are eroding away	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$61,800 \$500 \$7,500	\$74,000			Poor	10	4 (0 1	0	0	15
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Culvert Size: 31.5' x 10' Water Body: Buffalo river Years in System: Other funds received. requested. or committed? Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: 50' x 12' Labor Source: Design Weight Load: 25,000	Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,000 \$2,200 0	\$74,000									

		2025-26 SN	10M	MOBILE BRI	IDGE REH	ABILITA	ATION	REQUESTS	3		Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Access	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	ă			2			
# 15	Pierce	Pierce County wishes to re Eau Galle river. The curre replacement. Groomers a concerns and instead cros This river crossing is leadi wear on the groomer guide	nt brido avoid o ss thru ng to s	ge was built in 1995 a rossing the bridge du the river, a Class II tr horeline degradation	and in need of ue to safety out stream.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$127,000 \$10,000 \$6,000 \$20,000	\$179,850 After Cost Share: \$179,850	уфричи	We offered a redeck grant last year but never wrote it, what happened? Maybe get a	Deck Poor, Supers.				1		0 15
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Culvert Size: Water Body: Years in System: Other funds received. Private: 11.5' > Eau Ga Years in System:	ate ate 110' alle rvr	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source:	12' x 100'	Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,000 \$2,000 \$10,000 \$2,850	\$470.0E0		different inspector if the current report isn't accurate?	s. Fair, Subs. Good						
#16	Pierce	Pierce County wishes to re the Eau Galle river. The c replacement. Support po apart, which doesn't bear has eroded away, and the	eplace urrent les are the we deck i	bridge is over 40 y/o visibly rotted, I bear ight of an 8' wide groo s well past it's useful	n bridge over and in need of ms are only 6' omer, rip-rap life.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$108,000 \$20,000 \$5,000 \$10,000 \$5,000	\$179,850 \$161,500 After Cost Share: \$161,500		Didn't we give them a redeck grant last year?	Deck Poor, Supers. Fair	10	1	0	1	0	3 15
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Culvert Size: Water Body: Years in System:	reaue	sted. or committe Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	6d?	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,000 \$5,000 \$6,500	\$161,500			, Subs. Good						
#17	Bayfield	Bayfield County proposes Mile Creek. The west abu replacement, and the pire and will also be replaced. abutment but the bridge is The bridge has been in se	utment caps c The co currer	b a railroad trestle bits are crumbling and ron 8 and 9 are internationally has a temporaroutly closed to snowmo	need ally deteriorating by repair to the	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$40,000 \$100,000 \$15,000	\$239,808				10	3	0	0	1	0 14
	Variance	Other funds received. Yes or No: Null or Private: Old Bridge Size:	o ate	sted. or committe Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	ed?	Culverts Riprap Labor	\$24,808										

Contractor

Equip Rental Other

of New Mi

\$60,000

0

\$239,808

Private 587

18 Mile Creek

>10

New Bridge Size:

Design Weight Load:

Labor Source:

Old Bridge Size:

Exception Water Body:
Years in System:

Variance

		2025-	26 SNOW	MOBILE BR	IDGE REH	ABILITA	TION	REQUESTS	8		Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A]		3	2 5	5 4		23
NOR-R #18	Vilas	on Snow Corridor 20 years ago. It w	6. The bridge was recently insp	the existing bridge was originally built by bected and determin	the club over ed to be in poor	*Structure Engineer Permits	\$51,400 \$14,500	\$91,300 After Cost Share: \$91,300		Engineer doesn't think DNR will	Poor	10	1	0	0 3	0	14
20		being resubmitted significant scope of snowmobiles.	from last year's change and cos	grooming equipmen s tentative awards d it increase. This trai	ue to a il is only used by	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$10,000			approve a culvert in this location.							
		Yes or No:	No	sted, or committe Amount:	0	Culverts Riprap	\$2,500					ı					
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body:	Lynx Creek	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	Vilas-1 38ft x 12ft Contract	Labor Equip Rental Other	\$12,900										
NOR-S	Ashland	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi *Structure	\$32,000	\$91,300 \$65.000			H H	10	1	0	1 1		13
NOIX-3	Asilialiu			ace Bridge 1 . The land		Engineer	\$4,000	After Cost Share:			Poor	10	'	U	1		13
#19		to the abutments r	nearly being sc	oured out. The engi	neer	Permits	45.000	\$65,000									
""			• .	ment which will allov		Site prep Materials	\$5,000										
		protection.	nind the current	abutments and allo	ow slope	Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$12,000										
		Other funds rec Yes or No:	ceived. reaue No	sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts Riprap	\$2,000					í					
		Public or Private:	Private	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	Ashland 1	Labor	\$5,000										
	Variance	Old Bridge Size:	25x11	New Bridge Size:	40x12	Disposal	\$5,000										
	Exception	Water Body:	. 40	Labor Source:	Contractor	Other	0	#05.000									
NOR-R	Langlade	Years in System:		Design Weight Load: the existing Bridge	Unknown #5 crossing the	# of New Mi *Structure	\$52.100	\$65,000 \$149,774			Б	10	1	2	0 1	_1	13
INOIN-IN	Langiado			ver on the County L		Engineer	ψ52,100	After Cost Share:			Poor	10	- 1	-	Ϋ.	- 1	13
#20	•			ridge is located on p		Permits	\$150	\$74,887									
#ZU		is about 30 years	old & in poor co	ondition. This trail is	used by Winter	Site prep	40.40										
				poses to split this 5		Materials Abutments	\$318 \$7,810										
				\$53,304 and will be	considering a	Pilings/Piers	Ψ1,010										
		cost increase req	to bring total to	\$14,881		Approaches											
				sted, or committe		Culverts											
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	Yes	Amount:	\$ 74.887	Riprap	#00 450										
	Variance	Old Bridge Size:	Private 35ft x 12ft	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	Langlade #5 36ft x 12ft	Labor Equip Rental	\$83,456										
		Water Body:		Labor Source:	Contract	Removal	\$5,940										
	, , , , , ,	Years in System:	30 years	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$74,887									

		2025-26 S	NOW	MOBILE BRI	DGE REH	ABILITA	TION	REQUESTS	8		Inspectio	Inspection	Funding	Access	Mi Impac	ТОТАL
Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A			3 2	5	4 -	
#90	Burnett	Burnett County is seeking on Corr Trail 45 on DNR's is requiring additional eng than originally estimated. \$82,000 to \$344,219 an i	s Crex M gineering Total p	leadows State Wildlitg and the bridge cost project cost is increas	e Area. DNR s are higher ing from	Cost Increase Engineer Permits/Erosic Site prep Materials	\$81,109 on	\$81,109 After Cost Share: \$81,109		Cost increase to S- 5961	N/A	0	3 2	2 5	3	0 13
		50% increase from ATV a \$100,000. Existing S-596 Cold 100 in Cooper funds Other funds received	61 Gran	t for \$41,000. Seekin	ng additional	Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts										
		Yes or No:	'es	Amount:		Riprap										
	Variance Exception	Old Culvert Size:	e River	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	24x12 Contractor	Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	0	\$81,109								
NOR-S #21	Ashland	Ashland County proposes over the East Fork Chipp indicates that the decking	s to replace wa Riveg has wice	ace the deck on Ashl er. The inspection fo despread major rot in	om Feb 2024	Redeck Disposal Permits Site prep	\$39,600 \$23,500	\$63,100 After Cost Share: \$63,100		Not received a grant in the last 10 years	Deck Fair	10	0 0	1	1	12
		and recommends that it s				Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches										
		Other funds received	<u>. reaue</u> No		d?	Culverts Riprap										
			ıblic	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	Ashland 4	Labor										
	Variance	1 4	95	New Culvert Size:	Asilialiu 4	Equip Rental										
	Exception	Water Body: East	Fork	Labor Source:	Contractor	Other										
NODO	A 11 1	,	23	Design Weight Load:	Unknown	# of New Mi	0	\$63,100			_	40	2 0		_	4.4
NOR-S	Ashland	Ashland County proposes				Redeck Disposal	\$39,600 \$23,500	\$63,100 After Cost Share:		Not received a grant in the	Deck	10	0 0	1	1	12
		over the East Fork Chipp indicates that the decking				Permits	φ23,300	\$63,100		last 10 years	k Fa					
#22		recommends that it should	-		ie top layer and	Site prep		v 00,100			air					
						Materials										
						Abutments										
						Pilings/Piers Approaches										
		Other funds received	reque	sted or committe	d?	Culverts										
			Vo	Amount:	\$ -	Riprap										
			ıblic	Bridge Inventory #:	Ashland 3	Labor										
	Variance			New Bridge Size:	0 / /	Equip Rental										
	Exception	- ·	Fork 23	Labor Source:	Contractor	Other	0	¢62 100								
<u> </u>		Years in System: 2	دع	Design Weight Load:	Unknown	# of New Mi	0	\$63,100		Ш						للل

