

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer | |
|------------------------------|--------|--|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| NOR-R | #1 | Vilas County proposes to rehab a 2.1 mile segment of trail from CTH K in Conover to Heart Lake Rd. Scope of work includes shaping and adding pit run to low problem areas, and relocating the northern 0.5 miles. | *Structure | | \$9,300 | 50/50 Split \$9,300 | No questions | |
| | | Engineer | | | | | | |
| | | Soil test | | | | | | |
| | | Permits/Erosion | \$1,500 | | | | | |
| | | Materials | \$1,500 | | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | | |
| | | Culverts | | | | | | |
| | | Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$300 | | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | | | |
| New Sum Maint | | New Winter Maint | | | | | | |
| New UTV Maint | | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | \$9,300 | | |
| NOR-R | #2 | Florence County proposes to rehab a 392' bridge along US 2 crossing into Michigan across the Menominee River . Project is led by the Michigan DNR, and costs split between Florence Co and Michigan DNR. Scope of work includes repairing the abutments, piers, wing walls, and replacing the decking and railing. Total project cost \$959,910 with WI share being \$479,955. County has applied to for a \$45k RTA grant, and remainder from ATV and Snow (50/50). County was awarded grant S-4384 in 2014 for \$22,740 for redecking but scope of work was more significant than anticipated, and is returning those funds to reapply for new scope. <i>(If no RTA, Snow share is \$239,977.50.)</i> | *Structure | \$959,910 | \$479,955 | Split \$217,478 | No questions | |
| | | Engineer | | | | | | |
| | | Soil test | | | | | | |
| | | Site prep | | | | | | |
| | | Materials | | | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | | |
| | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| | | Approaches | | | | | | |
| | | Culverts | | | | | | |
| | | Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | | | |
| New Sum Maint | | New Winter Maint | | | | | | |
| New UTV Maint | | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | 392' | New Bridge Size | (no change) | Sumer Maint | \$0 | |
| | | Water Body: | Menominee | Material: | Steel/Wood | Winter Maint | \$0 | |
| | | Last Funded | unknown | Design Weight Load: | railroad | UTV Maint | \$0 | |
| | | | | | | | \$217,478 | |

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------------|--|---|----------|-----------------|
| NOR-R | #3 | Vilas County proposes to rehab a bridge on Corridor 13 & 8 over Tamarack Creek in Conover . Scope of work includes decking and railings. | *Structure | \$0 | \$19,725 50/50 Split \$9,863 | | |
| | | | Engineer | \$0 | | | |
| | | | Soil test | \$0 | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$0 | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$8,225 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | \$0 | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | \$0 | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$0 | | | | | | |
| Culverts | \$0 | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$0 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$7,500 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$2,500 | | | | | | |
| Other | \$1,500 | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | | |
| | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | | |
| | New UTV Maint | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: 12' x 110' | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | Water Body: Tamarack Creek | Material: | Winter Maint | \$0 | | | |
| | Years in System: 2001 | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | | | |
| NOR-R | #4 | Price County proposes to rehab the boardwalks on the Solberg ATV trail. The boardwalk decking is rotting, and a portion of the boardwalks has heaved significantly, causing a safety issue that will result in trail closure without the necessary repairs. County is requesting 50% from RTA. | *Structure | \$83,129 50/50 Split \$41,565 | | | |
| | | | Engineer | | | | \$37,244 |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$4,685 | | | | | | |
| Other | \$41,200 | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | | |
| | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | | |
| | New UTV Maint | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: Boardwalk | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: Wooden | Winter Maint | \$0 | | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | | | |

No questions

1. What's the capacity of the boardwalk?
 2. What went wrong, what measures will be used to address this so it doesn't need to be redecked so often?

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------|---------|--|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| NOR-R | #5 | Langlade Langlade County proposes to replace failed culverts on the 5 Corners Winter ATV/Snowmobile trail near Upham. The original culverts failed several years ago and a reroute was found to keep the trail open, but that trail is very narrow, rough and wet. The county proposes replacing the failed culverts with 2-30"x24' and 2-24"x24', and moving the trail back to it's original location. County is requesting 75% from Snow and 25% from ATV. | *Structure | | \$13,481 | Split \$3,370 | What are the winter use rules? |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$434 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | \$2,303 | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$300 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$383 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$9,661 | | | | | | |
| Other | \$400 | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | 2-30"x24', 2-24"x24' | Sumer Maint | \$0 | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | | Winter Maint | \$0 | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | UTV Maint | \$0 | |
| | | | | | \$3,370 | | |
| NOR-R | #6 | Taylor Taylor County proposes to construct a bridge on a funded Snowmobile/Winter ATV trail (Corridor 23) to cross the W Branch of Little Black River . Historically, an ice crossing has been sufficient to cross this waterbody, but there have been safety concerns recently due to poor ice conditions. The water has opened up during trail season, and groomer is unable to cross. The Snowmobile program awarded a 50% grant in 2016 (S4925). The county is requesting an additional 25% from snowmobile this year, and the remaining 25% from ATV. | *Structure | \$97,500 | \$101,500 | Split \$26,375 | What are the winter use rules? |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | 12' x 34' | Sumer Maint | \$0 | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | Wooden | Winter Maint | \$0 | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | 14,000 | UTV Maint | \$0 | |
| | | | | | \$4,000 | \$26,375 | |

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------|--------|--|---|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|
| NOR-R | #7 | Taylor County proposes to rehab 0.9 miles of trail on the Camp 8 system in the Town of Rib Lake. The entire segment is in need of rehab, including a 350 ft relocation to move away from a wetland crossing. Trail surface is rutted, rocky, heavy silt loam and has become too rutted and potholed for grading. Scope of work includes leveling with a dozer and backhoe, spot treating with 6" layer of breaker run or rock, and applying a 5" layer of gravel to the entire surface. A culvert will also be installed, and diversion ditches, dips and water bars added where needed. A 50% request has been submitted to RTA. <i>(If RTA not approved, entire project cost is requested of ATV)</i> | *Structure | | \$22,188 | No questions | |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$11,094 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$6,260 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | \$170 | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Labor | \$700 | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | \$11,094 | |
| NER | #8 | Oconto County proposes to replace the wood deck with concrete deck on bridge #26 on the Nicolet State Trail. Snow and RTA applied for. | *Structure | \$6,600 | \$9,000 | No questions | |
| | | | Engineer | \$2,400 | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$2,250 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: 12' X 39' | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | | |
| | | Water Body: Spice Lake Inlet | Material: Concrete deck | Winter Maint | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Yes | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | \$2,250 | |

