| | | ADAMS (01) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|----------------------|----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of resthat indicated they rethe county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 113 | | | | 1308 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 50 | 19 | 25 | Yes | 573 | 164 | 297 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 64 | 20 | 9 | Yes | 660 | 241 | 128 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 43 | 30 | 19 | Yes | 532 | 301 | 184 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 40 | 33 | 19 | Yes | 525 | 320 | 170 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 47 | 26 | 19 | Yes | 477 | 304 | 224 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 48 | 20 | 24 | Yes | 516 | 226 | 255 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 56 | 16 | 20 | Yes | 561 | 205 | 231 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 55 | 5 | 31 | Yes | 575 | 75 | 336 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 36 | 19 | 36 | Yes | 429 | 150 | 404 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 37 | 7 | 47 | Yes | 462 | 93 | 426 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 29 | 31 | 34 | No | 294 | 404 | 366 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 56 | 29 | 9 | Yes | 601 | 339 | 124 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 41 | 48 | 4 | No | 496 | 462 | 104 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 56 | 28 | 9 | Yes | 645 | 261 | 154 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | ADAMS (01) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|------------|----------------------|----|-----|---|------|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of respond that indicated they recreat the county. | | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 113 | | | | 1308 | | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | | | | | 1300 | | | | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 50 | 31 | 12 | Yes | 594 | 306 | 156 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 45 | 41 | 4 | Yes | 451 | 447 | 124 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 43 | 38 | 9 | Yes | 505 | 359 | 153 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 22 | 28 | 44 | No | 404 | 276 | 425 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 62 | 27 | 7 | Yes | 642 | 297 | 164 | Yes | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 58 | 28 | / | Yes | 560 | 376 | 165 | Yes | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 58 | 22 | 13 | Yes | 597 | 305 | 201 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | 56 | | 13 | 165 | 391 | 303 | 201 | 165 | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 55 | 20 | 18 | Yes | 631 | 232 | 237 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | - 00 | | 10 | 100 | 001 | 202 | 201 | 100 | | | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 65 | 22 | 6 | Yes | 707 | 231 | 161 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 61 | 22 | 10 | Yes | 739 | 209 | 150 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 39 | 35 | 19 | Yes | 483 | 352 | 257 | Yes | | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 26 | 17 | 50 | Yes | 258 | 246 | 588 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 19 | 46 | 28 | No | 234 | 524 | 332 | No | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 80 | 4 | 9 | Yes | 872 | 86 | 130 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | _ | _ | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 83 | 3 | 7 | Yes | 894 | 66 | 128 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | 40 | 40 | 11 | Vas | E40 | 1.17 | 400 | Vac | | | |
| pi (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 40 | 12 | 41 | Yes | 513 | 147 | 423 | Yes | | | |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 24 | 28 | 41 | No | 399 | 256 | 428 | Yes | | | |
| 32 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | 24 | 20 | 41 | 110 | 399 | 200 | 420 | 165 | | | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 39 | 9 | 45 | Yes | 428 | 175 | 478 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | - 55 | | 70 | 100 | 120 | 110 | 770 | 103 | | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 47 | 9 | 37 | Yes | 517 | 135 | 429 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | | ADAN | IS (01) | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|------|--|-----|-----|-----|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of re that indicated they r the county. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 113 | | | | 1308 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 39 | 7 | 47 | Yes | 498 | 120 | 462 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 32 | 23 | 38 | Yes | 477 | 216 | 385 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 47 | 14 | 32 | Yes | 609 | 147 | 321 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 36 | 11 | 46 | Yes | 508 | 123 | 445 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 43 | 15 | 35 | Yes | 583 | 156 | 336 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 48 | 4 | 41 | Yes | 604 | 91 | 380 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 36 | 5 | 52 | Yes | 466 | 122 | 487 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 56 | 29 | 8 | Yes | 757 | 208 | 110 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 63 | 16 | 14 | Yes | 718 | 157 | 200 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 34 | 19 | 40 | Yes | 420 | 195 | 457 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 44 | 22 | 27 | Yes | 456 | 230 | 385 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 46 | 29 | 18 | Yes | 573 | 274 | 223 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 62 | 22 | 9 | Yes | 685 | 277 | 107 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 81 | 8 | 4 | Yes | 938 | 68 | 61 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 70 | 20 | 3 | Yes | 805 | 185 | 77 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 45 | 26 | 22 | Yes | 504 | 297 | 266 | Yes |

| | | | | | ADAM | /IS (01) | | | | | |
|-----|---|----------|----------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--|--|
| | Overation Decomination | | spondent eside in | | | | of respo | ondents eate in | | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | 113 | | | | 1308 | | | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 72 | 15 | 6 | Yes | 830 | 154 | 83 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 61 | 14 | 18 | Yes | 694 | 179 | 192 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 39 | 38 | 14 | Yes | 520 | 348 | 193 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 58 | 6 | 27 | Yes | 686 | 85 | 289 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 21 | 65 | 5 | No | 239 | 772 | 46 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 41 | 40 | 10 | Yes | 466 | 519 | 72 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 50 | 11 | 30 | Yes | 603 | 135 | 318 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 39 | 28 | 24 | Yes | 465 | 261 | 328 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 33 | 38 | 20 | No | 389 | 336 | 328 | Yes | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 56 28 | 23 19 | 12 44 | Yes Yes | 723 385 | 195 178 | 134 487 | Yes Yes | | |
| | Would you support the legalization of size in which waterlow numbers in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 34 | 46 | 11 | No | 430 | 494 | 125 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 35 | 50 | 6 | No | 401 | 511 | 135 | No | | |

| | | ASHLAND (02) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------|----------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | • | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 240 | | | | 2157 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 88 | 29 | 70 | Yes | 843 | 328 | 532 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 128 | 30 | 27 | Yes | 1191 | 248 | 239 | Yes | | |
| | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 108 | 44 | 31 | Yes | 956 | 397 | 310 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 116 | 41 | 25 | Yes | 980 | 425 | 249 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 92 | 49 | 40 | Yes | 730 | 538 | 374 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 72 | 53 | 52 | Yes | 706 | 475 | 441 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 81 | 52 | 44 | Yes | 787 | 450 | 385 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 109 | 11 | 57 | Yes | 974 | 146 | 488 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 85 | 22 | 70 | Yes | 808 | 194 | 602 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 103 | 12 | 62 | Yes | 963 | 132 | 509 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 55 | 80 | 68 | No | 409 | 687 | 703 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 108 | 66 | 29 | Yes | 902 | 647 | 247 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 101 | 72 | 30 | Yes | 812 | 759 | 223 | Yes | | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 118 | 47 | 37 | Yes | 924 | 565 | 303 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | ASHLAND (02) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--------------|------------------------|----|-----|------|--|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | nline input of res indicated they re county. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 240 | | | | 2157 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 109 | 61 | 32 | Yes | 917 | 592 | 279 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 104 | 67 | 21 | Yes | 896 | 606 | 248 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 122 | 47 | 22 | Yes | 1005 | 487 | 250 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 79 | 42 | 78 | Yes | 674 | 466 | 719 | Yes | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 109 | 59 | 30 | Yes | 1067 | 468 | 319 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 105 | 60 | 33 | Yes | 993 | 571 | 285 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 98 | 55 | 45 | Yes | 1026 | 465 | 363 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 122 | 27 | 49 | Yes | 1075 | 333 | 443 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 122 | 21 | 49 | 165 | 1075 | 333 | 443 | 165 | | |
| | day after season closes? | 145 | 29 | 24 | Yes | 1175 | 380 | 294 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 118 | 56 | 24 | Yes | 1097 | 468 | 283 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | 00 | | 100 | 1007 | 100 | 200 | 100 | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 105 | 47 | 45 | Yes | 937 | 494 | 413 | Yes | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 58 | 63 | 76 | No | 435 | 604 | 805 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 29 | 125 | 43 | No | 296 | 1096 | 442 | No | | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 162 | 15 | 19 | Yes | 1535 | 130 | 165 | Yes | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 171 | 10 | 15 | Yes | 1584 | 98 | 148 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | V | | 400 | | N. | | |
| <u> </u> | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 95 | 17 | 82 | Yes | 997 | 168 | 661 | Yes | | |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 52 | 54 | 88 | No | 571 | 541 | 714 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 74 | 32 | 88 | Yes | 767 | 299 | 759 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 91 | 11 | 92 | Yes | 967 | 145 | 708 | Yes | | |

| | | | | P | SHLA | ND (02 | 2) | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|------|--------|-----------|------------|---------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | t of respo | ondents reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 240 | | | | 2157 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 89 | 11 | 93 | Yes | 928 | 128 | 763 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 96 | 38 | 59 | Yes | 887 | 343 | 588 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 99 | 9 | 85 | Yes | 1031 | 122 | 664 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 91 | 11 | 90 | Yes | 943 | 122 | 750 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 97 | 10 | 85 | Yes | 996 | 137 | 681 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 104 | 11 | 77 | Yes | 1088 | 123 | 603 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 85 | 23 | 84 | Yes | 801 | 286 | 726 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 132 | 29 | 31 | Yes | 1053 | 553 | 206 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 132 | 22 | 38 | Yes | 1133 | 341 | 337 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 86 | 44 | 61 | Yes | 706 | 516 | 586 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 95 | 45 | 50 | Yes | 760 | 574 | 470 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 98 | 51 | 40 | Yes | 867 | 598 | 335 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 94 | 84 | 11 | Yes | 864 | 821 | 114 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 170 | 9 | 9 | Yes | 1602 | 105 | 88 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 145 | 27 | 16 | Yes | 1385 | 291 | 119 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 90 | 50 | 48 | Yes | 727 | 647 | 420 | Yes |

| | | | | A | SHLA | AND (02) | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|------------------------|----|------|----------|----------|--------------------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | | of respo | ondents eate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 240 | | | | 2157 | | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | V | | 000 | 400 | · · | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 159 | 21 | 8 | Yes | 1414 | 280 | 100 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 143 | 20 | 25 | Yes | 1293 | 258 | 243 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 118 | 43 | 27 | Yes | 1092 | 479 | 222 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 118 | 14 | 56 | Yes | 1300 | 132 | 359 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 58 | 110 | 20 | No | 494 | 1178 | 118 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 96 | 68 | 24 | Yes | 827 | 803 | 160 | Yes | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 117 | 24 | 46 | Yes | 1169 | 198 | 420 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 77 | 43 | 67 | Yes | 697 | 449 | 639 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 58 | 44 | 84 | Yes | 532 | 607 | 644 | No | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 136 | 24 | 26 | Yes | 1269 | 273 | 238 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 65 | 35 | 86 | Yes | 556 | 372 | 851 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 111 | 66 | 9 | Yes | 1011 | 652 | 111 | Yes | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 109 | 68 | 9 | Yes | 979 | 681 | 114 | Yes | | |

| | | BARRON (03) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------------|----------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|------------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | t of respo | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 296 | | | | 1108 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin Java, Wisconsin Minneagte, and Wisconsin Minneagte, and Wisconsin Minneagte, and Wisconsin Minneagte. | 120 | 41 | 78 | Yes | 492 | 146 | 253 | Yes | | |
| 2 | (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 169 | 42 | 26 | Yes | 607 | 166 | 110 | Yes | | |
| | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 123 | 66 | 47 | Yes | 431 | 281 | 165 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 96 | 92 | 46 | Yes | 398 | 323 | 154 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to | 123 | 64 | 46 | Yes | 440 | 249 | 178 | Yes | | |
| 6 | lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 123 | 35 | 73 | Yes | 443 | 176 | 243 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 129 | 32 | 70 | Yes | 472 | 157 | 233 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 150 | 15 | 66 | Yes | 576 | 84 | 198 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 105 | 30 | 96 | Yes | 399 | 153 | 306 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 117 | 28 | 86 | Yes | 447 | 129 | 282 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 91 | 96 | 68 | No | 312 | 352 | 290 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 165 | 61 | 28 | Yes | 560 | 282 | 110 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 119 | 117 | 17 | Yes | 445 | 433 | 73 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 178 | 57 | 18 | Yes | 633 | 216 | 101 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | BARRON (03) | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-------------|------------------------|-----|-----|------|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 296 | | | | 1108 | | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 153 | 72 | 27 | Yes | 573 | 265 | 107 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ` / | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 104 | 118 | 23 | No | 387 | 423 | 95 | No | | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | l | | | |
| | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 92 | 111 | 41 | No | 391 | 369 | 142 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 85 | 70 | 89 | Yes | 308 | 275 | 368 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 151 | 78 | 15 | Yes | 566 | 277 | 106 | Yes | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 117 | 111 | 16 | Yes | 455 | 400 | 94 | Yes | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 137 | 81 | 26 | Yes | 522 | 295 | 132 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | l | | | |
| | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 139 | 63 | 42 | Yes | 527 | 240 | 182 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | l | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 173 | 51 | 20 | Yes | 654 | 191 | 104 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 173 | 55 | 16 | Yes | 669 | 184 | 95 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | l | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 112 | 90 | 41 | Yes | 433 | 335 | 177 | Yes | | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | l | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 67 | 47 | 129 | Yes | 223 | 214 | 508 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 74 | 89 | 77 | No | 221 | 427 | 293 | No | | | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 172 | 17 | 51 | Yes | 697 | 95 | 149 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 183 | 10 | 47 | Yes | 731 | 70 | 140 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 99 | 22 | 118 | Yes | 427 | 123 | 389 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| | any one species? (440121) | 66 | 59 | 114 | Yes | 306 | 235 | 398 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 77 | 30 | 132 | Yes | 344 | 157 | 437 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 96 | 22 | 120 | Yes | 407 | 107 | 423 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | E | ON (03 |) | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------|--------|------|-----------|----------|--------------------|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents eate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 296 | | | | 1108 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate)? | 92 | 21 | 125 | Yes | 394 | 99 | 443 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 68 | 72 | 97 | No | 361 | 235 | 339 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 101 | 19 | 117 | Yes | 440 | 100 | 394 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 96 | 20 | 120 | Yes | 401 | 100 | 432 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 97 | 25 | 114 | Yes | 421 | 112 | 400 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 145 | 23 | 68 | Yes | 578 | 111 | 244 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 81 | 16 | 139 | Yes | 361 | 121 | 450 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 197 | 25 | 14 | Yes | 690 | 162 | 80 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 187 | 22 | 27 | Yes | 681 | 118 | 133 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 116 | 43 | 77 | Yes | 408 | 195 | 329 | Yes |
| | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 119 | 37 | 80 | Yes | 464 | 191 | 277 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 142 | 54 | 40 | Yes | 530 | 243 | 159 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 190 | 32 | 14 | Yes | 655 | 208 | 69 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 206 | 17 | 13 | Yes | 812 | 72 | 48 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 170 | 53 | 13 | Yes | 677 | 195 | 60 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 134 | 50 | 52 | Yes | 475 | 242 | 215 | Yes |

| | | | | i | BARRO | ON (03) | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|------------------------|-----|-------|---------|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 296 | | | | 1108 | | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 400 | 00 | 4.4 | | | 444 | 50 | · · | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 189 | 32 | 14 | Yes | 755 | 114 | 59 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 149 | 44 | 42 | Yes | 594 | 182 | 151 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 97 | 111 | 27 | No | 414 | 382 | 131 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 151 | 16 | 68 | Yes | 642 | 63 | 221 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 33 | 180 | 22 | No | 176 | 676 | 73 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 88 | 117 | 30 | No | 395 | 444 | 86 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 112 | 30 | 93 | Yes | 481 | 132 | 310 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 109 | 62 | 64 | Yes | 419 | 243 | 261 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 93 | 76 | 66 | Yes | 345 | 307 | 267 | Yes | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 155 | 35 | 44 | Yes | 604 | 164 | 150 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 106 | 33 | 95 | Yes | 368 | 147 | 402 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 55 | 155 | 24 | No | 318 | 523 | 75 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 70 | 146 | 18 | No | 328 | 500 | 87 | No | | |

| | | | | E | BAYFIE | LD (04 | l) | | |
|--------|---|-----|----------|------------|----------|--------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|
| | Question Description | | - | s that ind | | | ne input dicated tl unty. | - | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 536 | | | | 2766 | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 188 | 75 | 146 | Yes | 1046 | 383 | 736 | Yes |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 292 | 52 | 57 | Yes | 1512 | 295 | 329 | Yes |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 236 | 95 | 68 | Yes | 1231 | 466 | 423 | Yes |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 238 | 97 | 58 | Yes | 1263 | 517 | 332 | Yes |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 155 | 143 | 90 | Yes | 904 | 687 | 505 | Yes |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 147 | 128 | 111 | Yes | 848 | 599 | 624 | Yes |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 171 | 119 | 96 | Yes | 939 | 569 | 563 | Yes |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 220 | 30 | 133 | Yes | 1263 | 159 | 628 | Yes |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 177 | 39 | 163 | Yes | 1014 | 221 | 807 | Yes |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 227 | 28 | 124 | Yes | 1220 | 152 | 669 | Yes |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 104 | 155 | 171 | No | 528 | 862 | 928 | No |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 214 | 152 | 62 | Yes | 1139 | 836 | 340 | Yes |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 188 | 194 | 46 | No | 1049 | 958 | 306 | Yes |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 203 | 156 0 | 67 | Yes | 1192 | 720 | 396 | Yes |
| 15 | question mauvertainty entitled on survey | 0 | U | 0 | <u> </u> | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | | | E | BAYFIE | ELD (04 | l) | | |
|----------------|---|-----|-----|------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------|------|-----|
| | | | • | s that ind he count | | | of respo ney recre | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | 500 | | | | 0700 | | | |
| 140. | | 536 | | | | 2766 | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 211 | 149 | 64 | Yes | 1140 | 765 | 396 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 196 | 159 | 46 | Yes | 1141 | 788 | 313 | Yes |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 260 | 100 | 39 | Yes | 1346 | 590 | 298 | Yes |
| 4004400 | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | 404 | 440 | 004 | | | 500 | 4000 | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 134 | 112 | 201 | Yes | 836 | 569 | 1000 | Yes |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 224 | 146 | 77 | Yes | 1375 | 591 | 435 | Yes |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 230 | 140 | 75 | Yes | 1283 | 730 | 380 | Yes |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 223 | 134 | 90 | Yes | 1321 | 587 | 493 | Yes |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 271 | 60 | 115 | Yes | 1427 | 388 | 583 | Yes |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 274 | 101 | 70 | Yes | 1483 | 520 | 392 | Yes |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 255 | 119 | 70 | Yes | 1402 | 619 | 372 | Yes |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 252 | 105 | 87 | Yes | 1260 | 587 | 537 | Yes |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 119 | 154 | 171 | No | 558 | 833 | 993 | No |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 57 | 298 | 88 | No | 351 | 1472 | 552 | No |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 371 | 25 | 46 | Yes | 1990 | 157 | 226 | Yes |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 383 | 19 | 40 | Yes | 2052 | 116 | 205 | Yes |
| 30 | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | 303 | 18 | 40 | 162 | 2002 | 110 | 200 | 162 |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | |
| B1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 220 | 30 | 191 | Yes | 1260 | 204 | 903 | Yes |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 122 | 116 | 203 | Yes | 700 | 667 | 1000 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 167 | 55 | 219 | Yes | 1006 | 328 | 1032 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 207 | 30 | 204 | Yes | 1216 | 179 | 969 | Yes |