		2025-26 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REI	HABILITA	ATION	REQUESTS)		Inspection	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A)	10		2 !	_		
#23	Jefferson	Jefferson County wishes to replace the existing bridge over an unnamed creek. Current bridge is constructed out of old telephone poles and in great need of replacement	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$43,000 \$4,000 \$5,000 \$15,000	\$74,000 After Cost Share: \$74,000	·	Needs LUA	Poor	10	_		1	0	12
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Public or Private: Old Culvert Size: Vater Body: Years in System: No No Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load: 25,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,000 \$5,000	\$74,000									
# 95	Variance	Forest County requests a cost increase to replace the existing bridge on the LMT-6 Snow Trail over Swamp Creek on county forest land. The current bridge is in very poor condition. This trail is open to snowmobiles in the winter, as well as pedestrian use (bike/hike). Forest County has received \$100,000 in RTP funding for this project Project as-bid was \$23,965 higher than original estimate. Requesting cost increase Other funds received, requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: 12' x 25' Water Body: Swamp Crk Years in System: Design Weight Load:		\$23,965	\$23,965 After Cost Share: \$23,965		Cost increase to S- 5793	N/A	0	1	2	5	3 0	11
# 25	Variance	Price County, with the US Forest Service, proposes to replace the existing culvert on the Flambeau Trail over Clover Creek in the Chequamegon Nicolet Forest. The culvert is failing, with the bottom completely detached. The new culvert will be larger, based on design to meet 100-year flood flow. USFS has completed all design work, Price County will implement the project. This project will be split 50/50 between ATV and Snow. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 24.750 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: ??	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Deguip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$1,500 \$36,200 \$6,400 \$5,400	\$23,965 \$49,500 After Cost Share: \$24,750		** Needs info, Inspection details, WMS,	N/A	0	1	2	5	0	9

		2025-26 SNOW	MOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA	ATION	REQUESTS	8		Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County			Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	7			2 5		_	23
# 27			s materials and club labor. The trail ed trail R-1-BS (2.8 miles) and also R-1-DC (1 mile). The trail is only	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$2,490	\$3,820 After Cost Share: \$3,820		Get Bridge Dimensions	N/A	0	3	0 (3	0	6
		Other funds received, reques Yes or No: Public or Private: private	sted, or committed? Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	Culverts Riprap Labor	\$1.080										
	Variance Exception	Old Culvert Size: Water Body:	New Culvert Size: Labor Source:	Equip Rental Other	\$250										
NOD D	Langlada	,	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0 \$65,500	\$3,820 \$175,406				0	1	2 (1	H	_
#28	Variance Exception	McCloud Creek on the County Lir bridge. The bridge is located on pold and in poor condition. This transnowmobiles. Langlade County pand ATV 50/50. ATV has already considering a cost increase requestres or No: Other funds received. requestres or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: McCloud Creek Years in System: 30 years	rivate property. It is about 30 years il is used by Winter ATV and roposes to split this between Snow awarded \$72,304 and will be bring total to \$87703 sted. or committed? Amount: \$ 87,703 Bridge Inventory #: Lanclade #4 New Bridge Size: 45ft x 12ft Labor Source: Contract Design Weight Load: 25,000	Labor Equip Rental Removal # of New Mi	\$65,500 \$150 \$318 \$25,400 \$75,838 \$8,200 0	\$87,703			N/A	0	1	2 (1		3
# 29	Variance	this project involves removing a fa 1921 and replacing it with a new 6 culvert has large rust holes and h. The club and landowner have bee backfill to keep the trail open, but soon. Other funds received requeryes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Nichols Creek	alling culvert that was installed in 60" x 35' long culvert. The current as buckled near the outflow side. In working to plug the holes and appears very near a complete fail	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$8,500 \$3,900	\$12,400 After Cost Share: \$12,400					1		1	1	3

000E 00 0NOW/MODILE	DDIDGE DELLADI	LITATION DECLIEGTO
2025-26 SNOWMOBILE	BRIDGE REHABI	LITATION REQUESTS

Region NOR-S	County Barron	Barron County proposes to replace of the Village of Dallas. The culv	oo 2 failing autvarta a		_					Inspectio	nepertio	Funding	Access	Mi Impac	TOTAL
	Barron		as 2 failing authorts of		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A			3 2		4	1 23
		unnamed stream and are inapproculverts are 32" x14' and 36"x24' aluminum box culverts.	erts are installed on a opriately sized and de	an intermittent caying. The	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Guardrail	\$1,150 \$759						1	1	1	3
		Other funds received. reque Yes or No: No Public or Private: Private Old Bridge Size: 32"x14/36"x24 Water Body: Unnamed Years in System: >10	sted. or committee Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	10'x27' Force Acct	Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,150 \$24,420 \$1,750 \$14,500 \$4,500									
SC	Dane	Dane County is proposing to rem		on trail 21 and	*Structure	\$32,000	\$60,000			Z	0	0 (1	1	-1 1
		replace with a new 40' x 12' bridg			Engineer	\$4,000				^					
#31		regulations and clearance require a maximum capacity of 25,000 lb		ge would have	Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$5,000 \$12,000	\$60,000								
II -	Variance Exception Variance Exce		Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,000 \$5,000											
SC	Dane		Design Weight Load: ace Dane 11 - MTV 5	25,000 5 - Flynn Creek -	*Structure	\$32,000	\$60,000			Z	0	0 (1	1	-1 1
#32	Jelle Bridge. The bridge is on private land and supports Dane		ts Dane ocated on oole supports x 12' and meet	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$4,000 \$5,000 \$12,000	\$60,000			A						
		Yes or No: No Public or Private: Private Old Culvert Size: 12' x 16'	sted. or committee Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:		Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,000 \$5,000 TOTAL	\$60,000 \$3,051,529	\$0							

TOTAL \$3,051,529 \$0

AAAE AA ANAMAAADII	E BBIBAE BELLABU	ITATION DEGLIERTO
2025-26 SNOWMOBIL	LE BRIDGE REHABIL	JIAHON REQUESTS

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA	TION	REQUESTS	6		TOTAL Deduct Mi Impac Access Funding Permits Inspectio Inspectio
Region County	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	10 3 2 5 4 -1 23