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------|-------------|--|----------|-----------------|
| #9 | Oconto | Oconto County proposes to replace the wood deck with concrete deck on bridge #26B on the Nicolet State Trail. Snow and RTA applied for. | *Structure | \$10,400 | \$13,000 50/50 Split \$3,250 | | |
| | | | Engineer | \$2,600 | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: 12' X 78' | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 \$3,250 | | |
| | Water Body: Spice Lake Inlet | Material: Concrete deck | Winter Maint | \$0 | | | |
| Yes | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | | | |
| #10 | Oconto | Oconto County proposes to replace a 320' boardwalk on county land. In 2016 part of the boardwalk collapsed. Some repairs done with maintenance. \$35,000 RTA applied for. | *Structure | | \$70,000 50/50 Split \$35,000 | | |
| | | | Engineer | \$3,000 | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$40,000 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$25,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$2,000 | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: 8' X 320' | New Bridge Size 8' X 320' | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 \$35,000 | | |
| | Water Body: wetland | Material: wood | Winter Maint | \$0 | | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: 14,000 | UTV Maint | \$0 | | | |

No questions

1. Provide detail from the 2008 rehab.
 2. Have all design options been examined?
 3. Is the contractor willing to provide an expected /guaranteed life of the structure.
 4. Are there alternatives that would extend the life?

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------|----------|--|---------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------------------|---|
| WC | Jackson | <p>Jackson County proposes to rehabilitate seven segments of trail on a four mile section of the Oak Ridge trail. The goal of the rehabilitation projects is to accomplish the following objectives: 1. Prevent weather related closures that affect over 18 miles of trail between the Village of Millston and The City of Black River Falls. 2. Protect wetland and surface water quality by preventing/minimizing erosion that is occurring in these areas. 3. Provide exclusionary measures adjacent to both surface water and wetland areas to prevent illegal operation which contributes to the degradation of these sensitive areas. See narrative for segment detail.</p> | *Structure | | \$57,758 | 50/50 Split \$57,758 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> What's the depth of the base material? </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$24,278 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$2,673 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$30,807 | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | \$57,758 | |
| WC | Jackson | <p>Jackson County proposes to rehabilitate two sections of trail that were reconstructed as part of a pipeline installation that occurred in 2015. The trail was obliterated during the installation of the pipeline and now is located on steeper topography that is subject to washing during storm events. Additionally, a culvert needs to be installed to pass stormwater under the trail where it now is allowed to flow across the trail. The project will involve adding base course on steeper slopes to prevent further erosion from occurring and installing the culver to facilitate drainage.</p> | *Structure | | \$17,838 | 50/50 Split \$17,838 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> What's the depth of the base material? </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$15,508 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$1,107 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$1,223 | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | \$17,838 | |

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------|------------------|--|----------|-----------------|
| WC | Marathon | <p>#13</p> <p>Marathon County proposes replacing an existing snowmobile bridge known as Little Eau Pleine River Tributary Bridge #1 on Corridor 23 that does not comply with statutes and codes. The existing bridge is a wood decked mobile home frame with low railings. This is bridge #81 on the bridge inventory. The total length of the proposed bridge with an approach on each end would be 109 feet. A 40 foot approach on the north end is necessary due to wetlands and trail grade changes. This trail is open to winter ATVs.</p> | *Structure | \$60,000 | \$77,000 50/50 Split \$38,500 | | |
| | | | Engineer | \$3,000 | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$1,000 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$11,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$1,200 | | | | | | |
| Other | \$800 | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | | New Winter Maint | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: 12' 24' | New Bridge Size: 12' 109' | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 \$38,500 | | |
| | Water Body: Unnamed | Material: steel | Winter Maint | \$0 | | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | UTV Maint | \$0 | | | |
| WC | Marathon | <p>#14</p> <p>Marathon County proposes replacing an existing snowmobile bridge known as Little Eau Pleine River Tributary Bridge #2 on Corridor 23 that does not comply with statutes and codes. The existing bridge is a wood decked mobile home frame with low railings. This is bridge #40 on the bridge inventory. The total length of the proposed bridge with an approach on each end would be 80 feet. This bridge is located on public land and did apply for RTP funding also. This trail is open to winter ATVs.</p> | *Structure | \$3,000 | \$57,600 50/50 Split \$28,800 | | |
| | | | Engineer | \$44,000 | | | |
| | | | Soil test | \$0 | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$800 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | \$0 | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | \$0 | | | |
| Approaches | \$0 | | | | | | |
| Culverts | \$0 | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$0 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$8,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$1,000 | | | | | | |
| Other | \$800 | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | | New Winter Maint | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: 12' x 30' | New Bridge Size: 12' x 80' | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 \$28,800 | | |
| | Water Body: Unnamed | Material: steel | Winter Maint | \$0 | | | |
| | Years in System: 27 | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | UTV Maint | \$0 | | | |

1. How many miles of ATV/UTV-only winter use?
 2. The Council made a motion to fund 25% of this project.
 3. Provide a quote at 14,000 bridge load.