| | | | | E | BAYFIE | LD (04 | l) | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----|------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|------|-----|
| | Question Description | | • | s that ind he count | | | of respo hey recre | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 536 | | | | 2766 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 202 | 32 | 206 | Yes | 1166 | 170 | 1026 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 239 | 89 | 112 | Yes | 1204 | 396 | 760 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 214 | 30 | 196 | Yes | 1313 | 161 | 885 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 205 | 28 | 207 | Yes | 1192 | 162 | 1003 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 210 | 34 | 195 | Yes | 1268 | 176 | 912 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 248 | 20 | 170 | Yes | 1415 | 143 | 795 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 181 | 59 | 198 | Yes | 1030 | 361 | 960 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 233 | 151 | 54 | Yes | 1306 | 768 | 276 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 274 | 88 | 76 | Yes | 1462 | 460 | 427 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 156 | 149 | 133 | Yes | 874 | 670 | 801 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 163 | 171 | 104 | No | 932 | 767 | 642 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 229 | 146 | 62 | Yes | 1127 | 792 | 417 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 190 | 229 | 18 | No | 1100 | 1094 | 141 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 383 | 30 | 23 | Yes | 2083 | 136 | 112 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 322 | 85 | 29 | Yes | 1821 | 358 | 152 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 171 | 160 | 104 | Yes | 916 | 861 | 552 | Yes |

| | | | | E | BAYFIE | LD (04 | l) | | |
|------|---|-----|-----|------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------------------|------|------------------|
| | Question Description | | - | s that ind he count | | | ne input dicated tl unty. | • | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 536 | | | | 2766 | | | |
| - F4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 244 | 60 | 0.5 | V | 4044 | 204 | 450 | V ₂ z |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 341 | 68 | 25 | Yes | 1811 | 361 | 156 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 337 | 59 | 37 | Yes | 1739 | 312 | 275 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 283 | 114 | 35 | Yes | 1454 | 614 | 255 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 293 | 46 | 93 | Yes | 1679 | 160 | 482 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 149 | 261 | 22 | No | 652 | 1519 | 147 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 223 | 178 | 31 | Yes | 1093 | 1011 | 214 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 300 | 45 | 87 | Yes | 1552 | 228 | 535 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 174 | 111 | 147 | Yes | 903 | 549 | 862 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 125 | 147 | 160 | No | 671 | 792 | 847 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 310 | 64 | 57 | Yes | 1663 | 334 | 310 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 125 | 92 | 214 | Yes | 681 | 496 | 1126 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 280 | 137 | 14 | Yes | 1354 | 818 | 126 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 255 | 147 | 28 | Yes | 1326 | 819 | 151 | Yes |

| | | BROWN (05) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|----------------------|-----|-----|------|-----------|-----|--------------------|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | • | ondents eate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 789 | | | | 1735 | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 316 | 87 | 202 | Yes | 703 | 251 | 431 | Yes | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 420 | 99 | 80 | Yes | 956 | 249 | 166 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 322 | 141 | 131 | Yes | 767 | 347 | 239 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 344 | 145 | 105 | Yes | 765 | 359 | 228 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 268 | 177 | 147 | Yes | 623 | 390 | 328 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 274 | 138 | 175 | Yes | 687 | 317 | 332 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 303 | 120 | 164 | Yes | 763 | 287 | 286 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 301 | 26 | 256 | Yes | 725 | 73 | 532 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 238 | 45 | 296 | Yes | 572 | 119 | 636 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 254 | 26 | 295 | Yes | 628 | 89 | 607 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 165 | 266 | 177 | No | 347 | 546 | 495 | No | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 312 | 194 | 102 | Yes | 735 | 444 | 208 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 302 | 232 | 69 | Yes | 715 | 522 | 144 | Yes | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 397 | 108 | 97 | Yes | 861 | 318 | 200 | Yes | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

| | | BROWN (05) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|------------|------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----------|----------|---------------------|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated 1 | of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 789 | | | | 1735 | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 322 | 174 | 103 | Yes | 760 | 382 | 232 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 241 | 254 | 101 | No | 634 | 513 | 196 | Yes | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 314 | 176 | 103 | Yes | 704 | 393 | 239 | Yes | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 267 | 143 | 219 | Yes | 607 | 326 | 512 | Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 313 | 206 | 106 | Yes | 813 | 393 | 236 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 251 | 277 | 97 | No | 659 | 555 | 224 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 295 | 216 | 114 | Yes | 748 | 421 | 273 | Yes | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 334 | 104 | 185 | Yes | 801 | 245 | 392 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 399 | 110 | 113 | Yes | 897 | 286 | 252 | Yes | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 388 | 117 | 117 | Yes | 930 | 262 | 243 | Yes | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 295 | 167 | 157 | Yes | 656 | 383 | 386 | Yes | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 166 | 134 | 319 | Yes | 345 | 351 | 729 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 101 | 331 | 187 | No | 259 | 728 | 434 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 483 | 38 | 98 | Yes | 1126 | 81 | 210 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 504 | 26 | 89 | Yes | 1175 | 61 | 181 | Yes | |
| 31 (WCC | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 275 | 69 | 271 | Yes | 711 | 162 | 540 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 225 | 122 | 268 | Yes | 513 | 348 | 552 | Yes | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 272 | 62 | 281 | Yes | 655 | 183 | 575 | Yes | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 263 | 55 | 297 | Yes | 723 | 128 | 562 | Yes | |

| | | | | | BROW | /N (05) | | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----|------------|------|---------|-----------|------------|---------------------|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | dicated t | t of respo | ondents reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 789 | | | | 1735 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 257 | 49 | 308 | Yes | 701 | 110 | 601 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 265 | 108 | 240 | Yes | 674 | 261 | 476 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 285 | 44 | 284 | Yes | 760 | 112 | 539 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 256 | 44 | 313 | Yes | 687 | 109 | 615 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 276 | 55 | 282 | Yes | 755 | 132 | 524 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 307 | 34 | 272 | Yes | 799 | 94 | 517 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 236 | 43 | 334 | Yes | 605 | 141 | 663 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 411 | 120 | 82 | Yes | 940 | 280 | 189 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 411 | 60 | 141 | Yes | 913 | 182 | 313 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 231 | 102 | 277 | Yes | 532 | 259 | 614 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 257 | 116 | 236 | Yes | 563 | 302 | 539 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 313 | 151 | 144 | Yes | 713 | 370 | 318 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 395 | 138 | 74 | Yes | 872 | 366 | 160 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 523 | 34 | 50 | Yes | 1226 | 76 | 95 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 445 | 100 | 62 | Yes | 1063 | 209 | 125 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 303 | 143 | 161 | Yes | 646 | 348 | 402 | Yes |

| | | | | | BROW | /N (05) | | | |
|-----|---|-----|----------------------|-----|-----------|---------|----------|---------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 789 | | | | 1735 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 475 | 00 | 00 | \ <u></u> | 1000 | 400 | 440 | · · |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 475 | 69 | 63 | Yes | 1092 | 190 | 113 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 404 | 73 | 129 | Yes | 943 | 192 | 259 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 335 | 152 | 117 | Yes | 777 | 364 | 251 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 359 | 35 | 207 | Yes | 879 | 80 | 431 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 130 | 425 | 44 | No | 319 | 993 | 76 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 279 | 269 | 51 | Yes | 653 | 635 | 100 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 328 | 59 | 209 | Yes | 788 | 123 | 472 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 241 | 151 | 204 | Yes | 558 | 349 | 476 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 200 | 168 | 227 | Yes | 462 | 400 | 519 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| | control in addition to boating safety? | 405 | 96 | 94 | Yes | 961 | 212 | 205 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 193 | 92 | 310 | Yes | 451 | 258 | 667 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 211 | 300 | 84 | No | 558 | 642 | 174 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 238 | 259 | 98 | No | 583 | 594 | 197 | No |

| | | | BUFFALO (06) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|----------------------|----|-----|-----|--------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | ondents eate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 118 | | | | 664 | | | | | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 48 | 3 | 46 | Yes | 299 | 73 | 185 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 56 | 20 | 21 | Yes | 359 | 123 | 73 | Yes | | |
| | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 41 | 27 | 28 | Yes | 286 | 174 | 91 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 55 | 24 | 17 | Yes | 283 | 188 | 77 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 59 | 19 | 17 | Yes | 310 | 136 | 99 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 42 | 10 | 43 | Yes | 277 | 112 | 154 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 47 | 4 | 44 | Yes | 301 | 95 | 147 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 59 | 5 | 31 | Yes | 348 | 43 | 149 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 43 | 9 | 43 | Yes | 251 | 90 | 198 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 40 | 6 | 49 | Yes | 272 | 68 | 199 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 32 | 35 | 34 | No | 176 | 209 | 200 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 69 | 15 | 17 | Yes | 357 | 163 | 65 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 43 | 48 | 9 | No | 280 | 262 | 42 | Yes | | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 67 | 23 | 10 | Yes | 378 | 139 | 67 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | | BUFFALO (06) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----|----------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|--|-----|------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 118 | | | | 664 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 54 | 30 | 16 | Yes | 333 | 177 | 73 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 39 | 48 | 8 | No | 229 | 281 | 49 | No | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 44 | 35 | 16 | Yes | 264 | 213 | 81 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | | |
| ` ' | disabled, and military service hunters. | 24 | 43 | 37 | No | 189 | 198 | 198 | No | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 65 | 33 | 6 | Yes | 362 | 166 | 55 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 47 | 46 | 10 | Yes | 274 | 254 | 53 | Yes | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 64 | 33 | 7 | Yes | 341 | 178 | 64 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 64 | 28 | 12 | Yes | 361 | 136 | 84 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 74 | 19 | 11 | Yes | 400 | 119 | 62 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 73 | 18 | 13 | Yes | 419 | 101 | 60 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 43 | 36 | 25 | Yes | 254 | 209 | 116 | Yes | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 15 | 22 | 67 | No | 122 | 131 | 326 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 22 | 55 | 27 | No | 129 | 288 | 158 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 77 | 8 | 19 | Yes | 441 | 52 | 81 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | _ | | , | | | | | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 79 | 9 | 16 | Yes | 461 | 51 | 62 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 /11/20 | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | 4.0 | | | 00- | 00 | 0.46 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | |
| B1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 37 | 10 | 57 | Yes | 265 | 69 | 240 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 0.4 | 4.5 | 50 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | 407 | 400 | 054 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 31 | 15 | 58 | Yes | 197 | 126 | 251 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | 0- | 4.0 | 0.4 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | 0.40 | 0.4 | 004 | V | | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 27 | 13 | 64 | Yes | 216 | 94 | 264 | Yes | | |
| 24 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 24 | | 60 | V | 0.40 | C4 | 007 | Vaa | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 34 | 8 | 62 | Yes | 246 | 61 | 267 | Yes | | |

| | | | | E | BUFFA | LO (06 | LO (06) | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|-------|--------|---------|-----|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated | - | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 118 | | | | 664 | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 33 | 8 | 63 | Yes | 240 | 56 | 278 | Yes | | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 32 | 20 | 52 | Yes | 225 | 138 | 211 | Yes | | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 38 | 9 | 57 | Yes | 288 | 62 | 224 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 34 | 5 | 65 | Yes | 239 | 60 | 275 | Yes | | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 35 | 5 | 64 | Yes | 265 | 66 | 243 | Yes | | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 55 | 6 | 43 | Yes | 334 | 64 | 176 | Yes | | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 35 | 5 | 64 | Yes | 230 | 69 | 275 | Yes | | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 75 | 19 | 10 | Yes | 411 | 110 | 53 | Yes | | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 81 | 7 | 15 | Yes | 439 | 60 | 73 | Yes | | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 43 | 15 | 44 | Yes | 250 | 116 | 204 | Yes | | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 47 | 17 | 38 | Yes | 260 | 113 | 197 | Yes | | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 56 | 28 | 18 | Yes | 327 | 147 | 95 | Yes | | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 76 | 18 | 8 | Yes | 405 | 124 | 40 | Yes | | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 87 | 7 | 8 | Yes | 497 | 44 | 26 | Yes | | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 77 | 14 | 11 | Yes | 431 | 102 | 34 | Yes | | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 44 | 25 | 33 | Yes | 282 | 157 | 128 | Yes | | | | |

| | | | | E | BUFFA | LO (06 | 5) | | |
|-----|---|----------|-----------------------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|
| | Question Description | | pondent eside in 1 | | | | dicated t | • | ondents eate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 118 | | | | 664 | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 81 | 10 | 11 | Yes | 447 | 80 | 39 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 69 | 12 | 21 | Yes | 384 | 107 | 75 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 48 | 37 | 17 | Yes | 273 | 222 | 71 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 67 | 5 | 28 | Yes | 408 | 46 | 110 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 26 | 63 | 11 | No | 144 | 378 | 40 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 43 | 44 | 13 | No | 222 | 281 | 59 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 50 | 12 | 38 | Yes | 317 | 82 | 162 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 42 | 38 | 20 | Yes | 253 | 175 | 133 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 35 | 39 | 26 | No | 203 | 208 | 150 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 57 32 | 20 16 | 23 51 | Yes Yes | 374 229 | 103 98 | 83 230 | Yes Yes |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 25 | 60 | 14 | No | 177 | 329 | 50 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 22 | 66 | 11 | No | 171 | 334 | 51 | No |

| Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Minnesota. Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 12-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin 4 waters? Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and taps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake 5 sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these waterwises? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the program? 11(WM) [8 in | | | BURNETT (07) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--|--------------|----|----|-----|---------|-----|---------|-----|--|--|
| Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and 1 (FH) extending to December 31 in open water only? Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Minnesola, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters 2 would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleyer from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin 4 waters? Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? 5 traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? 6 and anglies would not need a harvest tag to catch and release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglies would not need a harvest tag to catch and release seasons for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with though you support the Wisconsin DNR extalpishing separate bag limits for each catifish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catifish spe | | Question Description | | • | | | that in | • | | | | |
| Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and 1 (FH). Executed the control of the con | No. | COUNTY INPUT | 109 | | | | 782 | | | | | |
| (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 15" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of 30 one total walleye, either between 16-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 15" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of 39 28 19 Yes 308 201 120 Yes 30 one total walleye, either between 16-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin 4 waters? Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? Do you support creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release copportunities to additional waters where lake 50 12 23 Yes 297 152 168 Yes 305 135 157 Yes Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls dow | 1 (FH) | Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 55 | 11 | 21 | Yes | 348 | 112 | 180 | Yes | | |
| 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin 4 waters? Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and 5 traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? 41 27 17 Yes 284 189 148 Yes Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, 6 and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. 45 17 23 Yes 297 152 168 Yes Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [88 increase 54 increase no fee increase] Do you support temoving the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfow hunt? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply fo | 2 | (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 67 | 13 | 7 | Yes | 429 | 125 | 79 | Yes | | |
| could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest 7. This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest ag to catch and release lake sturgeon. Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establishing ame fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polik County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase no fee increase Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? So you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the program? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 3 | 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 39 | 28 | 19 | Yes | 308 | 201 | 120 | Yes | | |
| Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and 5 traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? 41 27 17 Yes 284 189 148 Ye | 4 | could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin | 39 | 32 | 15 | Yes | 321 | 210 | 96 | Yes | | |
| Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix 9 River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescot concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [§8 increase] \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | | | | | | | | | | Yes | | |
| 7 sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support Balls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, | 45 | 17 | 23 | Yes | 297 | 152 | 168 | Yes | | |
| a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 7 | sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | | 12 | 23 | Yes | 325 | 135 | 157 | Yes | | |
| River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Ay 28 Yes 305 111 197 Yes 385 85 143 Yes 385 8 | 8 | a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | | 13 | 21 | Yes | 415 | 75 | 126 | Yes | | |
| from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 9 | River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 38 | 19 | 28 | Yes | 305 | 111 | 197 | Yes | | |
| program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 50 | 11 | 24 | Yes | 385 | 85 | 143 | Yes | | |
| during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 32 | 42 | 22 | No | 232 | 219 | 234 | Yes | | |
| 13 season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 12 | during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 53 | 32 | 11 | Yes | 405 | 219 | 60 | Yes | | |
| | 13 | season is open to hunting? | 49 | 38 | 9 | Yes | 332 | 291 | 61 | Yes | | |
| 15 question inadvertantly omitted on survey 0 0 0 0 0 | | three years? | 65 0 | 27 | 4 | Yes | 409 | 200 | 75 0 | Yes | | |

