February 2025 - Mid-Cycle Funding Meeting

Available Funds	\$487,802
due to underspent projects over the past 6 m	nonths

Requests Not Previously

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA		REQUEST	6		Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Mi Impac Access	Deduct	TOTAL	Aug
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved Q 8	kΑ	1	03	2	5 4	-1	23	
NOR-R #9	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to replace the existing box culvert crossing the Sucker Creek on Snow Corridor 10 and 13 with a new 6-ft diameter culvert. This trail is used by ATV/UTVs in the summer and Snowmobiles in winter. The current box culvert was installed when the trail was an active railroad corridor and is in poor condition. The county is also applying for ATV grant funds (50/50). ATV Application Withdrawn due to lack of Snowmo Funds	*Structure Engineer Permits/Erosi Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches		\$83,916 After Cost Share: \$83,916	Aug Cc looks li could w anothe	ke it rait	Poor	0 1	2	5 1	1 0	19	<u>.</u>
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Vilas #39 Old Culvert Size: 6 x 6 box New Culvert Size: 6' diam x 40' Water Body: Sucker Creek Labor Source: Contract Years in System: Design Weight Load: 20,000	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$27,500 \$4,200 \$31,216										
NER		Bridge Rehab Wild Goose State Park Trail over East Branch Fond du	*Structure	\$183,000	\$248,000	Aug Co	uncil -	P 1	0 0	0	5 4	4 0	19 ट	5
#10	Lac	Lac River - rehab the existing 9.5'x77' bridge and increase size to 12'x77'.	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$55,000	After Cost Share: \$248,000	looks li could u some maintei but it's bridge s	se nance a RR	or						
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #:	Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor	\$10,000		looks li can wa	ke it							
		Old Culvert Size: 9.5'x77' New Culvert Size: 12'x77' Water Body: Fond du Lac Riv Labor Source: Contractor	Equip Rental Other											
		Years in System: 121 Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$248,000									
NER #36A		Shawano County proposes to resurface three bridges on the Mountain Bay State Trail. The existing surface has nails popping up and causing problems, Railings are also in need of repair. Proposal	Concrete Engineer Permits	\$19,178		Aug Co Inspect report s	ion hows	Fair	0 3	0	5 1	1 0	19 Z	-
		is to resurface with concrete, as Oconto has done on the Nicolet with success	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$249		all three fair con Concre are exp	dition. te tops							
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size: Water Body: Pensaukee Riv	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$1,500										
		Years in System: 30+ Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$20,927									

		2024-25 SNOW	MOBILE BRI	IDGE REH	ABILITA	TION	REQUESTS	6		Inspectio			Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	Consens
Region	County				Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A			3 2	2 5	4	-1	23
NER #36B	Shawano	Shawano County proposes to re Mountain Bay State Trail. The e and causing problems, Railings	xisting surface has na are also in need of re	ails popping up epair. Proposal	Concrete Engineer Permits	\$14,374	\$14,564 After Cost Share: \$14,564		Aug Council - Inspection report shows	Fair	10		0 5	5 1	0	19 <mark>ਨ</mark>
		is to resurface with concrete, as success			Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$190			all three in fair condition. Concrete tops are expensive							
		Other funds received. reaut Yes or No:	Amount:	ed?	Culverts Riprap											
		Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Pensaukee Riv		Contractor, Club												
	Chausana	Years in System: 30+	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	+, = = .			_	10	3				10 7
NER		Shawano County proposes to re Mountain Bay State Trail. The e	xisting surface has na	ails popping up	Concrete Engineer Permits	\$13,856	After Cost Share:		Aug Council - Inspection report shows	-air	10	3	0 5	5 1	0	19 <mark></mark>
#36C		and causing problems, Railings is to resurface with concrete, as success			Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$190	\$15,046		all three in fair condition. Concrete tops are expensive							
		Other funds re <u>ceived. reau</u> Yes or No:	ested. or committe Amount:	∋d?	Approaches Culverts Riprap											
		Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Pensaukee Riv	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	Contractor, Club	Labor Equip Rental Other	\$1,000										
		Years in System: 30+	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$15,046				_	_	\bot	Ц	⊢	_
NOR-S	_	Ashland County proposes to rep Butternut Creek. The inspection immediately be closed. The old	report indicated the	bridge needed to	*Structure Engineer Permits	\$32,000 \$4,000	\$65,000 After Cost Share: \$65,000		Aug Council found the applications	Poor	10	1	2 1	13		17 Maybe
#11		40'x12'.		proposed will be	Site prep Materials Abutments	\$5,000 \$12.000			confusing. Variable lengths,							
					Pilings/Piers Approaches	ψ12,000			uncertain if the content							
		Other funds received, require Yes or No: No Public or Private: Private	ested. or committe Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	ed?	Culverts Riprap Labor	\$2,000 \$5,000			was for the correct project.							
		Old Bridg Size: 24x11 Water Body: Butternut Creek	New Culvert Size: Labor Source:	40x12 Contractor	Equip Rental Demo	\$5,000										
		Years in System: Unknown	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$65,000				\square	\bot		Ш	\square	

		2024-25 SNOW	MOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA		REQUEST	3		Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Mi Impac	Deduct	Aug Consens
Region	County			Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A			2 5	5 4		:3
NOR-S	Ashland	Withdrawn		*Structure Engineer Site prep	\$74,000 \$4,000 \$5.000	\$107,500 After Cost Share: \$107.500		Aug Council found the applications	Poor 10) 1		3 3	1	Maybe
#12				Removal Materials	\$3,000 \$7,500			confusing. Variable						
				Abutments Pilings/Piers Grading	\$10,000			lengths, uncertain if the content						
		Other funds received. reque	sted. or committed?	Culverts				was for the						
		Yes or No: No	Amount:	Riprap	\$2,000			correct						
-	Variance	Public or Private: Private Old Culvert Size:	Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size:	Labor Equip Rental	\$5,000			project.						
		Water Body: Schraum Creek		Other										
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$107,500								
NOR-S	Barron	Barron County proposes to rehab	the Four Mile Creek 12417 bridge	*Structure		\$245,200		Aug Council -	P 10) 1	2	1 3	1	Maybe
	_	on Trail 37 by replacing the piling		Engineer	. ,	After Cost Share:		This is very	or					iybe
#14			e pilings have failed and need to be	Mobilization	\$22,500	\$122,600		expensive for						
			e deck and rail were also nearing the	Removal Deck/Rail	\$17,200 \$145,000			a 60' bridge. Clearspan						
		end of life.		Erosion Cntrl	\$10,000			Preferable.						
		Split 50/50 with ATV. ATV Funde		Pilings/Piers	\$36,000			ATV Funded						
		Split 50/50 with ATV. ATV Funde	eu 50%	Grading	\$9,500			50%						
		Other funds received. reque	sted. or committed?	Culverts										
		Yes or No: Yes Public or Private: Private	Amount: \$ 122.600											
	Variance	Public or Private: Private Old Culvert Size: 62.5x12.5	Bridge Inventory #: 12417 New Culvert Size: 62.5x12.5	Equip Rental										
		Water Body: Fourmile Creek		Other										
	<10 yr	Years in System: 37	Design Weight Load: 48,000	# of New Mi	0	\$122,600								
WCR	Pierce	Pierce County proposes to replac	e the existing club build bridge	*Structure	\$110,000	\$184,000		Aug Council -	D 10) 1	0	1 3	0 1	15 Z
	_	,	Broomers cannot cross the existing	Engineer	\$20,000	After Cost Share:		inspection rep	운					
#19		0	at this location. Portage causes	Permits	\$6.000	\$184,000		doesn't support need	00					
			nes and ice. Bridge appears well	Site prep Materials	\$ 0,000			for	, v					
			ng mower crossing it. Project would	Abutments	\$20,000			replacement.	upe					
		0	ump removal, new abutments/riprap,	Pilings/Piers	+,			Co - Shakes	Ψ					
		& structure		Approaches	\$2,000			under	air,					
		Other funds received. reque		Culverts				lawnmower.	Su					
		Yes or No: No	Amount:	Riprap	\$2,000			Aug Council - Reinspect?	b G					
	Variance	Public or Private: Private Old Culvert Size: 110' x 11.5'	Bridge Inventory #: 11 New Culvert Size: 100' x 12'	Labor Equip Rental	\$10,000			Repair flawed	000					
	Variance Exception	Water Body: Eau Galle rvr	Labor Source: Both	Equip Rental Other	\$14,000			areas?						
		Years in System: 29	Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	\$14,000 0	\$184,000								