1. The Council made a motion to fund 25% of this project.
 2. Provide a quote at 14,000 bridge load.

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------------|----------------------|--|------------------|--------------|--|----------|-----------------|
| #15 | Marathon | Marathon County proposes building a new clear span bridge over a tributary of Randall Creek. The total length with an approach on each end would be 100 feet. This area of the funded trail has an on-going erosion problem due to an undersized culvert. This trail is open to Winter ATVs. | *Structure | \$61,500 | \$80,000 50/50 Split \$40,000 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$2,000 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| Approaches | \$1,500 | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$15,000 | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | Equip Rental | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | Other | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: N/A | New Bridge Size: 12' x 100' | Sumer Maint | \$0 | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: steel | Winter Maint | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | UTV Maint | \$0 | \$40,000 | | |
| #16 | Burnett | Burnett County requests to rehab 0.6 miles of summer ATV trail by adding 4-6 inches of gravel. The trail was a reroute due to high water. Trail 121 Rehab. | *Structure | | \$9,790 50/50 Split \$9,790 | | |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$9,790 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | Equip Rental | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | Other | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size: | Sumer Maint | \$0 | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | \$9,790 | | |

1. The Council made a motion to fund 25% of this project.
2. Provide a quote at 14,000 bridge load.

Will the berms be lowered as part of the rehab?

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|---------------|------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------|--|----------|-----------------|
| NOR | #17 | Washburn County proposes to replace the 12x40 deteriorated un-usable bridge with a 80 foot clear span bridge at 25,000 lbs. Snowmobile and RTP funds also applied for. Spring Creek Bridge | *Structure | \$80,605 | \$126,105 50/50 Split \$63,053 | | |
| | | Engineer | \$5,000 | | | | |
| | | Soil test | | | | | |
| | | Site prep | \$7,000 | | | | |
| | | Materials | | | | | |
| | | Abutments | \$20,000 | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | \$500 | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | \$7,000 | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$6,000 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: 12'x40 with appr | New Bridge Size 12x80 | \$0 | | | |
| | | Water Body: Spring Cr | Material: steel | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | | Years in System: 2002 | Design Weight Load: 25,000 | \$0 | \$63,053 | | |
| NOR | #18 | The Tri-County Corridor Commission proposes to rehab 7.5 miles of trail by grading, crowning, and adding 4 inches of gravel. The project will start at Moccasin Mike Rd in Superior and end at Hwy U. Moccasin Mike-HWY U Rehab | *Structure | | \$123,307 50/50 Split \$123,307 | | |
| | | Engineer | | | | | |
| | | Soil test | | | | | |
| | | Site prep | \$4,500 | | | | |
| | | Materials | \$118,807 | | | | |
| | | Abutments | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | \$0 | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | \$0 | \$123,307 | | |

1. Provide more information about the other bridges on the system (where the club grooms).
2. The Council moved to fund 1/2 of the 14,000 load (dependent on RTP funding) not to exceed \$53,375.

1. Was RTP funding applied for? If not, why?
2. Does it need gravel along the entire length?
3. Please provide photos.

2017-2018 ATV BRIDGE/TRAIL REHABILITATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------|--------|---|---------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------------------|--|
| NOR | Sawyer | Sawyer County proposes to rehab 3.3 miles of the Tuscobia Trail from Schrock Rd to Hwy C. The project will consist of grading, adding gravel and crowing the trail. Tuscobia Rehab - Schrock-Hwy C | *Structure | | \$47,460 | 50/50 Split \$47,460 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;">Was RTP funding applied for? If not, why?</div> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$16,500 | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$30,960 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other-seed | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$0 | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | | |
| | | | | | \$47,460 | | |
| NOR | Sawyer | Sawyer County proposes to rehab 1.35 miles of the Tuscobia Trail from Jos Chafer Rd to Hofer Rd. The project will consist of grading, adding gravel and crowing the trail. Tuscobia Rehab - Jos. Chafer - Hofer Rd | *Structure | | \$19,550 | 50/50 Split \$19,550 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;">Was RTP funding applied for? If not, why?</div> |
| | | | Engineer | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$6,750 | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$12,800 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$0 | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$0 | | |
| | | | | | \$19,550 | | |

2017-2018 ATV TRAIL MANDATORY RELOCATION REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer | |
|------------------------|------------------|---|----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| NOR-R | #22 | Iron County proposes to relocate Trail 17 near Cramer Lake and Pine Lake due to loss of easement. This trail crosses several private properties and trail access has been unstable over the years. The county proposes relocating the trail onto county forest land, adding long term stability and increased safety. New trail footprint adds 1.4 miles to maintenance. Two new bridges would be required. County has applied for \$45k from RTA and 50% of remainder from Snowmobile. <i>(ATV cost share is \$60,856.50 if RTA grant is obtained, or \$83,356.84 without.)</i> | *Structure | | | \$166,714 | | The Council discussed funding 50% (less RTP if received) of the 14,000 bridge load, although no motion was made. |
| | | | Engineer | | | Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | \$60,857 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | \$74,163 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | \$25,417 | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | \$1,776 | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | | |
| Labor | | \$37,240 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | \$28,118 | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | 1.4 | New Winter Maint | 1.4 | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | 1.4 | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$840 | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$140 | \$1,120 | | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$140 | \$61,977 | | | |
| | | | | | \$61,977 | \$0 | | |

2017-2018 ATV NEW YEAR ROUND TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| NOR-R | Forest | #23 ATV3518 AMENDMENT - Hwy 8 Trail Phase 2 - Crandon Wolf River Bridge Replacement. Forest Co is seeking add'l funds to complete dev of Phase 2 of the Hwy 8 trail connecting Crandon to the Oneida Co line. The original proposal intended to use the existing snowmo bridge but, the county has now determined they need to replace that bridge. The 1991 built 10' wide, 10,000# capacity does not accomodate the small road grader or snow groomer needed to maintain the trail, and the county has significant concerns about the safety of that equipment utilizing Hwy 8 to bypass the rec bridge. The proposed new bridge would be 12x75 and rated to 14,000 lbs. County seeking \$45k RTA grant and 50% of the remaining cost from Snow. (If no RTA, ATV share is \$105,000) (Phase 1 Hwy 8 \$202,312 and Phase 2 \$224,770 to date) | *Structure | | \$210,000 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> There appears to be an alternative to replacing the bridge. </div> |
| | | | Engineer | \$20,000 | Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$82,500 | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$16,000 | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$100,000 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | \$24,000 | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | \$10,000 | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Labor | \$10,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$30,000 | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= TRUE | | | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | | | | | |
| | | New Winter Maint | | | | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: 10' x 75' | New Bridge Size: 12' x 75' | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | Water Body: Wolf River | Material: built on site | Winter Maint | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: 14,000 | UTV Maint | \$0 | \$82,500 | | |
| NOR-R | Taylor | #24 Taylor County proposes to develop 1.55 miles of new year-round ATV/UTV on USFS land in the Perkinstown system. The project will convert old forest roads and corridors to dedicated trail and improve them to address water control, adding a culvert and gravel. This project would allow for a connection from the existing Perkinstown system to the community of Perkinstown while removing 4.6 miles of road route. County has applied for 50% of development cost from RTA. | *Structure | | \$47,013 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> No questions </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$23,506 | | |
| | | | Erosion | \$500 | | | |
| | | | Gravel/Rock | \$15,955 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | \$295 | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Labor | \$12,320 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$16,743 | | | | | | |
| Geogrid | \$1,200 | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= TRUE | | | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | 1.55 | New Winter Maint | 1.55 | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | 1.55 | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$930 | \$1,240 | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$155 | \$24,746 | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$155 | | | |