| | | BURNETT (07) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--------------|------------------------|----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | All online input of rest that indicated they re- the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 109 | | | | 782 | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 56 | 28 | 12 | Yes | 413 | 195 | 73 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 32 | 46 | 6 | No | 282 | 308 | 58 | No | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 32 | 38 | 14 | No | 296 | 262 | 89 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 22 | 30 | 36 | No | 222 | 189 | 271 | Yes | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 56 | 26 | 6 | Yes | 406 | 200 | 75 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 47 | 38 | 3 | Yes | 330 | 287 | 64 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 52 | 30 | 6 | Yes | 390 | 202 | 89 | Yes | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 48 | 19 | 21 | Yes | 384 | 157 | 140 | Yes | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 54 | 27 | 7 | Yes | 424 | 173 | 84 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 56 | 23 | 8 | Yes | 449 | 159 | 72 | Yes | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 31 | 35 | 20 | No | 307 | 240 | 130 | Yes | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 20 | 16 | 50 | Yes | 141 | 181 | 355 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 15 | 52 | 19 | No | 126 | 373 | 177 | No | | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 55 | 12 | 19 | Yes | 510 | 72 | 94 | Yes | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 62 | 7 | 17 | Yes | 530 | 57 | 89 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 24 | 15 | 46 | Yes | 310 | 95 | 270 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 20 | 18 | 47 | Yes | 208 | 182 | 285 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 20 | 15 | 50 | Yes | 249 | 118 | 308 | Yes | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 25 | 13 | 47 | Yes | 293 | 86 | 296 | Yes | | |

| | | | | E | BURNE | ETT (07) | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|-------|----------|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 109 | | | | 782 | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 25 | 11 | 49 | Yes | 284 | 80 | 311 | Yes | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 26 | 24 | 35 | Yes | 276 | 163 | 234 | Yes | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 26 | 11 | 48 | Yes | 310 | 80 | 282 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 24 | 10 | 51 | Yes | 281 | 79 | 311 | Yes | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 26 | 10 | 49 | Yes | 301 | 86 | 284 | Yes | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 34 | 10 | 41 | Yes | 371 | 78 | 222 | Yes | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 28 | 13 | 44 | Yes | 266 | 115 | 290 | Yes | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 50 | 26 | 9 | Yes | 441 | 165 | 65 | Yes | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 61 | 13 | 11 | Yes | 463 | 96 | 111 | Yes | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 41 | 24 | 19 | Yes | 296 | 165 | 207 | Yes | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 43 | 20 | 21 | Yes | 329 | 164 | 174 | Yes | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 44 | 24 | 16 | Yes | 368 | 187 | 111 | Yes | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 56 | 23 | 5 | Yes | 445 | 182 | 39 | Yes | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 73 | 4 | 7 | Yes | 590 | 40 | 36 | Yes | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 54 | 21 | 9 | Yes | 499 | 127 | 40 | Yes | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 47 | 21 | 16 | Yes | 330 | 191 | 145 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | E | BURNE | ETT (07) | | | | | |
|------|---|-----|----------------------|-----|-------|----------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | - | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 109 | | | | 782 | | | | | |
| - F4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 00 | 4.4 | 4.4 | Vaa | 540 | 0.7 | 50 | Var | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 62 | 11 | 11 | Yes | 518 | 97 | 50 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 58 | 7 | 19 | Yes | 441 | 122 | 102 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 37 | 29 | 18 | Yes | 332 | 246 | 87 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 54 | 1 | 29 | Yes | 463 | 42 | 157 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 20 | 51 | 13 | No | 155 | 445 | 62 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 32 | 38 | 14 | No | 265 | 327 | 70 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 40 | 9 | 35 | Yes | 379 | 99 | 183 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 36 | 24 | 24 | Yes | 296 | 186 | 178 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 25 | 31 | 28 | No | 235 | 233 | 192 | Yes | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 52 | 10 | 22 | Yes | 452 | 116 | 92 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 40 | 18 | 26 | Yes | 285 | 126 | 248 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 29 | 52 | 2 | No | 265 | 360 | 33 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 32 | 46 | 5 | No | 253 | 352 | 52 | No | | |

| | | | | C | ALUM | MET (08) | | | | | | |
|--------|---|----------|--|---------|------|----------|--|----------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 322 | | | | 973 | | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes | 139 | 24 | 109 | Yes | 395 | 104 | 289 | Yes | | | |
| 2 | (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 189 | 42 | 35 | Yes | 502 | 167 | 107 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 119 | 89 | 55 | Yes | 380 | 237 | 150 | Yes | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 138 | 80 | 43 | Yes | 413 | 219 | 132 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 123 | 63 | 74 | Yes | 363 | 206 | 186 | Yes | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 135 | 66 | 59 | Yes | 374 | 216 | 164 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 147 | 62 | 51 | Yes | 400 | 206 | 148 | Yes | | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 113 | 12 | 133 | Yes | 354 | 52 | 340 | Yes | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 68 | 23 | 167 | Yes | 280 | 77 | 388 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 76 | 13 | 169 | Yes | 313 | 52 | 377 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 89 | 99 | 80 | No | 207 | 289 | 281 | No | | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 150 | 72 | 46 | Yes | 419 | 246 | 111 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support logislation that would allow applicants to keep their professions points if they fail to apply for | 128 | 112 | 28 | Yes | 367 | 316 | 90 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 179 0 | 61 0 | 27 0 | Yes | 471 0 | 190 0 | 110 0 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Adoption madvortainty offittod on survey | U | U | U | | U | U | U | | | | |

| | | CALUMET (08) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--------------|------------------------|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | All online input of respective that indicated they receive the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 322 | | | | 973 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 157 | 59 | 51 | Yes | 430 | 200 | 139 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 122 | 106 | 32 | Yes | 347 | 306 | 109 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | 103 | 104 | 52 | No | 360 | 269 | 132 | Yes | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 114 | 66 | 96 | Yes | 335 | 201 | 319 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 172 | 73 | 31 | Yes | 505 | 208 | 142 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 122 | 121 | 33 | Yes | 402 | 309 | 143 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 148 | 83 | 45 | Yes | 457 | 226 | 172 | Yes | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 133 | 64 | 78 | Yes | 447 | 176 | 229 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 179 | 56 | 40 | Yes | 523 | 158 | 170 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 188 | 52 | 35 | Yes | 517 | 178 | 155 | Yes | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 98 | 95 | 80 | Yes | 356 | 255 | 234 | Yes | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 53 | 54 | 166 | No | 185 | 201 | 459 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 52 | 126 | 94 | No | 157 | 405 | 282 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 193 | 24 | 55 | Yes | 645 | 65 | 134 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 204 | 19 | 49 | Yes | 680 | 50 | 114 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 107 | 31 | 133 | Yes | 370 | 114 | 358 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 97 | 40 | 134 | Yes | 292 | 186 | 364 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 97 | 31 | 143 | Yes | 325 | 106 | 411 | Yes | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 113 | 17 | 141 | Yes | 379 | 81 | 381 | Yes | | |

| | | | | C | ALUN | MET (08) | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------|------|----------|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 322 | | | | 973 | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate)? | 105 | 15 | 151 | Yes | 365 | 71 | 404 | Yes | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 99 | 62 | 110 | Yes | 362 | 176 | 302 | Yes | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 124 | 14 | 133 | Yes | 409 | 76 | 354 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 101 | 15 | 155 | Yes | 361 | 76 | 402 | Yes | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 121 | 22 | 128 | Yes | 415 | 97 | 326 | Yes | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 126 | 15 | 129 | Yes | 434 | 63 | 340 | Yes | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 84 | 15 | 171 | Yes | 307 | 87 | 442 | Yes | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 228 | 18 | 24 | Yes | 579 | 151 | 106 | Yes | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 185 | 24 | 61 | Yes | 532 | 108 | 196 | Yes | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 100 | 40 | 129 | Yes | 301 | 147 | 386 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 96 | 40 | 133 | Yes | 317 | 165 | 352 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 138 | 61 | 69 | Yes | 418 | 205 | 210 | Yes | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 209 | 32 | 27 | Yes | 531 | 201 | 99 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 225 | 18 | 25 | Yes | 704 | 48 | 77 | Yes | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 187 | 48 | 33 | Yes | 603 | 129 | 97 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 141 | 54 | 72 | Yes | 367 | 216 | 244 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | C | ALUM | MET (08) | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | pondent | | | | dicated t | - | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 322 | | | | 973 | | | | | | |
| F.1 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 206 | 20 | 22 | Ves | 600 | 110 | 96 | Vas | | | |
| 51 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 162 | 34 | 70 | Yes Yes | 623 523 | 118 126 | 177 | Yes Yes | | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 112 | 81 | 73 | Yes | 407 | 237 | 182 | Yes | | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 133 | 22 | 111 | Yes | 496 | 56 | 271 | Yes | | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 53 | 195 | 18 | No | 191 | 591 | 40 | No | | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 114 | 130 | 22 | No | 412 | 350 | 60 | Yes | | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 107 | 33 | 125 | Yes | 426 | 84 | 308 | Yes | | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 110 | 65 | 90 | Yes | 314 | 201 | 303 | Yes | | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 100 | 70 | 94 | Yes | 277 | 219 | 319 | Yes | | | |
| 20 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | 470 | | 10 | V | 500 | 4.12 | 40- | | | | |
| 60 61 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 170 77 | 52 42 | 42 145 | Yes Yes | 539 252 | 140 149 | 135 410 | Yes Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the legalization of size in Shot for waterlow number of Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 60 | 164 | 39 | No | 296 | 391 | 122 | No | | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 69 | 149 | 45 | No | 301 | 376 | 132 | No | | | |

| | | CHIPPEWA (09) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---------------|----------------------|-----|-----|------|---------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 466 | | | | 1626 | | | | | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 210 | 47 | 113 | Yes | 687 | 216 | 365 | Yes | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 228 | 83 | 57 | Yes | 835 | 242 | 179 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 171 | 119 | 77 | Yes | 635 | 364 | 248 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 169 | 131 | 67 | Yes | 643 | 403 | 197 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 175 | 94 | 98 | Yes | 574 | 360 | 301 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 191 | 73 | 101 | Yes | 620 | 277 | 332 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 215 | 53 | 97 | Yes | 678 | 253 | 298 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 236 | 23 | 105 | Yes | 772 | 106 | 342 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 149 | 68 | 147 | Yes | 568 | 208 | 443 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 163 | 49 | 151 | Yes | 653 | 140 | 423 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 139 | 144 | 106 | No | 393 | 478 | 442 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 226 | 100 | 63 | Yes | 712 | 431 | 170 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 205 | 147 | 37 | Yes | 650 | 533 | 130 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 277 | 75 | 37 | Yes | 823 | 298 | 191 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | CHIPPEWA (09) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------------|------------------------|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 466 | | | | 1626 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 227 | 105 | 55 | Yes | 729 | 389 | 190 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 152 | 183 | 33 | No | 561 | 555 | 158 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | 159 | 155 | 53 | Yes | 656 | 430 | 183 | Yes | | |
| 19(WCC) | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 116 | 124 | 161 | No | 468 | 374 | 556 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 217 | 134 | 48 | Yes | 801 | 375 | 217 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 167 | 175 | 56 | No | 681 | 499 | 209 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 199 | 139 | 61 | Yes | 737 | 398 | 258 | Yes | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 221 | 82 | 96 | Yes | 801 | 259 | 330 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 294 | 58 | 47 | Yes | 907 | 267 | 216 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 278 | 75 | 45 | Yes | 907 | 277 | 203 | Yes | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 186 | 134 | 77 | Yes | 636 | 432 | 311 | Yes | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 99 | 91 | 207 | Yes | 315 | 354 | 710 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 82 | 175 | 140 | No | 266 | 695 | 412 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 283 | 37 | 75 | Yes | 1076 | 106 | 185 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 294 | 25 | 76 | Yes | 1109 | 84 | 174 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 150 | 47 | 198 | Yes | 664 | 155 | 544 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 110 | 83 | 202 | Yes | 467 | 329 | 567 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 121 | 61 | 213 | Yes | 531 | 207 | 623 | Yes | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 148 | 40 | 206 | Yes | 645 | 139 | 576 | Yes | | |

| | | | | С | HIPPE | EWA (09) | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------------------|-------|----------|------------|---------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | | ts that in the coun | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 466 | | | | 1626 | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 142 | 39 | 213 | Yes | 616 | 128 | 616 | Yes | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 134 | 85 | 174 | Yes | 545 | 274 | 539 | Yes | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 157 | 31 | 205 | Yes | 672 | 128 | 558 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 143 | 36 | 214 | Yes | 619 | 125 | 613 | Yes | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 151 | 36 | 205 | Yes | 658 | 140 | 558 | Yes | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 291 | 52 | 49 | Yes | 920 | 151 | 284 | Yes | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 115 | 42 | 235 | Yes | 512 | 176 | 665 | Yes | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 311 | 45 | 35 | Yes | 911 | 287 | 154 | Yes | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 288 | 36 | 66 | Yes | 890 | 184 | 275 | Yes | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 166 | 66 | 158 | Yes | 511 | 301 | 536 | Yes | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 184 | 66 | 139 | Yes | 580 | 304 | 462 | Yes | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 216 | 90 | 82 | Yes | 706 | 371 | 265 | Yes | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 286 | 68 | 34 | Yes | 801 | 399 | 141 | Yes | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 327 | 29 | 32 | Yes | 1154 | 88 | 97 | Yes | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 266 | 85 | 37 | Yes | 974 | 250 | 115 | Yes | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 208 | 97 | 83 | Yes | 632 | 380 | 325 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | С | HIPPE | WA (09) | | | | | |
|----------|---|------------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|---------------------|------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | pondent | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 466 | | | | 1626 | | | | | |
| F.4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 240 | 4.4 | 24 | Vas | 1040 | 105 | 101 | Vas | | |
| 51 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 312 245 | 77 | 31 65 | Yes Yes | 1048 890 | 185 219 | 223 | Yes Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 164 | 149 | 73 | Yes | 676 | 432 | 222 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 283 | 30 | 73 | Yes | 994 | 105 | 230 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 65 | 297 | 22 | No | 265 | 996 | 64 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 146 | 202 | 36 | No | 553 | 665 | 107 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 200 | 56 | 128 | Yes | 755 | 154 | 413 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 170 | 104 | 109 | Yes | 535 | 339 | 446 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 123 | 126 | 133 | No | 421 | 430 | 466 | No | | |
| 00 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | 000 | 00 | 50 | V | 676 | 004 | 000 | V | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 239 121 | 82 56 | 59 202 | Yes Yes | 876 425 | 231 224 | 208 664 | Yes Yes | | |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 102 | 234 | 42 | No | 564 | 616 | 129 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 116 | 214 | 48 | No | 561 | 611 | 136 | No | | |

| | | CLARK (10) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|----------------------|----|-----|------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | ine input dicated t unty. | • | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 254 | | | | 1131 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 111 | 30 | 63 | Yes | 502 | 140 | 265 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 135 | 35 | 30 | Yes | 605 | 184 | 107 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 83 | 73 | 43 | Yes | 415 | 307 | 169 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 74 | 77 | 45 | No | 398 | 319 | 168 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 92 | 43 | 58 | Yes | 448 | 221 | 212 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 86 | 33 | 73 | Yes | 431 | 191 | 254 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 96 | 27 | 69 | Yes | 497 | 156 | 223 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 100 | 15 | 76 | Yes | 509 | 76 | 283 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 59 | 42 | 89 | Yes | 359 | 147 | 359 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 71 | 22 | 97 | Yes | 389 | 107 | 368 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 93 | 76 | 51 | Yes | 310 | 362 | 285 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 118 | 69 | 32 | Yes | 549 | 301 | 106 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 116 | 95 | 8 | Yes | 472 | 426 | 58 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 158 | 50 | 11 | Yes | 660 | 220 | 76 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | | CLARK (10) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|--|------------|------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | s that in | | All online input of re that indicated they r the county. | | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 254 | | | | 1131 | | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 130 | 68 | 20 | Yes | 570 | 282 | 102 | Yes | | | |
| 17(NRB) | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 82 | 89 | 27 | No | 396 | 433 | 97 | No | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 63 | 88 | 47 | No | 364 | 407 | 152 | No | | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 76 | 63 | 61 | Yes | 356 | 302 | 320 | Yes | | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 112 | 76 | 12 | Yes | 586 | 305 | 85 | Yes | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 82 | 105 | 12 | No | 464 | 426 | 85 | Yes | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | 104 | 81 | 15 | Yes | 545 | 324 | 107 | Yes | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 96 | 54 | 50 | Yes | 529 | 238 | 207 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 132 | 55 | 13 | Yes | 648 | 234 | 91 | Yes | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | 142 | 44 | 14 | Yes | 693 | 188 | 92 | Yes | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | 66 | 96 | 37 | No | 392 | 377 | 197 | Yes | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 36 | 33 | 130 | Yes | 202 | 190 | 574 | Yes | | | |
| | Wisconsin? Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 57 126 | 81 31 | 60 41 | No Yes | 221 683 | 452 107 | 287 169 | No Yes | | | |
| | Would you support additional testing for 11 Ao levels in drinking water across wisconsins: Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 141 | 20 | 37 | Yes | 722 | 78 | 159 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 75 | 38 | 85 | Yes | 453 | 145 | 360 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 74 | 44 | 80 | Yes | 390 | 217 | 351 | Yes | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 67 | 36 | 95 | Yes | 354 | 170 | 434 | Yes | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 88 | 40 | 70 | Yes | 477 | 137 | 343 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | | CLAR | K (10) | | | |
|-----|--|---|----|-----|------|--------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|
| | Question Description | All respondents that indica they reside in the county | | | | | ine input dicated t unty. | • | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 254 | | | | 1131 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 72 | 32 | 94 | Yes | 421 | 114 | 422 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 53 | 48 | 97 | Yes | 341 | 240 | 374 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 75 | 28 | 95 | Yes | 483 | 112 | 359 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 68 | 33 | 97 | Yes | 416 | 116 | 421 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 71 | 32 | 95 | Yes | 459 | 129 | 365 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 103 | 31 | 64 | Yes | 562 | 122 | 269 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 65 | 23 | 110 | Yes | 367 | 109 | 476 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 168 | 20 | 10 | Yes | 738 | 156 | 57 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 153 | 19 | 26 | Yes | 700 | 119 | 131 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 93 | 42 | 63 | Yes | 410 | 183 | 356 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 101 | 33 | 63 | Yes | 455 | 186 | 305 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 117 | 49 | 31 | Yes | 544 | 255 | 146 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 168 | 20 | 9 | Yes | 727 | 157 | 60 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 160 | 27 | 9 | Yes | 801 | 90 | 51 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 137 | 46 | 13 | Yes | 683 | 183 | 76 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 110 | 45 | 41 | Yes | 508 | 243 | 191 | Yes |

