Annual Maintenance

			Contract	
24 25 Miles	02 04 Miles	CarretrilStata		Cumpl May
24-25 Miles	23-24 Miles	County/State	Maintenance	Suppl. Max
400.5	400.5	Northeast Region	ΦΕΩ ΕΕΩ Ι	¢470.050
198.5		BROWN	\$59,550	\$178,650
146.3		CALUMET	\$43,890	\$131,670
241.9		DOOR	\$72,570	\$217,710
333.8		FOND DU LAC	\$100,140	\$300,420
143.8		GREEN LAKE	\$43,140	\$129,420
187.3		KEWAUNEE	\$56,190	\$168,570
240.4		MANITOWOC	\$72,120	\$216,360
548.4		MARINETTE	\$164,520	\$493,560
154.6		MARQUETTE	\$46,380	\$139,140
448.8		OCONTO	\$134,640	\$403,920
302.0		OUTAGAMIE	\$90,600	\$271,800
480.4		SHAWANO	\$144,120	\$432,360
401.1		WAUPACA	\$120,330	\$360,990
299.7		WAUSHARA	\$89,910	\$269,730
144.1	144.1	WINNEBAGO	\$43,230	\$129,690
		West Central Region		*
213.6		ADAMS	\$64,092	\$192,276
239.1		BUFFALO	\$71,730	\$215,190
427.1		CHIPPEWA	\$128,130	\$384,390
376.2		CLARK	\$112,860	\$338,580
115.9		CRAWFORD	\$34,782	\$104,346
312.7		DUNN	\$93,810	\$281,430
181.2		EAU CLAIRE	\$54,360	\$163,080
332.7		JACKSON	\$99,810	\$299,430
282.1		JUNEAU	\$84,630	\$253,890
146.4		LA CROSSE	\$43,920	\$131,760
771.9		MARATHON	\$231,561	\$694,683
323.5		MONROE	\$97,050	\$291,150
119.3		PEPIN	\$35,790	\$107,370
237.9		PIERCE	\$71,370	\$214,110
304.2		PORTAGE	\$91,260	\$273,780
247.7		ST. CROIX	\$74,310	\$222,930
256.1		TREMPEALEAU	\$76,830	\$230,490
246.5		VERNON	\$73,950	\$221,850
277.8	277.8	WOOD	\$83,349	\$250,047
		Northern Region - Ea		
175.1		FLORENCE	\$52,530	\$157,590
409.6		FOREST	\$122,880	\$368,640
305.4	305.9		\$91,620	\$274,860
530.8		LANGLADE	\$159,240	\$477,720
333.9		LINCOLN	\$100,170	\$300,510
431.6		ONEIDA	\$129,480	\$388,440
493.0	493.0	PRICE	\$147,900	\$443,700
348.8	348.8	TAYLOR	\$104,640	\$313,920
496.0	499.6	VILAS	\$148,800	\$446,400

Annual Maintenance

			Contract	
04.05.14	00.04.8411	011011		0
24-25 Miles	23-24 Miles	County/State	Maintenance	Suppl. Max
207.7	007.7	Northern Region - We		#400,000
207.7		ASHLAND	\$62,310	\$186,930
337.6		BARRON	\$101,280	\$303,840
464.2		BAYFIELD	\$139,260	\$417,780
264.0		BURNETT	\$79,200	\$237,600
355.0		DOUGLAS	\$106,500	\$319,500
380.9		POLK	\$114,270	\$342,810
318.7		RUSK	\$95,610	\$286,830
391.7		SAWYER	\$117,510	\$352,530
244.3	244.3	WASHBURN	\$73,290	\$219,870
61.2	61.2	TRI CO COMM South Central Regio	\$18,360	\$55,080
292.6		COLUMBIA	\$87,780	\$263,340
407.8	407.8	DANE	\$122,340	\$367,020
379.9	379.9	DODGE	\$113,970	\$341,910
419.8	419.8	GRANT	\$125,940	\$377,820
115.0	114.7	GREEN	\$34,500	\$103,500
167.8	167.8	IOWA	\$50,340	\$151,020
229.4	229.4	JEFFERSON	\$68,820	\$206,460
177.4	177.4	LAFAYETTE	\$53,220	\$159,660
147.0	141.3	RICHLAND	\$44,100	\$132,300
226.2	226.2	ROCK	\$67,860	\$203,580
238.3	238.3	SAUK	\$71,490	\$214,470
57.5	57.5	CHEESE COUNTRY(Tri-C		\$51,750
		South East Region	. ,	. ,
80.6	80.6	KENOSHA	\$24,180	\$72,540
0.0		MILWAUKEE	\$0	\$0
113.8		OZAUKEE	\$34,140	\$102,420
168.9		RACINE	\$50,670	\$152,010
251.5		SHEBOYGAN	\$75,450	\$226,350
217.7		WALWORTH	\$65,310	\$195,930
212.4		WASHINGTON	\$63,720	\$191,160
104.4		WAUKESHA	\$31,320	\$93,960
10 1.4	101.4		Ψ01,020	Ψ00,000
20,240.58	20,254.37		\$6,072,174	\$18,216,522.00

2024-25 Snowmobile Funding Summary

Category	Funds Availble	Amou	unt Requested	<u>Am</u>	ount Funded	<u>Ba</u>	<u>lance</u>
Funds Available Maintenance	\$ - (20,244 @ \$300/mile)	\$	6,072,174	\$	6,072,174	\$	- (6,072,174)
SNARS / GPS Units	(20,244 @ \$000/IIIIC)	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	(6,072,174)
Sauk Co Wisc River Bridge		\$	250,000	\$	250,000	\$	(6,322,174)
Funds For Development, etc	\$ -					\$	-
Storm Damage		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Cost Increase Requests		\$	77,866	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Bridge Rehab		\$	3,071,114	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Trail Rehab		\$	190,664	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Relocation Mandatory		\$	2,351,486	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Relocation Discretionary		\$	89,329	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Bridge New		\$	292,733	\$	-	\$	-
New Miles	(@ \$300/mile)	\$	158,295			\$	-
Snow Acquisition		\$	49,475	\$	-	\$	-
Snow Non-Trail Development		\$	5,838	\$	-	\$	-
						\$	-
Development Total		\$	12,808,974	\$	6,322,174		

Prelim. Supplemental (23-24):

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE COST INCREASE REQUESTS

Region	County		-			New/Increase Component	New Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-S	Washburn	Washburn Count	y proposes to re	place the Hay Creek Bridg	e. The oak	*Structure	\$170,000	\$217,000		Scored 23 points
		decking was dete	ermined by an en	gineer to be integral to the	rating of	Engineer	\$10,000	After Cost Share:		on bridge ranking
		the bridge and re	placing it would	greatly increase the cost a	nd need to	Permits		\$77,866		tool. Discussion
#1		be replaced agai	n in 10 years. Th	e project scope would cha sting abutments and piling	nge to	Site prep	\$5,000			on redecking via contractor vs.
			•	ds awarded \$15,317, Snov		Disposal	\$10,000			total replacement.
		·		vould add \$77,866 to both		Abutments				Request from
		and ATV grants.	. How roquout	νοσία ασα ψ77,000 το Βοτίτ	the chew	Pilings/Piers				Council for
		l granter				Approaches				estimate on redecking so that
		Other funds re	ceived, reque	sted, or committed?		Culverts				cost can be
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount: \$	139,134	Riprap	\$2,000			compared.
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$20,000			
	Variance or	Old Culvert Size:		New Culvert Size:		Equip Rental				
	Exception?	Water Body:		Labor Source:		Other				
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$77,866		
_							TOTAL	\$77,866	\$()

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHA	ABILITA ⁻	TION R	REQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	,	٦ 10	3	2 5	5 4	-1	23
#2	Florence	Florence County proposes to redeck a bridge on the Nicolet State Trail, crossing Wisconsin Creek in the Town of Tipler. The Nicolet State Trail connects three counties in Wisconsin as well as Michigan's Upper Peninsula trail system. This trail is open to ATVs/UTVs and Snowmobiles. Florence County requests funding be split 50/50 between the two programs.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$5,254	\$17,032 After Cost Share: \$8,516			Good	10	3	2	5 1	1 0	21
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 8.516.00 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Old Bridge Size: 12' x 91' New Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: WI Creek Labor Source: Force Years in System: 15 years Design Weight Load: 18,000 lbs	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$9,322 \$2,456										
NOR-R	Oneida	Oneida County proposes to redeck the Stacks Bay bridge in the NHAL State Forest over the Minocqua Thoroughfare on Trail Corridor	*Structure Engineer		\$19,615 After Cost Share:			Fair b	10	3	0	5 3	3 0	21
#3		17. The 184-foot long wear course for this bridge was replaced in 2009 and is in extremely poor condition. This trail is only open to Snowmobiles.	Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$13,915	\$19,615			Fair but "100% past useful life"						
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: 184 ft Water Body: Minocqua Public Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: N/A Labor Source: Contract	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$5,700				eful life" in narrati						
NER	Oconto	Years in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: 20,000 Oconto County proposes to redeck the Nicolet State Trail Oconto	# of New Mi *Structure	\$800,000	' '		When did it	ati Fair	10	3	2	5 1	1 0	21
#4		River Bridge. Boards on the wear surface are pulling up and unable to be reattached due to complete tear off deckign down to the girders. The county has applied for \$250,000 in RTP funding and \$275,000 in ATV funding.	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments		After Cost Share: \$275,000		last receive grant funding?							
	Variance Exception		Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	0	\$275,000									

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHA	ABILITA ⁻	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	٦	10	3	2 5	5 4	-1	23
#5	Oconto	Oconto County proposes to add a concrete deck to bridges 24 and 25 on the Nicolet State Trail. The existing deck is in need of replacement and the concrete deck has shown to be very effective on the other bridges in this system. These are the last of the bridges on this trail without a concrete deck. County has also applied for RTP and ATV funding.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$28,700	\$28,700 After Cost Share: \$2,870		Will the substructure support the weight of this bridge? How long have the concrete decks been	Good	10	3	2	5 1	0	21
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Old Culvert Size: New Culvert Size: Water Body: Labor Source: Years in System: Design Weight Load:	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	0	\$2,870		lasting?							
#6	Ashland Variance Exception	Ashland County in cooperation with the USFS proposes to replace the West Torch Bridge located in the Chequamegon-Nicolet National forest. The bridge was recommended to reduce the load rating to 1 ton by a PE during inspection. The replacement would remove a center pier and improve the vertical alignment alowing for better visability. The USFS has paid for and completed the engineering, H&H study and wetland delineation. Cost is split 50/50 with ATV. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 160334.5 Public or Private: Old Culvert Size: Water Body: West Torch Labor Source: Contractor	Labor Equip Rental Other	\$168,900 \$82,943 \$15,500 \$32,000 \$14,031 \$7,295	\$320,669 After Cost Share: \$160,335		What is the weight of the grooming equipment? Also a flood risk.	Fair?	10	3	2	5 1		21
NOR-R	Oneida	Years in System: 48 Design Weight Load: 25,000 Oneida County proposes to redeck the Tomahawk River bridge on Trail 8 in the Dick Steffes unit of the Willow Flowage. The 230-foot long wear course for this bridge was replaced in 2006 and is in extremely poor condition. This trail is only open to Snowmobiles. Other funds received, requested, or committed?	# of New Mi *Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts	\$19,235	\$160,335 \$26,835 After Cost Share: \$26,835			Deck Fair, but Wear Surface	10	3	0	5 1	0	19
	Variance Exception	Yes or No:NoAmount:\$ -Public or Private:BothBridge Inventory #:Oneida #42Old Bridge Size:230 ftNew Bridge Size:N/AWater Body:Tomahawk RvrLabor Source:ContractYears in System:30 yearsDesign Weight Load:19,000	Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$7,600 0	\$26,835			ice Critical						