2017-2018 ATV NEW YEAR ROUND TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Question/Answer |
|------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------|--|
| WC | Jackson | <p>Jackson County is proposing to partner with the Ho-Chunk Nation to develop a trail to the Majestic Pines Casino and Hotel in Black River Falls. The property that will be used for the trail is owned by Jackson County. The trail has been a snowmobile access trail since 2000, but will need significant upgrades and relocation of areas to facilitate ATV/UTV access. The trail will be approximately 3.1 miles. There will be no increase in miles as Jackson County is abandoning 3 miles of trail near Castle Mound Rd.</p> <p>Ho-Chunk Nation is investing significant money into this project.</p> | *Structure | | \$63,291 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;">1. What's the depth of the base material?</div> |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$63,291 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$59,520 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Labor | \$2,160 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$1,611 | | | | | | |
| Crushing | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | UTV Maintenance | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Sumer Maint | \$0 | | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | ATV Maint | \$0 | \$200 | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$200 | \$63,491 | | |
| WC | Juneau | <p>Juneau County is proposing to develop and maintain 2 miles of ATV/UTV trail. They are requesting development and maintenance. The trail corridor will utilize an existing snowmobile trail that is already cleared. The development portion is to gravel a 12 ft wide trail with 6" loose gravel for 1.5 miles. Crowned to direct cross flow of water off the trail surface and into adjacent vegetation. The trail surface comprises native sand soils which have properties that make them very susceptible to erosion and costly soil reclamation. Adding gravel to some portions of the trail surface in development will be necessary in order to create safe riding conditions, minimize erosion and reduce maintenance costs. Trail starting and stopping areas, corners, interesections and hills will be the focus of graveling the trail surface to develop a sustainable trail.</p> | *Structure | | \$9,400 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;">No questions</div> |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$9,400 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Other | \$9,400 | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | 2 | New Winter Maint | 2 | | |
| | | New UTV Maint | 2 | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | \$1,200 | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Winter Maint | \$200 | \$1,600 | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | UTV Maint | \$200 | \$11,000 | | |
| | | | | | \$181,737.16 | \$0.00 | |

2017-2018 ATV TROUTE APPLICATIONS

Gas Tax Rate - \$285.19
Non-Gas Tax Rate \$300

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|------------------------|--------|---|------------|-------------|---------|---|
| NOR-R | Vilas | <p>#27</p> <p>Vilas County proposes to maintain 1.1 mile of trail and 11.7 mile of troute for a connection from Eagle River to St Germain. Please see related project on the "New Summer Trail" page, as eligblity of troutes dependent on trail linkages. Proposed troutes are as follows...</p> <p>Katie Lake Trail (3.9 miles)</p> <p>- Mudminnow Trail (1.6 miles)</p> <p>- Pinecherry Lake Rd (2.5 miles N of Int 8/13, and 1.3 miles S of Int 8/13)</p> <p>- Ewald Lake Rd (1.1 miles)</p> <p>Snipe Lake Rd (1.3 miles from Ewald to Birchwood Dr)</p> | *Structure | | \$0 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; width: fit-content; margin: auto;">No questions</div> |
| | | | Engineer | | \$0 | |
| | | | Soil test | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | CSS Comments: | Non GT Rds | \$ - | \$0 | |
| | | | GT Rds | \$ 3,336.72 | \$3,337 | |
| NER | Oconto | <p>#28</p> <p>Oconto County proposes to add 4.19 miles of Non-Gas Tax Troutes to their maintenance. All are on USFS roads.</p> <p>Doty - USFS 2291 - 1.27 miles connect Town Road route to trail (Deer Siding Ln to trail) Doty - USFS 2317D - .79 miles connect Town Road route to services (Old Grave Rd to Boulder Ln)</p> <p>Townsend - USFS 2341F - 1.51 miles connect Town Road route to Town Road route (Hemlock Dam Rd to Pickeral Rd)</p> <p>Partridge - USFS 2027 - .07 mile connect Town Road route to trail (Diamond Roof Rd to trail)</p> | *Structure | | \$0 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; width: fit-content; margin: auto;"> <p>1. Provide pictures.</p> <p>2. What are the terms of the private land easement or land use agreement?</p> </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | \$0 | |
| | | | Soil test | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | CSS Comments: | Non GT Rds | \$ 1,092.00 | \$1,092 | |
| | | | GT Rds | \$ - | \$0 | |

2017-2018 ATV TROUTE APPLICATIONS

Gas Tax Rate - \$285.19
Non-Gas Tax Rate \$300

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|--------|---------|---|---------------|-----------|---------|--|
| NOR | Burnett | Burnett County is requesting 1 mile of new Troute Maintenance | *Structure | | \$0 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; display: inline-block;">No questions</div> |
| | | | Engineer | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | |
| | | | Labor | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | |
| | | | Other | | | |
| | | | Non GT Rds | \$ 285.19 | \$285 | |
| | | | GT Rds | \$ - | \$0 | |
| | | | | | \$4,714 | \$0.00 |

#29

| | | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| Current Non-Gax Tax Miles | | New Non-Gas tax Road Mi | 1 |
| Current Gas Tax Miles | | New Gas Tax Road Mi | |

Variance or Exception?