		2024-25 SNOW	MOBILE BRI	DGE REH	ABILITA		REQUESTS	6		Inspectio	Inspectio	Funding	Access	Deduct Mi Imper	Aug Consens TOTAL
Region	County				Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	ורו	10 3	32	5 4	4 -1	23
WCR #40		Chippewa County proposes to re existing Paint Creek bridge was local club funds and has begun for safe passage of users. The e deteriorating due to age and cor of the same dimensions.	construccted prior to to deteriorate requiring existing 40' x 12' bridge	1991 utilizing g replacement e which is	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments	\$32,000 \$4,000 \$5,000 \$12,000	After Cost Share: \$65,000		Aug Council feels a little maintenance could extend it another year. Co	Poor	10 :	3 0	0	3 -1	15 Maybe
	Variance	Other funds received. requirements Yes or No: No Public or Private: Private Old Culvert Size: 40' x 12' Water Body: Paint Creek	ested. or committe Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source:	40' x 12'	Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$2,000 \$5,000 \$5,000			concerned about cracking in structural support. Feb 2025 - Inspection						
		Years in System: 33 years +	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0			report added						
NOR-S #22	Ashland	Ashland County proposes to rep 3 in the Town of Jacobs per the report. Bridge is 98'x12'.			*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$39,400	\$62,600 After Cost Share: \$62,600		Aug Council - Decking seems OK, could last a bit longer.	Fair	10		1	1	12 ठ
		Other funds received. requi	ested, or committe	ed?	Culverts										
		Yes or No: No	Amount:		Riprap										
	Variance Exception	Public or Private: Public Old Culvert Size: Water Body: E Fork	Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source:	Ash-6 Contractor	Labor Equip Rental Other	\$23,200									
NOR-S	Ashland	Years in System: 22	Design Weight Load:	Unknown	# of New Mi	0	\$62,600 \$62,600		Aug Council -	_	10	╶┼╌┦	-	1	10 7
#23		Ashland County proposes to rep 4 in the Town of Jacobs per the report. Bridge is 95'x12'.		•	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$39,400	After Cost Share: \$62,600		Aug Council - Decking seems OK, could last a bit longer.	Fair	10		I		12 ₈
		Other funds received. reau Yes or No: No Public or Private: Public Old Culvert Size:	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size:	Ash-7	Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$23,200									
4 1	Exception	Water Body: E Fork	Labor Source:	Contractor	Other				11				1		

		2024-25 SNOV	MOBILE BRI	DGE REH	ABILITA	TION	REQUESTS	6		Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Mi Impac Access	Deduct	Aug Consens TOTAL
Region	County				Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	1	3	2	5 4	-1	23
WCR #47	Trempeale au	Trempealeau County is applying in the City of Osseo. The bridge repaired yearly. We foresee this and erosion problems, and will r	faulty decking that ne bridge continuing to l	eeds to be have damage	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep		\$46,575 After Cost Share: \$46,575		Aug Council suggests trying to get another year	Fair 10	0 1		1		12 <mark>8</mark>
		especially after heavy snow and section of the Buffalo River Fish services in Osseo.	ery area and provides	s access to	Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$16,250			or two out of it then replace it.						
		Other funds received. reau Yes or No: No		ed?	Culverts Riprap										
		Yes or No: No Public or Private: Private	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	32	Labor	\$17,700									
	Variance	Old Culvert Size:	New Culvert Size:		Equip Rental	\$4,550									
	Exception	Water Body: Buffalo rvr	Labor Source:	Contract	Other	\$6,075									
NOR-R	Forest	Years in System: 40 Forest County proposes to rede	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi *Structure	0	\$46,575 \$15,000		Aug Council -		0 3	2	5 1	1 0	11 <u>z</u>
Non	1 01001	Trail over Range Line Creek.	5		Engineer		After Cost Share:		don't appear	`	ĺ		Ĭ	Ŭ	
#25		needs replacement. This trail is			Permits		\$7,500		to be critical						
#25		use. The County is requesting t			Site prep				at this time.						
		two. ATV Funded 50%	,		Materials	\$10,000			ORV Council Funded 50%						
					Abutments Pilings/Piers				Funded 50%			11			
					Approaches							11			
		Other funds received. reau	ested. or committe	ed?	Culverts										
		Yes or No: Yes	Amount:	\$ 7.500.00	Riprap	* =						11			
	Variance	Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: 12' x 93'	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	NR-21-002 N/A	Labor Equip Rental	\$5,000									
			Labor Source:	Contract	Other							11			
	Excoption	Years in System: 20 years	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$7,500								
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County proposes to rede	ck the bridge on the N		*Structure		\$15,000		Aug Council -	(03	2	5 1	0	11 Z
	L	Trail over Torpee Creek . The c			Engineer		After Cost Share:		don't appear			11			
#26		replacement. This trail is open			Permits Site prep		\$7,500		to be critical at this time.			11			
		The County is requesting to spli	the project 50/50 bet	tween the two.	Materials	\$10,000			ORV Council			11			
		ATV Funded 50%			Abutments	<i></i>			Funded 50%			11			
					Pilings/Piers										
					Approaches										
		Other funds received. requ			Culverts										
		Yes or No: Yes Public or Private: Public	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	\$ 7.500.00 NR-21-001	Riprap Labor	\$5,000									
	Variance	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 93'	New Bridge Size:	NR-21-001 N/A	Equip Rental	ψ0,000									
		Water Body: Torpee Creek	Labor Source:	Contract	Other										
		Years in System: 20 years	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$7,500					Ш	\bot		

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDO	GE REH/	ABILITA	TION I	REQUESTS	6		Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Access	Mi Impac	Deduct	Aug Consens
Region	County			Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	1		3 2	5			23
NOR-R #27	Forest	Forest County proposes to redeck the bridge on the Wolf Trail over Swamp Creek . The decking is in poor condition replacement. This trail is open to ATV/UTV and Snowmo The County is requesting to split the project 50/50 between ATV Funded 50%	on and needs bile use. en the two.	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$7,000	\$10,000 After Cost Share: \$5,000		Aug Council - don't appear to be critical at this time. ORV Council Funded 50%		0 :	3 2	2 5	1	0	11 2
		Other funds received. requested, or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: \$ Old Bridge Size: 12' x 60' New Bridge Size: \$ Water Body: Swamp Creek Labor Source:	5.000.00 FC-8 N/A Contract	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$3,000										
WCD	Manraa	Years in System: 14 years Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0 \$80,000	\$5,000		Aug Council		0	1 0) 5			77
WCR		Monroe County proposes to replace a damaged bridge th end of it's life. Bridge has structural damage from ice/wate and bulging/cracking the tubular steel. Some structural da	er expanding	*Structure Engineer Permits		\$108,000 After Cost Share: \$108,000		Aug Council suggests applying for		0	1 C) 5	1		7 <mark>8</mark>
#13		grooming equipment as well. The deck of the bridge need replaced.	ds to be	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$17,000			RTP and getting engineering report/ inspection.							
		Other funds received. requested. or committed?		Culverts											
	Variance	Yes or No: No Amount: Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #: Old Culvert Size: 12' x 70' New Culvert Size: 12		Riprap Labor Equip Rental											
			ontract	Other	\$1,000										
		Years in System: 25 Design Weight Load: 25		# of New Mi	0	\$108,000							Ш		
NER	Sheboygan	Sheboygan County proposes replacing the existing 12' x telephone pole and barn board bridge with a 12' x 30' rem	nountable	*Structure Engineer	\$38,300	\$44,850 After Cost Share:		Aug Council recommends				5	1		6 <mark>8</mark>
#37		bridge.		Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$1,000	\$44,850		getting inspection, 2 estimates, move to 'new bridge'							
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Amount:		Approaches Culverts Riprap	\$1,400										
		Public or Private: private Bridge Inventory #: Old Culvert Size: 12x25 New Culvert Size: 12	48 2x30	Labor Equip Rental	\$1,500										
	Exception	,		Other # of New Mi	\$2,650 0	\$44,850									