		2024-	25 SNOWI	MOBILE BRI	DGE REH	ABILITA [.]	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	IVIOI
Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A] _	٦0	3	2	5	4 -	-1 :	23
NOR-R	Price	,	•	JS Forest Service pr	•	*Structure Engineer	\$72,250	\$191,384 After Cost Share:			Poor	10	1	2	5	1	0	19
#8		poor condition ar	nd needs replace	ement. This project v	would replace	Permits		\$19,138										
#0		•	•	new 12'x47' clear sp	•	Site prep Materials	\$68,370											
				nowmobiles. USFS		Abutments	\$11,800											
				and wetland delinea s for this project and		Pilings/Piers	\$16,000											
				tween Snow and AT														
		Ternaming costs i	be split 50/50 be	tween Show and AT	٧.	A	#40.004											
		Other formula no		-4 :44-	- 10	Approaches Culverts	\$10,964											
		Yes or No:	Yes	sted. or committe	\$ 172.246	Riprap	\$12,000											
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	Price #17	Labor	Ψ12,000											
	Variance	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 33'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 47'	Equip Rental												
	Exception	Water Body:	Sailor Creek	Labor Source:	Contract	Other												
		Years in System:	10 years+	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	φ.ο,.οο									\perp	
NOR-R	Vilas	1 ' ' '	•	the existing box cul-	•	*Structure		\$83,916		Will 6'	Poor	10	1	2	5	1	0	19
		_		10 and 13 with a new		Engineer	\$15,000			diameter	٥٢							
#9			•	/UTVs in the summe		Permits/Erosi	\$6,000	\$41,958		culvert be sufficient?								
				ent box culvert was i		Site prep Materials				Vilas worked								
				rridor and is in poor	condition. The	Abutments				with WMS to								
		county is also ap	plying for ATV g	rant funds (50/50).		Pilings/Piers				determine								
						Approaches				size.								
		Other funds re	ceived. reque	sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts	\$27,500											
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$ 41.958.00	Riprap	\$4,200											
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	Vilas #39	Labor	\$31,216											
	Variance		6 x 6 box	New Culvert Size:	6' diam x 40'	Equip Rental												
	Exception	Water Body: Years in System:	Sucker Creek	Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Contract 20,000	Other # of New Mi	0	\$41,958										
NER	Fond Du		ild Goosa Stata	Park Trail over East		*Structure	\$183.000	\$248,000		Let's see	P	10	0	0	5	4	0	19
11211	Lac			'x77' bridge and incr		Engineer	\$55,000	' '		estimates	Poor	.0	Ĭ	Ŭ	Ĭ		Ĭ	
"40		12'x77'.	, the existing e.e	XII bridge drid irler	0400 0120 10	Permits	, ,	\$248,000		from Custom.								
#10		12/11.				Site prep				Are both from								
						Materials				Custom, and								
						Abutments				if so, why								
						Pilings/Piers	* 4 * • • • •			different \$\$?								
		Other form		-4I	-10	Approaches Culverts	\$10,000											
			ceived. reaue No	sted. or committe	eq?	Riprap												
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	Public	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:		Labor												
	Variance	Old Culvert Size:	9.5'x77'	New Culvert Size:	12'x77'	Equip Rental												
		Water Body:	Fond du Lac Riv		Contractor	Other												
		Years in System:	121	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$248,000										

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA [.]	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	L	10	3	2 5	5 4	-1	23
#36	Shawano	Shawano County proposes to resurface three bridges on the Mountain Bay State Trail. The existing surface has nails popping up and causing problems, Railings are also in need of repair. Proposal is to resurface with concrete, as Oconto has done on the Nicolet with success	Concrete Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$47,408 \$982	\$50,890 After Cost Share: \$50,890		Are these railroad bridges? Can they withstand the weight of	Fair	10	3	0	5	0	19
	Variance Exception	Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Pensaukee Riv Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Contractor, Club		\$2,500			concrete? Split into 36 A, B and C. Verify if all deck and wear surface are "fair".							
NOR-S	Ashland	Years in System: 30+ Design Weight Load: Ashland County proposes to replace the Trail 16 Bridge 1 over	# of New Mi *Structure	\$32,000	\$50,890 \$65.000			P	10	1	2	1 3	₹	17
#11	Asilialiu	Butternut Creek. The inspection report indicated the bridge needed to immediately be closed. The old bridge is 24'x11' the proposed will be	Engineer Permits	\$4,000	After Cost Share: \$65,000			Poor	10		2			
		40'x12'.	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$5,000 \$12,000										
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #:	Culverts Riprap Labor	\$2,000 \$5,000										
	Variance Exception	Old Culvert Size: Water Body: Butternut Creek Labor Source: Contractor Years in System: Unknown Design Weight Load: 25,000	Equip Rental Demo # of New Mi	\$5,000 0	\$65,000									
NOR-S	Ashland	Ashland County proposes to replace the Trail 13 Bridge 6 over Schraum Creek. The inspecion report indicated the bridge needed to	*Structure Engineer	\$74,000 \$4,000	\$107,500 After Cost Share:		Length of LUA? 10 yrs per club.	Poor	10	1		3 3	3	17
#12		immediately be closed. The old bridge is 45' the proposed will be 70'x12'.	Site prep Removal Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Grading	\$5,000 \$7,500 \$10,000	\$107,500		check original application materials. 10 year LUA submitted							
	Variance		Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$2,000 \$5,000			with application.							
	Exception	Water Body: Schraum Creek Labor Source: Contractor Years in System: Design Weight Load:	Other # of New Mi	0	\$107,500									

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	١	_		2 5		-1	23
#13	Monroe	Monroe County proposes to replace a damaged bridge that is at the end of it's life. Bridge has structural damage from ice/water expanding and bulging/cracking the tubular steel. Some structural damage from grooming equipment as well. The deck of the bridge needs to be replaced.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$80,000 \$10,000 \$17,000	After Cost Share: \$108,000		Need Inspection Report. Last photo's gash, what part of structure is this? Can it be patched		10	1		5 1		17
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Public	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$1,000 0	\$108,000		rather than replace whole structure? (Inspection report may answer Q)							
NOR-S	Barron	Barron County proposes to rehab the Four Mile Creek 12417 bridge on Trail 37 by replacing the pilings, deck and rail. The bridge was inspected by an engineer and the pilings have failed and need to be	*Structure Engineer Mobilization	\$5,000 \$22,500			Need Inspection Report. What		10	1	2	1 3		17
#14		replaced it was also noted that the deck and rail were also nearing the end of life. Split 50/50 with Snow.	Removal Deck/Rail Erosion Cntrl Pilings/Piers Grading	\$17,200 \$145,000 \$10,000 \$36,000 \$9,500			is bridge size, weight load, etc - blank in Appendix A.							
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts Riprap											
	Variance Exception		7 Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	0	\$122,600									
# 15	Kewaunee	Kewaunee County proposes to replace the snowmobile bridge (which is recommended for replacement following inspection) over Krok Creek .		\$102,600 \$3,000 \$20,000 \$13,300	, ,,,,,		Please provide the Wheeler details. Why 100 ft vs 75 ft	Critiical (Subs	10	0	0 !	5 1		16
	Variance	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: public Bridge Inventory #: Kewaunee-20 Old Culvert Size: 72.5'x10 New Culvert Size: 70x12	Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$4,400			difference in estimates?	(Substructure)						
		Water Body: Krok Creek Labor Source: Years in System: 19 Design Weight Load: 25,000	Other # of New Mi	0										

		2024-2	25 SNOWI	MOBILE BRII	DGE REHA	ABILITA ⁻	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	ļ	10	3 2	2 5		-1	23
NOR-S	Ashland	Ashland County p	proposes to repla	ace the Trail 13 Brid	ge 5 over	*Structure	\$78,000	\$102,600		Why 70 ft vs	Poor	10	1	1	1 3		15
	_	Butternut Creek.	The inspecion r	eport indicated the b	ridge needed to	Engineer	\$3,000	After Cost Share:		55 fit	ğ						
#16		immediately be c	losed. The old b	oridge is 57'x10' the p	proposed will be	Permits		\$102,600		differences in							.
"10		55'x12'.				Site prep	\$13,800			estimates?							.
						Materials				Custom felt							.
						Abutments				they could							.
						Pilings/Piers				repair banks							.
		Other from de ma		-41:44-	-10	Approaches Culverts				accommodat							.
				sted, or committe	a	Riprap				e a shorter							.
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No Private	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	Ash-2	Labor				bridge. Will							.
	Variance	Old Culvert Size:	riivale	New Culvert Size:	A511-2	Equip Rental	\$2,700			WMS support							. [
		Water Body:	Butternut Creek	-	Contractor	Demo	\$5,100			that?							1
	Ехоорион	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$102,600									1
WCR	Eau Claire		y proposes to re	place the existing 56		*Structure	\$63,000	\$89,000		Need		10	1	0	1 3	0	15
				e was built with club f		Engineer	\$4,000	After Cost Share:		Inspection							.
#17				the structural strengt		Permits		\$89,000		Report							.
"1/		of the bridge.		J	3 ,	Site prep	\$5,000										.
						Materials											.
						Abutments	\$10,000										.
						Pilings/Piers											.
						Approaches											.
				sted. or committe	d?	Culverts	#0.000										.
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No Daire a tra	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	45	Riprap	\$2,000 \$5,000										.
	Variance	Old Culvert Size:	Private 56' x 10'	New Culvert Size:	60' x 12'	Labor Equip Rental	Φ 3,000										.
		Water Body:	Fall Creek	Labor Source:	contract	Other											.
	LACEPTION	Years in System:	35	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$89.000									.
WCR	Pierce	,		ain connection trail to	,	*Structure	\$94,000	\$145,500		If redeck	D	10	1	0	1 3	0	15
				bridge from the 1980		Engineer	\$8,000	After Cost Share:		instead of	Deck						
440				e & beams are appro		Permits		\$145,500		replacement,	Poor,						1
#18				ap remaining protecting		Site prep	\$5,000			cost est for	or,						.
				rotten and is in bad s		Materials				that?	Su						.
				existing bridge, remov		Abutments	\$10,000				per						.
				inst the existing supp		Pilings/Piers					Super Fair,						. 1
				n a new steel truss br		Approaches	\$5,000										. [
				sted. or committe		Culverts					Sub						. [
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000				o G						. [
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	16	Labor	\$5,000				Good						. [
	Variance	Old Culvert Size:	84' x 12'	New Culvert Size:	80' x 12'	Equip Rental					7						. [
	Exception	Water Body:	Eau Galle Rvr	Labor Source:	Both	Other	\$16,500										
		Years in System:	42	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$145,500								Ш	

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHA	ABILITA [.]	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	,	10	3	2 !	5 4	l -1	23
#19	Pierce	Pierce County proposes to replace the existing club build bridge (Jenson) with a new structure. Groomers cannot cross the existing bridge and must portage the river at this location. Portage causes groomer problems from stuck stones and ice. Bridge appears well built but moves visibly from a riding mower crossing it. Project would consist of bridge removal, tree/stump removal, new abutments/riprap, & structure	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$110,000 \$20,000 \$6,000 \$20,000 \$2,000	\$184,000 After Cost Share: \$184,000		Why going from 110 to 100 or 90? Redecking possible?	Deck Poor, Super Fair,	10	1	0	1	3 (15
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Old Culvert Size: 110' x 11.5' Water Body: Fau Galle rvr Years in System: Private Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: 100' x 12' Labor Source: Both Design Weight Load: 25,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,000 \$10,000 \$14,000	\$184.000			r, Sub Good						
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to replace the existing bridge on Lynx Creek	*Structure	Ü	\$33,550		WMS is ok	Poor	10	1	0	0	3 (14
#20		with two 4ft x 20ft culverts on Snow Corridor 6. The bridge was originally built by the club over 20 years ago. It was recently inspected and determined to be in poor condition and undersized for the grooming equipment. This trail is only used by snowmobiles.	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$5,000 \$5,000 \$5,600	After Cost Share: \$33,550		with culverts replacing bridge. Working on securing 10yr LUA	or						
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Old Bridge Size: Vater Body: Vilas #1 New Culvert Size: Labor Source: Vears in System: Design Weight Load: 2 0 4' x 20' Design Weight Load: 22,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Disposal # of New Mi	\$5,850 \$2,100 \$10,000	\$33,550									
WCR		Trempealeau County is applying for bridge funds for a structure on	*Structure	\$68,000	\$89,000		Weight of	Poor	10	1	2		1	14
#41	u	the Osseo Golf Course. The bridge is a large old steel semi-trailer that is not safe for the groomer. If this bridge is not replaced than this section of trails will continue to not be groomed. 20/80 snow/RTP split requested	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$4,000 \$5,000 \$10,000	After Cost Share: \$17,800		equipment? (What is the grooming unit?) Will the golf course contribute?	or						
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 71200 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: 42 Old Culvert Size: New Culvert Size: Water Body: Buffalo Rvr Years in System: 40 Design Weight Load: 25,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,000	\$17,800		Public golf course?							