TROUTE is existing

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUMMER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments/Answers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|--|--|-------|--|-------|-----------|--|--|-------|--|-------|--|
| #30 | Vilas | <p>Vilas County proposes to open 1.1 miles of new ATV/UTV trail in Arbor Vitae. Requesting maintenance and gate installation. The ATV trail footprint would be on existing snowmobile trail. This segment would allow for trail users to connect from Lake Tomahawk to St Germain via existing route systems, with this segment through Arbor Vitae providing the missing link.</p> <p>The club has \$28,000 invested for the crossings.</p> | *Structure | | \$1,600 | No Questions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$1,600 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Crushing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">50/50 or other split?</td> <td style="width: 30%;">TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td style="width: 40%; border: 1px solid red; color: red; font-weight: bold;">FALSE</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">ATV Sum Maint</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.1</td> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">UTV Maintenance</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.1</td> </tr> </table> | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | ATV Sum Maint | 1.1 | UTV Maintenance | | | 1.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ATV Sum Maint | 1.1 | UTV Maintenance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Old Bridge Size:</td> <td style="width: 30%;">New Bridge Size</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Gates</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td>Material:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$1,600</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td>Design Weight Load:</td> <td>Sumer Maint</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$660</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td>ATV Maint</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$110</td> </tr> </table> | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Gates | Water Body: | Material: | \$1,600 | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Sumer Maint | | | \$660 | | | ATV Maint | | | \$110 | | \$770 | |
| Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Gates | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Body: | Material: | \$1,600 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Sumer Maint | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$660 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ATV Maint | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$110 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | \$2,370 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| #31 | Vilas | <p>Vilas County proposes to maintain 1.1 mile of trail and 11.7 mile of trout for a connection from Eagle River to St Germain. Please see related project on the "Proposed Troutes" page.</p> <p>Reference #29 documentation.</p> | *Structure | | \$0 | No Questions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Crushing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">50/50 or other split?</td> <td style="width: 30%;">TRUE or FALSE=</td> <td style="width: 40%; border: 1px solid red; color: red; font-weight: bold;">FALSE</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">ATV Sum Maint</td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.1</td> <td style="background-color: #d9ead3;">UTV Maintenance</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: center;">1.1</td> </tr> </table> | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | ATV Sum Maint | 1.1 | UTV Maintenance | | | 1.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ATV Sum Maint | 1.1 | UTV Maintenance | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1.1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Old Bridge Size:</td> <td style="width: 30%;">New Bridge Size</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Sumer Maint</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Water Body:</td> <td>Material:</td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$660</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Years in System:</td> <td>Design Weight Load:</td> <td>ATV Maint</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">\$110</td> </tr> </table> | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | Water Body: | Material: | \$660 | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | ATV Maint | | | \$110 | | \$770 | | | | | | | |
| Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | Sumer Maint | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water Body: | Material: | \$660 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | ATV Maint | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$110 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | \$770 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUMMER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments/Answers |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--|
| NOR-R | Vilas | <p>#32</p> <p>Vilas County proposes to develop 4.5 miles of ATV/UTV trail to connect the Conover trail system and new Torch Lake Campground in the north further south towards Eagle River. Development requires a 120' boardwalk. Snowmobile funds have been applied for under relocation of the existing snowmobile trail. Also seeking a \$45,000 RTA grant. (ATV cost share on dev is \$80,050 if RTA and Snow funded, or \$102,550 with just Snow.)</p> <p><i>The local snowmobile/ATV club paid \$3500 for a deeded easement.</i></p> | *Structure | | \$212,600 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> The Council moved to pay 75% of project (less RTP if received) at the 14,000 bridge load cost. </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$80,050 | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$5,000 | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$111,300 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | \$10,500 | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | \$8,000 | | | |
| | | | Approaches | \$1,000 | | | |
| | | | Culverts | \$3,000 | | | |
| | | | Riprap | \$1,000 | | | |
| Labor | \$55,000 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$14,800 | | | | | | |
| Gates | \$3,000 | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | TRUE | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | 4.5 | UTV Maintenance | 4.5 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | 120' boardwalk | | | | |
| | Water Body: Sucker Crk Trib | Material: | wooden | Sumer Maint | \$2,700 | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | ATV Maint | \$450 | | |
| NOR-R | Vilas | <p>#33</p> <p>Vilas County proposes to develop 0.8 miles of ATV/UTV trail along CTH K in Conover. This connection would eliminate 0.75 miles of paved road route on CTH K and extend the existing trail network developed in previous years.</p> | *Structure | | \$3,970 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> No Questions </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$3,970 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | \$450 | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| Labor | \$1,300 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | \$720 | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | 0.8 | UTV Maintenance | 0.8 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | Erosion/Permi | \$1,500 | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | | Sumer Maint | \$480 | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | ATV Maint | \$80 | | |

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUMMER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments/Answers |
|------------------------|--------|--|---------------------|-----------------|-------------|--|------------------|
| NOR-R | Vilas | Vilas County proposes to maintain 1.8 miles of trail near Conover, connecting Heart Lake Rd to Rummels Rd . This would eliminate 2 miles of road route. | *Structure | | \$0 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; display: inline-block;"> No Questions </div> | |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Gates | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | 1.8 | UTV Maintenance | 1.8 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | | Sumer Maint | \$1,080 | \$1,260 |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | ATV Maint | \$180 | \$1,260 |
| NOR-R | Vilas | Vilas County proposes opening 1.5 miles of new ATV/UTV trail on existing snowmobile trail to connect the community of Land O' Lakes via routes (W Big Portage Rd) to the route (Rummels Rd) and ATV/UTV trail system connecting to Conover to the south. County requires funding for gates and maintenance only. <i>(Resolution to open W Big Portage Lake as route is pending at time of application, status update requested by funding meeting)</i> | *Structure | | \$1,600 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; display: inline-block;"> No Questions </div> | |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$1,600 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | \$300 | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Gates | | \$1,300 | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | 1.5 | UTV Maintenance | 1.5 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | | Sumer Maint | \$900 | \$1,050 |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | ATV Maint | \$150 | \$2,650 |