		2024-25 SNOWMOBILE BRIDGE REH	ABILITA		REQUEST	6		Inspectio	Inspection	Funding	Access	Mi Impac:	Deduct	Aug Consens
Region	County		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A				2 5			23
NOR-R	Langlade	Langlade County proposes to replace the existing Bridge #4 crossing	*Structure	\$42,000			Aug Council		0	3 2	2 0	1	-1	5 Z
	_	McCloud Creek on the County Line Snow Trail with a new 12' x 45'	Engineer	. ,	After Cost Share:		recommends							
#38		bridge. The bridge is located on private property. It is about 30 years	Permits	\$150 ¢5 000			getting							
		old and in poor condition. This trail is used by Winter ATV and	Site prep Materials	\$5,000 \$108			inspection report.							
		Snowmobiles. Langlade County has received a grant from the ATV	Abutments	\$12,000			report.							
		Trail Program for 50% of this project.	Pilings/Piers	<i></i> ,										
			Approaches											
		Other funds received. requested. or committed?	Culverts											
		Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 38.474.00	Riprap	\$2,000										
		Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Langlade #4		\$6,500										
	Variance	Old Bridge Size: 12' x 45' New Bridge Size: 12' x 45'	Equip Rental	\$190										
	Exception	Water Body: McCloud Creek Labor Source: Contract Years in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: 25,000	Disposal # of New Mi	\$5,000										
NOR-R	Langlade	Years in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: 25,000 Langlade County proposes to replace the existing Bridge #5 crossing		\$42,000	\$00, II I		Aug Council		0	1 3	2 0	3	-1	5 Z
NORT	Langlado	the West Branch of the Eau Claire River on the County Line Snow	Engineer		After Cost Share:		recommends		Ŭ	' -		Ŭ	1	° ō
		Trail with a new 12' x 45' bridge. The bridge is located on private	Permits	\$240			getting							
#39		property. It is about 30 years old and in poor condition. This trail is	Site prep	\$5,000			inspection							
		used by Winter ATV and Snowmobiles. Langlade County has	Materials	\$108			report.							
		received a grant from the ATV Trail Program for 50% of this project.	Abutments	\$12,000										
		······································	Pilings/Piers											
			Approaches Culverts											
		Other funds received. requested. or committed? Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$38,519.00		\$2,000										
		Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #: Langlade #5		\$2,000 \$6,500										
	Variance		Equip Rental	\$190										
		Water Body: W Br Eau Clair Labor Source: Contract	Disposal	\$5,000										
		Years in System: 30 years Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$38,519									
SC	Green	Green County proposes to replace The MTV 6 - Spring Valley Creek-	*Structure	\$32,000	\$60,000		Feb 2025 -		0	οC) 1	1	0	2 Z
		Nafzger Bridge. It is on private land in Green County and supports	Engineer	\$4,000	After Cost Share:		See new							
#42		State Corridor Trail #21. The 20+ year old bridge is located on Spring	Permits Site prep	\$5.000	\$60,000		pictures added.							
		Valley Creek and is constructed of wooden telephone pole supports	Materials	φ <u></u> 0,000			auueu.							
		with an unknown max load. Mt. Vernon Valley Riders is proposing to	Abutments	\$12,000										
		remove the existing bridge and replace with a new 40' x 12' bridge	Pilings/Piers	÷ .=,: • •										
		that meets state waterway regulations and clearance requirements. The new bridge would have a maximum capacity of 25,000 lbs.	Approaches											
		Other funds received, requested, or committed?	Approaches Culverts											
			Riprap	\$2,000										
		Public or Private: Private Bridge Inventory #:	Labor	\$5,000										
	Variance	Old Culvert Size: 40' x 12'	Equip Rental	, - , - , -										
	Exception	Water Body: Spring Valley Labor Source: contract	Other											
		Years in System: unknown Design Weight Load: 25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$60,000									

		2024-	25 SNOW		IDGE RE	HABILITA		REQUESTS	6		Inspectio	Permits	Funding	Access	Mi Impaci		
Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Q & A	1	10 3	2	5	4	1 2	23
NOR-R #44		on Trail 181 . Th replacement. Th	e current bridge is project would	e the bridge on an un is in poor condition a replace the existing	and needs 12'x52' bridge	Engineer Permits	\$2,500 \$3,000	\$63,750 After Cost Share: \$63,750		Aug Council recommends getting inspection		0	1 0	0	1	0	2
		to Snowmobiles.	4 bridge with tw	o 17' ramps. This tra	all is only oper	Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers				report.							
			• •	- 4 1	- 10	Approaches Culverts	\$6,250										
		Yes or No:	No	sted. or committe Amount:		Riprap											
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	Price #2		\$52,000										
ľ	Variance	Old Bridge Size:	12' x 52'	New Bridge Size:	12' x 34' & 1	7' Equip Rental	<i></i> ,										
	Exception	Water Body:	unnamed trib	Labor Source:	Contract	Other											
	_	Years in System:	10 years+	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$63,750									
SCR				uesting a new bridge		*Structure	\$32,000	\$60,000		Aug Council -				1	1	-1	1 <mark>Z</mark>
				ulverts are rusted an			\$4,000	After Cost Share:		pix don't show need.							
#45				ails, presenting a saf			\$5,000	\$60,000		Replace w/							
				NR will not allow rep		Manufala	ψ0,000			culvert? Feb							
				grated. 40' span nee	eded to provid	Abutments	\$12,000			2025 - DNR							
		clearance over n	avigable waterw	ay.		Pilings/Piers	, ,			regs will not							
						Approaches				allow							
				sted. or committe	ed?	Culverts				culvert. See							
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		0 Riprap	\$2,000			new pictures added.							
ŀ		Public or Private: Old Culvert Size:	Private 16" x 12 "	Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	n/a 40' x 12'	Labor Equip Rental	\$5,000			added.							
		Water Body:	Sugar river	Labor Source:	40 X 12 Contract	Equip Rental Other											
ŀ	Ехсерион	Years in System:	10 years +	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	0	\$60,000									
SCR	Dane			osing to replace Dan			\$32,000	\$60,000		Feb 2025 -				1	1	-1	1 Z
				ridge is on private		Engineer		After Cost Share:		See new							ľ
#46				Funded Trail #48 . Th	ne 20+ year ol	d Permits		\$60,000		pictures							
#40				and is constructed	2	Site prep	\$5,000			added.							
		of wooden teleph	none pole suppo	rts with an unknown	max load. The	Materials	* 4 * • • • • •										
		new bridge will b	e 40' x 12' and n	neet state waterway		Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$12,000										
		regulations and o	clearance require	ements.		Approaches											
		Other funds re	coived reque	sted. or committe	242	Culverts											
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		0 Riprap	\$2,000										
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:		11 Labor	\$5,000										
ſ		Old Culvert Size:	12' x 16'	New Culvert Size:	12' x 40'	Equip Rental											
Ļ	Exception	Water Body:	Flynn Creek	Labor Source:	contract	Other											
		Years in System:	10 years +	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$60,000 \$ 1,591,921	\$								

Region	County		Des	scription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to	rehab about 10 mile	es of trail, including parts	of Corridor 8, Corridor 7,	*Structure		\$65,463		
		and Corridor 6, starting a				Engineer		After Cost Share:		
н л	~	south. This "Northstar 1				Permits/Erosid	\$16,000	\$65,463		
#4	8	addressing hill slope issu				Gravel	\$16,035			
				lages for this segment of		Pit Run	\$8,595			
						Breaker Run	\$17,478			
		Other funds received	. requested. or co	mmitted?		Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:	0	Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Both	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A	Equip Rental	\$7,355			
	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	Contract	Other				
	No	Years in System:	40 years	Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$65,463		
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to	rehab about 2.4 mile	es of trail Corridor 10, sta	arting at trail intersection	*Structure		\$40,183		
		353 on the north and end	ling at trail intersection	on 359 to the south. The	"Firefly" project will	Engineer	\$10,000			
#4	٥	include improving sight d	-		· · ·	Permits	\$16,000			
#++		no bridges for this segme		e e	•	Pit Run	\$2,865			
						Breaker Run	\$4,370			
		Other funds received				Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A	Equip Rental	\$6,948			
	i	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	Contract	Other	-			
	Yes	Years in System:	30 years	Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$40,183		
NOR-R	Vilas	Vilas County proposes to				*Structure	* ••••••	\$33,609		
		204 on the east and end					\$6,000			
#5	0	will include improving sig	0	orners and addressing h	ill slope issues. There	Permits	\$16,000			
		are no bridges for this se	gment of trail.			Materials Pit Run	\$1,400 \$2,005			
						Breaker Run	\$2,005 \$1,386			
			waarraataal ay aa			Culverts	φ1,300			
		Other funds received			\$ -	Riprap				
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	W	Labor				
║┟	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	Public N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A N/A	Equip Rental	\$6,818			
	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	Contract	Other	ψ0,010			
	Yes	Years in System:	30 years	Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$33,609		
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County propose						\$321,354		
		the State of Michigan tra				Engineer		After Cost Share:		
		degraded over the years	The project will add	6 inches of aravel to the	majority of the trail then			\$64,271		
#5	1	grade and compact the s	urface The trail is a	nen to $\Delta T / e / I T / e in the$	summer and	Gravel	\$115,610			
		Snowmobiles, ATVs, and		•		Materials	,			
					-	Abutments				
		unsuccessful. Costs are	proposed to be split	ou/20 between ATV and	SHOW.	Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds received	, requested, or co	mmitted?		Culverts				
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$ 257.083.00					
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor	\$70,901			
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A	Equip Rental	\$134,843			
	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	Contract	Other				
u F	No	Years in System:	15 years+	Design Weight Loadge	10 of 22 N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$64,271		