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA ⁻	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Access	Mi Impac	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	,	10	3	2	5	4 -	1 23
#21	Lafayette	Lafayette County proposes to replace an existing 12'x40' bridge over the Yellowstone River. Current structure is of unknown age, in poor condition, and does not have required clearance over the waterway.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$65,000 \$4,000 \$5,000 \$10,000	\$94,000 After Cost Share: \$94,000		Need Inspection Report. Verify 30 yr LUA. 55 ft vs 60 ft?		10	1	0	1	1	13
	Variance Exception	Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Aravle 1 Old Culvert Size: 12' x 40' New Culvert Size: 12' x 60' Water Body: Yellowstone Labor Source: contract	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,000 \$8,000	\$94.000									
NER	Outagamie	County Lafayette Lafayette County proposes to replace an existing 12'x40' bridg the Yellowstone River. Current structure is of unknown age, ir condition, and does not have required clearance over the water condition, and does not have required clearance over the water condition, and does not have required clearance over the water condition, and does not have required clearance over the water condition. Other funds received. requested. or committed?		0	\$6,145		1	٠,	10				3	13
#82		replace the decking on a 40' bridge over Bear Creek, and also install	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$5,645	After Cost Share: \$6,145									
		Yes or No:NoAmount:Public or Private:PrivateBridge Inventory #:Bridge Size40' x 14'Labor Source:Club	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$500 0	\$6,145									
#22	Ashland	Ashland County proposes to replace the deck on the Trail 13 Bridge 3 in the Town of Jacobs per the recommendations of the inspection	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$39,400	\$62,600 After Cost Share: \$62,600		When did it last receive grant funding?	Fair	10			1	1	12
	Variance Exception		Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$23,200 0	\$62,600									

		2024-25 SNO	WMOBILE BRID	OGE REH	ABILITA ⁻	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Access	Mi Impac	TOTAL
Region	Variance Exception Variance Exception Valuer Body: Years in System: Iron Iron Iron County proposes to replace a twin culvert crossing of with a new bridge. The culverts are failing and cause the overtop the trail. The new bridge will be a clear span. Single also functions as a forest management road, the bridge will reinforced to a load limit of 100,000 lbs. The county will publifierence in cost for the reinforcements. This trail is open ATVs/UTVs and Snowmobiles. The county is requesting from both programs. Other funds received, requested, or committed?				Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A]	10	3	2	5	4 -	1 23
#23	Ashland	4 in the Town of Jacobs per t	•	•	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$39,400	\$62,600 After Cost Share: \$62,600		When did it last receive grant funding?	Fair	10			1	1	12
		Yes or No: No Public or Private: Public Old Culvert Size: Water Body: E Fork	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source:	Ash-7 Contractor Unknown	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$23,200 0	\$62,600									
NOR-R	Iron			g of Hurd Creek	*Structure	\$11,518	\$36,152				0	1	2	5	4	0 12
#24		overtop the trail. The new br also functions as a forest ma reinforced to a load limit of 10 difference in cost for the rein ATVs/UTVs and Snowmobile from both programs. Other funds received. re Yes or No: Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: 2 x 20" cult Water Body: Hurd Cree	dge will be a clear span. hagement road, the bridg 10,000 lbs. The county w borcements. This trail is composed. The county is requested. The county is requested.	Since this trail the will be will be will pay the open to both ing a 50/50 split d? \$ 18.076.00 Needed 12' x 25' Force	Engineer Permits/Erosi Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$10,000 \$9,152 \$5,482										
# 47		Trempealeau County is apply in the City of Osseo. The brid repaired yearly. We foresee and erosion problems, and we specially after heavy snow a section of the Buffalo River F services in Osseo.	ing for bridge funds for the ge faulty decking that neanis bridge continuing to hell not be passable in the nd rainfall. This bridge is shery area and provides	eds to be nave damage near future, adjacent to a access to	# of New Mi *Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts	\$2,000 \$16,250	\$46,575 After Cost Share: \$46,575		Do the Co wish to pursue the other recommendat ions from the inspection report? What would that	Fair	10	1		1		12
	Variance Exception	Yes or No: No Public or Private: Private	0	Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$17,700 \$4,550 \$6,075			cost? If total replacement, get a 2nd estimate on replacement								

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA ⁻	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Funding Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	١ ٦	10	3 2	2 5	4	-1	23
# 25	Forest	Forest County proposes to redeck the bridge on the Nicolet State Trail over Range Line Creek . The decking is in poor condition and needs replacement. This trail is open to ATV/UTV and Snowmobile use. The County is requesting to split the project 50/50 between the two.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$10,000	\$15,000 After Cost Share: \$7,500				0	3	2 5	1	0	11
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed?Yes or No:YesAmount:\$ 7,500.00Public or Private:PublicBridge Inventory #:NR-21-002Old Bridge Size:12' x 93'New Bridge Size:N/AWater Body:Range Line CrkLabor Source:ContractYears in System:20 yearsDesign Weight Load:25,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$5,000 0	\$7,500									
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to redeck the bridge on the Nicolet State	*Structure		\$15,000				0	3	2 5	1	0	11
#26		Trail over Torpee Creek . The decking is in poor condition and needs replacement. This trail is open to ATV/UTV and Snowmobile use. The County is requesting to split the project 50/50 between the two.	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$10,000	After Cost Share: \$7,500									
		Other funds received. requested. or committed?Yes or No:YesAmount:\$ 7.500.00Public or Private:PublicBridge Inventory #:NR-21-001Old Bridge Size:12' x 93'New Bridge Size:N/AWater Body:Torpee CreekLabor Source:ContractYears in System:20 yearsDesign Weight Load:25,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$5,000 0	\$7,500									
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to redeck the bridge on the Wolf River State	*Structure		\$10,000				0	3	2 5	1	0	11
#27		Trail over Swamp Creek . The decking is in poor condition and needs replacement. This trail is open to ATV/UTV and Snowmobile use. The County is requesting to split the project 50/50 between the two.	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$7,000	After Cost Share: \$5,000									
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 5.000.00 Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: FC-8 Old Bridge Size: 12' x 60' New Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: Swamp Creek Years in System: 14 years Design Weight Load: 25,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$3,000	\$5,000									

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA ⁻	TION R	REQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A]	10	3	2 !	5 4	-1	23
#81	Juneau	Juneau County proposes to replace a failing culvert on a funded snowmobile trail. Not previously an ATV trail, the county is also seeking to add summer ATV/UTV use and as such is seeking a cost share with the ATV program.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$40,000	\$158,360 After Cost Share: \$79,180					1	2	5	3 0	11
	Variance Exception	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$79.18 Public or Private: Public Old Culvert Size: 4' x 20' New Culvert Size: 4' x 20' Water Body: Agriculture Labor Source:	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$6,500 \$1,092 \$41,000 \$69,768										
MOD		Years in System: 10+ Design Weight Load: 20,000	# of New Mi	0	φ. σ, .σσ			_						10
#28	Marathon	Marathon County is requesting funds for the removal and replacement of an existing culvert on the Edgar/Fenwood Trail. The existing culvert is an old stone structure that has collapsed/failed on one side of the raised trail. This trail has trail head parking/loading	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep		\$17,052 After Cost Share: \$1,705				0	1	2	5	3 -1	10
		access and runs along the former Stratford Rail Line. Requested split 10% Snow/10% ORV/80% RTP Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap	\$7,204										
	Variance	Yes or No:YesAmount:\$ 15.347.03Public or Private:Public Public Old Culvert Size:Bridge Inventory #: n/aOld Culvert Size:~36" acrossNew Culvert Size:60"	Labor Equip Rental	\$4,176 \$4,969										
	Exception	Water Body: ditch Labor Source: Force	Other	\$703										
NOD	Dell's	Years in System: unknown Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	<u>0</u>	7.7.00		14/	 	Щ	_				┯
NOR-S	Polk	Polk County proposes to replace the 2 culverts with a larger bottomless culvert on Trail 41. The current culverts are undersized	*Structure Engineer	\$14,000 \$3,000			Would you investigate			1		5	3	9
#29		and subject to blowout. The new bottomless culvert will be stabilized on both ends with rip-rap.	Permits Site prep	\$800 \$500	\$36,825		the possibility of aluminium							
			Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$4,800			culvert? May have longer life.							
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts											
		Yes or No: No Amount:	Riprap	\$2,700										
	\	Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Old Culvert Size: 24"x15/36"x20' New Culvert Size: 8'x50' Bottomle	Labor ssEquip Rental	\$10,025										
	Variance Exception	Old Culvert Size: 24"x15/36"x20' New Culvert Size: 8'x50' Bottomle Water Body: McKinley Creek Labor Source: FA/Contractor	Other	\$10,025										
	LACCPHOIT	Years in System: Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$36,825									

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA	ΓΙΟΝ R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Funding Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A]	10	3 2	2 5	4	-1	23
NOR-R	Taylor	Taylor County is proposing to replace an existing bridge with a new clear span bridge over the Black River . The current bridge is in poor	*Structure Engineer	\$84,000 \$8,000	\$144,000 After Cost Share:				0	1	2 5	5 1	0	9
#30		condition & needs replacement. The project will also include a short relocation of trail due to sharp turns to reach the current bridge	Permits/Erosi Site prep	\$4,000 \$10,000	\$72,000									
	_	location. The new trail will be a straight approach to the bridge location. This trail is open to Winter ATVs and Snowmobiles. Taylor County requests funding be split 50/50 between the two programs.	Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$24,000										
	Variance	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$72.000.00 Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Kuenne Old Bridge Size: 12' x 48' New Bridge Size: 12' x 45 + 90'	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$4,000 \$10,000										
			Other											
		Years in System: 10 years+ Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$72,000									
#31	Calumet	State Trail. The existing 6' x 42' culvert has begun to collapse and	*Structure Engineer Permits		\$16,785 After Cost Share: \$8,393		What is the weight of grooming			1	2 5	5 1		9
#31	County Taylor Taylor County is proposing to replace an existing bridge with a clear span bridge over the Black River. The current bridge is condition & needs replacement. The project will also include a relocation of trail due to sharp turns to reach the current bridge location. The new trail will be a straight approach to the bridge location. This trail is open to Winter ATVs and Snowmobiles. County requests funding be split 50/50 between the two progress of the project of the project will also include a relocation of trail due to sharp turns to reach the current bridge location. This trail is open to Winter ATVs and Snowmobiles. County requests funding be split 50/50 between the two progress of the project of the p		Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$1,500			equipment used at this crossing?							
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts	\$12,585										
		Yes or No: ves Amount: 8392	Riprap	4										
	Variance		Labor Equip Rental	\$1,500										
		*** ***********************************	Other	\$1,200										
	LACCPLION		# of New Mi	0	\$8,393									
NER	Marinette	Marinette County proposes to replace a semi-trailer-bed creek	*Structure	\$44,000	\$67,000		Add photos to			1	0 5	5 3		9
	_	crossing of unknown age with a clearspan bridge.	Engineer	\$4,000	After Cost Share:		the							
#32			Permits Site prep	\$5,000	\$67,000		application materials							
			Materials	φ3,000			(see Zip).							
			Abutments	\$7,000			Has LUA							
			Pilings/Piers				been							
			Approaches				secured?							
			Culverts Riprap	\$2,000										
			Labor	\$5,000										
	Variance	,	Equip Rental	Ţ-,- 00										
	Exception		Other											
		Years in System: unk Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$67,000									