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUMMER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments/Answers |
|------------------------|------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|---|
| NOR | Rusk | Rusk County proposes to develop 0.1 mile of ATV trail from Perch Lake Campground to a road route that will connect to the ATV trails. Reference Project #62. | *Structure | | \$19,493 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> Is this 12 or 6 inches of gravel? </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$19,493 | | |
| | | | Site prep | \$18,693 | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | \$800 | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Crushing | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | UTV Maintenance | | | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Sumer Maint | \$0 | \$0 | | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | ATV Maint | \$0 | \$19,493 | | |
| NOR | Barron | Barron County proposes to construct 2.3 miles of new trail on Barron County Forest land. The trail will connect existing road routes. This will be a summer ATV trail and will include trail development and a 12x30 bridge crossing on Lt Bear Creek. Narrow Gage ATV Trail | *Structure | \$35,000 | \$95,412 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> 1. Is 6 inches of gravel required for the entire length of the trail? 2. Provide more information about the bridge. </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$95,412 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$48,446 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$11,966 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Crushing | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | 2.3 | UTV Maintenance | 2.3 | Crushing | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | 12x30 | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | steel | Sumer Maint | \$1,380 | \$1,610 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | 14000 | ATV Maint | \$230 | \$97,022 | |

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUMMER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments/Answers |
|------------------------|------------------|--|----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|---|
| NOR | Barron | Barron County proposes to construct 1.2 miles of new trail south of the Village of Dallas. The trail will connect existing road routes. This will be a summer ATV trail and the development will include adding 4 inches of gravel, dozing, tree removal. Dallas-Dunn County Development | *Structure | | \$14,166 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>1. Why 4 inches of gravel for the entire length? 2. What are the land use agreement terms for the private property? 3. Why is trail going in another direction? What's the purpose of going that</p> </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$14,166 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$12,100 | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$2,066 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Crushing | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | 1.2 | UTV Maintenance | 1.2 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | Sumer Maint | \$720 | \$840 | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | | ATV Maint | \$120 | \$15,006 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | | | | |
| NOR | Barron | Barron County proposes to develop 4.3 miles of trail on County Forest land to connect road routes. The project will include a 12x30 bridge, grading, dozing, tree removal and adding 6 inches of gravel. Cedar Lake Trail Development | *Structure | \$35,000 | \$124,552 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>Is 6 inches of gravel required for the entire length of the trail?</p> </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$124,552 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$72,852 | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | | |
| Labor | \$16,700 | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | | |
| Crushing | | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | 4.3 | UTV Maintenance | 4.3 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | Sumer Maint | \$2,580 | \$3,010 | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | | ATV Maint | \$430 | \$127,562 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | | | | |

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUMMER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | Comments/Answers |
|--------|------------------------|--|---------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|--|
| NOR | Sawyer | Sawyer County in conjunction with the Lac Courte Oreilles Tribe proposes to add 7.8 miles of new ATV trail on Trail 777 and to rehab 1.5 miles of the trail by grading, adding culverts, and gravel. | *Structure | | \$52,933 | | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; color: red; font-weight: bold;"> The application is incomplete. Complete documentation will be provided when it is received. </div> |
| | #40 | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$52,933 | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | | |
| | | | Materials | \$52,933 | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| | | | Labor | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | ATV Sum Maint | 7.8 | UTV Maintenance | 7.8 | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | Sumer Maint | \$4,680 | \$5,460 | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | ATV Maint | \$780 | \$58,393 | |
| | | | | | \$412,256.00 | \$0.00 | |

2017-18 ALL-TERRAIN VEHICLE NEW MAP REQUESTS

Proj #
44
45

| REGION | COUNTY | DESCRIPTION | TOTAL | APPROVED |
|--------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| WC | Adams | ATV/UTV Map | \$3,400 | |
| NOR | Douglas | Develop 10,000 summer ATV trail maps | \$4,000 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | \$7,400 | \$0 |

2017-2018 ATV NEW WINTER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|--------------|---------|---|
| NOR-R | Lincoln | <p style="font-size: 24px; color: blue; font-weight: bold; margin: 0;">#46</p> <p>Lincoln County is requesting additional maintenance miles as a correction to their annual maintenance contract, to cover previously approved winter ATV trail segments. Through the process of creating a GIS/GPS data inventory of the winter trail system, the county found that they have 207.8 miles of "funded trail" have a grant agreement for only 202.2 miles. It is believed the discrepancy is due to innacurate estimates, minor relocations, etc over the years.</p> | *Structure | | \$0 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; color: red; font-weight: bold; font-size: 18px;">No questions.</div> |
| | | | Engineer | | \$0 | |
| | | | Soil test | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 5.6 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | | Sumer Maint | \$560 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | Winter Maint | \$560 | \$560 |
| NOR-R | Lincoln | <p style="font-size: 24px; color: blue; font-weight: bold; margin: 0;">#47</p> <p>Lincoln County is requesting maintenance funding for two new segments of winter ATV trail. The Corridor 15 section (9 miles) has been open for winter ATV use for many years but unfunded. The Vascheau trail (2.3 miles) will provide a connection to parking and food/restrooms. The county is requesting maintenance only for both.</p> | *Structure | | \$0 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; color: red; font-weight: bold; font-size: 18px;"> 1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail? 2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned? </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | \$0 | |
| | | | Soil test | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 11.3 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | | Sumer Maint | \$1,130 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | Winter Maint | \$1,130 | \$1,130 |

2017-2018 ATV NEW WINTER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|--------|------------------------|--|---------------------|--------------|---------|----------|
| NOR-R | #48 | Taylor County is requesting maintenance funding for 4 segments of winter ATV trail. All are currently in existence but unfunded. They are... 15.1 miles of F trail connecting B trail to Corridor 25 3.8 miles of Q trail 8.4 miles of C trail connecting M trail to Corridor 18 3.5 miles of Corridor 18 | *Structure | | \$0 | |
| | | | Engineer | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 30.8 | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | Sumer Maint | \$3,080 | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Winter Maint | \$3,080 | \$3,080 |

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?