Region	County		Dese	cription		Component	Costs		Approved	Comments
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County propo	ses to rehab about 0.7	mile of the F2 motorized	I trail, starting from	*Structure		\$22,595		
		Junction 18 to the inte	ersection with Trail F2	A. Work will include ad	ding new trail base to	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#5	6	exposed sand areas, re	pairing washouts and t	renches. creating new c	itches for better water	Permits		\$4,519		
ff ff ff	0				ulvert and adding riprap.	Site prep				
				Snow programs at 80%/		Gravel	\$7,680			
		The county is requesting			2070.	Iron Ore	\$2,560			
						Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds receive	d. requested. or con	nmitted?		Culverts				
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$ 18.076.00	Riprap	\$400			
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor	\$4,428			
Г	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A	Equip Rental	\$7,527			
	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:	Contract	Other				
	Yes	Years in System:	15 years+	Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$4,519		
NOR-R	Florence	Florence County propo	ses to rehab about 1 m	ile of the F2 motorized t	rail, lying northwest of	*Structure		\$35,423		
		Pine River Rd (Mini Pi				Engineer		After Cost Share:		
40	7	traps, repairing washou		•		Permits		\$7,085		
#5	/		-	0	ger pipe to allow flow of	Site prep				
		an intermittent stream.				Gravel	\$11,520			
			The county is requesti	ng lunus non Arv and	Show programs at	Iron Ore	\$3,760			
		80%/20%.				Pilings/Piers				
						Approaches				
		Other funds receive	d. requested, or cor	nmitted?		Culverts	\$800			
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	\$ 28.338.00	Riprap	\$400			
		Public or Private:	Both	Bridge Inventory #:	N/A	Labor	\$6,111			
Г	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	12in Culvert	New Bridge Size:	28" x 20" x 20ft	Equip Rental	\$12,832			
		Water Body:	Unnamed	Labor Source:	Contract	Other				
	Yes	Years in System:	15 years+	Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	0	\$7,085		
WCR		Portage County is prop			Hill in the Town of	*Structure		\$18,640		
					0' segment of the hill that	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
		is at a 26% slope, a 50				Permits		\$18,640		
#6	0	exposed boulders, som				Site prep				
					and cover with topsoil to	Materials	\$18,200			
						Abutments	. ,			
					nger of the boulders and	Pilings/Piers				
		resolve the blind spots	associated with the exti	reme grades that curren	tiy exist.	Approaches				
		Other funds receive	d requested or co	nmitted?		Culverts				
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	n/a	Labor	\$440			
F	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	n/a	New Bridge Size:	n/a	Equip Rental	÷.10			
		Water Body:	n/a	Labor Source:	Contract	Other				
ŀ	No	Years in System:	1//4	Design Weight Load:	n/a	# of New Mi	0	\$18,640		
	NU	r ouro in Oystoni.		Booign Woignt Load.	11/4		TOTAL	\$233,770	\$0	

	2024	-25 SNOWM		ANDATORY	TRAIL R	RELOCA		EQUEST	S		Maint C	Rec Wi	Funding	Access		ファイニア
egion	County		Desc	iption		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2					+ -1
s	Washburn 61	Washburn County is over US Hwy 53. T under the 53 bridge the highway. When	here are 3 opt s and 1 that w	ions proposed 2 tha ould create and ove	t would go rpass over leted l	*Structure Engineer Gravel Excavation	\$16,800 \$50,000			MOTION by Infrastructure Committee request Dept of	0	0		4	3	
		narrowed the media the room to stop in to cross all 4 lanes of to overpass. The under retaining wall and w estimate is \$1.9 mill a higher elevation w estimate of \$1.6 mill grant that crosses F	n on the hight the median. T traffic from eith rpass option of rould provide t lion. Option 1 <i>i</i> th ~11' cleara lion. All option	way and groomers in this creates a hazard ner side. The estima A would include a 1 he most vertical clea B for the underpass ance to the bridge w s would eliminate a	o longer have d of having to ate is for the 0-11' arance cost would leave ith a cost open bridge	Borrow Misc Labor	\$345,000 \$788,593 \$357,347			Transportation investigate funding and implementing a safe rec user crossing of Hwy 53, as was originally planned in 2015. Existing crossing footprint						
	Variance or	split 50/50 w ATV Other funds rece Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size:	ived. reque Yes Both	sted. or committe Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	2144083.5	Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental				is insuffient for safe crossing BY Bob, Andy.						
		Water Body:		Labor Source:		Other		* 0.444.004								
CR	Dunn	Years in System: Dunn County reque	sts a reroute c	Design Weight Load: of Trail 11 for safety		# of New Mi *Structure	0 \$190,000	\$2,144,084 \$206,459	Comments	Will trail 11 be	0	0	0	4	3	(
		Reroute would requ			for RTP	Engineer	\$1,500	After Cost Share:		shut down if this						
#6	2	funding but did not	score in the m	oney.		Permits		\$206,459	packet	project is not						
πu						Site prep	\$3,000			implimented?						
						Materials				Trail crosses						
						Abutments	¢C 000			driveway and landowner wants						
						Pilings/Piers	\$6,000			them off. Can						
			in a second			Approaches Culverts	\$3,000			culverts be used						
		Other funds rece	Yes			Riprap	\$1,500			instead of						
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	Public	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:	n/a	Labor	φ1,000			bridges? Better						
ŀ		Bridge 28 & 30	60' x 12' each			Equip Rental				maps of what is						
		Water Body:	Wilson Crk	Labor Source:	Contract	Other	\$1,459			closed vs						
		Years in System:	n/a	Design Weight Load:	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$206,459		proposed?						
R-		Iron County propose		portion of Trail 8 du	ie to change	*Structure		\$25,054		Need better	0	0	0	4	1	
۲.		in management of C	Cramer Lake I	Road, which had be	en previously	Engineer		After Cost Share:		understanding/ex						
		unplowed. About or	ne mile of exis	ting trail will be conv	verted to road	Permits		\$25,054		plaination of						
#	63	route, and 2 new mi	iles of trail will	be constructed. The	e new trail will	Site prep				maps. The						
		follow existing wood	ls roads for a	portion. Work will in	CIUCE	Materials				"additional one						
		brushing, mowing, t	ree and stump	removal, grading, i		Abutments Pilings/Piers				more of road route", is that on						
		culverts for drainage	e, and shaping	the trail base. This	s is a	Pllings/Piers				the road or is that						
		snowmobile only tra	il.			Approaches				trail? 2 miles of						
		Other funds rece	eived. reques	sted, or committe	ed?	Culverts	\$1,650			trail. 1 mile road						
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap				route.						
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$9,248									
		Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	N/A	Equip Rental	\$14,156									
ļ	Exception?	Water Body:	N/A	Labor Source:		^{●th} ^{●r} of 22										
		Years in System:		Design Weight Load:	N/A	# of New Mi	-1	\$25,054		1			1	1		