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County		Desc	ription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to	o rehab about	1.2 miles of Corrido	or Trail 10 near	Engineer	\$15,000	\$41,609		< 3 miles
		West Plum Lake in the	NHAL State F	orest. The project w	ill consist of	Permits/Erosic	\$15,000	After Cost Share:		
∥∣ща		improving tight corners v		. ,		Materials	\$1,400	\$41,609		
∥	3	the hills. This trail is onl			5. 5. 5 5. 5 p 5 5 5	Pit Run	\$2,006			
			, opon to one			Equip Rental	\$6,817			
		Other funds received		I. or committed?		Breaker Rock	\$1,386			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	-	,				
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:	N/A					
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A					
l .	Exception?	Water Body:	, .	Labor Source:	Contract					
	Yes			Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$41,609		
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to				Engineer	\$21,000	\$50,183		
		Firefly Lake in the NHA				Permits	\$15,000	After Cost Share:		
∥ ∦3	24	tight corners with limited			es on the hills.	Pit Run	\$2,865	\$50,183		
"5	'	This trail is only open to	snowmobiles.			Equip Rental	\$6,948			
		Other funds received	d roquestod	l or committed?		Breaker Rock	\$4,370			
		Yes or No:	No No	Amount:	\$ -	Droundi Hook	ψ1,010			
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	1				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	N/A					
	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	Contract					
	Yes	Years in System: More	than 30 years	Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$50,183		
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to	o rehab about	: 10 miles of trail, inc	luding parts of	Engineer	\$26,000	\$90,463		
		Corridor 8, Corridor 7, a	nd Corridor 6,	starting at Old B Ro	ad on the north	Permits	\$15,000	After Cost Share:		
#3	, F	and ending at West Lak	e Laura Rd. to	the south. This " No	rthstar Trail"	Gravel	\$16,035	\$90,463		
#3)	project will include impro				Pit Run	\$8,595			
		hill slope issues. There a				Equip Rental	\$7,355			
		only open to snowmobile		J		Breaker Rock	\$17,478			
		Other funds received		or committed?		í l	, ,			
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	\$ -]				
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A					
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A					
	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	Contract					
	No			Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$90,463		
WC	Marathon	Marathon County wishes			with new mats or	Site prep	\$1,500	\$7,580		
		a permanent culvert. Ma	ats are used to	o cross a creek.		Labor	\$180	After Cost Share:		
#3	6					Equip Rental	\$850	\$7,580		
#3		Low cost estimate for cu	ulvert is \$10,18	38		Other	\$3,400			
						Riprap	\$1,650			
		Other funds received	d requested	l or committed?		1				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		i l				
			Private	Bridge Inventory #:	1					
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	i iivate	New Bridge Size:						
	Exception?	Water Body:		Labor Source:						
	No	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$7,580		