	Douglas County proposes to remove the Olson Meadow Dam and culvert, the structure would be replaced by a new 66"x70" culvert. The current whistle tube dam has structural issues and the culvert is failing. Dam Removal Grant applied for. Costs split 50/50 with Snow Other funds received, requested. or committed? Yes or No: Exception Withdrawn Taylor Withdrawn Other funds received, requested. or committed? Design Weight Load: Withdrawn Signey Plings/Piers Approaches Culverts Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Site prep Materials Abutments Permits Site prep M							Inspectio	Inspectio	Funding Permits	Access	Mi Impac	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	,	L	3 2			-1 23
#33	Douglas	culvert, the structure would be replaced by a new 66"x70' culvert. The current whistle tube dam has structural issues and the culvert is failing.	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments		After Cost Share:					1 2	2 5	1	-1 8
		Costs split 50/50 with Snow Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: 74750	Approaches Culverts Riprap										
NOD D	Exception	Old Culvert Size: 48"x70' New Culvert Size: 66"x70' Water Body: Lower Ox Creek Years in System: Design Weight Load:	Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	0	7		laru i	Ц					
#34	Taylor	vvitndrawn	Engineer Permits		After Cost Share:		witndrawn						U
			Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches										
	Variana	Yes or No: Yes Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: #25566	Riprap Labor										
NOD D	Exception	Water Body: unnamed trib Labor Source: Force Years in System: 10 years+ Design Weight Load: N/A	Other # of New Mi	0	ΨΨ								
#35	Taylor	replace two 24" culverts at site #25563 with one 10'6" x 5'7" aluminum	Engineer Permits		After Cost Share:		proposed			2	2 5	1	8
#33		creating a barrier to fish passage and a trail issue. Because the trail is located on USFS land, the USFS will do the design work, permitting, and installation of the culvert. This trail is open to ATVs/UTVs and Snowmobiles. Taylor County requests funding be	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches										
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Yes Amount: Public Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 10'6" x 5'7" x 25ft	Culverts Riprap Labor tt Equip Rental	\$25,000									
	Exception	Water Body: unnamed trib Labor Source: Force Years in System: 10 years+ Design Weight Load: N/A	Other # of New Mi	0	\$12,500								

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REHA	ABILITA ⁻	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio		Permits	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	٦	10	3	2 5	5 4	-1	23
#37	Sheboygan	Sheboygan County proposes replacing the existing 12' x 25' telephone pole and barn board bridge with a 12' x 30' remountable bridge.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$38,300 \$1,000	After Cost Share: \$44,850		2nd est on structure? Currently no easement, contingency?					5	1	6
		Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: private Bridge Inventory #: 48	Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor	\$1,400 \$1,500										
		Old Culvert Size: 12x25 New Culvert Size: 12x30 Water Body: unnamed Labor Source: contractor Years in System: 20+ Design Weight Load: 25,000	Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,650 0	\$44,850									
NOR-R #38	Langlade	Langlade County proposes to replace the existing Bridge #4 crossing McCloud Creek on the County Line Snow Trail with a new 12' x 45' bridge. The bridge is located on private property. It is about 30 years	*Structure Engineer Permits	\$42,000 \$4,000 \$150			Could redecking be sufficient?		0	3	2	0	1 -	5
		old and in poor condition. This trail is used by Winter ATV and Snowmobiles. Langlade County has received a grant from the ATV Trail Program for 50% of this project.	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$5,000 \$108 \$12,000			Abutment work?							
	Variance	Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 38.474.00 Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Langlade #4 Old Bridge Size: 12' x 45' New Bridge Size: 12' x 45'	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$2,000 \$6,500 \$190										
	Exception	Water Body: McCloud Creek Labor Source: Contract Years in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: 25,000	Disposal # of New Mi	\$5,000 0	\$38,474									
#39	Langlade	Langlade County proposes to replace the existing Bridge #5 crossing the West Branch of the Eau Claire River on the County Line Snow Trail with a new 12' x 45' bridge. The bridge is located on private	*Structure Engineer Permits	\$42,000 \$4,000 \$240	\$77,038 After Cost Share: \$38,519		Could redecking be sufficient?		0	1	2	0 ;	3 -	5
#39		property. It is about 30 years old and in poor condition. This trail is used by Winter ATV and Snowmobiles. Langlade County has received a grant from the ATV Trail Program for 50% of this project.	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$5,000 \$108 \$12,000			Abutment work?							
	Variance	Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: W Br Eau Clair Labor Source: S 38.519.00 Langlade #5 New Bridge Size: 12' x 45' Labor Source: Contract	Culverts Riprap	\$2,000 \$6,500 \$190 \$5,000										
	⊏xception	Years in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	\$5,000	\$38,519									

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA ⁻	TION R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	ТОТАІ
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	٦	10	3	2	5	4	-1	23
WCR	Chippewa	Chippewa County proposes to replace the Paint Creek bridge. The	*Structure	\$32,000	\$65,000		Need LUA if			3	0	0	3	-1	5
		existing Paint Creek bridge was construccted prior to 1991 utilizing	Engineer	\$4,000	After Cost Share:		project moves								
#40		local club funds and has begun to deteriorate requiring replacement	Permits		\$65,000		forward.								
# 4 0		for safe passage of users. The existing 40' x 12' bridge which is	Site prep	\$5,000			Inspection								
		deteriorating due to age and corrosion will be replaced with a bridge	Materials				report would								
		of the same dimensions.	Abutments	\$12,000			be helpful.								
			Pilings/Piers												
			Approaches												
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts	#2.000											
		Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #:	Riprap Labor	\$2,000											
	Variance	Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Old Culvert Size: 40' x 12' New Culvert Size: 40' x 12'	Equip Rental	\$5,000											
		Water Body: Paint Creek Labor Source: Contract	Other	\$5,000											
	LACCPLION	Years in System: 33 years + Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	φο,σσσ	\$65,000										
SC	Green	Green County proposes to replace The MTV 6 - Spring Valley Creek-	*Structure	\$32,000	\$60,000		Verify - when		0	0	0	1	1	0	2
		Nafzger Bridge. It is on private land in Green County and supports	Engineer	\$4,000	After Cost Share:		was this trail								
442		State Corridor Trail #21. The 20+ year old bridge is located on Spring	Permits		\$60,000		funded? (min								
#42		Valley Creek and is constructed of wooden telephone pole supports	Site prep	\$5,000			10 years)								
		with an unknown max load. Mt. Vernon Valley Riders is proposing to	Materials				Maybe get an								
		remove the existing bridge and replace with a new 40' x 12' bridge	Abutments	\$12,000			inspection								
		that meets state waterway regulations and clearance requirements.	Pilings/Piers				and more								
		The new bridge would have a maximum capacity of 25,000 lbs.	Approaches				detailed info								
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts				like current								
			Riprap	\$2,000			bridge dimensions?								
		Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #:	Labor	\$5,000			aimensions :								
	Variance	Old Culvert Size: unknown New Culvert Size: 40' x 12'	Equip Rental												
	Exception	Water Body: Spring Valley Labor Source: contract	Other												
		Years in System: unknown Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$60,000								丄	丄	
NOR-R	Price	Price County proposes to replace the bridge on Deer Creek on Trail	*Structure		\$62,310		Re-sort. Why	Critical	10	1	0	0	1	0	12
		90. The current bridge is in poor condition and needs replacement.	Engineer	\$2,500	After Cost Share:		going from	tica							
#43		This project would replace the existing 12'x52' bridge with a new	Permits	#0.000	\$62,310		32 10 34 (OI	-							
		12'x34' bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is only open to	Site prep	\$3,000			60')? Who is								
		Snowmobiles.	Materials Abutments				proposing the design? Will								
			Pilings/Piers				WMS be								
			Approaches	\$6,000			satisfied?								
		Other funds re <u>ceived. reque</u> sted. or committed?	Culverts	ψ0,000											
		Yes or No: No Amount: \$ -	Riprap												
		Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Price #39	Labor	\$50,810											
	Variance	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 52' New Bridge Size: 12' x 34' & 17'	Equip Rental	, , .											
		Water Body: Deer Creek Labor Source: Contract	Other												
		Years in System: 10 years+ Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$62,310		<u> </u>								

		2024-25 SNOWM	OBILE BRIDGE	REHA	ABILITA	ΓΙΟΝ R	EQUESTS			Inspectio	Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	ТОТАL
Region	County				Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A]	10	3	2	5	4	-1	23
NOR-R #44	Price	on Trail 181 . The current bridge is replacement. This project would re	in poor condition and nee eplace the existing 12'x52'	eds bridge	*Structure Engineer Permits	\$2,500	\$63,750 After Cost Share: \$63,750		Why going from 52' to 34' (or 60')?		0	1	0	0	1	0	2
		with a new 12'x34' bridge with two to Snowmobiles.	17' ramps. This trail is onl	ly open	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$3,000			Who is proposing the design? Will WMS be satisfied?								
		Yes or No: No	Amount: \$		Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor	\$6,250			salisiieu:								
		Old Bridge Size: 12' x 52' N Water Body: unnamed trib L	poposes to replace the bridge on an unnamed sine current bridge is in poor condition and needs his project would replace the existing 12'x52' bit is project would replace the existing 12'x52' bit is project would replace the existing 12'x52' bit is only in the control of the current bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is only is only is only in the current bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is only is only in the current bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is only is only in the current bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is only is only in the current bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is only is only in the current bridge liventory #: Private			\$52,000											
SCR	Dane			25,000	# of New Mi *Structure	\$32,000	\$63,750 \$60,000		Inanaction	-				1	1	-1	
SCR	Dane				Engineer	\$32,000 \$4.000	+,		Inspection would be					'	'	-'	'
"45					Permits	ψ 1,000	\$60,000		helpful. Why								
#45		n Trail 181. The current bridge is in poor condition and new eplacement. This project would replace the existing 12'x52' with a new 12'x34' bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is one of Snowmobiles. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: \$ with a new 12'x34' bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is one of Snowmobiles. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: \$ with a new bridge Size: 12' x 52' bridge Inventory #: Private Pri	zaid to	Site prep	\$5,000	, ,		not replace									
I		and did did did did did did did did did d	ce County proposes to replace the bridge on an unnamed Trail 181. The current bridge is in poor condition and nel lacement. This project would replace the existing 12'x52 in a new 12'x34' bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is or showmobiles. The funds received requested or committed? The funds received requested or committed or replace or not all the funds received requesting a new bridge to replace or not all the funds received requested or committed? The funds received requested or committed or replace or not all the funds received requested or committed? The funds received requested or committed or replace Dane 11 - 1 or replace or not all the funds of		Materials				culverts with								
		rice County proposes to replace the bridge on an unnamed in Trail 181. The current bridge is in poor condition and ne eplacement. This project would replace the existing 12'x52 ith a new 12'x34' bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is on a Snowmobiles. Other funds received.requested.or committed? Yes or No:		Abutments	\$12,000			culverts? What is									
					Pilings/Piers Approaches				existing								
		Other funds received request	tod or committed?		Culverts				culvert								
		Price County proposes to replace the bridge on an unnamed on Trail 181. The current bridge is in poor condition and ne replacement. This project would replace the existing 12'x52 with a new 12'x34' bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is or to Snowmobiles. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: 12' x 52' New Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 12' x 52' New Bridge Size: 12' x 52' New Bridge Size: 12' x 52' New Bridge Size: 12' x 10' x 12' New Bridge Size: 13' x 10' x 12' New Bridge Size: 14' x 12' New Bridge Size: 15' x 10' x 12' New Bridge Size: 16' x 12' New Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 16' x 12' New Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 16' x 12' New Bridge Size: 16' x 12' New Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 16' x 12' New Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 16' x 12' New Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 16' x 12' New Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: 16' x 12' New Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Inv	0	Riprap	\$2,000			dimensions?									
		Price County proposes to replace the bridge on an unnamed on Trail 181. The current bridge is in poor condition and nereplacement. This project would replace the existing 12'x52 with a new 12'x34' bridge with two 17' ramps. This trail is or to Snowmobiles. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: 12' x 52' New Bridge Size: 12' x 10' New Bridg		Labor	\$5,000												
	Variance	Old Culvert Size:		12'	Equip Rental												
	Exception				Other												
					# of New Mi	0	\$60,000										
SCR	Dane			/ITV 5 -	*Structure	\$32,000	\$60,000		Bridge					1	1	-1	1
					Engineer Permits	\$4,000	After Cost Share: \$60,000		inspection would be								
#46			,	year old	Site prep	\$5,000	\$60,000		helpful. Why								
					Materials	ψ0,000			going from								
				ad. The	Abutments	\$12,000			16' to 40'								
					Pilings/Piers				bridge?								
		regulations and clearance requirem	Nate: Private Size: New Bridge Inventory #: 40' x 12' Labor Source: Contract Design Weight Load: 25,000 Valley Riders is proposing to replace Dane 11 - MTV k - Jelle Bridge. The bridge is on private upports Dane County Funded Trail #48. The 20+ year exacted on Flynn Creek and is constructed telephone pole supports with an unknown max load. The will be 40' x 12' and meet state waterway and clearance requirements. Index received. requested. or committed?		Approaches												
		Other funds received, request	ted. or committed?		Culverts												
					Riprap	\$2,000											
	\		•		Labor	\$5,000											
	Variance				Equip Rental Other												
∥ ⊦	⊏xception				# of New Mi	0	\$60,000										
<u> </u>		rodro in Oyatom. To years + D	7001911 VVOIgitt Load. 20,000			TOTAL	\$00,000 \$2,071,11 <i>1</i>	\$0	<u> </u>	ш	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ш				