| | | | | | CLAR | K (10) | K (10) | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|---------|-----|------|--------|----------|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | pondent | | | | of respo | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 254 | | | | 1131 | | | | | | |
| F.4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 450 | 20 | 40 | Vaa | 750 | 400 | 50 | Vaa | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 150 | 28 | 16 | Yes | 753 | 129 | 58 | Yes | | | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a wanton waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 107 | 46 | 40 | Yes | 570 | 201 | 166 | Yes | | | |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 55 | 104 | 31 | No | 399 | 400 | 133 | No | | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 116 | 21 | 53 | Yes | 598 | 95 | 238 | Yes | | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 28 | 138 | 24 | No | 197 | 666 | 68 | No | | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 73 | 95 | 22 | No | 396 | 464 | 71 | No | | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 84 | 31 | 75 | Yes | 470 | 130 | 327 | Yes | | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 70 | 79 | 41 | No | 378 | 300 | 249 | Yes | | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 66 | 71 | 53 | No | 328 | 334 | 263 | No | | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | control in addition to boating safety? | 118 | 31 | 41 | Yes | 607 | 152 | 166 | Yes | | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 70 | 20 | 100 | Yes | 366 | 139 | 420 | Yes | | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 27 | 151 | 11 | No | 263 | 576 | 83 | No | | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 31 | 145 | 13 | No | 272 | 557 | 93 | No | | | |

| | | COLUMBIA (11) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---------------|------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----------|----------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents eate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 334 | | | | 1622 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 109 | 46 | 94 | Yes | 563 | 220 | 450 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 167 | 40 | 37 | Yes | 836 | 193 | 189 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 132 | 56 | 55 | Yes | 700 | 253 | 250 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 137 | 57 | 49 | Yes | 730 | 262 | 209 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 104 | 77 | 60 | Yes | 482 | 393 | 319 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 110 | 43 | 87 | Yes | 517 | 300 | 372 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 114 | 44 | 82 | Yes | 555 | 302 | 332 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 129 | 16 | 92 | Yes | 669 | 89 | 420 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 102 | 27 | 107 | Yes | 580 | 124 | 470 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 118 | 12 | 106 | Yes | 673 | 80 | 419 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 53 | 137 | 86 | No | 256 | 519 | 543 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 147 | 96 | 30 | Yes | 628 | 502 | 184 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 135 | 112 | 25 | Yes | 560 | 579 | 172 | No | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 161 | 63 | 48 | Yes | 658 | 383 | 270 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |

| | | COLUMBIA (11) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|---------------|------------------------|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | All online input of rethat indicated they rethe county. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 334 | | | | 1622 | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 152 | 84 | 36 | Yes | 653 | 418 | 237 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 132 | 98 | 27 | Yes | 676 | 410 | 190 | Yes | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | 143 | 79 | 35 | Yes | 776 | 344 | 155 | Yes | |
| 19(WCC) | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 98 | 59 | 117 | Yes | 500 | 310 | 602 | Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 162 | 68 | 43 | Yes | 822 | 293 | 292 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 139 | 97 | 36 | Yes | 772 | 371 | 257 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 147 | 75 | 51 | Yes | 757 | 304 | 346 | Yes | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 160 | 50 | 61 | Yes | 822 | 240 | 338 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 182 | 47 | 42 | Yes | 827 | 291 | 282 | Yes | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 173 | 57 | 41 | Yes | 819 | 317 | 263 | Yes | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 135 | 75 | 57 | Yes | 734 | 328 | 330 | Yes | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 74 | 62 | 131 | Yes | 336 | 467 | 589 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 62 | 133 | 72 | No | 227 | 784 | 377 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 217 | 17 | 33 | Yes | 1175 | 86 | 126 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 226 | 13 | 28 | Yes | 1206 | 71 | 110 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 121 | 30 | 116 | Yes | 701 | 137 | 543 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 92 | 58 | 117 | Yes | 450 | 351 | 580 | Yes | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 116 | 31 | 119 | Yes | 606 | 156 | 618 | Yes | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 113 | 29 | 124 | Yes | 679 | 139 | 562 | Yes | |

| | | | | С | BIA (1 | 1) | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------|--------|------|------------|---------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 334 | | | | 1622 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate)? | 114 | 27 | 125 | Yes | 675 | 127 | 578 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 119 | 38 | 108 | Yes | 673 | 206 | 500 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 163 | 30 | 71 | Yes | 884 | 153 | 339 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 122 | 26 | 115 | Yes | 694 | 123 | 557 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 148 | 29 | 86 | Yes | 800 | 153 | 421 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 141 | 13 | 109 | Yes | 815 | 83 | 475 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 107 | 31 | 124 | Yes | 635 | 186 | 551 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss | 170 | 60 | 31 | Yes | 707 | 445 | 218 | Yes |
| 43 | 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 172 | 38 | 51 | Yes | 797 | 249 | 324 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 95 | 56 | 110 | Yes | 447 | 361 | 561 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 102 | 58 | 100 | Yes | 493 | 402 | 470 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 134 | 74 | 50 | Yes | 629 | 470 | 265 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 154 | 81 | 23 | Yes | 618 | 601 | 145 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 231 | 11 | 16 | Yes | 1224 | 59 | 79 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 201 | 39 | 18 | Yes | 1093 | 174 | 95 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 117 | 75 | 66 | Yes | 495 | 481 | 386 | Yes |

| | | | | С | OLUM | BIA (1 | BIA (11) | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----|------------------------|----|------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 334 | | | | 1622 | | | | | | |
| F.4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 100 | 24 | 27 | Ves | 1052 | 206 | 101 | Vas | | | |
| 51 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 199 | 31 | 40 | Yes | 1053 | 206 175 | 101 | Yes | | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 156 | 65 | 33 | Yes Yes | 1009 866 | 323 | 173 166 | Yes Yes | | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 155 | 17 | 81 | Yes | 958 | 79 | 317 | Yes | | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 66 | 169 | 17 | No | 406 | 855 | 86 | No | | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 110 | 116 | 26 | No | 660 | 564 | 123 | Yes | | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 167 | 25 | 60 | Yes | 927 | 121 | 296 | Yes | | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 103 | 55 | 94 | Yes | 490 | 283 | 569 | Yes | | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 92 | 77 | 83 | Yes | 406 | 419 | 515 | No | | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | ., | | | , | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'E" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 182 | 29 | 39 | Yes | 976 | 187 | 175 | Yes | | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 119 | 52 100 | 31 | Yes Yes | 801 | 335 406 | 130 | Yes | | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 116 | 104 | 29 | Yes | 751 | 469 | 115 | Yes | | | |

| | | CRAWFORD (12) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---------------|----------|----|-----|-----|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent | | | | dicated t | • | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 107 | | | | 849 | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 29 | 4 | 41 | Yes | 336 | 99 | 253 | Yes | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 38 | 16 | 18 | Yes | 427 | 156 | 97 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 33 | 24 | 15 | Yes | 359 | 196 | 123 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 28 | 23 | 20 | Yes | 382 | 185 | 107 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 33 | 13 | 25 | Yes | 320 | 175 | 177 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 16 | 17 | 38 | No | 266 | 186 | 220 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 27 | 10 | 34 | Yes | 315 | 155 | 202 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 33 | 5 | 33 | Yes | 393 | 57 | 215 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 24 | 16 | 31 | Yes | 321 | 90 | 254 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 24 | 10 | 36 | Yes | 333 | 57 | 274 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 26 | 27 | 33 | No | 190 | 256 | 277 | No | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 45 | 29 | 12 | Yes | 382 | 239 | 101 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 28 | 43 | 15 | No | 300 | 346 | 75 | No | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 51 | 20 | 15 | Yes | 433 | 190 | 97 | Yes | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

| | | | | CF | RAWF | ORD (12) | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----|------------------------|----|------|----------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | ne input dicated t unty. | - | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 107 | | | | 849 | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 45 | 28 | 13 | Yes | 394 | 217 | 105 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 40 | 33 | 9 | Yes | 345 | 282 | 71 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 39 | 32 | 11 | Yes | 378 | 235 | 85 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 23 | 24 | 41 | No | 255 | 194 | 291 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 58 | 20 | 10 | Yes | 471 | 185 | 83 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 46 | 31 | 11 | Yes | 398 | 260 | 80 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 54 | 21 | 13 | Yes | 442 | 188 | 109 | Yes | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 57 | 14 | 17 | Yes | 465 | 155 | 118 | Yes | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 58 | 17 | 13 | Yes | 467 | 164 | 107 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 65 | 14 | 9 | Yes | 512 | 145 | 81 | Yes | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 44 | 18 | 26 | Yes | 382 | 202 | 148 | Yes | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 19 | 23 | 46 | No | 174 | 196 | 362 | No | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 9 | 51 | 28 | No | 116 | 429 | 186 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 70 | 6 | 12 | Yes | 593 | 60 | 77 | Yes | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 70 | 4 | 14 | Yes | 609 | 43 | 78 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 24 | 9 | 55 | Yes | 334 | 86 | 307 | Yes | | |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 26 | 9 | 53 | Yes | 239 | 177 | 311 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 23 | 11 | 54 | Yes | 283 | 113 | 330 | Yes | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 26 | 6 | 56 | Yes | 326 | 88 | 312 | Yes | | |

| | | | | CI | RAWF | ORD (1 | 2) | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|------|--------|-----------|-----|---------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | • | ondents reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 107 | | | | 849 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 26 | 7 | 55 | Yes | 326 | 79 | 320 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 33 | 17 | 38 | Yes | 340 | 139 | 246 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 35 | 6 | 47 | Yes | 427 | 85 | 213 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 28 | 6 | 53 | Yes | 335 | 73 | 315 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 34 | 6 | 47 | Yes | 370 | 82 | 271 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 38 | 7 | 42 | Yes | 410 | 59 | 254 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 47 | 15 | 25 | Yes | 394 | 107 | 222 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss | 50 | 25 | 12 | Yes | 417 | 212 | 93 | Yes |
| 43 | 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 56 | 13 | 18 | Yes | 488 | 118 | 115 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 29 | 17 | 41 | Yes | 270 | 173 | 278 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 34 | 25 | 28 | Yes | 297 | 192 | 231 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 50 | 20 | 17 | Yes | 399 | 206 | 114 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 56 | 29 | 2 | Yes | 414 | 241 | 64 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 77 | 7 | 3 | Yes | 643 | 38 | 36 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 68 | 15 | 4 | Yes | 568 | 104 | 45 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 33 | 30 | 24 | Yes | 300 | 238 | 178 | Yes |

| | | | | CF | RAWF | ORD (1 | 12) | | |
|-----|---|----------|----------------------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | - | ondents eate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 107 | | | | 849 | | | |
| F.4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 60 | 40 | 7 | Vaa | 554 | 110 | 50 | Voc |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 62 57 | 18 | 7 17 | Yes Yes | 554 | 110 | 52 95 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 41 | 32 | 13 | Yes | 387 | 241 | 83 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 49 | 8 | 29 | Yes | 489 | 53 | 168 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 21 | 52 | 13 | No | 220 | 431 | 56 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 41 | 31 | 14 | Yes | 310 | 318 | 79 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 51 | 5 | 30 | Yes | 466 | 70 | 169 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 34 | 31 | 21 | Yes | 300 | 194 | 211 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 26 | 29 | 31 | No | 232 | 243 | 230 | No |
| 00 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | 47 | 47 | 20 | V | 407 | 107 | 111 | Vas |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 47 19 | 17 23 | 22 44 | Yes No | 487 234 | 107 153 | 111 316 | Yes Yes |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 33 | 48 | 5 | No | 304 | 341 | 57 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 33 | 49 | 4 | No | 292 | 351 | 59 | No |

| | | DANE (13) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------|---|------|-----|------|-----------|----------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | Il respondents that indicated ey reside in the county | | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 3003 | | | | 4592 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 784 | 383 | 906 | Yes | 1381 | 560 | 1317 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 1456 | 142 | 441 | Yes | 2220 | 341 | 654 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 1306 | 186 | 518 | Yes | 1943 | 432 | 793 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 1456 | 174 | 369 | Yes | 2103 | 453 | 598 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 619 | 696 | 661 | No | 1085 | 1073 | 957 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 655 | 602 | 703 | Yes | 1129 | 900 | 1060 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 683 | 637 | 640 | Yes | 1203 | 919 | 967 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 1173 | 103 | 663 | Yes | 1837 | 196 | 1022 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 996 | 129 | 805 | Yes | 1538 | 249 | 1254 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 1224 | 59 | 641 | Yes | 1853 | 132 | 1049 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 291 | 877 | 1144 | No | 551 | 1391 | 1577 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 848 | 1024 | 431 | No | 1406 | 1489 | 612 | No | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 769 | 1057 | 474 | No | 1304 | 1527 | 669 | No | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 823 | 784 | 690 | Yes | 1392 | 1133 | 969 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |

| | | | | | DANI | E (13) | | | |
|----------|---|------|-----------------------|------|------|--------|---------------------|------|-----|
| | Question Description | | pondent eside in t | | | | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 3003 | | | | 4592 | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 859 | 888 | 548 | No | 1414 | 1283 | 789 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 1165 | 516 | 504 | Yes | 1775 | 860 | 723 | Yes |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 1631 | 268 | 273 | Yes | 2331 | 528 | 477 | Yes |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 898 | 326 | 1186 | Yes | 1356 | 593 | 1844 | Yes |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 1277 | 359 | 762 | Yes | 2050 | 617 | 1108 | Yes |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 1367 | 349 | 665 | Yes | 2099 | 664 | 998 | Yes |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 1288 | 286 | 824 | Yes | 1978 | 538 | 1259 | Yes |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 1457 | 240 | COE | Vaa | 0406 | 440 | 1107 | Vaa |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 1457 | 249 | 685 | Yes | 2186 | 449 | 1127 | Yes |
| | day after season closes? | 1210 | 507 | 673 | Yes | 1959 | 775 | 1026 | Yes |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 1016 | 719 | 651 | Yes | 1772 | 1024 | 959 | Yes |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | 7 13 | 001 | 103 | 1112 | 1024 | 300 | 103 |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 1469 | 248 | 646 | Yes | 2171 | 501 | 1052 | Yes |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | |
| | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 603 | 1132 | 628 | No | 949 | 1584 | 1191 | No |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 186 | 1601 | 568 | No | 423 | 2253 | 1032 | No |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 2201 | 49 | 102 | Yes | 3357 | 123 | 223 | Yes |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 2216 | 32 | 104 | Yes | 3395 | 99 | 209 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 1337 | 95 | 913 | Yes | 2003 | 239 | 1452 | Yes |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 655 | 634 | 1056 | Yes | 1100 | 967 | 1627 | Yes |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 1139 | 199 | 1002 | Yes | 1721 | 356 | 1613 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 1318 | 73 | 946 | Yes | 1970 | 205 | 1510 | Yes |

| | | | | | DANI | E (13) | | | |
|-----|--|------|-----------------------|-----|------|--------|-----------|------------|---------------------|
| | Question Description | | pondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | t of respo | ondents reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 3003 | | | | 4592 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 1301 | 69 | 965 | Yes | 1952 | 185 | 1543 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 1332 | 145 | 858 | Yes | 1968 | 330 | 1382 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 1744 | 114 | 475 | Yes | 2532 | 259 | 885 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 1357 | 64 | 909 | Yes | 2022 | 176 | 1474 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 1488 | 68 | 774 | Yes | 2227 | 203 | 1241 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 1516 | 50 | 763 | Yes | 2309 | 117 | 1241 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 1245 | 284 | 798 | Yes | 1882 | 460 | 1323 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss | 758 | 1052 | 515 | No | 1437 | 1476 | 750 | No |
| 43 | 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 1066 | 581 | 676 | Yes | 1790 | 846 | 1024 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 633 | 761 | 924 | No | 1020 | 1100 | 1527 | No |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 615 | 905 | 793 | No | 1030 | 1284 | 1328 | No |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 817 | 960 | 533 | No | 1376 | 1389 | 871 | No |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 539 | 1534 | 237 | No | 1068 | 2119 | 448 | No |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 2104 | 44 | 161 | Yes | 3259 | 110 | 264 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 1966 | 159 | 184 | Yes | 2977 | 331 | 325 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 532 | 1023 | 751 | No | 984 | 1434 | 1213 | No |

| | | | | | DANI | E (13) | | | | | |
|-----|---|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|------------|---------------------|------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | s that ind | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 3003 | | | | 4592 | | | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 1779 | 323 | 202 | Yes | 2700 | 500 | 329 | Vac | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 1928 | 113 | 261 | Yes | 2799 | 277 | 526 | Yes Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 1796 | 264 | 239 | Yes | 2586 | 547 | 483 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 1846 | 72 | 378 | Yes | 2750 | 153 | 711 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 863 | 1182 | 247 | No | 1180 | 2105 | 319 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 1238 | 730 | 324 | Yes | 1916 | 1272 | 416 | Yes | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 1881 | 67 | 340 | Yes | 2729 | 157 | 709 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 725 | 376 | 1185 | Yes | 1146 | 637 | 1810 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 482 | 695 | 1108 | No | 850 | 1023 | 1713 | No | | |
| 200 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | 4700 | 400 | 000 | V | 0000 | 0.1.1 | 550 | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 1783 364 | 193 635 | 308 1284 | Yes No | 2686 681 | 341 923 | 553 1973 | Yes No | | |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 1827 | 289 | 166 | Yes | 2587 | 644 | 342 | Yes | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 1765 | 353 | 161 | Yes | 2484 | 740 | 341 | Yes | | |