	2024	-25 SNOWM		MANDATOR	TRAIL	RELOCA		EQUEST	S		Maint O	Rec Wi	Funding	Mi Impa	Deduct	TOTAL
Region	County		Des	cription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2			4 4		
NOR- R	Vilas 64	reroute is due to de Town of Conover. will now require plo	ses to reroute evelopment at The road had wing due to th	about 0.1 mile of Tr the end of River Hill been unplowed in th ne development. Wo d establishing a trail	s Rd in the ne past, but ork will include	*Structure Engineer Permits		\$11,756 After Cost Share: \$11,756		All on Co land? Per the county, yes.	0	_	0	4	1	0
		Other funds rece Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System:	Public N/A N/A 40 years	ested. or committe Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	S - N/A N/A Contract	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$7,500 \$4,256 0	\$11,756								
NER	Waupaca		,	locate a portion of T		*Structure	0	\$11,300			0	0	0	1	3	0
#(65	installation of a 60" shorter than previou	x 30' squash us trail.	e scope of work will i culvert. New trail wi	ll be 0.1	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches		After Cost Share: \$11,300								
				ested. or committe	e <mark>d?</mark>	Culverts	\$8,500									
	Variance or Exception?	Yes or No: Public or Private: Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System:	<u>No</u> Private	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Culvert Size: Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	60" x 30' Contract	Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$2,800	\$11,300								
SCR	Jefferson			nditory reroute on the		*Structure	\$62,000	\$118,000							1	╈
#6	6	side of Crawfish Riv	ver on US Hw dge 1 is 34x1	y 18, with 2 new bric 2 and replaces existi	lges due to	Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers	\$8,000 \$10,000 \$24,000	After Cost Share: \$118,000								
	Variance or Exception?	Yes or No: Public or Private:	Private 34' x 12' unnamed	ested. or committe Amount: Bridge Inventory #: Bridge 2 Labor Source: Design Weight Load:	40' x 12' Contract	Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other # of New Mi	\$4,000 \$10,000 5.4	\$118,000								
		rears in System:		Design weight Load:	20,000	# OF New IVI	54	3110.000		11				1		

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

	2024-2	5 SNOWMOBILE DISC	CRETIONARY	TRAIL I	RELOCA		REQUES	STS		Maint Only	Funding	Access	Miles Lost	Deduction	TOTAL
Reg	County	Descr	ription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2	2 2	4	4	-1	
NOR- S	Burnett #68	Burnett County proposes to relocate a Town of Webb Lake. The current cros visibility and the Town has requested th Relocating the trail will require construct section is a connector between Danbur used. This is part of a larger ATV Reh relocation would be split 50/50. RTP al money.	sing is at an angle and has he trail be move to the Sou ction of ~1000' of new trail. ry and Webb Lake and is h ab project and funding for so applied for but did not s	s poor uth. . The heavily the score in the	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts	\$941	\$8,562 After Cost \$4,281				2	4	3		9
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: Water Body:	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size:	\$ 4.281 Force Acct	-	\$2,795 \$4,826 0	\$4,281								
WC	Pepin	Pepin County is requesting funds to pro	<u> </u>		*Structure	\$126,000	\$200,000		Also on private	0	0 0) 4	4	0	8
		and foot traffic to travel with the use of			Engineer	\$15,000			property (per						
;	#67	Arkansaw Creek park. This change wi stretch of road and re-direct traffic safe open to only snowmobiles in the winter this is considered a compatible use. County applied for RTP funding but dic	ely through the park. The ro and foot traffic in the othe	oute will be er months so	Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$20,000 \$20,000	\$200,000		Appendix A), or is this public land? If so, is LUA 10+yrs on ALL? Verify w/ Anderson, \$126k						
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: n/a Water Body: Arkansaw Crk	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: n New Bridge Size: 6 Labor Source: C	n/a 60'x12' Contract	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$4,000 \$15,000	\$200.000		est for structures is for TWO structures, not each? Comment added to packet - KAB						
NOR-	Iron	Years in System: n/a Iron County proposes to relocate 0.35			# of New Mi *Structure	0 \$18,179	\$200,000 \$32,332		NAD	0	0 0) 4	3	0	7
R	#69	Sherman. Trail managers struggle to g due to wetlands and groundwater. The 182 ROW for part, and DNR Turtle Fla remainder. It will require installation of This trail would only be used by snown	get the trail open most of the new location will be within mbeau Flowage property f culverts and a new 12' x 3	he season n the Hwy for the 30' bridge.	Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$480	432,332 After Cost \$32,332			0			5		
	Variance or Exception?	Old Bridge Size: N/A Water Body: Unnamed	Amount: Bridge Inventory #: New Bridge Size: Labor Source:	\$ - Needed 12' x 30' Force	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other	\$900 \$7,644 \$5,129 0	\$32,332								
<u>I</u>		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:	20,000	# of New Mi	0	⊅ 3∠,33∠		1			1			L

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE DISCRETIONARY TRAIL RELOCATION REQUESTS

	2024-2	5 SNOWMOBILE DIS	CRETIONARY T	RAIL I	RELOCA		REQUES	STS	_	Maint Only	Rec Wing	Access	Miles Lost	Deduction	TOTAL
Reg	County	Des	cription		Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	2		2 4		-1	
NOR- S	Rusk #70	Rusk County proposes to relocate a difficult to groom due to expansive w trail would be rerouted off the wetlan. The reroute would utilize a recently of Rd to Wiergor Rd. The new distance portion is 2.1 net increase 3.8. The to the added maintenace.	etlands that often do not freezo ds and eliminate .3 miles of ro- eveloped ATV trail from Mead e would be 5.9 miles and the a	e. The ad riding. low Dam bandoned uesting	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep		\$0 After Cost \$0			2		4	1	-1	
		Other funds received, reque Yes or No: Public or Private:	ested. or committed? Amount: Bridge Inventory #:		Culverts Riprap Labor										
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	New Bridge Size:		Equip Rental										
		Water Body:	Labor Source:		Other										
		Years in System:	Design Weight Load:		# of New Mi	3.8	\$1,140								
NOR- R	Iron # 71	Iron County proposes to relocate a re parallel trail (1mi) and opening a cou only plowed during forest manageme eliminate 1.4 miles of road route and will include brushing, mowing, tree a culverts for drainage, and shaping th snowmobile only trail.	nty forest road to snowmobiles ont (4.4mi). This new trail would 1 mile of trail (Net Add = 4.5m nd stump removal, grading, ins	s that is d ni). Work stalling	*Structure Engineer Permits Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches		\$15,001 After Cost \$15,001			0	0	0 4	1	0	:
	Variance or	Other funds received. request Yes or No: No Public or Private: Public Old Bridge Size: N/A	Amount:\$Bridge Inventory #:New Bridge Size:	N/A N/A	Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental	\$1,100 \$5,312 \$8,589									
	Exception?	Water Body: N/A Years in System:	Labor Source: Design Weight Load:		Other # of New Mi	0	\$15,001								
			Design Weight Load.	IN/A		TOTAL	\$252,754	\$0	l						-