2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

2017-2018 ATV NEW WINTER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|------------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|--------------|-------|----------|
| #50 | Clark | Clark Co is requesting 3.14 new winter miles on a snowmobile trail that is allowing ATV traffic. The miles connect Taylor County to Clark County Forest Trails. | *Structure | | \$0 | |
| | | | Engineer | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | | | TRUE or FALSE= | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 3.14 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | | Sumer Maint | \$314 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | Winter Maint | \$314 | \$314 |
| #51 | Juneau | Marathon County is requesting 2 new winter miles on a snowmobile club trail that is currently not funded since 1972. This trail links south central Marathon County to the Big Eau Pleine Reservoir. This trail is known as the Eau Pleine Connector Trail. | *Structure | | \$0 | |
| | | | Engineer | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| 50/50 or other split? | | | TRUE or FALSE= | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 2 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | | Sumer Maint | \$200 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | Winter Maint | \$200 | \$200 |

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?
2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?
2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

2017-2018 ATV NEW WINTER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------|-------|-------|----------|
| #52 | Marathon | Marathon County is requesting 2.1 new winter miles on a snowmobile club trail that is currently not funded. This trail is between intersections #419 and #451 on the current map and has been open to ATVs for 10 winters since it was established and links to Park and Ride location #29 on the current map. This trail is known as the Kelly Connector Trail. | *Structure | | \$0 | |
| | | | Engineer | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 2.1 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Sumer Maint | | \$210 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Winter Maint | \$210 | \$210 | |
| #53 | Marathon | Marathon County is requesting 5.1 new winter miles on a funded snowmobile trail. The trail is between intersections #329 and #30 on the Marathon County map. These 5.1 miles have been open to ATVs since 2007 and links to Park and Ride location #2 on the current map. This trail is known as the Marshfield Trail. | *Structure | | \$0 | |
| | | | Engineer | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 5.1 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Sumer Maint | | \$510 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Winter Maint | \$510 | \$510 | |

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?
2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?
2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

2017-2018 ATV NEW WINTER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|------------------------|------------------|---|------------------|--------------|-------|----------|
| #54 | Marathon | Marathon County is requesting 1.5 new winter miles on a snowmobile club trail (two clubs) that is currently not funded. The trail is between intersections #119 and #120 on the current map. It has been open to ATVs since 1987 and is a very popular and heavily used link between Corridor 21 and Corridor 18. This trail is known as the Poniatowski Connector Trail. | *Structure | | \$0 | |
| | | | Engineer | | \$0 | |
| | | | Soil test | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= | | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 1.5 | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | | Sumer Maint | \$150 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | Winter Maint | \$150 | \$150 |
| #55 | Douglas | Douglas County requests winter maintenance funding on 4.1 miles of Trail 235. The trail is currently signed and developed. Trail 235 Winter funding | *Structure | | \$0 | |
| | | | Engineer | | \$0 | |
| | | | Soil test | | | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 4.1 miles | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | | Sumer Maint | \$410 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | Winter Maint | \$410 | \$410 |

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?
 2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?
 2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

2017-2018 ATV NEW WINTER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|------------------------|------------------|--|------------------|--------------|-------|----------|
| #56 | NOR Burnett | Burnett County requests winter maintenance funding on 1.8 miles of Trail 6. The trail is currently signed and developed. Trail 6 Winter funding. | *Structure | | \$0 | |
| | | | Engineer | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 1.8 miles | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Sumer Maint | | \$180 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Winter Maint | \$180 | \$180 | |
| #57 | NOR Burnett | Burnett County requests winter maintenance funding on 2.5 miles of the Gandy Dancer trail. The trail is currently signed and developed and the request is from Tewalt Rd in Siren south to the Polk Co line. Gandy Dancer Winter funding. | *Structure | | \$0 | |
| | | | Engineer | | | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$0 | |
| | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| Abutments | | | | | | |
| Pilings/Piers | | | | | | |
| Approaches | | | | | | |
| Culverts | | | | | | |
| Riprap | | | | | | |
| Labor | | | | | | |
| Equip Rental | | | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | FALSE | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 2.5 miles | | |
| Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | Water Body: | Material: | Sumer Maint | | \$250 | |
| | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | Winter Maint | \$250 | \$250 | |

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?
2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?
2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

2017-2018 ATV NEW WINTER TRAIL REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | |
|--------|------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------|---------|----------|--|
| NOR | Polk | Polk County requests winter maintenance funding on 29 miles of the Gander Dancer Trail. Gandy Winter Funding | *Structure | | \$0 | | |
| | #58 | | Engineer | | \$0 | | |
| | | | Soil test | | | | |
| | | | | Site prep | | | |
| | | | | Materials | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | | |
| | | | Labor | | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | | |
| | | | Other | | | | |
| | | 50/50 or other split? | TRUE or FALSE= | | | | |
| | | New Sum Maint | New Winter Maint | 29 miles | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | | \$2,900 | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | \$2,900 | | |
| | | | | \$2,900 | \$2,900 | | |
| | | | | | \$9,894 | | |
| | | | | | | \$0 | |