| | | | | | DODG | E (14) | E (14) | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----|-----|---|------|--------|--------|------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | ondents that indicated side in the county | | | | t of respo | ondents eate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 487 | | | | 1921 | | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 194 | 45 | 128 | Yes | 703 | 220 | 536 | Yes | | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 220 | 85 | 57 | Yes | 931 | 272 | 238 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 157 | 126 | 74 | Yes | 732 | 373 | 319 | Yes | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 163 | 115 | 78 | Yes | 764 | 365 | 289 | Yes | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 148 | 94 | 108 | Yes | 598 | 413 | 396 | Yes | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 145 | 74 | 128 | Yes | 590 | 354 | 449 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 167 | 64 | 116 | Yes | 643 | 345 | 405 | Yes | | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 183 | 18 | 143 | Yes | 762 | 112 | 503 | Yes | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 124 | 52 | 166 | Yes | 605 | 171 | 596 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 135 | 27 | 179 | Yes | 682 | 93 | 590 | Yes | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 130 | 132 | 121 | No | 396 | 550 | 577 | No | | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 214 | 121 | 47 | Yes | 758 | 565 | 198 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 178 | 176 | 28 | Yes | 685 | 634 | 202 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 253 | 90 | 38 | Yes | 835 | 400 | 280 | Yes | | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

| | | | | | DODG | E (14) | | | |
|---------|---|-----------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | | dicated t | • | ondents eate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 487 | | | | 1921 | | | |
| 40 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 004 | 00 | 50 | V | 000 | 400 | 070 | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 231 | 99 | 50 | Yes | 803 | 436 | 273 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 165 | 161 | 41 | Yes | 716 | 513 | 254 | Yes |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 159 | 156 | 51 | Yes | 806 | 422 | 250 | Yes |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | |
| 19(WCC) | disabled, and military service hunters. | 140 | 102 | 165 | Yes | 575 | 378 | 691 | Yes |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 247 | 107 | 53 | Yes | 953 | 322 | 366 | Yes |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 205 | 155 | 46 | Yes | 829 | 466 | 340 | Yes |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 228 | 116 | 63 | Yes | 852 | 357 | 432 | Yes |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 209 | 93 | 104 | Yes | 874 | 298 | 465 | Yes |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 260 | 90 | 56 | Yes | 966 | 340 | 329 | Yes |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 261 | 99 | 46 | Yes | 967 | 370 | 298 | Yes |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 153 | 148 | 104 | Yes | 790 | 402 | 434 | Yes |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 90 | 72 | 243 | Yes | 420 | 433 | 773 | No |
| 20 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 07 | 162 | 155 | No | 204 | 750 | 57 0 | No |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 87 310 | 163 29 | 155 65 | No Yes | 294 1298 | 752 105 | 570 211 | No Yes |
| 29 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | 310 | 29 | 00 | res | 1290 | 105 | 211 | res |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 327 | 27 | 50 | Yes | 1332 | 88 | 194 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | |
| β1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 155 | 62 | 185 | Yes | 734 | 207 | 667 | Yes |
| , | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 141 | 81 | 180 | Yes | 565 | 360 | 683 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 139 | 55 | 207 | Yes | 631 | 222 | 754 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 152 | 49 | 200 | Yes | 731 | 177 | 699 | Yes |

| | | | | | DODG | E (14) | | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----|------------------------|------|--------|------------|---------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | | ts that in the coun | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 487 | | | | 1921 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 146 | 43 | 211 | Yes | 723 | 160 | 723 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 117 | 96 | 187 | Yes | 682 | 285 | 639 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 190 | 74 | 134 | Yes | 900 | 209 | 495 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 144 | 46 | 206 | Yes | 733 | 160 | 708 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 205 | 73 | 118 | Yes | 880 | 218 | 502 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 198 | 31 | 166 | Yes | 908 | 105 | 585 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 135 | 36 | 224 | Yes | 696 | 195 | 706 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 295 | 56 | 44 | Yes | 935 | 418 | 244 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 278 | 41 | 74 | Yes | 981 | 244 | 369 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 144 | 46 | 203 | Yes | 552 | 341 | 699 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 157 | 67 | 167 | Yes | 596 | 389 | 603 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 196 | 101 | 92 | Yes | 773 | 466 | 347 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 279 | 67 | 43 | Yes | 812 | 568 | 205 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 352 | 21 | 15 | Yes | 1385 | 78 | 122 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 304 | 60 | 24 | Yes | 1219 | 224 | 142 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 182 | 92 | 114 | Yes | 644 | 472 | 469 | Yes |

| | | | | | DODG | E (14) | | | |
|-----|---|-----|-----|------------|------|--------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | dicated t | t of respo | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 487 | | | | 1921 | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 286 | 59 | 42 | Yes | 1208 | 216 | 159 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 243 | 56 | 87 | Yes | 1061 | 203 | 315 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 181 | 122 | 83 | Yes | 880 | 393 | 304 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 224 | 24 | 138 | Yes | 1023 | 101 | 449 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 95 | 261 | 27 | No | 384 | 1086 | 96 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 189 | 167 | 27 | Yes | 750 | 689 | 127 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 199 | 41 | 143 | Yes | 958 | 141 | 465 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 161 | 104 | 117 | Yes | 587 | 338 | 636 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 128 | 118 | 134 | Yes | 469 | 459 | 630 | Yes |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 254 | 61 | 65 | Yes | 1047 | 233 | 276 | Vos |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 135 | 67 | 178 | Yes | 448 | 347 | 759 | Yes Yes |
| 62 | Would you support the legalization of size 1. shot for waterlow hunting in the state of wisconsin: Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 113 | 215 | 52 | No | 750 | 602 | 200 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 128 | 212 | 39 | No | 734 | 612 | 202 | Yes |

| | | DOOR (15) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------|----------------------|----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 139 | | | | 2730 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 53 | 12 | 32 | Yes | 958 | 331 | 752 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 67 | 14 | 16 | Yes | 1399 | 282 | 343 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 54 | 21 | 22 | Yes | 1194 | 358 | 452 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 66 | 15 | 16 | Yes | 1238 | 392 | 369 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 45 | 29 | 21 | Yes | 809 | 642 | 529 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 35 | 31 | 28 | Yes | 839 | 547 | 575 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 42 | 29 | 23 | Yes | 912 | 538 | 511 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 52 | 3 | 39 | Yes | 1170 | 124 | 648 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 42 | 8 | 44 | Yes | 939 | 177 | 818 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 45 | 8 | 41 | Yes | 1110 | 105 | 713 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 21 | 36 | 47 | No | 404 | 836 | 891 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 55 | 34 | 15 | Yes | 956 | 813 | 361 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 51 | 42 | 11 | Yes | 926 | 850 | 344 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 50 | 30 | 24 | Yes | 982 | 631 | 500 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | DOOR (15) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|-----------|----------------------|-----|-------|--|------|-------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of re that indicated they i the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 139 | | | | 2730 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 56 | 26 | 21 | Yes | 970 | 706 | 429 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 59 | 33 | 15 | Yes | 1105 | 582 | 377 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 57 | 27 | 23 | Yes | 1333 | 389 | 331 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 34 | 23 | 55 | Yes | 921 | 393 | 1002 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 58 | 28 | 24 | Yes | 1299 | 440 | 572 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 50 | 38 | 22 | Yes | 1207 | 564 | 531 | Yes | | |
| | Mould you appart returning to corose togging procedures as was done in the past? (Dequires legislation) | F.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 | \ \ \ | 4040 | 400 | 000 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 51 | 34 | 25 | Yes | 1218 | 430 | 663 | Yes | | |
| 00 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | 00 | 40 | 0.4 | \ \ \ | 4040 | 000 | 000 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 62 | 16 | 31 | Yes | 1313 | 293 | 698 | Yes | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | F.C | 24 | 20 | Vaa | 1006 | 450 | E 4 7 | Vaa | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 56 58 | 24 | 29 | Yes | 1296 | 459 | 547 | Yes | | |
| 25 | | | 25 | 26 | Yes | 1211 | 534 | 556 | Yes | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | | 22 | 22 | Voc | 1220 | 426 | 620 | Voc | | |
| 26 | ' | 55 | 22 | 32 | Yes | 1228 | 426 | 638 | Yes | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 24 | 39 | 46 | No | 555 | 854 | 883 | No | | |
| 21 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 24 | 39 | 40 | INO | 555 | 004 | 003 | INO | | |
| 28 | Wisconsin? | 15 | 64 | 29 | No | 338 | 1279 | 666 | No | | |
| _ | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 97 | 3 | 8 | Yes | 1988 | 97 | 195 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | - 07 | | | 100 | 1000 | 01 | 100 | 100 | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 101 | 1 | 6 | Yes | 2032 | 74 | 174 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | |
| \$1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 56 | 6 | 46 | Yes | 1236 | 182 | 857 | Yes | | |
| , , | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 30 | 25 | 53 | Yes | 751 | 587 | 937 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 41 | 14 | 53 | Yes | 1043 | 267 | 965 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 52 | 6 | 49 | Yes | 1231 | 147 | 894 | Yes | | |

| | | | | | DOO | R (15) | ₹ (15) | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|-----|--------|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 139 | | | | 2730 | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 53 | 6 | 48 | Yes | 1203 | 133 | 933 | Yes | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 51 | 19 | 37 | Yes | 1171 | 292 | 803 | Yes | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 54 | 5 | 48 | Yes | 1371 | 148 | 746 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 51 | 8 | 48 | Yes | 1224 | 131 | 908 | Yes | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 55 | 7 | 45 | Yes | 1325 | 156 | 782 | Yes | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 53 | 7 | 47 | Yes | 1382 | 116 | 760 | Yes | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 45 | 11 | 51 | Yes | 1119 | 267 | 871 | Yes | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 51 | 32 | 23 | Yes | 1086 | 771 | 398 | Yes | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 55 | 17 | 34 | Yes | 1189 | 442 | 622 | Yes | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 38 | 22 | 46 | Yes | 705 | 590 | 951 | Yes | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 42 | 27 | 37 | Yes | 747 | 668 | 830 | Yes | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 45 | 37 | 24 | Yes | 968 | 755 | 521 | Yes | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 55 | 40 | 11 | Yes | 918 | 1049 | 272 | No | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 93 | 6 | 7 | Yes | 1998 | 86 | 154 | Yes | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 85 | 12 | 9 | Yes | 1806 | 241 | 191 | Yes | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 48 | 29 | 29 | Yes | 769 | 781 | 688 | No | | | |

| | | | | | DOO | R (15) | | | |
|------------|---|-----|----------------------|----|-----|--------|-----------|------|--------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | • | ondents eate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 139 | | | | 2730 | | | |
| 5 4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 00 | 40 | 44 | V | 1700 | 040 | 100 | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 83 | 12 | 11 | Yes | 1729 | 313 | 192 | Yes |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a wanton waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 75 | 13 | 18 | Yes | 1678 | 194 | 359 | Yes |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 66 | 25 | 15 | Yes | 1481 | 372 | 376 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 64 | 7 | 34 | Yes | 1615 | 90 | 521 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 42 | 56 | 7 | No | 602 | 1479 | 142 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 68 | 30 | 7 | Yes | 1164 | 851 | 208 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 70 | 6 | 28 | Yes | 1557 | 130 | 527 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 40 | 24 | 40 | Yes | 788 | 431 | 992 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 26 | 33 | 45 | No | 608 | 617 | 981 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 78 | 11 | 15 | Yes | 1619 | 265 | 319 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 28 | 21 | 55 | Yes | 505 | 499 | 1194 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 49 | 44 | 11 | Yes | 1362 | 579 | 252 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 53 | 38 | 13 | Yes | 1386 | 558 | 247 | Yes |

| | | DOUGLAS (16) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------|----------------------|----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of respond that indicated they recreat the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 171 | | | | 1142 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 73 | 25 | 32 | Yes | 446 | 176 | 277 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 88 | 25 | 14 | Yes | 609 | 150 | 133 | Yes | | |
| | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 59 | 47 | 20 | Yes | 481 | 229 | 177 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 63 | 49 | 14 | Yes | 508 | 249 | 128 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 58 | 44 | 23 | Yes | 399 | 284 | 196 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 62 | 26 | 34 | Yes | 380 | 239 | 255 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 69 | 26 | 27 | Yes | 426 | 220 | 228 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 69 | 12 | 39 | Yes | 558 | 86 | 224 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 51 | 14 | 55 | Yes | 423 | 131 | 312 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 56 | 14 | 50 | Yes | 497 | 111 | 258 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 42 | 55 | 37 | No | 277 | 333 | 373 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 81 | 36 | 17 | Yes | 534 | 330 | 118 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 64 | 61 | 9 | Yes | 462 | 420 | 100 | Yes | | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 73 | 49 | 12 | Yes | 537 | 314 | 131 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | DOUGLAS (16) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--------------|------------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|----------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 171 | | | | 1142 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 76 | 38 | 19 | Yes | 546 | 313 | 121 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 47 | 60 | 21 | No | 425 | 409 | 105 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 71 | 37 | 20 | Yes | 494 | 305 | 137 | Yes | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 40 | 37 | 71 | Yes | 306 | 287 | 424 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 78 | 50 | 20 | Yes | 577 | 303 | 136 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 66 | 64 | 17 | Yes | 479 | 399 | 135 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | 74 | 54 | 20 | Yes | 552 | 302 | 162 | Yes | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 73 | 33 | 42 | Yes | 571 | 205 | 240 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 70 | | 72 | 100 | 071 | 200 | 270 | 100 | | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 91 | 31 | 26 | Yes | 650 | 215 | 151 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 96 | 30 | 22 | Yes | 652 | 238 | 126 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 54 | 54 | 40 | | 478 | 311 | 224 | Yes | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 34 | 27 | 87 | Yes | 218 | 312 | 483 | No | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 22 | 84 | 41 | No | 170 | 599 | 240 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 102 | 13 | 32 | Yes | 774 | 91 | 143 | Yes | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 109 | 11 | 27 | Yes | 801 | 73 | 134 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | |
| B1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 66 | 7 | 74 | Yes | 491 | 101 | 415 | Yes | | |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 37 | 31 | 79 | Yes | 284 | 279 | 444 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 44 | 25 | 78 | Yes | 371 | 164 | 472 | Yes | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 60 | 7 | 80 | Yes | 467 | 93 | 445 | Yes | | |

| | | DOUGLAS (16) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--------------|----------------------|----|-----|------|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 171 | | | | 1142 | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate)? | 57 | 10 | 80 | Yes | 455 | 92 | 458 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 54 | 39 | 54 | Yes | 464 | 216 | 324 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 60 | 9 | 78 | Yes | 491 | 91 | 422 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 56 | 6 | 85 | Yes | 465 | 85 | 454 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 59 | 9 | 79 | Yes | 497 | 92 | 415 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 70 | 8 | 69 | Yes | 573 | 94 | 337 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 41 | 17 | 89 | Yes | 383 | 167 | 454 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss | 105 | 28 | 14 | Yes | 637 | 262 | 105 | Yes | | |
| 43 | 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 104 | 21 | 22 | Yes | 651 | 191 | 162 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 64 | 32 | 50 | Yes | 391 | 306 | 304 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 72 | 29 | 45 | Yes | 464 | 292 | 245 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 70 | 48 | 28 | Yes | 514 | 312 | 174 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 91 | 39 | 16 | Yes | 582 | 362 | 56 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 119 | 8 | 19 | Yes | 869 | 66 | 64 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 96 | 31 | 19 | Yes | 754 | 168 | 77 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 77 | 31 | 38 | Yes | 451 | 320 | 228 | Yes | | |

| | | | | D | OUGL | .AS (16 | 6) | | |
|-----|---|-----|----|-----------|------|--|-----|-----|-----|
| | Question Description | | • | s that in | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 171 | | | | 1142 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 115 | 15 | 16 | Yes | 793 | 131 | 75 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 90 | 25 | 31 | Yes | 672 | 184 | 142 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 72 | 48 | 26 | Yes | 541 | 344 | 112 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 87 | 5 | 53 | Yes | 699 | 72 | 223 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 38 | 89 | 17 | No | 275 | 619 | 99 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 50 | 70 | 24 | No | 408 | 459 | 126 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 71 | 12 | 61 | Yes | 608 | 131 | 253 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 56 | 37 | 51 | Yes | 412 | 272 | 306 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 44 | 50 | 50 | No | 335 | 347 | 306 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 93 | 24 | 27 | Yes | 660 | 173 | 154 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 47 | 34 | 62 | Yes | 358 | 207 | 421 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 68 | 61 | 14 | Yes | 460 | 466 | 57 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 61 | 61 | 21 | | 458 | 452 | 73 | Yes |

| | | DUNN (17) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------|----|------------------------|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in the coun | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 255 | | | | 887 | | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 124 | 25 | 58 | Yes | 402 | 100 | 196 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 143 | 36 | 22 | Yes | 462 | 137 | 89 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 112 | 54 | 32 | Yes | 368 | 199 | 116 | Yes | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 102 | 68 | 28 | Yes | 356 | 235 | 90 | Yes | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 115 | 43 | 39 | Yes | 361 | 187 | 129 | Yes | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 108 | 35 | 53 | Yes | 362 | 154 | 158 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 111 | 39 | 46 | Yes | 389 | 141 | 144 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 140 | 18 | 38 | Yes | 461 | 74 | 134 | Yes | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 101 | 26 | 68 | Yes | 333 | 131 | 204 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 117 | 20 | 58 | Yes | 382 | 92 | 193 | Yes | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 74 | 74 | 72 | | 238 | 252 | 260 | No | | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 116 | 80 | 24 | Yes | 407 | 259 | 82 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 105 | 99 | 16 | Yes | 357 | 318 | 73 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 152 | 43 | 23 | Yes | 489 | 164 | 93 | Yes | | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

