1. **Call to order at 10:00 AM**

2. **Agenda Repair**
   - None

3. **Acceptance of minutes of previous meeting**

   Motion to approve June 2021 minutes by Dale, 2nd by Bev. MOTION PASSED

4. **Committee Chair Comments**
   - We may want to tweak new mile scoring. Maybe less than 0.5 mile trail to services is less valuable to the system than a three mile trail, for example.

5. **Public Comments**
   - None

6. **DNR Funding Report**
   - HANDOUTS
     - List of New Mile Applications
   - State budget passed, included ability for DNR to pay GTS directly for the GPS units. Nathan will invoice the Department for units as he sends them out. Nathan hasn’t been sending out the GPS units yet (waiting for that budget), but will begin now. A lot of clubs haven’t requested units yet.
   - Reports of some grooming entries in SNARS when there was no snow on the ground. No snow = non-grooming. Counties should be keeping an eye on that and rejecting entries. If the machine was used to brush, etc, it should be in as non-grooming. Packing/panning cam be grooming, but if the puller was out without a drag, it should be non-grooming.

7. **Discussion: SNARS Entries when GPS’d Puller Performing Non-Grooming Activities**
   - GTS/SNARS will have a statewide “first snow” date. Any puller out on the trail with a GPS unit in it prior to that date won’t have anything recorded in SNARS (clubs will need to do manual entry for non-grooming). AFTER that “first snow” date, any puller activity will automatically go in as Grooming. If it was not grooming (ie, no snow, out brushing, etc) the club will need to not-approve that entry in SNARS, and do a manual entry for non-grooming.

8. **Information & Action: Review snowmobile “New Mile” grant applications.**

   **Douglas County**
   NM-1 (Trail 5) should be considered as a relocation. Will be moved there for funding meeting

   **Green County**
   NM-4 Would be helpful to see this trail highlighted on a county-wide map.
Iowa County
NM-5 (T-Riders). Narrative is confusing, verify there is no development cost in the request. Would be helpful to see this trail highlighted on a county-wide map.

Outagamie County
NM-6 (Outagamie Z6-1-NPR). Better map? Is this 40’ off of road?

Monroe County
NM-7, 37 and 60 (Monroe). Deduct points for #1, they don’t have written LUA
NM-37 Also, apply parallel trail deduction

Manitowoc County
NM-8 (Manitowoc). Verify they have documentation for points for #1 (Public Land/Written LUA)
NM-24 (Manitowoc D and B). Collectively this doesn’t seem to close a gap. Pick 1. Easements?

Marinette County
NM-10 (Marinette 4). Pick 1. Provide map with businesses identified to justify the spurs.
NM-25 (Marinette 3). Is Trail 3 funded? What does the new trail connect to? 3 spurs, are all necessary, what do they connect to?
NM-78 (Marinette 2). Why not make the trail from 218 to 220 a club trail and switch funding to this trail (216 to 217)

Sawyer County
NM-130 and 131 (Sawyer). They should have 0 points for the grooming equipment.
NM-131 (Sawyer). Is this 40’ off the road?

Dodge County
NM-11 (Dodge 6). Is the last map relevant?

Lafayette County
Verify the written LUAs on all. Some of these are parallel, does the county have a preference if we cannot fund all? Such as prefer Gratiot?

Chippewa County
NM-53 (Chippewa Old Abe). Is this on public land? (Yes, state trail). What is the condition of the bridge? Would you consider switching funding from the parallel trail to the east, so that would become a club trail and this one funded?
NM-54 (Chippewa Trail 64). Need maps that include existing trail.

Juneau County
NM-18 (Juneau CRRR). Are there bridges? Can we get better maps?

Trempealeau County

Oconto County
Would be helpful if maps could show the trails in the adjacent county (ie, what does it connect to).

Sheboygan County
NM-136 Does this connect to a funded trail? (Yes – trail to the west is funded through DNR. This would complete the stretch).
Adams County
Better maps would be useful. Verify distances.

Discussion – should there be a minimum length threshold? Of the applications submitted, 39 are for segments 2 miles or less...12 are for segments less than a mile. What benefit is there to the statewide program to fund such small trails?

Motion to recommend funding only trails that are 2 miles in length or longer, unless the trail has substantial value. Motion by Andy, 2nd by Bev. MOTION PASSED

9. Member reports, comments and items
   • Joel. Remember, everything we agree to fund for new miles is FOREVER
   • Gary. We should tweak the scoring system moving forward.
   • Dale. Did the program lose the Indian Gaming money?
   • Andy. Need the financial statement/budget before we can decide how many new miles to fund.

10. Items for next meeting
    • Equipment Rates
    • Review of groomers claiming to have 12’ drags that may not actually meet the minimum specs.

11. Adjourn

Motion to adjourn by Gary, 2nd by Bev. MOTION PASSED