				MOBILE NE							Permits	Funding	Access	Mi Impact	Deduct	Total
Region	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	3	2	5	4	-1	13
SCR	,	Columbia Co wisł	nes to amend S	S-5842 - Trail 35 (r	new) bridge to	Increase	\$95,000	\$95,000	, .pp. 010u		Ū	_			-	
				that are a result o	, .			After Cost Share:								
		issues not known	during the orig	inal application pr	oposal. New	Permits		\$95,000								
#3	83	estimate would ad	dd \$95,000 to t	he total award (ba	sed on new	Site prep										
		estimates)				Materials										
						Abutments										
						Pilings/Piers										
				4l	-10	Approaches Culverts										
		Yes or No:	<u>eived, reques</u> Yes	ted. or committe Amount:	a <u>?</u>	Riprap										
		Original Grant		Funding Cat.	New Bridge ('2	Labor										
	Variance or	Previous Grant	\$165,000	New Grant Amt	Ū (Equip Rental										
	Exception?		<i>↓,</i>		+=00,000	Other										
	•					# of New Mi	0	\$95,000								
NOR-R	Forest	Forest County in o	collaboration w	ith the US Forest	Service	Bridge 1	\$100,000	\$239,461		Why 57'? Would	1	0	5	3	0	9
		proposes to deve	lop a new bridg	je over Otter Cree	k on Snow	Bridge 2		After Cost Share:		a culvert work?						
#	72	Trail A8-30. This	trail had utilize	d a frozen water c	rossing in the	Permits		\$239,461		The bridge and						
	/ _	past, but a new bi	ridge is needeo	I to prevent furthe	r bank erosion	Site prep	\$34,675			trail are located						
		and water quality	issues. USFS	has provided eng	ineering and	Materials				on USFS						
		on-site review. C	ounty applied f	or RTP but did not	t score in the	Abutments	¢70.000			property. They						
		money.				Pilings/Piers Approaches	\$70,602 \$3,065			are requiring a bridge for this						
		Other funde rea	aniwad ragu	ested. or comm	ittad?	Culverts	φ3,003			crossing. See						
		Yes or No:	Yes	Amount:	s -	Riprap	\$9.350			attached for more						
		Public or Private:	Public	Bridge Inventory #:	FC-10	Labor	\$21,769			info						
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	N/A	New Bridge Size:	12' x 57'	Equip Rental	+,									
	Exception?	Water Body:	Otter Creek	Labor Source:	Contract	Other										
		Years in System:	10 years+	Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$239,461								
WC	Clark	Clark County is a				Structure		\$13,440			0	1	1	3	-1	4
				at runs into Clark		Engineering		After Cost Share:								
		27 in the town of				Permits		\$13,440								
#	‡74	navigable as an io				Site prep	¢0.500									
				he case. The nam	ed stream	Materials Abutments	\$2,500									
		Roger creek runs	year round nov	<i>N</i> .		Pilings/Piers										
						Approaches					1					
		Other funds ro	coived requi	ested. or comm	itted?	Culverts	\$8,440									
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	<i>Ţ</i> 2,0				1					
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	n/a	Labor	\$800									
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size:	n/a	New Bridge Size:	59"x81"x4'	Equip Rental	\$1,700				1					
	Exception?	Water Body:	Roger Creek	Labor Source:	Contract	Other					1					
lí.		Years in System:	n/a	Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$13,440								

				MOBILE NE		•					Permits	Funding	Access	Mi Impact	Deduct
ion	County					Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments	3	2	5	4	-1
R-S	Rusk	Rusk County pro	poses to add a	bridge over an un	named stream	Bridge 1	\$32,000	\$60,000		Who provided	0	0	1	3	-1
		crossing on Corri	dor 31. The cr	eek is prone to floo		Engineering	\$4,000	After Cost Share:		Quote 2?					l
		makes the crossi	ng unstable an	d unsafe. There a		Permits		\$60,000		(Company name					l
#7	' 5	to relocate the tra	ail. The propos	ed bridge would be	e a 36'x12'	Site prep	\$5,000			blank on					l
	•	clearspan.				Materials				application)					l
		-				Abutments	\$12,000			CustomQuotes					l
						Pilings/Piers				added to packet.					1
				ested. or comm	itted?	Culverts	¢0,000								l
		Yes or No: Public or Private:	No	Amount: Bridge Inventory #:		Riprap Labor	\$2,000 \$5,000								1
		Old Bridge Size:	Private	New Bridge Size:	36x12	Equip Rental	φ3,000								1
		Water Body:		Labor Source:	Contractor	Other									l
Ľ		Years in System:	10+	Design Weight	25,000	# of New Mi	0	\$60.000							
С				EW bridge project		Bridge 1	\$63,000	\$99,000			1	0	0	3	-'
		•		ne town of Sherma		Engineering	\$4,000	After Cost Share:							l
				is location for deca		Permits		\$99,000							
				ever, the crossing		Site prep	\$5,000								
#7				higher water it is		Materials									
				uipment. This mak		Abutments	\$10,000								
		dangerous crossi				Pilings/Piers									
		, ,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Approaches									l
				ested. or comm	it <u>ted?</u>	Culverts									1
		Yes or No:	No	Amount:		Riprap	\$2,000								1
Ļ	(Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:	n/a	Labor	\$5,000								l
		Old Bridge Size:	n/a Xallaw Divar	New Bridge Size:	60' x 12'	Equip Rental	¢40.000								l
Ľ	=xception?	Water Body: Years in System:	Yellow River n/a	Labor Source: Design Weight	Contract 25,000	Other # of New Mi	\$10,000	\$99,000							
R	Calumet	,		stall a 4' x 20' culve		*Structure	0	\$7,400			1	0	0	1	(
				nded this ditch in 2		Engineer		After Cost			1 '	0	Ŭ	'	Ľ
		•	•	ess at this location.		Permits		\$7,400							
ш 7				(g) 1. Snowmobile		Site prep		••••••							
#7				nce shall have b	tian segments	Materials									
		0		ounty for a minimur		Abutments									l
				xcept where a natu		Pilings/Piers									1
				control of the cou											1
		affected trail safe			nty adversely										1
		anected trail sale	ty prior to this	ro year period.		Approaches									1
		Other funders	actived rear	acted an earning	:440 - 10	Culverts	\$5,200								1
		Yes or No:	<u>ceivea. reau</u>	ested. or comm Amount:		Riprap	ψ3,200								1
		Public or Private:	Private	Bridge Inventory #:		Labor	\$1,000				1	1			1
┢		Old Culvert Size:	Filvale	New Culvert Size:	4'x20'	Equip Rental	Ψ1,000				1	1			1
		Water Body:		Labor Source:		Other	\$1,200				1	1			1

		202	4-25 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	Deduct Bridge/Trail needs 3 pts	ω N	а	Columns E, F, & G are gateway question nd one of the columns needs to be marke for the application to be eligible Colum H indicates if the required map wa submitted 3. Column I indicates if the certificatio statement was signed Columns J through R are the actual rating and rankings with point value 5. Column P thru R should be entered a negative numbers. "-1	Deduct if dead-end 2	ore per Dra
PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7 7	7 7	тот		D Dr	
		Douglas	Trail 9 #1	0.64			у	у	у	2	1	3	3	2	2			13	\$0 \$192		11
		Douglas	Trail 9 #2	0.23			у	У	у	2	3	3	3	2	0			13	\$0 \$69		
	NOR-S		Trail 30	21.7	у	у	у	У	У	2	2	2	1	2	2			11	\$0 \$6,510		
	NOR-S		Trail 65	0.6	,	у	у	у	у	2	3	2	1	2	0	_		10			
	NOR-S		Trail 44	4	у	у	,	у	у	2	1	2	1	2		-3		5			
	NOR-S		Trail 47	8.90	у		у	у	у	2	1	2	1	2		-3		5	\$0 \$2,670		
	NOR-S		Trail 9	1.2			3	у	у	0	3	2	2	2	2			11	\$0 \$360		
		Washburn	Long Lake	2.1			у	/	у									0			
		Washburn	McKenzie Creek	2.3			у	,	у								_	0			
		Washburn	Spider Lake	1.7			у	,	у		_	_			_	_	_	0	\$0 \$510		
	NOR-S		Trail 97	12	у		у	у	у	0	3	2	2	2	2	-2	_	9			
		Waupaca	Trail AA Trail AC					\vdash			_				_		_	0			
		Waupaca Waupaca	Trail DR					\vdash										0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		
		Waupaca	Trail O1					\vdash			_						_	0			-
		Waupaca	Trail O2					⊢									_	0			
		Waupaca	Trail QQ					⊢									_	0			
		Shawano	Docs Trail					\vdash									-	0			
		Shawano	Tilleda Loop					┢─┤						\dashv	+		+	0	\$0 \$0		
		Shawano	Mt Bay to Gresham					\vdash						-+	\neg			0	\$0 \$0		
		Shawano	T Trail					⊢						\neg	\neg			0			
		Shawano	W Trail					\square										0			
		Shawano	H Trail											\neg				0	\$0 \$0		
		Shawano	SH Trail											-				0			
		Shawano	WR Trail															0			
			Corr 30 to Pickett (Silver Creek	Sno Odd	ers))												0	\$0 \$0		
	NER	Door	Sunnyslope		Ĺ													0	\$0 \$0		
	NER	Manitowoc	C-2	_														0	Ŧ - Ŧ -		
		Manitowoc	E-2															0	\$0 \$0		
	NER	Winnebago	Springbrook															0	\$0 \$0		