\$60,000 \$3,071,114 TOTAL

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	County	and Corridor 6, starting at Old B Road on the north and ending at West Lake Laura Rd. south. This "Northstar Trail" project will include improving sight distance on tight corne addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Both Bridge Inventory #: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A N/A Labor Source: Contract Years in System: 40 years Design Weight Load: N/A Vilas County proposes to rehab about 2.4 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail inters 353 on the north and ending at trail intersection 359 to the south. The "Firefly" project vinclude improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A New Bridge Inventory #: N			Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes t			of Corridor 8, Corridor 7.	*Structure		\$65,463		
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Engineer		After Cost Share:		
щл	0			•		Permits/Erosid	\$16,000	\$65,463		
#4	8					Gravel	\$16,035			
		addressing him slope iss	aco. There are no bit	ages for this segment of	tian.	Pit Run	\$8,595			
						Breaker Run	\$17,478			
		Other funds received	d. requested. or co	mmitted?		Culverts				
				Amount:	0	Riprap				
	Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? No Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception? Yes Florence Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception?	-1	Both		N/A	Labor				
	Variance or Exception? No Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Florence Variance or Exception? Yes Florence	Old Bridge Size:			N/A	Equip Rental	\$7,355			
	Variance or Exception? No R Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes R Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes R Florence Variance or Exception? Yes R Florence	Water Body:	N/A		Contract	Other				
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	# of New Mi	0	\$65,463		
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to rehab about 10 miles of trail, including parts of Corridor 8, Corridor 7, and Corridor 6, starting at Old B Road on the north and ending at West Lake Laura Rd. to the south. This "Northstar Trail" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Both N/A New Bridge Inventory #: N/A Labor Source: Vatars Body: Vatars Body: Vatars in System: 40 years Vilas County proposes to rehab about 2.4 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail intersection 353 on the north and ending at trail intersection 359 to the south. The "Firefly" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Public or Private: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received in trail. Other funds received in trail intersection 205 to the west. The "West Plum Trail" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Public or Private: Public Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Public or Private: Public or Private: No Public or Private: No Public or Private: Public or Private: No Public or Private: No Public or Private: No Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Public or Private: N			*Structure		\$40,183			
		Vilas County proposes to rehab about 10 miles of trail, including parts of Corridor 8, Corridor 7 and Corridor 6, starting at Old B Road on the north and ending at West Lake Laura Rd. to the south. This "Northstar Trail" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No. Amount: Public or Private: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Years in System: Vilas County proposes to rehab about 2.4 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail intersection 353 on the north and ending at trail intersection 355 to the south. The "Firefly" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Year or No: No. Amount: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: Years in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Years in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Years in System: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Years in System: Years in System: N/A Water Body: Years in System: Old Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: Years in System: Old Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: N/A Water Body: N/A Water Body: N/A Water Body: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/B N/A N/B N/A N/B N/B			Engineer	\$10,000				
#/	9	Vilas County proposes to rehab about 10 miles of trail, including parts of Corridor 8, Corri and Corridor 6, starting at Old B Road on the north and ending at West Lake Laura Rd. tr. south. This "Northstar Trail" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No. Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Vilas County proposes to rehab about 2.4 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail intersection 359 to the south. The "Firefty" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No. Public or Private: Public or Private: Public or Private: Public or Private: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A Water Body: Vears in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A N/A N/A New Bridge Size: N/A N/A N/A N/A New Bridge Size: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	ope issues. There are	Permits	\$16,000					
"-			· ·		Pit Run	\$2,865				
					Breaker Run	\$4,370				
		Vilas County proposes to rehab about 10 miles of trail, including parts of Cor and Corridor 6, starting at Old B Road on the north and ending at West Lake south. This "Northstar Trail" project will include improving sight distance on addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: N/A New Bridge Siz		Culverts						
		Vilas County proposes to rehab about 10 miles of trail, including parts of and Corridor 6, starting at Old B Road on the north and ending at West Lsouth. This "Northstar Trail" project will include improving sight distance addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: N/A Now Bridge Size: N/A Labor Source: Design Weight Load: Old Bridge Size: N/A Labor Source: Design Weight Load: Vilas County proposes to rehab about 1.2 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting the start of the source: Now Bridge Size: N/A Now Bridge Size: N/A Now Bridge Size: N/A Now Bridge Size: N/A Weight Load: Vilas County proposes to rehab about 1.2 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting the start of the source: Now Bridge Size: N/A Now Bridge Siz		Riprap						
	Variance or Exception? No Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Florence 1 Variance or Exception?	4				Labor	40.040			
						Equip Rental	\$6,948			
		Vilas County proposes to rehab ab and Corridor 6, starting at Old B Resouth. This "Northstar Trail" proje addressing hill slope issues. There of the corporation? Other funds received reques Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Other funds received reques yes or this segment of trail. Other funds received reques Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Other funds received reques Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Other funds received reques Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Other funds received reques Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Other funds received reques Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Other funds received reques Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Other funds received reques Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Other funds received reques Yes or No: Other funds received Yes or No:				Other		* 4 * 4 * *		
NOD D		Vilas County proposes to rehab about 10 and Corridor 6, starting at Old B Road on south. This "Northstar Trail" project will i addressing hill slope issues. There are no south. This "Northstar Trail" project will i addressing hill slope issues. There are no south. This "Northstar Trail" project will i addressing hill slope issues. There are no south. This "Northstar Trail" project will i addressing hill slope issues. There are no south. This "Northstar Trail" project will i addressing hill slope issues. There are no south. There are no south. The addressing hill slope issues. There are no south. The are a				# of New Mi	0	\$40,183		
NOR-R	Variance or Exception? No Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Florence Variance or Exception?					*Structure	ФО 000	\$33,609 After Cost Share:		
			•			Engineer	\$6,000			
#5	Variance or Exception? No Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Thorence Variance or Exception? Yes Florence		•	corners and addressing h	ill slope issues. There	Permits	\$16,000			
	Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Yes R Variance or Exception?	are no bridges for this se	egment of trail.			Materials Pit Run	\$1,400 \$2,005			
	Variance or Exception? No -R Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes -R Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes -R Florence Variance or Exception? Yes -R Florence					Breaker Run	\$2,005 \$1,386			
	Variance or Exception? No Variance or Exception? No Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas #50 Variance or Exception? Yes Florence #51 Variance or Exception?	Other frieds received	d vanueatad av aa	manaitta d2		Culverts	φ1,300			
	Variance or Exception? No R Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes R Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes R Florence Variance or Exception? Yes R Florence				£	Riprap				
	Variance or Exception? No Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas Variance or Exception? Yes Florence Variance or Exception? Yes Florence	Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor				
	Exception? No R-R Vilas #49 Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas #50 Variance or Exception? Yes R-R Florence #51 Variance or Exception?					Equip Rental	\$6,818			
	Variance or Exception? No OR-R Vilas #49 Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas Wariance or Exception? Yes Vilas #50 Variance or Exception? Yes Vilas #51					Other	ψ0,010			
		Yes or No: Public or Private: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: Vilas Vilas Vilas County proposes to rehab about 1.2 miles of trail Corridor 10, 204 on the east and ending at trail intersection 205 to the west. Th will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressin are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: Water Body: Vaers in System: Yes or No: Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: Years in System: Years in System: Years in System: Tiorence Florence County proposes to rehab 13.7 miles of trail, known as Cowith the State of Michigan trail system on each end. This trail is he has degraded over the years. The project will add 6 inches of graw then grade and compact the surface. The trail is open to ATVs/UTV Snowmobiles, ATVs, and UTVs in the winter. Florence County has (\$250,000) and is requesting to split the remaining costs 80/20 between the surface.			# of New Mi	0	\$33,609			
NOR-R		include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A Vilas County proposes to rehab about 1.2 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail intersection 205 to the west. The "West Plum Trail" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Vilas County proposes to rehab about 1.2 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail intersection 205 to the west. The "West Plum Trail" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A N/A New Bridge Size: N/A N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Public Oblication and the surface and compact the surface. The trail is peen to ATVs/UTVs in the summer and Snowmobiles, ATVs, and UTVs in the winter. Florence County has applied for RTP funds (\$250,000) and is requesting to split the remaining costs 80/20 between ATV and Snow. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Old Bridge Size: N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/	*Structure	Ü	\$355,824					
			r funds received. requested. or committed? /es or No: No Amount: No Or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Design Weight Load: N/A County proposes to rehab about 1.2 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail intersection in the east and ending at trail intersection 205 to the west. The "West Plum Trail" project clude improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There is bridges for this segment of trail. r funds received. requested. or committed? //es or No: No Amount: No Amount: No Amount: No Amount: No Amount: No Amount: No No No Amount: No No No Amount: No No No No No Amount: No		Engineer		After Cost Share:			
		_	Design Weight Load: N/A Ounty proposes to rehab about 2.4 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail intersection the north and ending at trail intersection 359 to the south. The "Firefly" project will improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are ges for this segment of trail. funds received, requested, or committed? as or No: No No No Amount: r Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Ounty proposes to rehab about 1.2 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail intersection the east and ending at trail intersection 205 to the west. The "West Plum Trail" project ude improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There bridges for this segment of trail. funds received, requested, or committed? as or No: No Amount: funds received, requested, or committed? as or No: No Amount: F Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Labor Source: Contract System: 30 years Design Weight Load: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Labor Source: Contract System: Source: Contract Design Weight Load: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Labor Source: Contract Design Weight Load: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A System: Source: Contract Design Weight Load: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A System: Source: Contract Design Weight Load: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A System: Source: Contract Design Weight Load: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A System: Source: Saste of Michigan trail system on each end. This trail is heavily used and the surface of gravel to the majority of the trail and eand compact the surface. The trail is open to ATVs/UTVs in the summer and nobiles, ATVs, and UTVs in the winter. Florence County has applied for RTP funds probles, ATVs, and UTVs in the winter. Florence County has applied for RTP funds probles, ATVs, and UTVs in the winter. Florence County has applied for RTP funds probles, ATVs, and UTVs in the winter. Florence County has applied for RTP funds probles, ATVs, and UTVs in		Permits		\$21,165			
∥ #5	1		Amount: Or Private: Both Bridge Inventory #: N/A Idge Size: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Body: N/A Labor Source: Contract N/A County proposes to rehab about 2.4 miles of trail Corridor 10, starting at trail intersection in the north and ending at trail intersection 359 to the south. The "Firefly" project will e improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are dges for this segment of trail. **r funds received, requested, or committed?** (res or No: No Amount: Or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A N/A New Bridge Size: N/A N/A New Bridge Size: N/A N/A N/A Labor Source: Contract N/A		Gravel	\$150,080				
					Materials	,,				
			This "Northstar Trail" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and sing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Funds received requested or committed?			Abutments				
		Vilas County proposes to rehab about 10 miles of trail, including parts of Corridor 8, Corridor 7, and Corridor 6, starting at Old B Road on the north and ending at West Lake Laura Rd. to the south. This "Northstar Trail" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other bublic or Private: Other not and ending at trail intersection 359 to the south. The "Firefty" project will include improving sight distance on tight corners and addressing hill slope issues. There are no bridges for this segment of trail. Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No No Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No No Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No No Other funds received, requested, or committed? Yes or No: No No No No No No No No No	Pilings/Piers							
			Approaches							
		Other funds received	d. requested, or co	mmitted?		Culverts				
			poses to rehab about 10 miles of arting at Old B Road on the nor histar Trail" project will include pe issues. There are no bridge to see to rehab about 2.4 miles of and ending at trail intersection 3 sight distance on tight corners segment of trail. Telived. requested. or company to the project will add the project will add the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is so, and UTVs in the winter. Floor requesting to split the remaining the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requesting to split the remaining the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requesting to split the remaining the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requesting to split the remaining the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requesting to split the remaining the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requesting to split the remaining the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requesting to split the remaining the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requesting to split the remaining the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requested, or company the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requested, or company the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requested, or company the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requested, or company the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requested, or company the project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requested, or company the project will add mpact the surface. The project will add mpact the surface. The trail is requested, or company the project will add mpact the surface.		\$ 334.659.00					
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$70,901			
	Variance or			-		Equip Rental	\$134,843			
			N/A		Contract	Other				
						# of New Mi	0	\$21,165		