| | | DUNN (17) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|------------------------|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | All online input of respontant that indicated they recreate the county. | | | | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | 255 | | | | 887 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 233 | | | | 007 | | | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 130 | 59 | 29 | Yes | 460 | 194 | 89 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | 100 | - 00 | | 100 | .00 | 101 | | 100 | | |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 91 | 100 | 17 | No | 309 | 327 | 71 | No | | |
| , , , , , | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 110 | 73 | 24 | Yes | 369 | 256 | 78 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19(WCC) | disabled, and military service hunters. | 81 | 57 | 76 | Yes | 260 | 216 | 277 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 132 | 59 | 21 | Yes | 435 | 219 | 96 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 114 | 80 | 18 | Yes | 372 | 289 | 88 | Yes | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 127 | 63 | 22 | Yes | 416 | 226 | 108 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 125 | 55 | 32 | Yes | 458 | 153 | 139 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 160 | 39 | 12 | Yes | 517 | 138 | 94 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 156 | 39 | 16 | Yes | 516 | 146 | 87 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 111 | 73 | 26 | Yes | 360 | 252 | 135 | Yes | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 45 | 62 | 103 | No | 167 | 196 | 384 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | ,,_ | | | , | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 45 | 113 | 51 | No | 155 | 379 | 210 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 161 | 19 | 28 | Yes | 559 | 73 | 110 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | 470 | 4.5 | 00 | | 505 | 50 | 0.0 | | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 170 | 15 | 23 | Yes | 585 | 59 | 98 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | | |
| R1 (\MCC | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 114 | 22 | 72 | Voc | 375 | 02 | 27F | Voc | | |
| PT (VVCC) | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 114 | 22 | 12 | Yes | 3/5 | 92 | 275 | Yes | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 66 | 62 | 80 | Yes | 257 | 196 | 289 | Yes | | |
| JZ | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | 00 | UZ | 00 | 163 | 231 | 130 | 209 | 163 | | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 90 | 30 | 88 | Yes | 305 | 124 | 313 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 30 | 30 | 00 | 103 | 303 | 127 | 313 | 103 | | |
| | present you support onlying the daily bug inflit on partition in Early Dubay to 10 per day (all species III | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | DUNN (17) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------|----------|----|-----|-----|---------|----------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent | | | | dicated | of respo | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 255 | | | | 887 | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 109 | 15 | 84 | Yes | 347 | 71 | 322 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 89 | 38 | 81 | Yes | 318 | 170 | 252 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 114 | 16 | 76 | Yes | 383 | 79 | 276 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 108 | 15 | 83 | Yes | 350 | 76 | 311 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 111 | 16 | 79 | Yes | 368 | 84 | 285 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 154 | 20 | 31 | Yes | 503 | 89 | 144 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 81 | 30 | 94 | Yes | 308 | 101 | 327 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 157 | 32 | 16 | Yes | 505 | 155 | 76 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 159 | 24 | 22 | Yes | 532 | 91 | 111 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 94 | 39 | 72 | Yes | 320 | 168 | 245 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 108 | 40 | 57 | Yes | 358 | 165 | 209 | Yes | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 125 | 49 | 29 | Yes | 424 | 189 | 117 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 148 | 43 | 12 | Yes | 467 | 209 | 54 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 173 | 21 | 9 | Yes | 626 | 63 | 39 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 149 | 44 | 10 | Yes | 541 | 144 | 43 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 105 | 55 | 42 | Yes | 355 | 223 | 149 | Yes | | |

| | | | | | (17) | | | | |
|----------|---|------------|------------------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|--------------------|-----------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | | of respo | ondents eate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 255 | | | | 887 | | | |
| F.4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 404 | 25 | 40 | Vaa | 570 | 100 | 50 | Voc |
| 51 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 164 145 | 25 34 | 13 23 | Yes Yes | 573 497 | 100 | 52 97 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 93 | 86 | 23 | Yes | 374 | 264 | 86 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 161 | 12 | 28 | Yes | 566 | 48 | 107 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 49 | 143 | 9 | No | 169 | 513 | 39 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 85 | 103 | 13 | No | 305 | 367 | 49 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 120 | 21 | 60 | Yes | 431 | 90 | 199 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 107 | 50 | 44 | Yes | 326 | 203 | 191 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 78 | 72 | 50 | Yes | 267 | 248 | 203 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | 16.5 | 465 | |
| | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'E" shot for waterfowl bunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 146 | 25 | 29 | Yes | 477 | 132 | 109 | Yes |
| | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 66 | 31 119 | 86 15 | Yes No | 293 | 391 | 304 | Yes No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 70 | 117 | 13 | No | 278 | 383 | 51 | No |

| | | EAU CLAIRE (18) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------------|----------------------|-----|-----|------|-----------|----------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 382 | | | | 1470 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 149 | 53 | 88 | Yes | 592 | 212 | 326 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 203 | 49 | 35 | Yes | 767 | 191 | 161 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 146 | 86 | 52 | Yes | 586 | 308 | 217 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 144 | 90 | 47 | Yes | 597 | 332 | 177 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 142 | 71 | 65 | Yes | 516 | 330 | 255 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 142 | 46 | 88 | Yes | 548 | 251 | 296 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 156 | 46 | 74 | Yes | 593 | 247 | 255 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 176 | 23 | 77 | Yes | 719 | 101 | 268 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 132 | 45 | 99 | Yes | 549 | 172 | 367 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 154 | 28 | 92 | Yes | 620 | 109 | 356 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 81 | 111 | 106 | No | 316 | 413 | 442 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 158 | 96 | 44 | Yes | 609 | 416 | 145 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 145 | 120 | 32 | Yes | 552 | 488 | 128 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 178 | 65 | 53 | Yes | 656 | 294 | 216 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |

| | | EAU CLAIRE (18) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|-----------------|------------------------|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | All online input of re that indicated they r the county. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 382 | | | | 1470 | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 160 | 98 | 37 | Yes | 626 | 368 | 166 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 127 | 127 | 35 | | 533 | 442 | 167 | Yes | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | 164 | 92 | 31 | Yes | 665 | 315 | 156 | Yes | |
| 19(WCC) | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 87 | 80 | 140 | Yes | 433 | 302 | 515 | Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 181 | 76 | 48 | Yes | 751 | 273 | 220 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 158 | 96 | 50 | Yes | 670 | 357 | 211 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 177 | 71 | 57 | Yes | 693 | 277 | 274 | Yes | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 189 | 43 | 73 | Yes | 733 | 207 | 301 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 190 | 54 | 60 | Yes | 763 | 263 | 214 | Yes | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 191 | 51 | 62 | Yes | 736 | 279 | 224 | Yes | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 163 | 70 | 69 | Yes | 609 | 308 | 313 | Yes | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 85 | 83 | 134 | Yes | 285 | 379 | 566 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 35 | 189 | 77 | No | 202 | 644 | 379 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 239 | 27 | 34 | Yes | 998 | 85 | 138 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 245 | 21 | 34 | Yes | 1028 | 63 | 130 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 147 | 25 | 126 | Yes | 616 | 115 | 487 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 93 | 69 | 136 | Yes | 410 | 295 | 513 | Yes | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 117 | 29 | 152 | Yes | 489 | 174 | 554 | Yes | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 132 | 21 | 145 | Yes | 601 | 106 | 509 | Yes | |

| | | EAU CLAIRE (18) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------|----|------------|-----|------|---------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 382 | | | | 1470 | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 134 | 18 | 146 | Yes | 574 | 98 | 544 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 127 | 45 | 126 | Yes | 540 | 199 | 477 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 147 | 22 | 129 | Yes | 636 | 103 | 476 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 140 | 19 | 139 | Yes | 596 | 104 | 513 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 143 | 23 | 132 | Yes | 632 | 111 | 470 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 209 | 37 | 52 | Yes | 811 | 119 | 282 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 104 | 28 | 165 | Yes | 506 | 169 | 535 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 191 | 66 | 39 | Yes | 732 | 317 | 159 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 198 | 39 | 58 | Yes | 759 | 191 | 256 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 101 | 64 | 130 | Yes | 432 | 297 | 477 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 125 | 63 | 107 | Yes | 490 | 308 | 406 | Yes | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 140 | 89 | 64 | Yes | 588 | 372 | 241 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 163 | 98 | 32 | Yes | 616 | 443 | 141 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 256 | 21 | 14 | Yes | 1040 | 73 | 84 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 217 | 52 | 22 | Yes | 904 | 188 | 105 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 120 | 91 | 79 | Yes | 505 | 384 | 307 | Yes | | |

| | | | | EA | AU CLA | AIRE (18) | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|------------------------|-----|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents eate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 382 | | | | 1470 | | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | 0.4 | ., | | 101 | 000 | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 230 | 39 | 21 | Yes | 936 | 164 | 93 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 202 | 39 | 49 | Yes | 847 | 162 | 182 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 168 | 76 | 45 | Yes | 670 | 322 | 197 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 241 | 12 | 36 | Yes | 912 | 76 | 200 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 70 | 206 | 13 | No | 275 | 849 | 62 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 135 | 139 | 15 | No | 541 | 550 | 95 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 178 | 32 | 79 | Yes | 734 | 116 | 329 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 110 | 76 | 103 | Yes | 428 | 302 | 447 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 99 | 76 | 112 | Yes | 360 | 372 | 440 | No | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 203 | 41 | 43 | Yes | 800 | 188 | 183 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted | 94 | 42 | 150 | Yes | 346 | 255 | 568 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 128 | 115 | 42 | Yes | 602 | 426 | 136 | Yes | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 138 | 114 | 33 | Yes | 582 | 448 | 132 | Yes | | |

| | | FLORENCE (19) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---------------|----------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | - | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 34 | | | | 1003 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 16 | 3 | 8 | Yes | 416 | 145 | 257 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 17 | 5 | 5 | Yes | 519 | 187 | 103 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 12 | 10 | 5 | Yes | 397 | 256 | 149 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 10 | 9 | 8 | Yes | 398 | 251 | 149 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 11 | 7 | 9 | Yes | 389 | 221 | 186 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 12 | 4 | 11 | Yes | 369 | 212 | 211 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 14 | 4 | 9 | Yes | 425 | 171 | 196 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 10 | 2 | 15 | Yes | 410 | 58 | 322 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 12 | 3 | 12 | Yes | 313 | 99 | 376 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 12 | 1 | 14 | Yes | 362 | 64 | 361 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 9 | 9 | 11 | | 253 | 299 | 306 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 15 | 9 | 5 | Yes | 465 | 286 | 104 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 12 | 11 | 6 | Yes | 426 | 355 | 73 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 20 | 6 | 3 | Yes | 547 | 217 | 87 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | FLORENCE (19) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---------------|----------------------|----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of r that indicated they the county. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 34 | | | | 1003 | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 20 | 6 | 3 | Yes | 492 | 240 | 117 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 7 | 16 | 5 | No | 411 | 330 | 95 | Yes | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | 9 | 12 | 7 | No | 387 | 304 | 141 | Yes | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 13 | 15 | 2 | No | 412 | 302 | 152 | Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 19 | 11 | 0 | Yes | 504 | 274 | 87 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 13 | 16 | 1 | No | 403 | 376 | 83 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 20 | 10 | 0 | Yes | 483 | 280 | 102 | Yes | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 19 | 5 | 6 | Yes | 517 | 169 | 176 | Yes | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 19 | 6 | 5 | Yes | 597 | 157 | 108 | Yes | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 17 | 10 | 3 | Yes | 578 | 187 | 97 | Yes | |
| 20 | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | 10 | | 103 | 370 | 107 | 31 | 103 | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 12 | 12 | 6 | | 362 | 305 | 192 | Yes | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 6 | 6 | 18 | | 180 | 196 | 483 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 6 | 21 | 3 | No | 169 | 477 | 209 | No | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 24 | 3 | 3 | Yes | 668 | 65 | 122 | Yes | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 24 | 3 | 3 | Yes | 694 | 51 | 110 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 12 | 4 | 14 | Yes | 373 | 146 | 336 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 12 | 4 | 14 | Yes | 343 | 174 | 338 | Yes | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 12 | 5 | 13 | Yes | 348 | 155 | 352 | Yes | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 11 | 2 | 17 | Yes | 380 | 112 | 363 | Yes | |

| | | | | FI | LORE | NCE (1 | 9) | | |
|-----|--|----|----------------------|----|------|--------|------------|---------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 34 | | | | 1003 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 10 | 1 | 19 | Yes | 360 | 109 | 385 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 16 | 6 | 8 | Yes | 362 | 198 | 294 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 11 | 3 | 16 | Yes | 401 | 119 | 332 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 11 | 2 | 17 | Yes | 357 | 103 | 392 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 11 | 3 | 16 | Yes | 405 | 122 | 324 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 6 | 7 | 17 | No | 467 | 78 | 306 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 8 | 5 | 17 | Yes | 348 | 106 | 397 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 22 | 4 | 4 | Yes | 597 | 159 | 95 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 20 | 3 | 7 | Yes | 629 | 87 | 133 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 12 | 4 | 14 | Yes | 350 | 170 | 328 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 14 | 8 | 8 | Yes | 384 | 188 | 273 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 15 | 9 | 6 | Yes | 457 | 238 | 150 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 20 | 7 | 3 | Yes | 590 | 197 | 57 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 25 | 3 | 2 | Yes | 743 | 51 | 50 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 21 | 7 | 2 | Yes | 630 | 147 | 67 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 15 | 11 | 4 | Yes | 430 | 235 | 179 | Yes |

| | | | | FI | LORE | NCE (19) | | | | | |
|-----|---|----|------------------------|----|-----------|----------|------------|-----------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | • | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 34 | | | | 1003 | | | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 20 | 8 | 2 | Yes | 685 | 103 | 55 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 18 | 7 | 5 | Yes | 555 | 148 | 139 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 11 | 14 | 5 | No | 410 | 315 | 117 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 16 | 6 | 8 | Yes | 536 | 71 | 235 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 6 | 22 | 2 | No | 193 | 597 | 50 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 8 | 19 | 3 | No | 357 | 414 | 69 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 14 | 9 | 7 | Yes | 483 | 106 | 250 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 14 | 10 | 6 | Yes | 373 | 233 | 232 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 14 | 11 | 5 | Yes | 306 | 290 | 242 | Yes | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 19 | 7 | 4 | Yes | 571 | 144 | 121 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 9 | 18 | 3 | Yes No | 315 | 159 465 | 362 62 | Yes No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 6 | 18 | 6 | No | 290 | 470 | 74 | No | | |

| | | | | FO | ND DU | U LAC (20) | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----|--|-----|-------|------------|-----|----------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | • | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 629 | | | | 1902 | | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 258 | 71 | 167 | Yes | 756 | 232 | 538 | Yes | | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 294 | 133 | 66 | Yes | 937 | 356 | 217 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 217 | 165 | 106 | Yes | 732 | 455 | 305 | Yes | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 268 | 129 | 87 | Yes | 803 | 406 | 277 | Yes | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 245 | 118 | 119 | Yes | 701 | 407 | 366 | Yes | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 218 | 134 | 126 | Yes | 668 | 396 | 401 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 246 | 123 | 109 | Yes | 743 | 372 | 350 | Yes | | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 228 | 21 | 226 | Yes | 735 | 92 | 622 | Yes | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 176 | 49 | 248 | Yes | 587 | 154 | 703 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 202 | 25 | 245 | Yes | 671 | 92 | 678 | Yes | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 154 | 198 | 164 | No | 410 | 594 | 547 | No | | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 254 | 188 | 73 | Yes | 800 | 555 | 195 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 204 | 272 | 38 | No | 681 | 716 | 149 | No | | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 321 | 151 | 42 | Yes | 897 | 441 | 207 | Yes | | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

| | | FOND DU LAC (20) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|------------------|------------------------|-----|------------|--|------|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 629 | | | | 1902 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 285 | 148 | 81 | Yes | 862 | 434 | 244 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 211 | 225 | 54 | No | 701 | 584 | 224 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 210 | 185 | 92 | Yes | 726 | 500 | 275 | Yes | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 190 | 142 | 193 | Yes | 577 | 445 | 620 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 346 | 122 | 55 | Yes | 985 | 395 | 255 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 271 | 188 | 63 | Yes | 800 | 579 | 252 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 319 | 134 | 70 | Yes | 904 | 422 | 309 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 270 | 120 | 130 | Yes | 855 | 333 | 441 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 210 | 120 | 130 | 165 | 655 | 333 | 441 | 165 | | |
| | day after season closes? | 334 | 108 | 77 | Yes | 991 | 346 | 290 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 330 | 117 | 71 | Yes | 998 | 356 | 271 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | 000 | | | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 227 | 173 | 115 | Yes | 710 | 502 | 406 | Yes | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 135 | 92 | 288 | Yes | 384 | 394 | 840 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 91 | 250 | 171 | No | 302 | 763 | 550 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 378 | 41 | 93 | Yes | 1257 | 121 | 236 | Yes | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 389 | 33 | 90 | Yes | 1293 | 101 | 220 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | 000 | 76 | 60- | \ <u>'</u> | 700 | 0.17 | 000 | | | |
| <u> </u> | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 202 | 73 | 235 | Yes | 728 | 215 | 663 | Yes | | |
| | any one species? (440121) | 169 | 104 | 237 | Yes | 571 | 359 | 676 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 187 | 68 | 255 | Yes | 630 | 227 | 749 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 205 | 56 | 248 | Yes | 733 | 165 | 706 | Yes | | |

| | | | | FO | ND DU | J LAC (20) | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----|------------|-------|------------|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 629 | | | | 1902 | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 199 | 55 | 254 | Yes | 718 | 154 | 730 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 199 | 117 | 191 | Yes | 683 | 328 | 589 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 234 | 61 | 211 | Yes | 823 | 194 | 581 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 191 | 52 | 262 | Yes | 703 | 157 | 735 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 280 | 91 | 133 | Yes | 889 | 236 | 469 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 248 | 34 | 222 | Yes | 840 | 124 | 628 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 162 | 46 | 296 | Yes | 654 | 163 | 775 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 403 | 59 | 41 | Yes | 1089 | 301 | 201 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 360 | 52 | 90 | Yes | 1034 | 208 | 348 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 179 | 74 | 249 | Yes | 542 | 281 | 764 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 193 | 95 | 214 | Yes | 609 | 326 | 649 | Yes | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 254 | 139 | 108 | Yes | 786 | 457 | 337 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 351 | 93 | 57 | Yes | 950 | 430 | 197 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 436 | 32 | 32 | Yes | 1371 | 98 | 105 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 374 | 82 | 44 | Yes | 1185 | 256 | 133 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 241 | 114 | 145 | Yes | 653 | 428 | 491 | Yes | | |