		202	4-25 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Connect Counties/States 0-3 pts	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	/Trail needs 3	llel trails 3	Deduct if runs to water 2 pts	ar 2. (Colum H indicates 3. Column I ind Columns J through	mns needs to be application to be if the required r su dicates if the cer statement was a R are the actua ankings with poi	e marked eligible. map was ubmitted. tification s signed. al ratings nt value. ttered as	Deduct if dead-er	Total Score per Draft Rev
PROJ #	REGION		TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7 1	ТОТ	\$ DEV		FUNDED	Dr	Dr
		Columbia	Trail 26	3.9															0	\$0	\$1,170			
		Columbia	Trail 48	6.0															0	\$0	\$1,800			
		Columbia	Trail 88	13.0															0	\$0	\$3,900			
	-	Dane	Dane trail 31	1.5															0	\$0	\$450			
		Dane	Dane trail 27	1.5															0	\$0	\$450			
		Iowa	Corridor 23	11.0															0	\$0	\$3,300			
		Sauk	Sauk County new miles - R4	7.8															0	\$0	\$2,340			
		Sauk	Sauk County new miles - W4	5.3															0	\$0	\$1,590			
		Sauk	Sauk County new miles - W7	4.1															0	\$0	\$1,230			
		Sauk	Sauk County new miles - W8	5.6															0	\$0	\$1,680			
		Buffalo	Milton-Cross trail	7.0															0	\$0	\$2,100			
		Buffalo	Mondovi trail	4.5															0	\$0	\$1,350			
		Clark	Abby trail new miles	1.3															0	\$0	\$390			
		Eau Claire	Bushes trail	2.4															0	\$0	\$717			
		Eau Claire	Washington Brackett new mile	13.7															0	\$101,000	\$105,113			
	WCR	Eau Claire	Trail 20A	1.9															0	\$0	\$570			
	WCR	Juneau	Winter Wanderers new miles	5.0															0	\$0	\$1,500			
	WCR	La Crosse	Holman 153 trail new miles	6.0															0	\$0	\$1,800			
		Marathon	Abby trail new miles	3.5															0	\$0	\$1,050			
	WCR	Portage	Kellner Knights trail 551	15.50															0	\$0	\$4,650			
		Portage	Kellner Knights trail 510	5.4															0	\$0	\$1,620			
		Portage	Almond Sno-eagles Corridor 1	3.5															0	\$0	\$1,050			
		Portage	Almond Sno-eagles parking ar	1.5															0	\$0	\$450			
		St. Croix	St. Croix new miles - 4A	3.6															0	\$0	\$1,080			
		St. Croix	St. Croix new miles - 12A	1.9															0	\$0	\$570			
		St. Croix	St. Croix new miles - 12B	2.6															0	\$0	\$780			
		Vernon	Viroqua Ridge runners new tra	0.6															0	\$0	\$180			
		Wood	Rudolph River Rovers	13.6															0	\$0	\$4,080			
	NOR-R		Trail 10A	1.5															0	\$0	\$450			
	NOR-R	Lincoln	Trail D	3.8															0	\$0	\$1,140	\$0		