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

Region	Control, adding material to the trail surface, and resetting an existing culvert and adding The county is requesting funds from ATV and Snow programs at 80%/20%. Other funds received requested or committed? Yes or No: Public or Private: Private Old Bridge Size: N/A Variance or Exception? Yes Florence Florence Position Private: Private N/A New Bridge Size: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Posign Weight Load: N/A Florence County proposes to rehab about 1 mile of the F2 motorized trail, lying northwe prine River Rd (Mini Pipe). Work will include raising low spots on the trail to eliminate traps, repairing washouts and trenches, creating new ditches for better water control, a material to the trail surface, and replacing an existing culvert with a larger pipe to allow an intermittent stream. The county is requesting funds from ATV and Snow programs 80%/20%. Other funds received requested or committed? Yes or No: Yes Public or Private: Both Old Bridge Size: 12in Culvert New Bridge Size: 28" x 20" years in System: 15 years pesign Weight Load: N/A Portage Portage Portage County is proposing to address safety concerns of Isherwood Hill in the Town Buena Vista. Isherwood Hill drops a total of 72" over 425". There is a 50" segment of the control of the county is requested.			Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments		
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County propo	ses to rehab about 0.7 n	nile of the F2 motorized	trail, starting from	*Structure		\$22,595		
		Junction 18 to the inte	ersection with Trail F2/	A. Work will include ad	lding new trail base to	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#5	6	exposed sand areas, re	epairing washouts and tr	enches, creating new d	litches for better water	Permits		\$4,519		
#J	•					Site prep				
						Gravel	\$7,680			
						Iron Ore	\$2,560			
						Pilings/Piers				
					•	Approaches				
			<u>d. reauested. or con</u>			Culverts	# 400			
				Amount:	10.076.00		\$400			
	., .					Labor	\$4,428			
	#56 #56 Ot Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception? #57 #57 #60 Variance or Old Exception? Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Old Exception? Yes Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Old Exception? Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Variance or Old Exception? Variance or Exception? Variance or Old Wa					Equip Rental Other	\$7,527			
		-				# of New Mi	0	¢4 510		
NOR-P		-	-	U U	·	*Structure	I	\$4,519 \$35,423		
NOIX-IX	Florence					Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#56 #56 Ot Variance or Exception? Yes NOR-R Florence Florence Florence Put Variance or Exception? Wa Variance or Old Exception? Yes WCR Portage WCR Portage		` .	. ,			Permits		\$7,085		
#5	7					Site prep		Ψ1,000		
						Gravel	\$11,520			
			The county is requesting	g funds from ATV and	Snow programs at	Iron Ore	\$3,760			
		80%/20%.				Pilings/Piers	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
						Approaches				
		Other funds receive	ed requested or com	nmitted?		Culverts	\$800			
					\$ 28.338.00	Riprap	\$400			
						Labor	\$6,111			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:	28" x 20" x 20ft	Equip Rental	\$12,832			
	Exception?	Water Body:	Unnamed	Labor Source:	Contract	Other				
	Yes	,	,	Ü	-	# of New Mi	0	\$7,085		
WCR	Portage	Portage County is prop	osing to address safety	concerns of Isherwood	Hill in the Town of	*Structure		\$18,640		
		Buena Vista. Isherwood	d Hill drops a total of 72'	over 425'. There is a 5	0' segment of the hill that			After Cost Share:		
#6	:n	is at a 26% slope, a 50)' segment at 25%, & oth	ners that are around 20	%. The hill contains	Permits		\$18,640		
#0		exposed boulders, som	ne over 6' in diameter. Th	ne Arnott club has dete	rmined that the best	Site prep				
	Variance or Exception? Yes NOR-R Florence Florence Florence Florence Ot Variance or Exception? Yes Warance or Care o	solution for this segmen	nt of trail is to bring in fill	to cover the boulders a	and cover with topsoil to	Materials	\$18,200			
						Abutments				
						Pilings/Piers				
		·			`	Approaches				
	Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception? Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception? Yes Variance or Exception?		<u>d. reauested. or con</u>			Culverts				
				Amount:	- I -	Riprap	**			
	\					Labor	\$440			
				_		Equip Rental				
	•	-1	n/a			Other		#40.040		
	NO	rears in System:		Design Weight Load:	n/a	# of New Mi	0	\$18,640		
							TOTAL	\$190,664	\$0	

Mi Impa Maint O Funding Rec Wi Deduct Access TOTAL 2024-25 SNOWMOBILE MANDATORY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS 2 2 Region County Description Component Costs Total Approved Comments NOR-Washburn Washburn County is proposing to move a at grade trail crossing *Structure \$2,373,080 \$4,288,167 MOTION by After Cost Share: S Engineer \$357.347 Infrastructure over US Hwy 53. There are 3 options proposed 2 that would go Committee Gravel \$16.800 \$2,144,084 under the 53 bridges and 1 that would create and overpass over #61 Excavation \$50,000 request Dept of the highway. When the Trego interchange was completed I Borrow \$345.000 Transportation narrowed the median on the highway and groomers no longer have Misc \$788,593 investigate the room to stop in the median. This creates a hazard of having to funding and Labor \$357,347 cross all 4 lanes of traffic from either side. The estimate is for the implementing a overpass. The underpass option 1A would include a 10-11' safe rec user retaining wall and would provide the most vertical clearance cost crossina of Hwv estimate is \$1.9 million. Option 1B for the underpass would leave 53. as was a higher elevation with ~11' clearance to the bridge with a cost originally planned estimate of \$1.6 million. All options would eliminate a open bridge in 2015. Existing grant that crosses Potato Creek encumbered for \$255,000. Cost crossina footprint is insuffient for split 50/50 w ATV Approaches safe crossing BY Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? Bob, Andy. 2144083.5 Riprap Yes or No: Yes Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: Both Labor Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Variance or Equip Rental Water Body: Labor Source: Exception? Other Design Weight Load: # of New Mi \$2,144,084 Years in System: WCR Will trail 11 be Dunn Dunn County requests a reroute of Trail 11 for safety concerns. *Structure \$190,000 \$206.459 Engineer \$1.500 After Cost Share shut down if this Reroute would require 3 new bridges. project is not Permits \$41.292 #62 implimented? Site prep \$3.000 County has applied for RTP funding as well Trail crosses Materials driveway and Abutments Pilings/Piers \$6,000 landowner wants them off. Can Approaches \$3,000 culverts be used Culverts Other funds received, requested, or committed? **165167** Riprap instead of \$1,500 Yes or No: Yes Amount: Public or Private: Bridge Inventory #: bridges? Better Public n/a Labor maps of what is Variance or Bridge 28 & 30 60' x 12' each Bridge 29 40' x 12' Equip Rental closed vs Exception? Water Body: Labor Source: Contract Wilson Crk Other \$1.459 proposed? Years in System: n/a Design Weight Load: 25,000 # of New Mi \$41,292 NOR-Iron Iron County proposes to reroute a portion of Trail 8 due to change *Structure \$25.054 Need better 0 Engineer After Cost Share understanding/ex in management of Cramer Lake Road, which had been previously Permits \$25,054 plaination of unplowed. The new trail will be about 2 miles and use an #63 maps. The Site prep additional 1 mile of road route (Net Loss = 1 mile). The new trail "additional one Materials will follow existing woods roads for a portion. Work will include more of road Abutments brushing, mowing, tree and stump removal, grading, installing Pilings/Piers route", is that on culverts for drainage, and shaping the trail base. This is a the road or is that snowmobile only trail. Approaches trail? Culverts \$1,650 Other funds received, requested, or committed? Riprap Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: \$9.248 **Public** Bridge Inventory #: N/A Labor Variance or Old Bridge Size: N/A New Bridge Size: Equip Rental \$14.156 N/A Exception? Water Body: Labor Source: ForcePade 129 of 34 N/A

of New Mi

-1

\$25.054

Years in System:

Design Weight Load:

	2024	-25 SNOWMOBILE MANDA	TORY TRAIL F	RELOCA	TION R	REQUEST	S		Maint O	Rec Wi	Access	Mi Impa	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County	Description		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2		2 4			13
NOR- R	Vilas	reroute is due to development at the end of F Town of Conover. The road had been unplo will now require plowing due to the developm	River Hills Rd in the wed in the past, but nent. Work will include	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches		\$11,756 After Cost Share: \$11,756		All on Co land? Yes.	0	0	0 4	4 1	0	5
		Yes or No:NoAmountPublic or Private:Public or Private:Bridge InvertigationOld Bridge Size:N/ANew BridgeWater Body:N/ALabor Source	unt: \$ - ntory #: N/A Size: N/A ce: Contract	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$7,500 \$4,256									
NER #	Waupaca	Town of Conover. The road had been unplowed in the past, bu will now require plowing due to the development. Work will incl tree/stump removal, grading, and establishing a trail base. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: N/A New Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: N/A Water Body: N/A Waupaca County proposes to relocate a portion of Trail E at the requirement of a landowner. The scope of work will include installation of a 60" x 30' squash culvert. New trail will be 0.1 shorter than previous trail. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Private Old Bridge Size: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: No" x 30' x 30'		*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	Ü	\$11,730 \$11,300 After Cost Share: \$11,300			0	0	0	1 3	0	4
		Yes or No:NoAmountPublic or Private:PrivateBridge InversionOld Bridge Size:New CulverWater Body:Labor Source	unt: http://discourse.com/html http://discourse.com/html http://discourse.com/html html html html html html html html	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$8,500 \$2,800									
000	1 - #			# of New Mi	-0.1	\$11,300					_	1	Ш	_
SCR #6		side of Crawfish River on US Hwy 18, with 2 loss of access. Bridge 1 is 34x12 and replace	new bridges due to es existign semi trailer	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$62,000 \$8,000 \$10,000 \$24,000	After Cost Share: \$118,000						1		1
		Vilas County proposes to reroute about 0.1 mile of Trail 45. The reroute is due to development at the end of River Hills Rd in the Town of Conover. The road had been unplowed in the past, be will now require plowing due to the development. Work will interee/stump removal, grading, and establishing a trail base. Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Public or Private: Public Did Bridge Size: N/A New Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: 60" x 30 Labor Source: Contract Design Weight Load: Teach Teach		Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$4,000 \$10,000		ФО							