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

#37 Portage Portage Portage County wishes to install two culverts on Trail 705 (old 305) on both sides of the road to improve safety. This trail connects Marathon and Portage Counties and also links Waupaca County through zone 7 of Portage County. Variance or Portage County. Portage	Region	County	Des	cription	Component	Costs	Total Approved	Comments
Portage Counties and also links Waupaca County through zone 7 of Portage County. The funds required, requested, or committed? Variance or Private: Wall Bridge Size: No Years in System: No Years	WC	Portage	Portage County wishes to install two	culverts on Trail 705 (old 305) on both	Culverts	\$5,000		
Portage County. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Version of Private: No Amount: Variance or Exception? No Years in System: Portage County is proposing to dedress safety concerns of Isherwood Hill in the Town of Busan Vista. This trail drops a total of 72' over 425'. There is a 50' segment at 25%, so there that are around 20%. The hill contains exposed boulders, some over 6' in diameter. The best solution is to cover the boulders with fill 8 topsoil to bring the majority of the slope to 15% grade. This will eliminate the danger of the boulders and resolve the blind spots associated with the extreme grades. Other funds received. requested. WC Namathon WC Marathon County wishes to install 2 culverts on either side of HWY J in order to create a safer 'driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current dilch crossings. Other funds received. requested. Version of the received. Requested of the City of the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatorica River. Over time rivers in Amount: Variance or Exception? Variance or Old Bindgs Size: Variance or Old Private: Press or No: No Amount: Variance or Old Private: Variance or Old Endes Size: V			sides of the road to improve safety. T	his trail connects Marathon and			After Cost Share:	
Portage County Portage Portage County Portage Cou	ща	. –	Portage Counties and also links Wau	paca County through zone 7 of			\$5,000	
Other funds recaived. requested. or committed? Variance or No No Amount: Public or Private: Variance or No Vears in System: Variance or No Vears in System: Variance or No Vears in System: No V	#3	5/		, ,				
Yes or No: No			. s.tago osamy.					
Public or Private: Private Bidge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi			Other funds received, requeste	d. or committed?				
Variance or Old Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load: We of New M. O \$5,000								
Exception Water Body:			Public or Private: Private					
No								
#38 #38 Portage County is proposing to address safety concerns of Isherwood Hill in the Town of Buena Vista. This trail drops a total of 72' over 425'. There is a 50' segment at 28% slope, a 50' segment at 25%, & others that are around 20%. The hill contains exposed boulders, some over 6' in diameter. The best solution is to cover the boulders with fill it byposit to bring the majority of the slope to 15% grade. This will eliminate the danger of the boulders and resolve the blind spots associated with the extreme grades. Other funds recaived, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: No Vears in System: Design Weight Load: Warance or Or Bring Hills (Desire to the Pecatonica River Load) S22,230 S23,230 After Cost Share: \$23,230 After Cost Share: \$23,255 After Cost Share: \$23,276 After Cost Share: \$23,276 After Cost Share: \$24,			Water Body:					
#38 #38 the Town of Buena Vista. This trail drops a total of 72' over 425'. There is a 50' segment at a 26% slope, a 50' segment at 25%, & others that are around 20%. The hill contains exposed boulders, some over 6' in diameter. The best solution is to cover the boulders with fill & topsoil to bring the majority of the slope to 15% grade. This will eliminate the danger of the boulders and resolve the blind spots associated with the extreme grades. Other funds received requested or committed? Yes or No: No expension? With Marathon With Marathon With Marathon With Marathon Marathon County wishes to install 2 culverts on either side of HWY J in order to create a safer' driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. Water Body: Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Variance or Cexception? Variance or Cexception? Variance or Cexception? Water Body: Vears in System: Design Weight Load: Variance or Cexception? Water Body: Vears in System: Design Weight Load: Public or Private: Design Weight Load: Water Body: Wate					# of New Mi	0		
#38 50' segment at a 26% slope, a 50' segment at 25%, & others that are around 20%. The hill contains exposed boulders, some over 6' in diameter. The best solution is to cover the boulders with fill & topsoil to bring the majority of the slope to 15% grade. This will eliminate the danger of the boulders and resolve the blind spots associated with the extreme grades. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yas or No: Public or Pirvate: Old Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load: Warsan in System: Warsan or to create a safer 'driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yas or No: No Public or Pirvate: Dold Bridge Size: Labor Source: Variance or Exception? Variance or Country #40 Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yas or No: No Public or Pirvate: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi O \$32,756 After Coal Share: After Coal Share: \$32,756 After Coal Share: \$32,756 After Coal Share: \$32,756 After Coal Share: \$32,756 Afte	WC	Portage	Portage County is proposing to addre	ess safety concerns of Isherwood Hill in	Labor	\$23,230		
around 20%. The hill contains exposed boulders, some over 6' in diameter. The best solution is to cover the boulders with fills & topsoil to bring the majority of the slope to 15% grade. This will eliminate the danger of the boulders and resolve the blind spots associated with the extreme grades. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: No Parsi in System: Design Weight Load: Person Water Body. Warathon Marathon County wishes to install 2 culverts on either side of HWY J in order to create a safer 'driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. Wight and the private of the solution of the private of			the Town of Buena Vista. This trail dr	ops a total of 72' over 425'. There is a			After Cost Share:	
anduit 29%. The limit commission with fill & topsoil to bring the majority of the slope to 15% grade. This will eliminate the danger of the boulders and resolve the blind spots associated with the extreme grades. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Polic or Private: No Paris in System: WC Marathon County wishes to install 2 culverts on either side of HWY J in order to create a safer 'driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. ##39 Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Public or Private: Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Public or Private: Private Old Bridge Size: Labor Source: Verain or Get Note The Tri County trail commission wishes to armor approximately 450 feet of the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers naturally erode and change through dynamic floodplains. In this situation, the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safer has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safer has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received. requested. Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safer has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safer has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safer has excepted into this section of the trail, creating safer has excepted into this section of the trail, creating safer has excepted into this section of the trail, creating safer has excepted into this section of the trail, creating safer has excepted into this section of the trail, creating safer has excepted into this section of the trail, creating safer has excepted into this section of the trail, creating safer has excepted into this section of the trail, creating safer h	#2	0	50' segment at a 26% slope, a 50' se	egment at 25%, & others that are			\$23,230	
The best solution is to cover the boulders with fill & topsoil to bring the majority of the slope to 15% grade. This will eliminate the danger of the boulders and resolve the blind spots associated with the extreme grades . Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Variance or Jol Bridge Size: Labor Source: Water Body: Water Body: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Water Body: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Water Body: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Water Body: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Water Body: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Labor Source: Water Body: Labor Source: Labor Sourc	#3	0	around 20%. The hill contains expose	ed boulders, some over 6' in diameter.				
majority of the slope to 15% grade. This will eliminate the danger of the boulders and resolve the blind spots associated with the extreme grades. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Variance or Exception? Water Body: No Pars in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$23,230 WC Marathon Marathon County wishes to install 2 culverts on either side of HWY J in order to create a safer 'driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. Other funds received, requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Variance or Exception? Water Body: Variance or Tri County trail commission wishes to armor approximately 450 feet of the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received, requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 65060 Variance or Exception? Varian			•					
Doulders and resolve the blind spots associated with the extreme grades Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No:								
Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Variance or Exception? No Pars in System: Design Weight Load: Water Body: Warrathon County wishes to install 2 culverts on either side of HWY J in order to create a safer 'driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Variance or Exception? The Tri County trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers in the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception. Variance or Exception. Variance or Variance or Variance or Variance or Varian								
Variance or Exception? Variance or Excepti			•					
Public or Private: Variance or Exception? No Years in System: Old Bridge Size: Labor Source: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: No Years in System: Other funds received. requested. or committed? Years in System: Posign Weight Load: Other funds received. requested. or committed? Years in System: Private: Private: No Amount: Public or Private: Private: New Bridge Size: New Bridge Siz					,			
Variance or Exception? Water Body:					ł			
Exception? Water Body: Labor Source: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$23,230		1/		,				
WC Marathon Marathon County wishes to install 2 culverts on either side of HWY J in order to create a safer 'driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. #39 Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No:								
#39 Marathon County wishes to install 2 culverts on either side of HWY J in order to create a safer 'driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? The Tri County trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers naturally erode and change through dynamic floodplains. In this situation, the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received, requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes On O: Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception. Variance or Exception. Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception. Varian			•		# of Now Mi	0	¢32 320	
#39 Order to create a safer 'driveway' style crossing. New crossing would eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. Other funds received, requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Private Old Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load: SC Cheese Country the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers naturally erode and change through dynamic floodplains. In this situation, the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received, requested. or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Public Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception. Variance or Exception. Variance or Exception. Variance	WC					~		
eliminate safety hazards presented by current ditch crossings. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: How Bridge Size: Vaers in System: Private Old Bridge Size: How Mi Usually Affer Cost Share: Water Body: Water Body: Water Body: Water Body: Water Body: West In System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi Usually Affer Cost Share: Water Body: Wat	WC	Maratrion	1		Cuiverts	φ32,730		
Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Private Water Body: Water								
Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Water Body: Years in System: Design Weight Load: The Tri Country trail commission wishes to armor approximately 450 feet of the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers naturally erode and change through dynamic floodplains. In this situation, the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: Old Bridge Size: Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Varians in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi # of New Mi O \$32,756 Engineering \$19,895	#3	9	leiiminate salety nazaros presented b	y current ditch crossings.			432 ,730	
Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 48" x 60' Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi					J I			
Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Variance or Exception? Vater Body: Years in System: Design Weight Load: Design Weight Load: Design Weight Load: Design Weight Load: Bridge Size: 48" x 60' Labor Source: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi					,			
Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: 48" x 60' Labor Source: Design Weight Load: SC Cheese Country Trail commission wishes to armor approximately 450 feet of the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers naturally erode and change through dynamic floodplains. In this situation, the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 65060 Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$65,060								
Exception? Water Body: Labor Source: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$32,756		\	invaco					
Years in System: Design Weight Load: The Tri County trail commission wishes to armor approximately 450 feet of the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers naturally erode and change through dynamic floodplains. In this situation, the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi Design Weight Load:								
The Tri County trail commission wishes to armor approximately 450 feet of the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers naturally erode and change through dynamic floodplains. In this situation, the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: The Tri County trail commission wishes to armor approximately 450 feet of the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers Site prep Materials Site prep Materials Site prep Materials Riprap \$29,525 \$19,895 \$41,700 \$56,060 \$56,060	 	Exception?	•		# af Na Na:		\$20.750	
the Cheese Country Trail adjacent to the Pecatonica River. Over time rivers naturally erode and change through dynamic floodplains. In this situation, the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi After Cost Share: \$24,700 \$56,060 \$56,060 \$40 \$56,060 \$56,060 \$56,060 \$56,060 \$56,060	80	Charre				-		
#40 #40 #40 #40 #40 #40 #40 #40	SC				-			
the Pecatonica River has eroded into this section of the trail, creating safety and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Years in System: Riprap \$56,000 Riprap \$56,000 \$65,000		Country						
and trail integrity concerns. Currently, Westbrook Associate Engineers, Inc. has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: Old Bridge Size: Exception? Variance or Exception? Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi Outhor funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 65060 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Years in System: # of New Mi Outhor funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: For New Mi Outhor funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: For New Mi Outhor funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: Old Bridge Size: Labor Source: Years in System: # of New Mi Outhor funds received. The final received. The	#⊿	O					*	
has provided a cost estimate for the project. Request split 50/50 with ATV Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 65060 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Variance or Exception? Water Body: Vears in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$65,060	1,7				гіріар	φου,υυυ		
Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 65060 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Exception? Water Body: Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$65,060								
Yes or No: Yes Amount: 65060 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$65,060			has provided a cost estimate for the	project. Request split 50/50 with ATV				
Yes or No: Yes Amount: 65060 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$65,060			Other funds received requests	d or committed?	1			
Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Variance or Exception? Water Body: Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$65,060				Amount: 65060	j			
Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$65,060				Bridge Inventory #:	1			
Exception? Water Body: Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$65,060	 	Variance or						
Years in System: Design Weight Load: # of New Mi 0 \$65,060								
	 				# of New Mi	0	\$65,060	
			•			TOTAL		