PROJ.# REGION COUNTY TRAL NAME MILES 1 2 3 4 6 6 7 7 TOT SURV STOTAL Funded Data NOR-R NOR-R Unlas Bent's Camp Trail 2.5 I		202	24-25 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	0-3	-1- -3	Trail Ratio 1-3 pts	Trail segment groomed 0-2 pts	County Coordinator 0-2 pts	/Trail needs 3 pts	allel trails 3 pts	Deduct if runs to water 2 pts	2. Colum H indicate 3. Column I ir . Columns J throug and 5. Column P thr	umns needs to be application to be s if the required s ndicates if the ce statement wa	e marked e eligible. map was ubmitted. rtification s signed. al ratings int value. ntered as pers. "-1"	Deduct if dead-end 2 pts	Total Score per Draft Rev		
NOR-R Vilas Bent's Camp Trail 2.5 0 0 \$00 \$5750 NOR-R Vilas Big Saint Trail 1.4 0 \$0 \$0 \$420 NOR-R Vilas Birls Lake Trail 1 0 \$0 \$00 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Birchwood Trail 0.2 0 \$0 \$00 \$560 NOR-R Vilas Black Bear Trail 0.2 0 \$0 \$00 \$560 NOR-R Vilas Black Bear Trail 1.2 0 \$0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Trail 0.8 0 0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Chanticleer Trail 0.2 0 0 \$0 \$660 NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.20 0 \$0 \$560 NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.20 0 \$0 \$5750 NOR-R Vilas Dutoch	PROJ #	 		_	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7					Dr	Dr		
NOR.R Vilas Big Saint Trail 1.4 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$420 NOR.R Vilas Bills Lake Trail 1 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$300 NOR.R Vilas Bild Ake Trail 1 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$300 NOR.R Vilas Boulder Jct Airport Trail 1.8 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$440 NOR.R Vilas Black Bear Trail 1.2 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$440 NOR.R Vilas Black Bear Trail 0.8 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR.R Vilas Chanticleer Trail 0.2 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$1.080 NOR.R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$60 NOR.R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR.R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.3 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$120 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>																									
NOR-R Vilas Bils Lake Trail 1 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$300 NOR-R Vilas Birchwood Trail 0.2 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$60 NOR-R Vilas Bluck Bear Trail 1.2 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$540 NOR-R Vilas Blue Island Trail 0.8 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Blue Island Trail 0.8 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Trail 13C 3.6 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$100 NOR-R Vilas Chanticleer Trail 0.2 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$100 NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Gandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 0.3 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$750 NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 2.5 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$750 NOR-R Vilas																									
NOR-R Vilas Birchwood Trail 0.2 0 0 \$00				1																					
NOR-R Vilas Boulder Jct Airport Trail 1.8 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$540 NOR-R Vilas Black Bear Trail 1.2 0 \$\$0 \$\$360 NOR-R Vilas Blue Island Trail 0.8 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Trail 13C 3.6 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Chanticleer Trail 0.2 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Chanticleer Trail 0.2 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 0.3 0 \$\$0 \$\$0 \$\$1200 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$750 NOR-R Vilas Potkerel Lake Trail 1																									
NOR-R Vilas Black Bear Trail 1.2 0 0 \$00 \$\$00 \$\$360 NOR-R Vilas Trail 13C 3.6 0 0 \$00 \$\$240 NOR-R Vilas Trail 13C 3.6 0 0 \$00 \$\$1080 NOR-R Vilas Chanticleer Trail 0.2 0 0 \$\$00 \$\$1080 NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 0 \$\$00 \$\$1080 NOR-R Vilas Garandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 0 \$\$00 \$\$00 NOR-R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 0 \$\$00 \$\$00 NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 0.3 0 0 \$\$00 \$\$1200 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$\$00 \$\$1200 NOR-R Vilas Potato Field Trail 2.3 0 0 \$\$00 \$\$1200 </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>																					-				
NOR-R Vilas Blue Island Trail 0.8 0 0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Trail 13C 3.6 0 0 \$0 \$1,080 NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.2 0 0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 0.3 0 0 \$0 \$90 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$1200 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas																									
NOR-R Vilas Trail 13C 3.6 0 \$0 \$0 \$1,080 NOR-R Vilas Chanticleer Trail 0.2 0 \$0 \$0 \$00 NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 \$0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 0 \$0 \$260 NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 0.3 0 0 \$0 \$1200 NOR-R Vilas LDF Trail 12 4 0 0 \$0 \$1200 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$1200 NOR-R Vilas Potato Field Trail 2.3 0 0 \$0 \$1300 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$0 \$1720 NOR-R <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>																					-				
NOR-R Vilas Chanticleer Trail 0.2 0 \$0 \$00																									
NOR-R Vilas Grandpa Grumps Trail 0.80 0 0 \$0 \$240 NOR-R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 0 \$0 \$660 NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 0.3 0 0 \$0 \$90 NOR-R Vilas LDF Trail 2.4 0 0 \$0 \$1200 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$1200 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$1200 NOR-R Vilas Pickerel Lake Trail 1 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 0 \$0 \$1720 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$0 \$1720 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 0 \$0 \$1720 NOR-R																									
NOR-R Vilas Gunlock Trail 2.20 0 0 \$0 \$60 \$600 NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 0.3 0 0 \$0 \$90 NOR-R Vilas LDF Trail 12 4 0 0 \$0 \$90 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$1200 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$1200 NOR-R Vilas Pickerel Lake Trail 1 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 0 \$0 \$690 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$0 \$720 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 0 \$0 \$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$0 \$330 NOR-R <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-	-																					
NOR-R Vilas Lakefront Pines Trail 0.3 0 0 \$0 \$90 NOR-R Vilas LDF Trail 12 4 0 0 \$0 \$1,200 NOR-R Vilas Outback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$1,200 NOR-R Vilas Outback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$750 NOR-R Vilas Dutback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$750 NOR-R Vilas Potato Field Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$360 NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 0 \$0 \$180 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$0 \$720 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 0 \$0 \$1300 NOR-R Vilas Trail 2B 3.4 0 0 \$56,665 \$57,355 NOR-R																									
NOR-R Vilas LDF Trail 12 4 0 0 \$0 \$1,200 NOR-R Vilas Outback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$750 NOR-R Vilas Pickerel Lake Trail 1 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Pickerel Lake Trail 1 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Potato Field Trail 2.3 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 0 \$0 \$180 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$0 \$720 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0.2 0 0 \$0 \$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$56,665 \$57,355 NOR-R																									
NOR-R Vilas Outback Rail Trail 2.5 0 0 \$0 \$750 NOR-R Vilas Pickerel Lake Trail 1 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Potato Field Trail 2.3 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Potato Field Trail 2.3 0 0 \$0 \$300 NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 0 \$0 \$180 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$0 \$720 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 0 \$0 \$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$0 \$330 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R																									
NOR-R Vilas Pickerel Lake Trail 1 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$300 NOR-R Vilas Potato Field Trail 2.3 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$300 NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$690 NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$180 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$180 NOR-R Vilas PCC Trail 0.2 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$10 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$1,020 NOR-R Iron Trail 2 Extension 3.33 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$999 NOR-R </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>				-																					
NOR-R Vilas Potato Field Trail 2.3 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$690 NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$180 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$180 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$180 NOR-R Vilas PCC Trail 0.2 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$60 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$1,020 NOR-R Iron Trail 2 Extension 3.33 0 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$999 NOR-R Iron Schomberg Spur 0.30 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$90 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>																									
NOR-R Vilas Snake Lake Trail 0.6 0 0 \$0 \$180 NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$0 \$720 NOR-R Vilas PCC Trail 0.2 0 0 \$0 \$720 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 0 \$0 \$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$0 \$330 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Iron Trail 2 Extension 3.33 0 0 0 \$0 \$999 NOR-R Iron Schomberg Spur 0.30 0 0 \$0 \$900 NO													_		_										
NOR-R Vilas St Germain Bypass Trail 2.4 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$720 NOR-R Vilas PCC Trail 0.2 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$60 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$330 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$1,020 NOR-R Iron Trail 2 Extension 3.33 0 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$999 NOR-R Iron Midland Spur 0.50 0 0 \$\$0 \$\$900 NOR-R Price Trail 117 3.00 0 0 \$\$157,665 \$158,295																	_				-				
NOR-R Vilas PCC Trail 0.2 0 0 \$60 NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 0 0 \$0 \$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 0 0 \$0 \$1,020 NOR-R Iron Trail 2 Extension 3.33 0 0 0 \$0 \$999 NOR-R Iron Midland Spur 0.50 0 0 \$0 \$900 \$900 NOR-R Iron Schomberg Spur 0.30 0 0 \$0 \$900 \$900 NOR-R Price Trail 117 3.00 0 0 \$0 \$900 NOR-R						-							_				_								
NOR-R Vilas Trail 6B 1.1 Image: Constraint of the system 0 \$\$0 \$\$330 NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 Image: Constraint of the system 0 \$\$0 \$\$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 Image: Constraint of the system 0 \$\$0 \$\$1,020 NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 Image: Constraint of the system 0 \$\$56,665 \$\$57,355 NOR-R Iron Trail 2 Extension 3.33 Image: Constraint of the system 0 \$\$0 \$\$999 NOR-R Iron Midland Spur 0.50 Image: Constraint of the system 0 \$\$0 \$\$150 NOR-R Iron Schomberg Spur 0.30 Image: Constraint of the system 0 \$\$0 \$\$900 NOR-R Price Trail 117 3.00 Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system \$\$157,665 \$\$158,295 NOR-R Price Trail 101 1.2 Image: Constraint of the system						-							_				_								
NOR-R Vilas Trail 12B 3.4 Image: More and the system Image: More and the syste													_												
NOR-R Vilas Haskell Creek Trail 2.30 Image: Constraint of the system 0 \$\$56,665 \$\$57,355 \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 0 \$\$ \$																									
NOR-R Iron Trail 2 Extension 3.33 Image: More and the system 0 \$\$ <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>																									
NOR-R Iron Midland Spur 0.50 Image: Constraint of the system 0 \$\$ </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td><u> </u></td> <td>┝─┤</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>\rightarrow</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-+</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>						-	<u> </u>	┝─┤		-		\rightarrow		-	-		-+				-				
NOR-R Iron Schomberg Spur 0.30 0 0 \$0 \$90 NOR-R Price Trail 117 3.00 0 0 \$0 \$900 NOR-R Price Trail 90 2.10 0 \$157,665 \$158,295 NOR-R Price Trail 101 1.2 0 0 \$0 \$360						+	-	┝─┤			\rightarrow	\rightarrow			-		+				1				
NOR-R Price Trail 117 3.00 0 0 \$00 \$900 NOR-R Price Trail 90 2.10 0 \$157,665 \$158,295 NOR-R Price Trail 101 1.2 0 \$0 \$00 \$360						+	-	┝─┤			\rightarrow	\rightarrow			-		+				1				
NOR-R Price Trail 90 2.10 \$157,665 \$158,295 NOR-R Price Trail 101 1.2 \$0 \$360						+	-	┝─┤			\rightarrow	\rightarrow			-		+				1				
NOR-R Price Trail 101 1.2 Image: Contract of the state of						\vdash	\vdash	┝─┤			\dashv	\dashv			-	+	-+	-+							
						+	-										+	+			1				
			Trail 103	1.2		+	-										+	+	\$0	\$540					

		202	4-25 NEW MILES		Gateway-Primary Access	Gateway-Population Access	Gateway-High Use	Map attached Y/N	Certification attached Y/N	Public Lands 0-3 pts	Р -3	Mean Snowfall 1-3 pts	Trail Ratio 1-3	nt groomed 0-2	oordinator 0-2	e/Trail needs 3	ts parallel trails 3	Deduct if runs to water 2 pts	ar 2. (Colum H indicate 3. Column I ir Columns J throug	umns needs to b application to bus is if the required subtraction of the ce statement wa h R are the actur rankings with po	e marked e eligible. map was ubmitted. rrtification s signed. al ratings int value. ntered as		Total Score per Draft Rev
PROJ #	REGION	COUNTY	TRAIL NAME	MILES	1	2	3			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	7	7	гот	\$ DEV	\$ TOTAL	FUNDED	Dr	Dr
	NOR-R	Price	Trail 110	2.6																\$0	\$780			
	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail G - Minocqua Forest Ride	2.6																\$0	\$780			
	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail 51C - Northwoods Passa	9.1																\$0	\$2,730			
	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail TL 8 East - Three Lakes	3.4																\$0	\$1,020			
	NOR-R	Oneida	Trail TL 8 West - Three Lakes	3																\$0	\$900			
				287.3																\$ 315,330	\$401,520			

2024-25 SNOWMOBILE NON-TRAIL REQUESTS

Region	County	Description	Component	Costs	Total	Approved	Comments
WCR	Eau Claire	Eau Claire Co requests funding to pour a concrete floor and supply pic	ic *Structure		\$11,676		
		tables in a new shelter along the trail system. Shelter is being	Engineer		After Cost Share:		
#	79	constructed and donated by local dog club but will be available for ATV	& Permits		\$5,838		
- #	/5	Snowmobile users as well.County is asking for \$5,838 each from ATV	Site prep				
		and Snow. This represents appox. 15% of total project costs from eacl	Materials				
		program.	Abutments				
		program	Pilings/Piers				
			Approaches				
		Other funds received. requested. or committed?	Culverts				
		Yes or No: Yes Amount: \$ 5.838.0	0 Riprap				
		Public or Private: Public Bridge Inventory #:	Labor				
	Variance or	Old Bridge Size: New Bridge Size:	Concrete	\$7,776			
	Exception?	Water Body: Labor Source:	Tables	\$3,900			
		Years in System: Design Weight Load:	# of New Mi	0	\$5,838		
					\$5,838,00	\$0.00	

\$5,838.00 \$0.00