\$118,000 \$2,351,486 TOTAL \$0

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

	2024-2	5 SNOWMO	BILE DIS	CRETIONAR	Y TRAIL I	RELOCA	TION F	REQUES	STS		Maint Only	Rec Wing	Funding	Access	Miles Lost	TOTAL
Reg	County		Desc	cription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2					3 F -1
WC	Pepin	and foot traffic to trav	esting funds to p	provide a safer route for a safer route for a safer route for a safer route for a safer risk on a nar	County owned	*Structure Engineer Permits	\$126,000 \$15,000	\$200,000 After Cost \$40,000	7,5510100	Also on private property (per Appendix A), or is	0	0	2	4		0 10
L			biles in the winte	fely through the park. The care and foot traffic in the care		Site prep Materials Abutments	\$20,000 \$20,000			this public land? If so, is LUA 10+yrs on ALL?						
		RTP Funding request		sted, or committe	42	Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts				Verify w/ Anderson, \$126k est for structures						
	Variance or	Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size:	Yes Public	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	160000 n/a 60'x12'		\$4,000			is for TWO structures, not each?						
		Water Body: Years in System:	Arkansaw Crk n/a	•	Contract 25,000	Other # of New Mi	\$15,000 0	\$40,000		oud.n.						
NOR- S	Burnett	Town of Webb Lake.	The current cro	a town road crossing on ssing is at an angle and the trail be move to the	has poor	*Structure Engineer Permits		\$8,562 After Cost \$856					2	4	3	9
1	#68	Relocating the trail wis	ill require construr r between Danbu a larger ATV Re	uction of ~1000' of new t ury and Webb Lake and hab project and funding	rail. The is heavily	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$941	Ç								
		Other funds rec Yes or No: Public or Private:	eived. reaue Yes Public	sted. or committed Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	d? 7706	Culverts Riprap Labor	\$2,795									
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System:	T dblic	New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	Force Acct	Equip Other # of New Mi	\$4,826	\$856								
NOR- R	Iron	Iron County proposes Sherman. Trail mana	agers struggle to	mile of Trail 182 in the get the trail open most ne new location will be w	of the season	*Structure Engineer Permits	\$18,179	\$32,332 After Cost \$32,332			0	0	0	4	3	0 7
	#69	182 ROW for part, an	nd DNR Turtle Fluire installation o	ambeau Flowage prope of culverts and a new 12	rty for the	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$480	402,002								
		Yes or No:	No	sted. or committee	\$ -	Culverts Riprap	\$900									
	Variance or Exception?	Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System:	Public N/A Unnamed	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	Needed 12' x 30' Force	Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$7,644 \$5,129									

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

												Bu Bi	. 0,		_	
Reg	County		Des	cription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2	2 2	2 4	4	-1	
NOR-	Rusk	Rusk County proposes t	to relocate a	portion of Corridor 31. The	e portion is	*Structure		\$0			2		4	1	-1	6
S		difficult to groom due to	expansive w	etlands that often do not fr	eeze. The	Engineer		After Cost								
		trail would be rerouted o	off the wetland	ds and eliminate .3 miles o	f road riding.	Permits		\$0								
#	‡ 70			eveloped ATV trail from M		Site prep										
		Rd to Wiergor Rd. The	new distance	would be 5.9 miles and the	ne abandoned	Materials										
		portion is 2.1 net increas	se 3.8. The t	rail is developed and only	requesting	Abutments										
		the added maintenace.				Pilings/Piers										
						Approaches										
		Other funds receiv	ved. reaue	sted. or committed	?	Culverts										
		Yes or No:		Amount:		Riprap										
		Public or Private:		Bridge Inventory #:		Labor										
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:		New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental										
	Exception?	Water Body:		Labor Source:		Other										
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	3.8	\$1,140								
NOR-	Iron	Iron County proposes to	relocate a ro	ad route portion of Trail 8	by creating a	*Structure		\$15,001			0	0	0 4	1	0	5
R		parallel trail (1mi) and op	pening a cou	nty forest road to snowmol	oiles that is	Engineer		After Cost								
		, .	•	nt (4.4mi). This new trail w		Permits		\$15,001								
#				1 mile of trail (Net Add = 4		Site prep										
		-	-	nd stump removal, grading	-	Materials										
		•	nd shaping the	e trail base. This would be	а	Abutments										
		snowmobile only trail.				Pilings/Piers										
						Approaches										
		Other funds receive	ved. reauc	sted. or committed	?	Culverts	\$1,100									
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	\$ -	Riprap										
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor	\$5,312									
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A	Equip Rental	\$8,589									
	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	Force	Other										
	-	Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$15,001								
			•			•	TOTAL	\$89,329	\$0	•						

Page 26 of 34

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

New Bridge/Major Culvert where there was never a bridge before (ie, ice crossings)

		Trow Bridgermajer Garvert Where there was hever t		(,	9-/		_	nits	ing	ess	act	uct	otal
	_			_] _						
Region			Compor		Total	Approved	Comments	3			4		13
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County in collaboration with the US Forest Service		\$100,000			Why 57'? Would	1	2	5	3	0	11
		proposes to develop a new bridge over Otter Creek on Sn			After Cost Share:		a culvert work?						ı
#	‡72	Trail A8-30. This trail had utilized a frozen water crossing			\$47,893								ı
		past, but a new bridge is needed to prevent further bank e	erosion Site prep										ı
		and water quality issues. USFS has provided engineering	g and Materials										ı
		on-site review. Forest County has also applied for RTP F	unds at Abutmer										ı
		80%.	Pilings/P										ı
			Approacl	hes \$3,065									ı
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts	#0.050								, 1	ı
		Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$	191,568 Riprap	\$9,350									ı
	\		C-10 Labor	\$21,769								, 1	ı
	Variance or	•	' x 57' Equip Re	entai									ı
	Exception?	, I	ntract Other	. N.4:	\$47,893								ı
WC	Jackson	, , , , ,	5,000 # of New					1	0	3	1	0	5
WC	Jackson	Jackson County Forestry and Parks proposes to place a r							ľ	3	'	U	J
		bridge over Stony Creek. The club has in the past tried a		111g \$4,000	\$65,000								ı
7	‡ 73	of different optrons to cross the creek. They tried using the	0.1000	\$5,000									ı
		bridge on Fall Coulee Rd the problem there was the steep										, 1	ı
		and having to stay on the road for 800 feet while climbing	a niii										ı
		and a curve before they could get off the road. They also t	liled									, 1	ı
		using the farmers ford crossing but the snowmobile's did r	not like Approacl									, 1	ı
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts	103								, 1	ı
		Yes or No: No Amount:	n Riprap	\$2,000									ı
		Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: n/a	Labor	\$5,000									ı
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: n/a New Bridge Size: 40' x 1										, 1	ı
		Water Body: Stony creek Labor Source: contra		\$5,000									ı
il		Years in System: n/a Design Weight 25,000			\$65,000							, 1	, !
WC	Clark	Clark County is applying for a culvert installation project o			\$13,440			0	1	1	3	-1	4
		funded Chippewa County trail that runs into Clark Co. on o			After Cost Share:								ı
l #	‡ 74	27 in the town of Worden, Clark Co. The old crossing was		Ĭ	\$13,440							, 1	ı
1	7/7	navigable as an ice crossing in the past but with more wat)								, 1	ı
		running now that is now longer the case. The named strea		\$2,500									ı
		Roger creek runs year round now.	Abutmen										ı
		Noger creek runs year round now.	Pilings/P	iers									ı
			Approacl	nes									ı
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts	\$8,440									ı
		Yes or No: No Amount:	0 Riprap										ı
		Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: n/a	Labor	\$800									ı
		Old Bridge Size: n/a New Bridge Size: 59"x8 ²	1"x4' Equip Re	ental \$1,700									ı
	Exception?	Water Body: Roger Creek Labor Source: Contra											ı
		Years in System: n/a Design Weight 25,000	# of New	Mi 0	\$13,440								1

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE NEW BRIDGE REQUESTS

New Bridge/Major Culvert where there was never a bridge before (ie, ice crossings)

			najor Garvort	where there was		3 501010 (10,	100 01000				nits	ing	ess	act	uct	<u>5</u>
egion	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	3	2	5	4	-1	١.
R-S		crossing on Corri makes the crossi	dor 31. The cr	bridge over an uni reek is prone to floo id unsafe. There a sed bridge would be	oding which re no options	Bridge 1 Engineering Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$32,000 \$4,000 \$5,000 \$12,000	\$60,000 After Cost Share: \$60,000	Другочес	Who provided Quote 2? (Company name blank on application) CustomQuotes	0			3		-
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	ceived. reau No Private	ested. or comm Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	36x12 Contractor	Pilings/Piers Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$2,000 \$5,000			added to packet.						
		Years in System:	10+	Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$60,000								
/C	Clark	snowmobile trail	corridor 25 in t	EW bridge project he town of Sherma	n in Clark	Bridge 1 Engineering	\$63,000 \$4,000				1	0	0	3	-1	_
#	ŧ76	an ice crossing ir freezes over and	n the past. How at times during or grooming eq	is location for deca vever, the crossing g higher water it is a puipment. This mak and groomers.	no longer not passable	Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$5,000 \$10,000									
	Variance or	Other funds re Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size:	ceived. reau No Private n/a	ested. or comm Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	n/a 60' x 12'	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$2,000 \$5,000									
		Water Body:	Yellow River	Labor Source:	Contract	Other	\$10,000								l	
		Years in System:	n/a	Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$99,000							ı	
#	Calumet	Calumet County existing ditch. The groomer is no lor will be needed dueligible for rehabithe funded trail strom the date of a	ne farmer expanger able to croue to NR50.09 illitation assista system of the coapplication eces beyond the	stall a 4' x 20' culve nded this ditch in 2 less at this location. (g) 1. Snowmobile nce shall have bounty for a minimun xcept where a natus control of the cou	ert in an 1021 and the A variance trail segments been a part of n of 10 years ural event or	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches		\$7,400 After Cost \$7,400			1	0	0	1	()
			ceived. reau	ested. or comm	itted?	Culverts	\$5,200								ı	
	Variance	Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Culvert Size:	<u>Private</u>	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size:	4'x20'	Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$1,000									
	Exception Yes	Water Body: Years in System:	Since 2021	Labor Source: Design Weight	25,000	Other # of New Mi	\$1,200 0	\$7,400						ļ	l	
	163	rears in Cystoni.	CITIOC ZOZ I	Doolgii Wolgiit	20,000	# Of NOW WII	TOTAL	\$292,733	\$0		1		ш		_	-