	2025	-26 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRA	IL RELOCA	TION R	REQUEST	S		Maint O	Rec Wi	Funding	Access	Mi Impa	
Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments		2				-1 1
NOR- S #24	Bayfield	Bayfield County proposes to replace the timber bridge over th Ounce River with a 24'x12' bridge. The new bridge would ha 100,000 lb weight rating, the County will cover the additional cover a 25,000 lb bridge.	e *Structure ve a Engineer	\$29,900	\$49,222 After Cost \$49,222	уфрючей	FYI - Est for 25k bridge, Co will install 100k and pay diff. Moved from Bridge Rehab, trail was relocated to this		1				
			Approaches				location but now						
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #:	Culverts Riprap Labor	\$8,180			needs a bridge.						
	Variance Exception	Old Culvert Size: New Bridge Size: 24x Water Body: Ounce River Labor Source: Control	12 Equip Rental Other	\$450									
SER	Sheboygan	Years in System: Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi d *Structure	\$20,000	\$49,222 \$74,600		Moved from					+	+
#26		barn board bridge with a 12ft x 30ft re-mountable bridge kit. This particular bridge was removed from another location to b scrapped. The Greenbush club had a late trail reroute and needed something so they used what they could and scavenged a couple newer poles and some better decking. The	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials	φ20,000	After Cost \$74,600		Bridge Rehab. Trail relocated to this location and now needs bridge.						
		Other funds received. requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Differ funds received. requested, or committed? No Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor	\$54,600									
	Variance Exception	Old Bridge Size: 12'x25' New Bridge Size: 12'x Water Body: unnamed Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load: 25,0	Other	0	\$74,600								
WCR #	Dunn : 41	Dunn County wishes to apply for a mandatory reroute and 2 new bride. This project would continue Trail 11 access from Knapp to Boyceville. Multiple crossings & riding within the right of way on 130th Street and crossing a private landowner's driveway to their residence would be eliminated. hazards for the riders, steep slopes and blind spots will be reduced. The town bridge will be used. If culvert is approved in lieu of 1 bridge, cost drops by approx. 40%	es. *Structure Engineer Permits Site prep	\$84,000 \$6,000 \$1,106 \$2,000 \$4,000 \$2,000	\$101,106 After Cost Share: \$20,222		Applied for RTP. Verify it's mandatory (getting kicked off). What are the LUAs?	0	0	2	4	3	0
		Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: 40' 12', Water Body: unnamed Labor Source:	Culverts 0884 Riprap Labor 25' 12' Equip Rental Other	\$2,000									
NOR- R	Vilas	Years in System: Design Weight Load: 25,000 Vilas County proposes to relocate a portion of Corridor Trail Phelps due to loss of a private land easement. The new trail be leasted in the Chaguerran Nicolat National Forcet and for	Will Engineer	0	\$17,009 After Cost Share: \$17,009			0	0	0	4	4	0
#4	42	be located in the Chequamegon Nicolet National Forest and for existing skid trails and a former cross-country ski trail. Work winclude tree removal, stumping, grubbing, and leveling. There no bridges on this new segment. This trail will only be open to snowmobiles.	vill Site prep Materials Pit Rup	\$1,479 \$735									

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUES	ΓS

	2025	5-26 SNOWM	OBILE N	MANDATORY	TRAIL F	RELOCA	TION R	EQUEST	S		Maint O	Rec Wi	Funding	Access	Mi Impa	Deduct	ΤΩΤΔΙ
Region	County		Desc	cription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2	2	2	4	4	-1	13
		Other funds rece	ived. reque	sted. or committe	d?	Culverts	\$450										
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	\$ -	Riprap											
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor	\$5,000										
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental	\$6,745										
	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	Contract	Gates	\$2,600										
		Years in System:	10 years +	Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	1	\$17,309									

	2025	5-26 SNOWMOE	BILE N	IANDATORY	TRAIL F	RELOCA	TION R	EQUEST	S		Maint O	Rec Wi	Funding	Mi Impa	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Desc	ription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2			4 4		13
NOR- R	Vilas 44	Vilas County proposes near Boulder Junction, The new trail will be loc Legion State Forest and Work will include tree re	due to los ated in the d follow ex	s of a private land ea e Northern Highland A kisting logging roads a	isement. American and trails.	*Structure Engineer Permits/Erosi Site prep Materials	\$3,000 \$213	, ,			0	0	0	4 3	3 0	7
		on this new segment. T Other funds receive	his trail wi	II only be open to sno	owmobiles.	Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts										
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	\$ -	Riprap										
			Public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor	\$5,000									
		Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A	Equip Rental	\$2,220									
	Exception?	Water Body: Years in System: 10	N/A 0 years +	Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Contract N/A	Other # of New Mi	0	\$10,433								
NOR-	Lincoln	Lincoln County propose	,	0		*Structure	U	\$10,433		** Needs better	0	0	0	4 1	0	5
R	Linooni	loss of a private land ea				Engineer		After Cost Share:		cost estimate	ľ	Ĭ	Ĭ	1 '		ΙĬ
		Tomahawk and Merrill.				Permits		\$10,000								
∥ ⊬	46	Hwy 51 ROW. Work w				Site prep		***,***								
"	740	and moving the ROW for				Materials										
		open to Snowmobiles.	01100 111 30	ino locations. This ti	all 13 Offig	Abutments										
		open to onownobiles.				Pilings/Piers										
						Approaches										
		Other funds receive				Culverts										
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	l \$ -	Riprap	* 4 0 0 0 0									
			Public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor	\$10,000									
		Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A	Equip Rental										
	Exception?	Water Body: Years in System: 10	N/A 0 years +	Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Contract N/A	Other # of New Mi	0	\$10,000								
NER	Waupaca	Trail E is moving to the	_			*Structure	U	\$10,000			0	0	0	1 3	3 0	4
IVEIX	waupaca	turning the prope1ty int				Engineer		After Cost Share:				Ĭ	Ĭ	' [~]		
		through the center of th				Permits		\$12,800								
l ±	‡47	a 60" squash culve1t in				Site prep		V.12,000								
"	77	applied for and received				Materials										
		trail length will result in			ng change in	Abutments										
		li ali lerigiri wili result iri	losing 0. i	Tille of trail.		Pilings/Piers										
						Approaches										
		Other funds receive	d. reque			Culverts	\$9,000									
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	l \$ -	Riprap										1 1
	\		Private	Bridge Inventory #:	0011 001	Labor										
			60" x 30'	New Bridge Size:	60" x 30'	Equip Rental	40.000									
	Exception?	·	ınnamed	Labor Source:	25.000	Other	\$3,800	¢40.000								
<u> </u>	ļ	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	-0.1	\$12,800		I	ш				لسله	ш

	2025	-26 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL	RELOCA	TION F	REQUEST	S		Maint O	Rec Wi	Funding	Mi Impa	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2			4 4		13
SC #	Jefferson	Jefferson County requests a reroute due to loss of landower permission. Proposed route would add 5.4 miles to thr trail length and require a new bridge to replace an existing semi trailer crossing.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$46,400 \$2,800 \$8,600	After Cost Share: \$60,800		Needs LUA. Need a simple map of trail that is being LOST vs new trail footprint. Verify	0	0	0	0	4 0) 4
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Vears in System: Private semi trailer Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$1,500 \$1,500 5			that the OLD trail was FUNDED						
WCR	149 Variance or	Portage County requests a reroute of the Roshholt trail due to loss of landowner permission. Sone tree removal and dozer work required. Trail length to remain essentially the same. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	Materials Labor		\$11,500 After Cost Share: \$11,500			0	0	0	0	4 () 4
	50 Variance or	Years in System: Design Weight Load: Seeking additional miles for reroute of a funded trail A-4, with a net increase of 3 miles. A freeze-down waterway can be crossed without structure. Trail hoonnects to two sections of trail in the County trail system, and aids in connecting to Door Counties trail system(Trail A-1 & R-1-EU.) Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Water Body: Labor Source:	# of New Mi Maintenance Only	0	\$11,500 \$0 After Cost Share: \$0			2	0	0	0	1 0	3
	Exception?	Water Body: Years in System: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	3 TOTAL	\$900 \$1,090,286	\$0		Ш		\perp		1	