| | | | | FO | ND DU | U LAC (20) | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|---------|-----|-------|------------|----------|---------------------|-------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | pondent | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 629 | | | | 1902 | | | | | |
| F4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 070 | 74 | 50 | V | 4470 | 007 | 470 | . Var | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 376 | 71 | 53 | Yes | 1173 | 227 | 170 | Yes | | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 312 | 83 | 104 | Yes | 1015 | 237 | 312 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 201 | 174 | 123 | Yes | 794 | 454 | 314 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 273 | 34 | 191 | Yes | 959 | 101 | 500 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 111 | 348 | 39 | No | 354 | 1114 | 90 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 215 | 236 | 47 | No | 730 | 709 | 119 | Yes | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 262 | 50 | 183 | Yes | 888 | 147 | 518 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 210 | 130 | 152 | Yes | 579 | 402 | 568 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 160 | 162 | 170 | No | 483 | 478 | 586 | Yes | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 319 | 74 | 99 | Yes | 1039 | 245 | 261 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted | 163 | 89 | 240 | Yes | 496 | 320 | 728 | Yes | | |
| 62 | again? | 143 | 280 | 66 | No | 607 | 737 | 196 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 146 | 270 | 71 | No | 628 | 686 | 224 | No | | |

| | | FOREST (21) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------------|----------------------|----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 199 | | | | 1662 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 68 | 31 | 45 | Yes | 710 | 290 | 377 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 89 | 29 | 26 | Yes | 908 | 307 | 147 | Yes | | |
| | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 55 | 56 | 31 | No | 673 | 456 | 219 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 58 | 53 | 30 | Yes | 697 | 422 | 223 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 69 | 34 | 38 | Yes | 657 | 395 | 285 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 51 | 35 | 55 | Yes | 631 | 335 | 366 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 59 | 28 | 54 | Yes | 706 | 296 | 330 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 63 | 8 | 70 | Yes | 681 | 88 | 560 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 46 | 10 | 84 | Yes | 505 | 152 | 667 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 52 | 7 | 81 | Yes | 582 | 105 | 632 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 63 | 47 | 29 | Yes | 427 | 465 | 486 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 72 | 47 | 20 | Yes | 743 | 463 | 170 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 59 | 64 | 16 | No | 690 | 554 | 132 | Yes | | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 94 | 30 | 14 | Yes | 863 | 352 | 158 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | FOREST (21) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-------------|------------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|----------|---------------------|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated 1 | of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 199 | | | | 1662 | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 69 | 40 | 29 | Yes | 767 | 428 | 175 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 51 | 55 | 24 | No | 617 | 553 | 170 | Yes | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 46 | 60 | 23 | No | 612 | 489 | 230 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 75 | 35 | 29 | Yes | 623 | 414 | 344 | Yes | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 84 | 39 | 15 | Yes | 870 | 359 | 149 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 57 | 63 | 18 | No | 679 | 564 | 130 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 76 | 44 | 18 | Yes | 798 | 409 | 171 | Yes | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 60 | 42 | 36 | Yes | 779 | 300 | 299 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 90 | 33 | 14 | Yes | 909 | 296 | 172 | Yes | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 75 | 51 | 11 | Yes | 909 | 306 | 162 | Yes | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 47 | 53 | 37 | No | 583 | 496 | 293 | Yes | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 35 | 23 | 79 | Yes | 316 | 315 | 741 | Yes | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 30 | 60 | 46 | No | 272 | 726 | 369 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 97 | 6 | 33 | Yes | 1051 | 111 | 203 | Yes | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 102 | 3 | 31 | Yes | 1089 | 85 | 191 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 53 | 31 | 52 | Yes | 639 | 254 | 472 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 64 | 23 | 49 | Yes | 559 | 339 | 467 | Yes | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 51 | 29 | 56 | Yes | 591 | 245 | 529 | Yes | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 52 | 19 | 65 | Yes | 637 | 185 | 543 | Yes | |

| | | | | | ST (21) |) | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|---------|------|-----------|------------|---------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | t of respo | ondents reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 199 | | | | 1662 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate)? | 49 | 18 | 69 | Yes | 600 | 170 | 593 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 35 | 30 | 71 | Yes | 562 | 327 | 474 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 54 | 23 | 59 | Yes | 679 | 187 | 495 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 50 | 21 | 65 | Yes | 587 | 175 | 598 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 49 | 24 | 63 | Yes | 650 | 196 | 513 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 65 | 15 | 56 | Yes | 727 | 138 | 492 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 27 | 29 | 80 | No | 521 | 175 | 661 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 100 | 26 | 10 | Yes | 956 | 277 | 124 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 104 | 14 | 18 | Yes | 985 | 156 | 215 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 54 | 39 | 43 | Yes | 536 | 304 | 516 | Yes |
| | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 68 | 24 | 44 | Yes | 616 | 299 | 440 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 74 | 36 | 26 | Yes | 728 | 376 | 247 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 109 | 23 | 4 | Yes | 940 | 327 | 82 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 116 | 13 | 7 | Yes | 1190 | 88 | 71 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 101 | 26 | 9 | Yes | 1007 | 236 | 106 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 74 | 41 | 21 | Yes | 687 | 358 | 303 | Yes |

| | | | | | FORE | ST (21) | | | | | |
|------|---|-----|------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 199 | | | | 1662 | | | | | |
| - F4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 400 | 40 | 4.4 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | 1001 | 405 | 00 | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 103 | 19 | 14 | Yes | 1064 | 185 | 99 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and | 80 | 21 | 35 | Yes | 875 | 229 | 244 | Yes | | |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 44 | 72 | 19 | No | 643 | 513 | 190 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 60 | 15 | 59 | Yes | 831 | 107 | 405 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 17 | 108 | 9 | No | 270 | 985 | 86 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 36 | 86 | 12 | No | 561 | 680 | 100 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 47 | 20 | 67 | Yes | 717 | 164 | 457 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 45 | 47 | 42 | No | 550 | 377 | 408 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 45 | 45 | 43 | | 466 | 452 | 416 | Yes | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 80 | 22 | 31 | Yes | 918 | 225 | 190 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 60 | 20 | 53 | Yes | 502 | 258 | 571 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 31 | 93 | 8 | No | 467 | 751 | 110 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 23 | 100 | 9 | No | 448 | 745 | 135 | No | | |

| | | GRANT (22) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|------------|----|------------|-----|-----|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | s that inc | | | dicated t | • | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 234 | | | | 933 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 70 | 14 | 83 | Yes | 316 | 113 | 285 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 86 | 43 | 36 | Yes | 433 | 148 | 123 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 63 | 53 | 46 | Yes | 360 | 190 | 147 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 65 | 59 | 37 | Yes | 383 | 187 | 124 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 77 | 36 | 48 | Yes | 309 | 201 | 181 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 58 | 29 | 73 | Yes | 286 | 182 | 217 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 71 | 22 | 67 | Yes | 321 | 170 | 194 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 79 | 11 | 70 | Yes | 379 | 50 | 252 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 41 | 39 | 80 | Yes | 302 | 107 | 271 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 44 | 14 | 102 | Yes | 324 | 55 | 301 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 78 | 59 | 50 | Yes | 211 | 256 | 305 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 97 | 47 | 43 | Yes | 396 | 255 | 121 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 81 | 93 | 12 | No | 332 | 369 | 70 | No | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 136 | 31 | 18 | Yes | 463 | 197 | 110 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |

| | | GRANT (22) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|------------|----|------------|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | s that inc | | All online input of re that indicated they the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 234 | | | | 933 | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 120 | 43 | 20 | Yes | 438 | 217 | 111 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 76 | 73 | 19 | Yes | 383 | 271 | 88 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | 67 | 72 | 28 | No | 407 | 234 | 99 | Yes | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 45 | 53 | 87 | No | 251 | 205 | 339 | Yes | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 117 | 51 | 17 | Yes | 488 | 204 | 101 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 91 | 70 | 23 | Yes | 404 | 275 | 113 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 95 | 60 | 30 | Yes | 436 | 218 | 139 | Yes | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 101 | 38 | 46 | Yes | 483 | 153 | 156 | Yes | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 123 | 37 | 24 | Yes | 494 | 174 | 123 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 150 | 19 | 15 | Yes | 570 | 125 | 96 | Yes | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 74 | 56 | 54 | Yes | 409 | 194 | 185 | Yes | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 43 | 26 | 115 | Yes | 177 | 234 | 377 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 45 | 77 | 61 | No | 140 | 436 | 210 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 122 | 19 | 41 | Yes | 626 | 60 | 99 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 135 | 10 | 37 | Yes | 648 | 44 | 93 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 46 | 21 | 114 | Yes | 350 | 83 | 350 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 48 | 25 | 108 | Yes | 245 | 183 | 355 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 39 | 21 | 121 | Yes | 305 | 92 | 386 | Yes | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 46 | 25 | 110 | Yes | 339 | 82 | 362 | Yes | | |

| | | | | | GRAN | IT (22) | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------------------|------|---------|------------|-----|-----|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in the coun | | | t of respo | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 234 | | | | 933 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 47 | 27 | 106 | Yes | 328 | 78 | 375 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 48 | 31 | 101 | Yes | 335 | 139 | 307 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 62 | 27 | 91 | Yes | 431 | 92 | 257 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 49 | 23 | 108 | Yes | 339 | 79 | 359 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 57 | 30 | 93 | Yes | 382 | 94 | 301 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 71 | 11 | 98 | Yes | 425 | 51 | 301 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 120 | 24 | 36 | Yes | 420 | 128 | 229 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 130 | 31 | 19 | Yes | 456 | 226 | 95 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 138 | 15 | 26 | Yes | 522 | 119 | 134 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 55 | 30 | 93 | Yes | 281 | 181 | 310 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 73 | 34 | 71 | Yes | 305 | 213 | 253 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 95 | 52 | 30 | Yes | 402 | 248 | 120 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 124 | 28 | 25 | Yes | 418 | 274 | 78 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 159 | 7 | 11 | Yes | 702 | 33 | 35 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 134 | 28 | 15 | Yes | 615 | 104 | 51 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 83 | 45 | 48 | Yes | 325 | 249 | 195 | Yes |

| | | | | | GRAN | IT (22) | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|----------------------|----|------|---------|-----------|-----|--------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | - | ondents eate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 234 | | | | 933 | | | | | |
| F.4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 400 | 200 | 47 | Vaa | 604 | 440 | 55 | Vas | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 133 | 26 | 17 | Yes | 601 | 113 | 55 | Yes | | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a wanton waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 99 | 29 | 48 | Yes | 526 | 127 | 114 | Yes | | |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 64 | 82 | 30 | No | 412 | 266 | 89 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 78 | 14 | 84 | Yes | 509 | 52 | 206 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 56 | 91 | 28 | No | 240 | 455 | 71 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 74 | 80 | 21 | No | 354 | 328 | 84 | Yes | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 88 | 21 | 66 | Yes | 498 | 74 | 193 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 72 | 50 | 53 | Yes | 321 | 193 | 250 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 55 | 59 | 61 | No | 245 | 257 | 261 | No | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 107 | 20 | 48 | Yes | 542 | 105 | 116 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 62 | 27 | 85 | Yes | 228 | 170 | 364 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 32 | 114 | 28 | No | 341 | 350 | 70 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 36 | 119 | 19 | No | 322 | 371 | 67 | No | | |

| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | | ondents reate in |
|--------|---|-----|----------------------|----|-----|-----|-----------|-----|---------------------|
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 205 | | | | 875 | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 62 | 16 | 57 | Yes | 284 | 118 | 242 | Yes |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 80 | 21 | 31 | Yes | 422 | 93 | 118 | Yes |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 65 | 31 | 34 | Yes | 357 | 126 | 140 | Yes |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 61 | 38 | 31 | Yes | 369 | 132 | 122 | Yes |
| | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 51 | 41 | 38 | Yes | 257 | 193 | 171 | Yes |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 51 | 33 | 46 | Yes | 248 | 175 | 193 | Yes |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 59 | 27 | 44 | Yes | 277 | 162 | 177 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 69 | 6 | 54 | Yes | 353 | 43 | 214 | Yes |
| | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 53 | 17 | 59 | Yes | 291 | 82 | 235 | Yes |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 50 | 9 | 68 | Yes | 326 | 51 | 229 | Yes |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 44 | 49 | 67 | No | 151 | 250 | 294 | No |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 94 | 51 | 15 | Yes | 336 | 275 | 81 | Yes |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 67 | 76 | 17 | No | 291 | 309 | 88 | No |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 90 | 44 | 26 | Yes | 322 | 226 | 140 | Yes |
| 15 | question mauvertantily offitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | GREEN (23) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|------------|----------------------|-----|------------|---|-----|-----|------------|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | All online input of that indicated they the county. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 205 | | | | 875 | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 82 | 49 | 29 | Yes | 351 | 215 | 121 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 61 | 65 | 24 | No | 311 | 234 | 121 | Yes | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | 0.4 | 40 | 40 | | 400 | 470 | 0.0 | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 84 | 48 | 16 | Yes | 406 | 170 | 86 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/\/\ | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 49 | 43 | 83 | Voc | 261 | 168 | 319 | Voc | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 107 | 36 | 31 | Yes Yes | 434 | 147 | 160 | Yes Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations: Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 86 | 61 | 26 | Yes | 382 | 211 | 146 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support rotarning to the requirement to wear backtags: (Requires registration) | 00 | 01 | 20 | 163 | 302 | 211 | 140 | 163 | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 87 | 49 | 38 | Yes | 388 | 162 | 191 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | <u> </u> | | | . 55 | | .02 | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 98 | 39 | 35 | Yes | 416 | 146 | 175 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 114 | 24 | 33 | Yes | 437 | 148 | 151 | Yes | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 117 | 28 | 26 | Yes | 420 | 170 | 145 | Yes | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 84 | 51 | 35 | Yes | 363 | 186 | 184 | Yes | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 43 | 42 | 85 | Yes | 170 | 240 | 323 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 42 | 76 | 52 | No | 131 | 389 | 211 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 135 | 8 | 27 | Yes | 605 | 50 | 75 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | 440 | _ | 00 | | 000 | | 74 | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 142 | 5 | 23 | Yes | 623 | 36 | 71 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | |
| R1 (M/CC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 74 | 15 | 80 | Yes | 364 | 68 | 296 | Yes | |
| P1 (VVCC | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 74 | 10 | 80 | 168 | 304 | 00 | 290 | 168 | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 55 | 35 | 79 | Yes | 218 | 188 | 322 | Yes | |
| 52 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | - 55 | | 7.5 | 103 | 210 | 100 | 0ZZ | 100 | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 67 | 20 | 82 | Yes | 324 | 91 | 313 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | - | | | , | | | | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 76 | 16 | 77 | Yes | 358 | 67 | 303 | Yes | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | GREEN (23) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|-----|-----|------------|---------------------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 205 | | | | 875 | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate)? | 69 | 17 | 83 | Yes | 347 | 66 | 314 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 73 | 21 | 75 | Yes | 332 | 125 | 270 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 95 | 22 | 51 | Yes | 457 | 82 | 187 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 66 | 17 | 85 | Yes | 362 | 68 | 295 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 77 | 20 | 71 | Yes | 406 | 75 | 244 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 85 | 11 | 72 | Yes | 421 | 52 | 251 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 77 | 16 | 75 | Yes | 358 | 95 | 271 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss | 96 | 48 | 24 | Yes | 367 | 251 | 106 | Yes | | |
| 43 | 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 104 | 27 | 37 | Yes | 420 | 138 | 165 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 42 | 36 | 90 | Yes | 230 | 188 | 304 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 49 | 46 | 72 | Yes | 251 | 220 | 251 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 70 | 58 | 39 | Yes | 310 | 263 | 149 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 93 | 55 | 19 | Yes | 320 | 318 | 84 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 144 | 16 | 6 | Yes | 634 | 42 | 45 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 122 | 30 | 14 | Yes | 563 | 96 | 62 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 73 | 41 | 51 | Yes | 257 | 257 | 206 | | | |

| | | | | | GREE | N (23) | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|------------------------|----|------|--------|-----------|-----|--------------------|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | - | ondents eate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 205 | | | | 875 | | | | |
| F.1 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 120 | 20 | 16 | Vas | F62 | 0 | 66 | Vac | |
| 51 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 129 | 20 | 16 | Yes | 563 | 90 | 66 | Yes | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a wanton waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 106 | 25 | 34 | Yes | 504 | 97 | 117 | Yes | |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 85 | 48 | 32 | Yes | 440 | 178 | 98 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 102 | 12 | 51 | Yes | 494 | 52 | 170 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 46 | 103 | 14 | No | 209 | 450 | 54 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 76 | 64 | 23 | Yes | 376 | 268 | 69 | Yes | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 101 | 13 | 49 | Yes | 477 | 57 | 179 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 60 | 46 | 57 | Yes | 263 | 156 | 294 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 55 | 45 | 60 | Yes | 220 | 214 | 275 | Yes | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 114 | 17 | 29 | Yes | 503 | 96 | 110 | Yes | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 57 | 26 | 77 | Yes | 205 | 152 | 351 | Yes | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 74 | 66 | 20 | Yes | 403 | 229 | 76 | Yes | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 64 | 79 | 16 | No | 368 | 269 | 70 | Yes | |