NOR-S Douglas Trail 9 #2			202	4-25 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	allel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to water 2 pts	2. Colum H indicate 3. Column I i 5. Columns J through and 5. Column P th	umns needs to be application to be application to be as if the required s ndicates if the ce statement way R are the acturankings with poru R should be el negative numbers.	e marked e eligible. map was ubmitted. rtification s signed. al ratings int value. ntered as pers. "-1"	Deduct if dead-end 2 pts	Total Score per Draft Rev
NOR-S Douglas Trail 9 #2	PROJ#					1	2	3				2				6	7	7					Dr	
NOR-S Polk								У	У	У														11
NOR-S Polk Trail 65								у	у	у		_		3										
NOR-S Polk Trail 44					21.7	У	у	у	у	у				1		2								
NOR-S Polk Trail 47		NOR-S	Polk		0.6	у	у	у	у	у		3	2	1		0								
NOR-S Burnett					4	у	У	у	у	у		1		1										
NOR-S Washburn Long Lake 2.1 y y y y		NOR-S	Polk	Trail 47	8.90	У		у	у	у	2			1	2	0	-3							
NOR-S Washburn McKenzie Creek 2.3 y y y y 0 0 \$0 \$690		NOR-S	Burnett	Trail 9	1.2			у	у	у	0	3	2	2	2	2			1	1 \$0	\$360			
NOR-S Washburn Spider Lake 1.7		NOR-S	Washburn	Long Lake	2.1			у	у	у										0 \$0	\$630			
NOR-S Rusk Trail 97		NOR-S	Washburn	McKenzie Creek	2.3			у	у	у										0 \$0	\$690			
NER Waupaca Trail AA		NOR-S	Washburn	Spider Lake	1.7			у	у	у										0 \$0	\$510			
NER Waupaca Trail AC		NOR-S	Rusk	Trail 97	12	у		У	у	у	0	3	2	2	2	2	-2			9 \$0	\$3,600			
NER Waupaca Trail DR 0 \$0		NER	Waupaca	Trail AA																0 \$0	\$0			
NER Waupaca Trail O1 0 \$0 \$0 NER Waupaca Trail O2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Waupaca Trail QQ 0 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano Does Trail 0 \$		NER	Waupaca	Trail AC																0 \$0	\$0			
NER Waupaca Trail O2 0 \$0		NER	Waupaca	Trail DR															(\$0	\$0			
NER Waupaca Trail QQ 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano Docs Trail 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano Tilleda Loop 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano Mt Bay to Gresham 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano T Trail 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano W Trail 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano SH Trail 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano WR Trail 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Fond du Lac Corr 30 to Pickett (Silver Creek Sno Odders) 0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc C-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc E-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0		NER	Waupaca	Trail O1																0 \$0	\$0			
NER Shawano Docs Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano Tilleda Loop 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano Mt Bay to Gresham 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano T Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano W Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano SH Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano WR Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Fond du Lac Corr 30 to Pickett (Silver Creek Sno Odders) 0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc C-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc E-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0		NER	Waupaca	Trail O2													Î			0 \$0	\$0			
NER Shawano Tilleda Loop 0 \$0		NER	Waupaca	Trail QQ													Î			0 \$0	\$0			
NER Shawano Mt Bay to Gresham 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano T Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano W Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano H Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano WR Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Fond du Lac Corr 30 to Pickett (Silver Creek Sno Odders) 0 \$0 \$0 NER Door Sunnyslope 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc C-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc E-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0		NER	Shawano	Docs Trail																0 \$0	\$0			
NER Shawano T Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano W Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano H Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano SH Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano WR Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Fond du Lac Corr 30 to Pickett (Silver Creek Sno Odders) 0 \$0 \$0 NER Door Sunnyslope 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc C-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc E-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0		NER	Shawano	Tilleda Loop													Î			0 \$0	\$0			
NER Shawano T Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano W Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano H Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Shawano WR Trail 0 \$0 \$0 NER Fond du Lac Corr 30 to Pickett (Silver Creek Sno Odders) 0 \$0 \$0 NER Door Sunnyslope 0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc C-2 0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc E-2 0 \$0 \$0		NER	Shawano	Mt Bay to Gresham															(\$0	\$0			
NER Shawano H Trail 0 \$0		NER	Shawano	T Trail																0 \$0	\$0			
NER Shawano SH Trail 0 \$0		NER	Shawano	W Trail																0 \$0	\$0			
NER Shawano WR Trail 0 \$0		NER	Shawano	H Trail																0 \$0	\$0			
NER Fond du Lac Corr 30 to Pickett (Silver Creek Sno Odders) 0 \$0 \$0 NER Door Sunnyslope 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc C-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc E-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0		NER	Shawano	SH Trail																0 \$0	\$0			
NER Door Sunnyslope 0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc C-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc E-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0		NER	Shawano	WR Trail																0 \$0	\$0			
NER Manitowoc C-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0 NER Manitowoc E-2 0 \$0 \$0 \$0		NER	Fond du Lac	Corr 30 to Pickett (Silver Creek	Sno Odd	ers))													0 \$0	\$0			
NER Manitowoc E-2 0 \$0 \$0		NER	Door	Sunnyslope		ĺ														0 \$0	\$0			
		NER	Manitowoc	C-2													Ţ			0 \$0	\$0			
NED Winnehage Springbrook		NER	Manitowoc	E-2													Ţ		(\$0	\$0			
		NER	Winnebago	Springbrook																0 \$0	\$0			

		202	24-25 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	3 pts	allel trails 3 pts	water 2 pts	an 2. (4. (Colum H indicates 3. Column I in Columns J through	mns needs to be application to be application to be s if the required si dicates if the ce statement wan R are the acturankings with pour R should be en negative number	e marked e eligible. map was ubmitted. rtification s signed. al ratings int value. ntered as	Deduct if dead-end 2 pts	Total Score per Draft Rev
PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7 T		\$ DEV		FUNDED	Dr	Dr
	SCR	Columbia	Trail 26	3.9															0	\$0	\$1,170			
		Columbia	Trail 48	6.0															0	\$0	\$1,800			
		Columbia	Trail 88	13.0															0	\$0	\$3,900			
		Dane	Dane trail 31	1.5															0	\$0	\$450			
		Dane	Dane trail 27	1.5															0	\$0	\$450			
	SCR	Iowa	Corridor 23	11.0															0	\$0	\$3,300			
	SCR	Sauk	Sauk County new miles - R4	7.8															0	\$0	\$2,340			
		Sauk	Sauk County new miles - W4	5.3															0	\$0	\$1,590			
		Sauk	Sauk County new miles - W7	4.1															0	\$0	\$1,230			
		Sauk	Sauk County new miles - W8	5.6															0	\$0	\$1,680			
		Buffalo	Milton-Cross trail	7.0															0	\$0	\$2,100			
		Buffalo	Mondovi trail	4.5															0	\$0	\$1,350			
		Clark	Abby trail new miles	1.3															0	\$0	\$390			
		Eau Claire	Bushes trail	2.4															0	\$0	\$717			
	WCR	Eau Claire	Washington Brackett new mile	13.7															0	\$101,000	\$105,113			
	WCR	Eau Claire	Trail 20A	1.9															0	\$0	\$570			
	WCR	Juneau	Winter Wanderers new miles	5.0															0	\$0	\$1,500			
	WCR	La Crosse	Holman 153 trail new miles	6.0															0	\$0	\$1,800			
	WCR	Marathon	Abby trail new miles	3.5															0	\$0	\$1,050			
	WCR	Portage	Kellner Knights trail 551	15.50															0	\$0	\$4,650			
	WCR	Portage	Kellner Knights trail 510	5.4															0	\$0	\$1,620			
		Portage	Almond Sno-eagles Corridor 1	3.5															0	\$0	\$1,050			
	WCR	Portage	Almond Sno-eagles parking ar	1.5															0	\$0	\$450			
	WCR	St. Croix	St. Croix new miles - 4A	3.6															0	\$0	\$1,080			
	WCR	St. Croix	St. Croix new miles - 12A	1.9															0	\$0	\$570			
	WCR	St. Croix	St. Croix new miles - 12B	2.6															0	\$0	\$780			
	WCR	Vernon	Viroqua Ridge runners new tra	0.6															0	\$0	\$180			
	WCR	Wood	Rudolph River Rovers	13.6															0	\$0	\$4,080			
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Trail 10A	1.5															0	\$0	\$450			
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Trail D	3.8															0	\$0	\$1,140	\$0		

PROJ # REGION COUNTY TRAIL NAME MILES 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 TOT \$ DEV \$ TOTAL NOR-R Lincoln Trail 49A 4.7 3 3 4 5 6 7 7 TOT \$ DEV \$ TOTAL NOR-R Vilas Bent's Camp Trail 2.5 3 4 5 6 7 7 TOT \$ DEV \$ TOTAL NOR-R Vilas Bent's Camp Trail 2.5 3 4 5 6 7 7 TOT \$ DEV \$ TOTAL	
NOR-R Vilas Bent's Camp Trail 2.5 0 \$7	
NOR-R Vilas Big Saint Trail 1.4 0 \$0 \$4	
NOR-R Vilas Bills Lake Trail 1 0 \$0 \$3	4
NOR-R Vilas Birchwood Trail 0.2 0 \$0 \$0	
NOR-R Vilas Boulder Jct Airport Trail 1.8 0 \$5	
NOR-R Vilas Black Bear Trail 1.2 0 \$0 \$3	
NOR-R Vilas Blue Island Trail 0.8 0 \$0 \$2	
NOR-R Vilas Trail 13C 3.6 0 \$1,0	
NOR-R Vilas Chanticleer Trail 0.2 0 \$0 \$	
NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 \$2	
NOR-R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 \$6	
NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 0.3 0 \$0 \$	
NOR-R Vilas LDF Trail 12 4 0 \$0 \$1,2	
NOR-R Vilas Outback Rail Trail 2.5 0 \$7	
NOR-R Vilas Pickerel Lake Trail 1 0 \$0 \$3	
NOR-R Vilas Potato Field Trail 2.3 0 50 \$6	
NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 \$1	
NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 \$7	
NOR-R Vilas PCC Trail 0.2 0 \$0 \$	
NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 \$0 \$3	
NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 \$0 \$1,0	
NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 \$56,665 \$57,3	.]
NOR-R Iron Trail 2 Extension 3.33 0 \$0 \$9	
NOR-R Iron Midland Spur 0.50 0 \$1	
NOR-R Iron Schomberg Spur 0.30 0 \$0 \$	
NOR-R Price Trail 117 3.00 0 \$9	
NOR-R Price Trail 90 2.10 \$157,665 \$158,2	,
NOR-R Price Trail 101 1.2 \$0 \$3	
NOR-R Price Trail 103 1.8 \$0 \$5	

REGION COUNTY TRAIL NAME MILES 1 2 3 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 TOT \$ DEV \$ TOTAL FUNDED Dr Dr NOR-R Price Trail 110 \$0 \$780 \$780 \$ NOR-R Oneida Trail G - Minocqua Forest Ride 2.6 \$0 \$0 \$1,020 \$ NOR-R Oneida Trail TL 8 East - Three Lakes 3.4 \$0 \$0 \$1,020 \$ 900 \$ 315,330 \$401,520 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$			202	4-25 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	0-3	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	_	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	Deducts parallel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to water 2 pts	ar 2.	Colum H indicates 3. Column I in Columns J through	mns needs to be application to be application to be s if the required sudicates if the ce statement wath R are the acturankings with po	e marked e eligible. map was submitted. ertification as signed. al ratings bint value. ntered as	Deduct if dead-er	Total Score per Draft Rev
NOR-R Oneida Trail G - Minocqua Forest Ride 2.6 \$0 \$780 NOR-R Oneida Trail 51C - Northwoods Passag 9.1 \$0 \$2,730 NOR-R Oneida Trail TL 8 East - Three Lakes 3.4 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Oneida Trail TL 8 West - Three Lakes 3 \$0 \$900	PROJ#	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	тот	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Dr	Dr
NOR-R Oneida Trail 51C - Northwoods Passa 9.1 \$0 \$2,730 NOR-R Oneida Trail TL 8 East - Three Lakes 3.4 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Oneida Trail TL 8 West - Three Lakes 3 \$0 \$900		NOR-R	Price	Trail 110	2.6																\$0	\$780			
NOR-R Oneida Trail TL 8 East - Three Lakes 3.4 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Oneida Trail TL 8 West - Three Lakes 3 \$0 \$900		NOR-R	Oneida	Trail G - Minocqua Forest Ride	2.6																\$0	\$780			
NOR-R Oneida Trail TL 8 West - Three Lakes 3 \$0 \$900		NOR-R	Oneida	Trail 51C - Northwoods Passa	9.1																\$0	\$2,730			
		NOR-R	Oneida	Trail TL 8 East - Three Lakes	3.4																\$0	\$1,020			
287.3 \$ 315,330 \$401,520		NOR-R	Oneida	Trail TL 8 West - Three Lakes	3																\$0	\$900			
					287.3																\$ 315,330	\$401,520	1		

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE NON-TRAIL REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
WCR	Eau Claire	Eau Claire Co requests funding to pour a concrete floor and supply picnic	*Structure		\$11,676		
		tables in a new shelter along the trail system. Shelter is being	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#			Permits		\$5,838		
			Site prep				
		and Snow. This represents appox. 15% of total project costs from each	Materials				
		program.	Abutments				
		program.	Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 5.838.00	Riprap				
		Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #:	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size:	Concrete	\$7,776			
	Exception?	Water Body: Labor Source:	Tables	\$3,900			
		Years in System: Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$5,838		

\$5,838.00

\$0.00

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE ACQUISITION REQUESTS

Region	County		Descrip	tion		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-R	Iron	Iron County proposes to p	ourchase 3 se	egments of railroad	d corridor that	Acq Price	\$91,000	\$98,950		
		are currently open to ATV	/UTVs and S	nowmobiles (Trail	17). This	Appraisals	\$7,950	After Cost		
#		purchase would guaranter motorized recreation (~4n grant is approved, Iron Co the lower value (appraisal The county is requesting a	ni). The own ounty would o or asking pr	er is asking for \$9 complete an appra ice) for the purcha	i,000. If this isal and use se amount.	Survey Misc Closing		\$49,475		
		Other funds received.				<u> </u> 				
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	<u>Yes</u> Private	Amount: Bridge Inventory	\$ 49.475.00 N/A	1				
		Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A N/A					
	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	N/A					
<u></u>		Years in System:		Design Weight	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$49,475		

\$49,475 \$0