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

								•		_	Only	Wing	ding	Lost	tion	Ā
	County		Desc	ription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments						
	Iron 51	motorized trail in the the Price County AT\ ROW of Hwy 182 and snow trail, with some the vehicle lanes on t	Town of Sherma / trail system. The d be 14-feet wide relocations to avected the Hwy. Additio	n to connect the Spring ne trail will be located w . The trail will follow the roid wetlands and to be nal road routes will con	stead area to vithin the DOT e existing farther from nect the trail to		\$31,955 \$35,995 \$152,490	\$375,000 After Cost \$67,500			0	0	2 4	4 1	0	
				oses to split this betwee	en ATV	Pit Run Approaches	\$63,595									}
Incolumnty proposes to develop about 1.9 miles of new year-round motorized trail in the Town of Sherman to connect the Springstead area to the Price County. ATV trail system. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT ROW of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT Row of Hwy 182 and be 14-feet wide. The trail will be located within the DOT Row Will always that so will be supposed to the vericle lanes on the Hwy. Additional road routes will connect the trail to the county system. In convolving the proposes to reline the suppose to resolve a 307 section of Trail 45 on the north side of Lake 26 road. The existing trail has sharp corners with steep, sandy banks that cause visibility concerns and maintenance issues. The new segment would eliminate the sharp corners and provide for a safer trail. #52]										
		Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A	Equip Rental	\$83,145]
						# of New Mi	0									
		side of Lake 26 road. banks that cause visi	The existing tra	il has sharp corners wit nd maintenance issues.	th steep, sandy The new	Complete	\$9,950	After Cost			0	0	0 4	4 1		
#5	52	Trail 45 is the main c	orridor in Burnett		safer trail.	Materials Abutments										
			• •		10	Approaches										l
		Other funds rec	<u>eived. reque</u> Yes		d? \$ 8 995											l
	Variance or	Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor										
		Water Body:		Labor Source:	Contractor	Other	0	2002								
OR-R	Lincoln		ses to reroute th		a new bridge		\$118,400				0	0	0	1 1	1 0	Г
		, , ,			•											l
#1	53	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		' '				\$79,300								l
π,		U	·	O .			\$20,000									l
				d visibility. The new tra		Materials										l
		50/50 between ATV a	-	Lincoln County propose	es to split this	Abutments										ı
		150/50 between ATV a	and Snow.			Pilings/Piers Approaches										l
				sted. or committee	d? \$ 79.300	Culverts										l
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	Yes Private	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$2,000									l
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	Private Road Bridge	New Bridge Size:	New 50ft x 12ft	Equip Rental	\$2,000 \$4,000									ł
		Water Body:	Devil's Creek	Labor Source:		Delivery	\$4,000 \$1,200									l
		Years in System:	More than 10	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	φ1,200	\$79,300								l
		, · · · ·		g			TOTAL	\$147,755	\$0	1			—	—		_

Page 21 of 30

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

New Bridge/Major Culvert on funded trails where there was never a bridge before (ie, ice crossings)

	1									
Reg	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
SC	,	Columbia County is req	uestina	a cost increase to n	reviously	Structure	\$160.000	\$260,000		Cost Increase
		awarded bridge grant S-				Engineering	\$20,000	After Cost Share:		
4 ا		further review and wetla				Permits	+ ==,	\$95.000		
#	143	determined that the prop		•		Site prep	\$10,000	700,000		
		bridge needed to be place				Materials	, .,			
					equire a	Abutments	\$40,000			
		greater length than initia	ally plani	nea.		Pilings/Piers	, ,			
						Approaches				
		Other funds received	d. reque	ested, or commit	ted?	Culverts				
			'es	Amount:	165000	Riprap	\$10,000			
			ivate	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$20,000			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:			120 x 12'	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body: wet	lands	Labor Source:		Other				
		Years in System:		Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$95,000		
NOR-S	Rusk	Rusk County Proposes t	to add a	new 36' bridge over	an	Bridge 1	\$32,000	\$60,000		Needs Quotes
		unnamed tributary to Alc	der Cree	k on Corridor 31. Tl	he creek is	Engineering	\$4,000	After Cost Share:		and Bridge
#	‡54	prone to flooding which	makes tl	ne crossing unstable	e and a	Permits		\$60,000		Ranking Tool
		hazard. There is no way	to reloc	ate the trail to avoid	crossing	Site prep	\$5,000			
		the creeek. Providing a				Materials				
		crossing as well as redu				Abutments	\$12,000			
		9				Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds received	d. reauc	ested. or commit		Culverts				
		Yes or No:		Amount:	\$ -	Riprap	\$2,000			
			ivate	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$5,000			
		3	NA	New Bridge Size:	36x12	Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:		Labor Source:	Contractor	Other				
		Years in System:		Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$60,000		
NOR-R		Forest County in collabo				Bridge 1	\$110,000	\$259,747		
		proposes to develop a n				Bridge 2		After Cost Share:		
#	! 55	Trail A8-30. This trail ha			•	Permits	¢40.045	\$159,747		
		past, but a new bridge is				Site prep	\$13,845			
		and water quality issues			•	Materials				
		on-site review. County h	as appli	ed for RTP (\$100,00	00).	Abutments	¢74.600			
						Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$71,602 \$4,065			
		Other fundames'	d us	and an account to	4a dO	Culverts	φ4,005			
		Other funds received	d. reau 'es		\$ 100.000	Riprap	\$11,466			
			r <u>es</u> ublic	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$11,466			
			JDIIC VA	New Bridge Size:	FC-10 57ft x 12ft	Equip Rental	\$25,709			
		•	Creek	Labor Source:	Contract	Other	Ψ23,000			
		•	ears+	Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$159,747		
l		rodio in Oystein. 10 y	curs ·	Dodgii Wolgiit	20,000	II OI INCW WI	U	ψ100,141		

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

New Bridge/Major Culvert on funded trails where there was never a bridge before (ie, ice crossings)