1. What is the bridge, wetland, water-crossing situation/condition on this trail?

2. Is upcoming rehab work likely needed or already planned?

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUPPORT REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved | |
|--------|---------|---|---|---|--|--|---|
| NOR-R | Vilas | <p>Vilas County proposes to further develop the Torch Lake Campground (Phase 2), by adding an ATV wash station, bathroom/shower facility, and paving the main loop. The county has applied to both RTA and Motorized Stewardship. The county's intention is to accept the maximum amount offered by Motorized Stewardship (with the county contributing a 20% match), and request the remainder from the ATV and RTA programs. The grand total of Phase 2 is \$356,205, request of ORV can be modified to reflect other tentative grants when available...</p> <p>Forestry Dept. has budgeted \$100,000 for project, pending Board approval.</p> | Shower/WC B Engineer Wash Station Asphalt Labor Erosion Contr | \$206,490 \$10,000 \$13,600 \$115,115 \$10,000 \$1,000 | \$356,205 50/50 Split \$356,205 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; width: fit-content; margin: auto;"> <p style="color: red; font-weight: bold;">No questions.</p> </div> | |
| | | | | | | | Enter TRUE or FALSE |
| | | | | | | | Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: |
| NOR | Douglas | <p>Douglas County proposes to construct a privy at the intersection of Trails 4/43 on the Gandy Dancer. Trail 4/43 Gandy Privy.</p> | *Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other - permits | \$14,400 \$3,036 | \$17,436 50/50 Split \$8,718 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; width: fit-content; margin: auto;"> <p style="color: red; font-weight: bold;">1. Have user groups other than ATV/UTV and snowmobile been asked to contribute?</p> <p style="color: red; font-weight: bold;">2. Are there other potential funding sources?</p> </div> | |
| | | Enter TRUE or FALSE | TRUE | | | | |
| | | Variance or Exception? Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: | New Bridge Size Material: Design Weight Load: | | | \$8,718 | |

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUPPORT REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|--------|------------------------|---|---------------------|----------|------------------|--|
| NOR | Burnett | Burnett County is requesting funding to develop an ATV campground north of Danbury. This is phase 1 which would include engineering and site clearing. Danbury Campground | *Structure | | \$75,800 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p style="color: red; margin: 0;">1. Is there any data to demonstrate the need for this campground?</p> <p style="color: red; margin: 0;">2. Did you gather data or do research to show the need?</p> </div> |
| | | | Engineer | \$65,000 | 50/50 Split | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$75,800 | |
| | | | Site prep | \$10,800 | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | |
| | | | Labor | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | |
| | | | Other - permits | | | |
| | | Enter TRUE or FALSE | FALSE | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | \$75,800 | |
| | | | | | | |
| NOR | Rusk | Rusk County proposes to further develop and renovate the Perch Lake Campground by making it accessible to ATV's. The project will consist of upgrades to electrical, water, relocate entrance road, expanding RV sites, gravel, pavillion, and signing. Perch Lake Campground Rehab. | *Structure | \$32,000 | \$167,520 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p style="color: red; margin: 0;">1. What are the future plans for ATV/UTV use in Rusk Co?</p> <p style="color: red; margin: 0;">2. Are there other funds involved and if so, how much?</p> <p style="color: red; margin: 0;">3. Why abandon the other driveway?</p> </div> |
| | | | Engineer | | 50/50 Split | |
| | | | Soil test | | \$167,520 | |
| | | | Site prep | \$36,730 | | |
| | | | Materials | \$66,500 | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | |
| | | | Culverts | \$2,390 | | |
| | | | gravel | \$28,700 | | |
| | | | Labor | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | |
| | | | Other - signs | \$1,200 | | |
| | | Enter TRUE or FALSE | FALSE | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | \$167,520 | |
| | | | | | | |

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUPPORT REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|--------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|---------------|
| NOR | City of Shell Lake | The City of Shell Lake is proposing further development of an ATV campground by completing phases 1 and 2. The project would consist of site development, water, electric, shelter building, shower/flush toilets, 4 unit vault toilet building, sanitary dump station, picnic tables, fire rings, grills, signing, and permits. \$187,000 have already been secured in grants already. Shell Lake ATV Campground | *Structure Engineer electrical Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other - permits | \$141,750 \$125,000 \$62,885 | \$329,635 50/50 Split \$329,635 | \$0.00 |
| | #63 | | | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: | New Bridge Size Material: Design Weight Load: | | | |
| | | Enter TRUE or FALSE | | FALSE | | |
| | | | | | \$329,635 | |
| NOR | Town of Ojibwa | The Town of Ojibwa proposes to further upgrade the existing Town Campground by adding a 12x12 and 24x24 pavilions. Enhancing campsites by adding gravel and cutting trees, and site prep. Ojibwa Park Campground Enhancements | *Structure Engineer Soil test Site prep Materials Abutments Pilings/Piers Approaches Culverts Riprap Labor Equip Rental Other - permits | \$21,024 \$10,150 | \$31,174 50/50 Split \$31,174 | \$0.00 |
| | #64 | | | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: Water Body: Years in System: | New Bridge Size Material: Design Weight Load: | | | |
| | | Enter TRUE or FALSE | | FALSE | | |
| | | | | | \$31,174 | |

1. Are there other funding sources that could contribute?

1. Are there other funding sources that could contribute?

2017-2018 ATV NEW SUPPORT REQUESTS

| Region | County | Description | Component | Costs | Total | Approved |
|--------------|--|---|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|
| NER | <div style="font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold; color: blue; text-decoration: underline;">#49</div> | The City of Gillett proposes to develop a public trailhead near the Nicolet State Trail. It is located between the trail and Railroad St. Phase I includes a restroom/shower facility and parking. The City has applied for \$45,000 in RTA funds. The trailhead is adjacent to ATV routes and unfunded trail that lead to the Nicolet State Trail. | *Structure | \$103,000 | \$128,000 | \$0.00 |
| | | | sewer/water | \$7,000 | 50/50 Split | |
| | | | electric | \$5,000 | \$83,000 | |
| | | | Site prep | \$13,000 | | |
| | | | Materials | | | |
| | | | Abutments | | | |
| | | | Pilings/Piers | | | |
| | | | Approaches | | | |
| | | | Culverts | | | |
| | | | Riprap | | | |
| | | | Labor | | | |
| | | | Equip Rental | | | |
| | | | Other - permits | | | |
| | | Enter TRUE or FALSE | TRUE | | | |
| | Variance or Exception? | Old Bridge Size: | New Bridge Size | | | |
| | | Water Body: | Material: | | | |
| | | Years in System: | Design Weight Load: | | | |
| Total | | | | | \$1,099,942 | \$0 |

1. Did you gather any data to demonstrate the need for this given that Lakewood is in the middle?

2. What is the big picture with total budget?