Reg	County			Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to reha	ab the LMT 4 trail on US Forest	Bridge 1		\$15,200		
		Service land near Ada Lake an	d Glade Lake to resolve a	Bridge 2		After Cost Share:		
#		washout issue. Work will include		Permits	\$350	\$3,040		
••		culvert, modifying an existing w	0 , ,	Site prep				
		trail in areas. USFS have assis		Materials	\$1,350			
		Forest County has applied for F		Abutments				
		refer esamy has applied for t	(Ψ12,100).	Pilings/Piers				
				Approaches				
		Other funds received. real		Culverts	\$8,000			
		Yes or No: Yes	Amount: \$ 12.16					
		Public or Private: Public	Bridge Inventory #: New	Labor	\$5,500			
		Old Bridge Size: N/A		32' Equip Rental				
			Labor Source: Contract			#0.040		
WC		Years in System: 10 years+	Design Weight 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$3,040 \$13,440		
VVC		Clark County wishes to install a	• •	Bridge 1 Engineering		\$13,440 After Cost Share:		
		County trail that runs into Clark		Permits		\$13,440		
#		Worden, Clark Co. The old cros		L		\$13, 44 0		
		crossing in the past but with mo	ore water running now that is no	Materials				
		longer the case.		Abutments				
				Pilings/Piers				
				Approaches				
		Other funds received, real	uested or committed?	Culverts	\$13,440			
		Yes or No: No	Amount:	Riprap	4 ,			
		Public or Private: Private	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: 63" x 87" x	10' Equip Rental				
		Water Body: Roger creek	Labor Source:	Other				
	·	Years in System:	Design Weight	# of New Mi	0	\$13,440		
WC	Clark	Clark County wishes to install a	new bridge on corridor 25 in th	e Structure	\$70,000	\$106,000		
		town of Sherman. Crossing wa		Engineering	\$4,000	After Cost Share:		
#		crossing but the river no longer		er Permits		\$106,000		
"	30	levels are too high to cross with	· ·	Site prep	\$5,000			
		3	3	Materials				
				Abutments	\$10,000			
				Pilings/Piers				
				Approaches				
		Other funds received. real		Culverts				
		Yes or No:	Amount:	Riprap	\$2,000			
		Public or Private: Private	Bridge Inventory #:	Labor	\$5,000			
		Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size: 60' x'12'	Equip Rental	040.000			
		Water Body: Yellow River	Labor Source:	Other	\$10,000	# 400.000		
		Years in System:	Design Weight 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$106,000		

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

New Bridge/Major Culvert on funded trails where there was never a bridge before (ie, ice crossings)

Reg	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
WC	Pepin	Pepin County is r	equestina fund	s to provide a safe	r route for	Structure	\$126,000	\$200,000		\$100k RTP
	· ·			el with the use of tw		Engineering	\$15,000	After Cost Share:		requested
H.	‡59	bridges in Arkans	saw Creek Cour	nty Park. Project h	as the support	Permits		\$100,000		
71		•		o clubs, parks com		Site prep	\$20,000			
		•		gate risk on a narro		Materials				
				ic safely through the		Abutments	\$20,000			
				nobiles in the winte		Pilings/Piers				
				s is considered a c						
			111011010 00 0110		ompatible asc.	Approaches				
		Other frieds we	ashrad resur		:44 a d O	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	Yes	ested, or commi	100000		\$4,000			
		Public or Private:	Public	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	100000	Labor	ψ+,000			
		Old Bridge Size:	i ublic	New Bridge Size:	(2) 60' X 12'	Equip Rental				
		Water Body:	Arkansaw crk	Labor Source:	()	Other	\$15,000			
	·	Years in System:		Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$100,000		
WC			vishes to have a	a bridge installed t	hat will span a	Structure	\$140,500	\$163,300		
				making the crossin		Engineering	\$3,500	After Cost Share:		
l ±	#60	and allow the trai	Is to be open ea	arlier. Currently the	e ditch needs	Permits		\$163,300		
		to freeze deep er	nough to allow o	roomers to cross	so the trails	Site prep	\$16,300			
		can open. The di	tch also poses	a hazard to snown	nobilers if it	Materials				
		thaws early, resu	•			Abutments				
		3,	J	J		Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
				ested. or commi	it <u>ted?</u>	Culverts				
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No Dublia	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:		Riprap Labor				
		Old Bridge Size:	Public	New Bridge Size:	65' x 12'	Equip Rental	\$2.000			
		Water Body:	ditch	Labor Source:	00 X 12	Other	\$2,000			
	Exception:	Years in System:	uitori	Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	φ1,000	\$163,300		
		r sais in Oystolli.		Boolgii Wolgiit	_0,000	,, 51 146W WII	TOTAL	\$100,000 \$700 F27	<u>Φ</u> Ω	

TOTAL \$700,527 \$0

		202	5-26 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	2	ω	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs	an 2. C	d one of the columr for the ap Colum H indicates if 3. Column I indic solumns J through R and rank 5. Column P thru R	are gateway questions as needs to be marked oplication to be eligible the required map was submitted cates if the certification statement was signed. A are the actual ratings kings with point value. A should be entered as negative numbers. "-1	Deduct if dead-end 2	Total Score per Draft Rev
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7 T	ОТ	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL FUNDER	Dr	Dr
	Nor-S	Burnett	Trail 41	5.1			Χ	у	у	0	1	2	2	2	0			-2	5	\$0	\$1,530		0
		Burnett	Trail 18	3	Χ		Χ	у	у	0	3	2	2	2	0				9	\$0	\$900		
		Burnett	Trail 21	3.8			Χ	У	У	0	1	2	2	2	1			-2	3	\$0	\$1,140		
		Burnett	Trail 22	1.2			Χ	y	У	0	1	2	2	2	0		-3		4	\$0	\$360		
		Burnett	McGraw Lake Trail	1.2			Χ	y	У	0	3	2	2	2	1				10	\$0	\$360		
		Rusk	Trail 97	11.50	Χ		Χ	У	У	0	3	2	2	2	2		-2		9	\$0	\$3,450		
		Washburn	Long Lake	2.1			Χ	y	У	3	1	2	2	2	1			-2	9	\$0	\$630		
		Washburn	Spider Lake	1.7			Х	У	У	3	1	2	2	2	1			-2	9	\$0	\$510		
		Polk	Trail 30	21.7		Χ	Х	У	У	2	2	2	1	2	2				11	\$0	\$6,510		
		Polk	Trail 65	0.6	Х	Χ	Х	У	У	2	3	2	1	2	0				10	\$0	\$180		
		Polk	Trail 44		Х	Χ	Χ	у	У	2	1	2	1	2	0		-3		5	\$0	\$1,200		
		Polk	Trail 47	8.9	Х		Χ	у	у	2	1	2	1	2	0		-3		5	\$0	\$2,670		
	NOR-R		Mole Lake	5.2															0	\$5,000	\$6,560		0
	NOR-R		Midland Spur	0.5															0	\$0	\$150		
	NOR-R		Schomberg Spur	0.3															0	\$0	\$90		
	NOR-R		Trail 10A	1.5															0	\$0	\$450		
	NOR-R		Trail 49A	4.70															0	\$0	\$1,410		
	NOR-R		Trail 86 Bridge Road Seg	2															0	\$0	\$600		
	NOR-R		Trail D	3.8															0	\$0	\$1,140		
	NOR-R		Trail 51C - Northwoods Passa	9.1															0	\$0	\$2,730		
	NOR-R		Trail G - Minocqua Forest Ride	2.6															0	\$0	\$780		
	NOR-R		Trail TL 8 East - Three Lakes	3.4												_			0	\$0	\$1,020		
	NOR-R		Trail TL 8 West - Three Lakes	3												_			0	\$0	\$900		
	NOR-R		Bent's Camp Trail	2.5															0	\$0	\$750		
	NOR-R		Bills Lake Trail	1.00															0	\$0	\$300		
	NOR-R		Birchwood Trail	0.2											_	_	_	_	0	\$0	\$60		
	NOR-R		Black Bear Trail	1.2											_	4	4	4	0	\$0	\$360		
	NOR-R		Blue Island Trail	0.8											_	_	4	4	0	\$0	\$240		
	NOR-R		Bo-Di-Lac Trail												_	4	4	4	0	\$0	\$0		
	NOR-R	vilas	Chanticleer Trail	0.2															0	\$0	\$60		

						Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Trail needs 3 pts	allel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to water 2 pts	3. Column I indicates 3. Column I ind Columns J through and ra 5. Column P thru	mns needs to be application to be sif the required redicates if the cerestatement was a R are the actual ankings with poir	marked e eligible. map was ubmitted. tification s signed. al ratings at value. tered as	Deduct if dead-end 2 pts	Total Score per Draft Rev
PROJ#				MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7 TO			FUNDED	Dr	Dr
			Grandpa Grumps Trail	0.80														0	7.7	\$240			
	NOR-R		Gunlock Trail	2.2															0 \$0	\$660			
	NOR-R		Haskell Creek Trail	2.3															0 \$58,425	\$59,115			
	NOR-R		Lakefront Pines Trail	0.3															0 \$0	\$90			
	NOR-R		LDF Trail 12	4															0 \$0	\$1,200			
	NOR-R		Outback Rail Trail	2.5															0 \$0	\$750			
	NOR-R		PCC Trail	0.2															0 \$0	\$60			
	NOR-R		Pickerel Lake Trail	1															0 \$0	\$300			
	NOR-R		Portage Trail																0 \$0	\$0			
	NOR-R		Potato Field Trail	2.30														0		\$690			
	NOR-R		St Germain Bypass Trail	2.4															0 \$0	\$720			
	NOR-R		Trail 12B	3.4															0 \$0	\$1,020			
	NOR-R		Trail 13C	3.6															0 \$0	\$1,080			
		Door	M1	2.7															0 \$0	\$810			0
		Door	Sunnyslope	2.9															0 \$0	\$870			
			Corridor 30 to Pickett	4.1															0 \$0	\$1,230			
		Manitowoc	E-2 #4 and I-2	6.3															0 \$0	\$1,890			
		Manitowoc	C-2 #14	2															0 \$0	\$600			
		Manitowoc	B-2 Valders	0.90														0		\$270			
		Shawano	Doc's trail	5.3															0 \$0	\$1,590			
		Shawano	Tilleda Loop	7													_		0 \$0	\$2,100			
		Shawano	Mountain Bay to Gresham	2													_	_	0 \$0	\$600			
		Shawano	T Trail	1.5															0 \$0	\$450			
		Shawano	W Trail	0.75															0 \$0	\$225			
		Shawano	H Trail	0.5		<u> </u>			_			_				_	_		0 \$0	\$150			
		Shawano	SH Trail	5.52															0 \$0	\$1,656			
		Shawano	WR Trail	2.66		₽										-	_	0		\$798			
		Waupaca	Trail AA	2.1		₽		\vdash	_			_				_	_		0 \$0	\$630			
		Waupaca	Trail AC	6.7		<u> </u>		\vdash		_	_			_	_		_		0 \$0	\$2,010			
	NER	Waupaca	Trail DR	1.9															0 \$0	\$570			

	NER Waupaca Trail O/1						Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	0-3	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	rail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	duct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to water 2 pts		Colum H indicates 3. Column I inc Columns J through	sulicates if the cer statement was R are the actua nkings with poin	map was ubmitted. tification s signed. al ratings nt value. utered as	Deduct if dead-end 2 pts	Total Score per Draft Rev
PROJ#				MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	TOT			FUNDED	Dr	Dr
				6.7															0		\$2,010			
		Waupaca	Trail O/2	4.5															0	Ψ°	\$1,350			
		Waupaca	Trail QQ	2.50															0	\$0	\$750			
		Adams	JP2	3.2	Ν	-	N	Υ	Υ	0	1	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$951			6
		Buffalo	Mondovi	7.0	_	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	0	3	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$2,100			5
		Buffalo	Y to R	4.5	Ν	Ν	Υ	Ν	Υ	0	3	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$1,350			5
		Clark	Abby trail	1.3	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0		2	2	2	2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$390			9
		Columbia	Trail 19	2.3	Ν	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	\$0	\$690			0
		Columbia	Trail 26	3.9	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	2	3	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,170			10
		Columbia	Trail 48	6.0	Υ	N	N	Ν	Υ	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$1,800			9
		Columbia	Trail 80	2.2	Ν	Υ	N	Υ	Υ	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	\$0	\$660			0
		Columbia	Trail 82	0.7	Ν	Υ	N	Υ	N	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	5	\$0	\$210			0
		Columbia	Trail 88	13.0	Υ	Υ	Υ	_	Υ	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$3,900			9
		Dane	Trail 27	1.6	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	-3	0	5	\$0	\$486			0
		Dane	Trail 31	1.5	Υ	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$435			6
		Dane	Trail 33	1.6	Z	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	3	3	1		2	2	0	0	0	13	\$0	\$471			11
		Eau Claire	Bushes	2.4	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	2	2	1	3	2	0	0	-3	0	7	\$0	\$717			5
		Eau Claire	Trail 20A	1.9	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	2	1	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	9	\$0	\$570			7
		Eau Claire	Bracket	13.7	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	2	2	1	3	2	2	-3	0	0	9	\$126,700	\$130,813			7
	SC	Iowa	Hollandale	11.0	Ν	Ν	N	Υ	Υ	2	2	1	3	1	2	0	0	0	11	\$0	\$3,300			10
		Marathon	Krecji Fairview	4.0	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0		2		2	1	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$1,200			5
		Portage	Trail 510	5.4	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$1,605			6
		Portage	Trail 511	15.5	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	9	\$0	\$4,656			7
		Portage	Corridor 13	3.5	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	3	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$1,038			6
		Portage	Parking Lot access	1.5	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$438			0
		Sauk	Trail R4	7.8	Ν	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	2	1	3	2	2	0	0	0	10	\$0	\$2,337			8
		Sauk	Trail W4	5.3		Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$1,602			5
		Sauk	Trail W7	4.1		Ν		Υ	Υ	0	3	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$1,221			7
		Sauk	Trail W8	5.6		Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	_	3	1	0	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$1,674			5
	WC	St. Croix	Trail 4A	3.6	Ν	N	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	8	\$0	\$1,080			6

Columns E, F, & G are gateway questions and one of the columns needs to be marked

	WC St. Croix Trail 12A					Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to water 2 pts	an 2. (d one of the colur for the Colum H indicates 3. Column I indicates	application to be s if the required r s dicates if the cer statement was n R are the actua ankings with poir	e marked e eligible. map was ubmitted. rtification s signed. al ratings nt value. utered as	Deduct if dead-end 2 pts	Total Score per Draft Rev
PROJ# F	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	тот	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Dr	Dr
V	NC	St. Croix	Trail 12A	1.9	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$570			0
V	NC	St. Croix	Trail 12B	2.6	Ν	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	6	\$0	\$780			0
V	NC	Wood	Rudolph trail	13.6	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ	0	3	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	7	\$0	\$4,092			7
																				\$0	\$0			
																				\$0	\$0			
				352.4																\$ 190,125	\$295,830			

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE ACQUISITION REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-S	Washburn 61	Washburn County proposes to acquire approximately 60.3 acres of former railroad grade from Shell Lake to the Barron County line. The corridor is approximately 5 miles long and 100' wide. This is the southern 1/2 of the overall project the northern 1/2 as well as development funds will be applied for in 2026.	Acq Price Appraisals Survey Misc Closing Title Insurance	\$295,000 \$13,277 \$1,000 \$2,500	After Cost \$155,888		
	Variance or Exception?	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$155.889 Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System:	-		\$155,888	40	

\$155,888

\$0

2025-26 SNOWMOBILE NON-TRAIL REQUESTS

Region	County		Description		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
					*Structure		\$0		
					Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#					Permits		\$0		
					Site prep				
					Materials				
					Abutments				
					Pilings/Piers				
					Approaches				
		Other funds received. rea	uested. or committed	?	Culverts				
		Yes or No:	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:		Concrete				
	Exception?	Water Body:	Labor Source:		Tables				
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$0	40.00	

\$0.00 \$0.00