| | | GREEN LAKE (24) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------------|----------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | - | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 154 | | | | 1140 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 65 | 15 | 43 | Yes | 478 | 161 | 284 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 81 | 29 | 13 | Yes | 583 | 211 | 119 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 60 | 35 | 27 | Yes | 468 | 284 | 153 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 68 | 35 | 17 | Yes | 495 | 263 | 140 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 67 | 28 | 25 | Yes | 443 | 261 | 189 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 61 | 32 | 27 | Yes | 457 | 216 | 218 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 63 | 34 | 23 | Yes | 488 | 211 | 192 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 66 | 8 | 46 | Yes | 476 | 71 | 335 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 49 | 9 | 62 | Yes | 399 | 101 | 380 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 55 | 7 | 58 | Yes | 443 | 72 | 364 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 37 | 44 | 48 | No | 259 | 345 | 332 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 62 | 54 | 13 | Yes | 470 | 348 | 116 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 62 | 57 | 10 | Yes | 441 | 406 | 85 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 72 | 39 | 18 | Yes | 515 | 285 | 130 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | GREEN LAKE (24) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|----|----------|-----|------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| | Question Description | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | ine input dicated t unty. | • | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 154 | | | | 1140 | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 68 | 46 | 15 | Yes | 496 | 289 | 141 | Yes | |
| 17(NRB) | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 59 | 58 | 8 | Yes | 412 | 368 | 125 | Yes | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 66 | 48 | 11 | Yes | 435 | 316 | 148 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | ΛE | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 45 90 | 40 | 49 16 | Yes | 361 | 265 | 346 | Yes | |
| | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | | 28 | | Yes | 615 | 220 | 134 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Nequires legislation) | 71 | 47 | 16 | Yes | 517 | 325 | 125 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 85 | 32 | 17 | Yes | 565 | 241 | 163 | Yes | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 76 | 29 | 29 | Yes | 531 | 200 | 235 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 97 | 26 | 11 | Yes | 618 | 208 | 140 | Yes | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 97 | 26 | 11 | Yes | 601 | 239 | 126 | Yes | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 66 | 40 | 28 | Yes | 455 | 277 | 229 | Yes | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 25 | 36 | 73 | No | 200 | 248 | 513 | No | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 22 | 73 | 39 | No | 185 | 463 | 307 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 104 | 14 | 16 | Yes | 746 | 75 | 132 | Yes | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 113 | 9 | 12 | Yes | 766 | 66 | 121 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | |
| B1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 57 | 19 | 57 | Yes | 471 | 138 | 340 | Yes | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 44 | 29 | 60 | Yes | 358 | 240 | 351 | Yes | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 40 | 21 | 72 | Yes | 414 | 147 | 388 | Yes | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 55 | 15 | 63 | Yes | 474 | 127 | 348 | Yes | |

| | | | | GR | REEN L | AKE (| 24) | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------|----|--------|-------|----------|---------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | spondent | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 154 | | | | 1140 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 52 | 12 | 69 | Yes | 456 | 113 | 379 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 56 | 30 | 47 | Yes | 433 | 204 | 310 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 73 | 13 | 47 | Yes | 543 | 133 | 271 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 55 | 13 | 65 | Yes | 466 | 111 | 369 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 95 | 28 | 10 | Yes | 615 | 170 | 161 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 62 | 11 | 60 | Yes | 537 | 87 | 320 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 60 | 9 | 64 | Yes | 425 | 116 | 402 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 96 | 21 | 16 | Yes | 627 | 196 | 120 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 100 | 13 | 20 | Yes | 620 | 127 | 195 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 57 | 19 | 56 | Yes | 342 | 194 | 405 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 62 | 33 | 37 | Yes | 367 | 234 | 339 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 69 | 44 | 19 | Yes | 475 | 279 | 185 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 89 | 32 | 10 | Yes | 563 | 281 | 93 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 116 | 6 | 9 | Yes | 820 | 59 | 56 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 95 | 24 | 12 | Yes | 691 | 168 | 76 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 60 | 39 | 32 | Yes | 380 | 300 | 254 | Yes |

| | | | | GR | REEN L | AKE (| 24) | | |
|-----|---|-----|----|--|--------|-------|---------------------------------|-----|--------------------|
| | Question Description | | • | ondents that indicated ide in the county | | | ine input dicated t unty. | • | ondents eate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 154 | | | | 1140 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | ., | | 100 | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 93 | 23 | 14 | Yes | 720 | 132 | 80 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 88 | 22 | 20 | Yes | 624 | 142 | 164 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 76 | 38 | 16 | Yes | 502 | 273 | 154 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 70 | 14 | 46 | Yes | 584 | 85 | 259 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 33 | 86 | 11 | No | 229 | 646 | 50 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 63 | 58 | 9 | Yes | 457 | 402 | 66 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 73 | 13 | 44 | Yes | 544 | 108 | 268 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 48 | 38 | 44 | Yes | 376 | 228 | 316 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 43 | 45 | 42 | No | 300 | 304 | 315 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 90 | 16 | 24 | Yes | 613 | 165 | 141 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 45 | 28 | 57 | Yes | 323 | 217 | 378 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 50 | 63 | 17 | No | 383 | 427 | 107 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 49 | 65 | 16 | No | 380 | 424 | 111 | No |

| | | | IOWA (25) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----|----------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | - | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 148 | | | | 1325 | | | | | | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 42 | 16 | 50 | Yes | 421 | 174 | 417 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 65 | 20 | 20 | Yes | 677 | 146 | 174 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 56 | 19 | 27 | Yes | 598 | 170 | 221 | Yes | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 56 | 27 | 17 | Yes | 657 | 171 | 155 | Yes | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 47 | 25 | 28 | Yes | 378 | 320 | 280 | Yes | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 40 | 19 | 39 | Yes | 401 | 261 | 307 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 43 | 18 | 37 | Yes | 422 | 263 | 284 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 53 | 5 | 39 | Yes | 585 | 70 | 311 | Yes | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 41 | 11 | 45 | Yes | 492 | 107 | 366 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 45 | 7 | 45 | Yes | 561 | 54 | 349 | Yes | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 30 | 51 | 36 | No | 211 | 417 | 479 | No | | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 47 | 45 | 25 | Yes | 481 | 434 | 191 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 56 | 47 | 14 | Yes | 462 | 480 | 162 | No | | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 60 | 33 | 23 | Yes | 511 | 337 | 253 | Yes | | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

| | | IOWA (25) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------|--|----|------|------|----------|-----|------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | of respo | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 148 | | | | 1325 | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 65 | 21 | 30 | Yes | 537 | 340 | 222 | Voc | | |
| 10 | | 05 | 21 | 30 | 165 | 557 | 340 | | Yes | | |
| 47/NDD) | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | F.C | 20 | 45 | Vaa | 550 | 220 | 407 | V | | |
| I/(INRB) | | 56 | 39 | 15 | Yes | 558 | 339 | 187 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | 00 | 00 | 40 | | 700 | 004 | 404 | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 66 | 30 | 13 | Yes | 720 | 231 | 131 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 36 | 26 | 59 | Yes | 393 | 231 | 538 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 76 | 25 | 20 | Yes | 673 | 242 | 243 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 66 | 32 | 22 | Yes | 641 | 288 | 222 | Yes | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 61 | 32 | 28 | Yes | 624 | 244 | 290 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 69 | 29 | 23 | Yes | 731 | 194 | 230 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 67 | 33 | 21 | Yes | 662 | 269 | 224 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 75 | 24 | 22 | Yes | 693 | 242 | 218 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 71 | 23 | 26 | Yes | 682 | 231 | 236 | Yes | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 29 | 41 | 50 | No | 281 | 449 | 419 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | - | | | | | | - | | |
| 28 | Wisconsin? | 18 | 61 | 41 | No | 159 | 701 | 287 | No | | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 96 | 11 | 13 | Yes | 998 | 69 | 77 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | - | '' | . 55 | 300 | | | . 55 | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 99 | 8 | 13 | Yes | 1020 | 48 | 76 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 55 | 9 | 56 | Yes | 595 | 93 | 454 | Yes | | |
| 7. (1100 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | 130 | 000 | | .51 | . 30 | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 37 | 20 | 63 | Yes | 365 | 301 | 476 | Yes | | |
| 02 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | 20 | | 100 | 300 | 001 | .,, | 103 | | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 43 | 18 | 59 | Yes | 525 | 123 | 493 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | +0 | 10 | 39 | 163 | 323 | 123 | +30 | 163 | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 48 | 9 | 63 | Yes | 589 | 86 | 464 | Yes | | |
| 34 | aggrogato): | 40 | 9 | 03 | 165 | 309 | 00 | 404 | 165 | | |

| | | | | | IOWA | A (25) | | | |
|-----|--|-----|------------------------|----|------|--------|----------|---------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 148 | | | | 1325 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 46 | 10 | 64 | Yes | 583 | 81 | 473 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 54 | 10 | 56 | Yes | 603 | 150 | 384 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 69 | 16 | 35 | Yes | 772 | 112 | 253 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 48 | 12 | 60 | Yes | 601 | 83 | 452 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 56 | 13 | 51 | Yes | 660 | 95 | 381 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 60 | 7 | 53 | Yes | 701 | 57 | 378 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 64 | 13 | 43 | Yes | 612 | 172 | 352 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 65 | 43 | 12 | Yes | 538 | 431 | 166 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 71 | 23 | 25 | Yes | 641 | 241 | 251 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 48 | 24 | 46 | Yes | 354 | 334 | 442 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 45 | 38 | 34 | Yes | 409 | 369 | 349 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 52 | 38 | 27 | Yes | 488 | 416 | 221 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 55 | 47 | 15 | Yes | 428 | 594 | 102 | No |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 106 | 4 | 7 | Yes | 1018 | 44 | 60 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 83 | 22 | 12 | Yes | 909 | 133 | 80 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 47 | 37 | 33 | Yes | 372 | 433 | 316 | No |

| | | | | | IOWA | A (25) | | | |
|-----|---|-----|----------------------|----|------|--------|----------|--------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | of respo | ondents eate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 148 | | | | 1325 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | 1.0 | _ | ,, | | 100 | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 93 | 19 | 5 | Yes | 883 | 163 | 74 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 89 | 18 | 10 | Yes | 854 | 124 | 140 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 62 | 39 | 16 | Yes | 740 | 255 | 120 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 79 | 10 | 28 | Yes | 853 | 65 | 197 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 43 | 60 | 14 | No | 375 | 630 | 108 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 64 | 32 | 21 | Yes | 574 | 407 | 132 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 77 | 14 | 26 | Yes | 824 | 84 | 203 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 41 | 33 | 43 | Yes | 410 | 252 | 449 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 29 | 44 | 44 | No | 305 | 363 | 439 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| | control in addition to boating safety? | 86 | 17 | 14 | Yes | 823 | 149 | 134 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 35 | 20 | 62 | Yes | 278 | 270 | 558 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 57 | 49 | 11 | Yes | 699 | 314 | 93 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 51 | 52 | 14 | No | 668 | 353 | 84 | Yes |

| | | IRON (26) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------|----------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | • | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 93 | | | | 1204 | | | | | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 49 | 10 | 18 | Yes | 490 | 221 | 256 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 52 | 16 | 8 | Yes | 679 | 166 | 109 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 42 | 18 | 15 | Yes | 546 | 235 | 166 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 36 | 26 | 13 | Yes | 551 | 256 | 139 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 36 | 22 | 17 | Yes | 427 | 330 | 183 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 32 | 21 | 22 | Yes | 405 | 278 | 251 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 42 | 13 | 20 | Yes | 459 | 248 | 227 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 35 | 1 | 38 | Yes | 531 | 73 | 324 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 30 | 3 | 41 | Yes | 428 | 102 | 397 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 39 | 2 | 33 | Yes | 525 | 57 | 345 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 21 | 30 | 27 | No | 242 | 405 | 378 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 43 | 23 | 11 | Yes | 524 | 353 | 144 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 36 | 30 | 11 | Yes | 467 | 434 | 120 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 51 | 15 | 11 | Yes | 584 | 266 | 168 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | IRON (26) | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|-----------|------------------------|----|--|------|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | | | spondent eside in t | | nat indicated county All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | 00 | | | | 4004 | | | | | |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 93 | | | | 1204 | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 44 | 20 | 13 | Yes | 555 | 294 | 167 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 35 | 28 | 10 | Yes | 508 | 363 | 124 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 42 | 15 | 16 | Yes | 534 | 300 | 157 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | - | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 29 | 13 | 36 | Yes | 397 | 273 | 393 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 53 | 15 | 10 | Yes | 651 | 259 | 151 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 45 | 24 | 9 | Yes | 561 | 363 | 135 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 49 | 19 | 10 | Yes | 623 | 267 | 171 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 48 | 7 | 23 | Yes | 620 | 188 | 252 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 58 | 12 | 8 | Yes | 680 | 233 | 146 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 48 | 15 | 15 | Yes | 652 | 259 | 148 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 47 | 18 | 13 | Yes | 530 | 292 | 234 | Yes | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 19 | 30 | 29 | No | 253 | 330 | 473 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 12 | 49 | 16 | No | 166 | 630 | 255 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 66 | 6 | 5 | Yes | 863 | 86 | 102 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 66 | 6 | 5 | Yes | 898 | 64 | 89 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 47 | 3 | 27 | Yes | 626 | 104 | 319 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 10 | 20 | 20 | No | 250 | 360 | 220 | Mo | | |
| | any one species? (440121) | 19 | 29 | 29 | No | 359 | 360 | 330 | No | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 31 | 18 | 28 | Yes | 483 | 198 | 366 | Yes | | |
| 0.4 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 40 | 4 | 20 | V | 504 | 0.1 | 201 | | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 43 | 1 | 33 | Yes | 591 | 94 | 361 | Yes | | |

| | | | | | IRON | l (26) | | | |
|-----|--|----|----------------------|----|------|--------|------------|---------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 93 | | | | 1204 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 41 | 1 | 35 | Yes | 558 | 82 | 405 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 31 | 12 | 34 | Yes | 513 | 183 | 348 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 40 | 1 | 36 | Yes | 629 | 77 | 338 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 41 | 1 | 35 | Yes | 564 | 74 | 404 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 41 | 1 | 35 | Yes | 594 | 93 | 355 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 37 | 5 | 35 | Yes | 625 | 76 | 341 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 21 | 21 | 35 | | 451 | 173 | 417 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 48 | 20 | 9 | Yes | 627 | 304 | 110 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 45 | 16 | 16 | Yes | 676 | 185 | 180 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 30 | 13 | 34 | Yes | 403 | 284 | 350 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 36 | 18 | 23 | Yes | 429 | 312 | 294 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 37 | 25 | 15 | Yes | 506 | 335 | 192 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 47 | 28 | 2 | Yes | 577 | 401 | 54 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 67 | 4 | 6 | Yes | 919 | 57 | 55 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 49 | 20 | 8 | Yes | 791 | 175 | 65 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 44 | 18 | 15 | Yes | 452 | 361 | 218 | Yes |

| | | | | | IRON | l (26) | | | |
|-----|---|----|----------------------|----|------|--------|-----------|-----|--------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | - | ondents eate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 93 | | | | 1204 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 67 | 5 | 5 | Yes | 815 | 155 | 61 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 53 | 9 | 15 | Yes | 722 | 152 | 155 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 46 | 17 | 14 | Yes | 612 | 289 | 125 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 47 | 2 | 28 | Yes | 742 | 59 | 224 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 14 | 56 | 6 | No | 269 | 704 | 49 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 33 | 36 | 7 | No | 451 | 490 | 81 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 51 | 4 | 21 | Yes | 671 | 110 | 239 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 27 | 10 | 39 | Yes | 401 | 259 | 360 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 16 | 19 | 41 | No | 332 | 342 | 345 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 58 | 11 | 7 | Yes | 747 | 150 | 121 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 19 | 12 | 45 | Yes | 327 | 213 | 478 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 48 | 24 | 3 | Yes | 533 | 406 | 76 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 47 | 24 | 4 | Yes | 541 | 398 | 76 | Yes |

| | | JACKSON (27) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------|----------|----|-----|------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent | | | | dicated t | • | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 173 | | | | 1102 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 71 | 16 | 40 | Yes | 468 | 134 | 244 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 80 | 23 | 24 | Yes | 543 | 179 | 117 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 54 | 38 | 34 | Yes | 422 | 253 | 158 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 59 | 42 | 25 | Yes | 434 | 267 | 129 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 60 | 36 | 30 | Yes | 412 | 233 | 182 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 67 | 21 | 37 | Yes | 428 | 190 | 204 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 75 | 19 | 31 | Yes | 471 | 162 | 189 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 74 | 7 | 42 | Yes | 492 | 60 | 265 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 49 | 22 | 51 | Yes | 363 | 145 | 305 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 48 | 13 | 61 | Yes | 384 | 89 | 338 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 43 | 52 | 44 | No | 263 | 329 | 312 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 85 | 36 | 18 | Yes | 516 | 287 | 99 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 67 | 60 | 12 | Yes | 429 | 392 | 80 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 87 | 36 | 15 | Yes | 550 | 232 | 117 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | JACKSON (27) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--------------|------------------------|------|-----|------|-----------|-----|--------------------|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | | ondents eate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 173 | | | | 1102 | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 80 | 42 | 16 | Yes | 520 | 275 | 103 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 55 | 72 | 12 | No | 391 | 412 | 86 | No | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 59 | 62 | 18 | No | 417 | 349 | 120 | Yes | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 50 | 48 | 59 | Yes | 311 | 312 | 345 | No | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 101 | 45 | 11 | Yes | 590 | 257 | 118 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 90 | 58 | 9 | Yes | 490 | 375 | 100 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | 102 | 42 | 13 | Yes | 551 | 267 | 147 | Yes | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 93 | 34 | 30 | Yes | 560 | 223 | 180 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | - 00 | 0. | - 00 | 100 | | 220 | 100 | 1.00 | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 98 | 44 | 15 | Yes | 630 | 213 | 120 | Yes | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 117 | 26 | 14 | Yes | 654 | 193 | 116 | Yes | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 67 | 53 | 35 | Yes | 424 | 325 | 207 | Yes | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 29 | 39 | 87 | No | 203 | 233 | 520 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 42 | 67 | 45 | No | 215 | 497 | 241 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 108 | 18 | 26 | Yes | 727 | 85 | 138 | Yes | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 113 | 11 | 28 | Yes | 743 | 69 | 138 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | |
| B1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 60 | 13 | 79 | Yes | 433 | 119 | 397 | Yes | |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 44 | 30 | 78 | Yes | 313 | 232 | 404 | Yes | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 53 | 14 | 85 | Yes | 396 | 135 | 418 | Yes | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 61 | 10 | 81 | Yes | 458 | 103 | 388 | Yes | |

| | | | | J | ACKS | ON (27 | ON (27) | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------------------|------|--------|------------|---------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in the coun | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 173 | | | | 1102 | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 56 | 7 | 89 | Yes | 431 | 87 | 430 | Yes | | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 49 | 38 | 65 | Yes | 379 | 213 | 355 | Yes | | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 70 | 7 | 74 | Yes | 517 | 81 | 348 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 55 | 8 | 88 | Yes | 435 | 82 | 429 | Yes | | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 60 | 9 | 82 | Yes | 476 | 105 | 365 | Yes | | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 83 | 9 | 58 | Yes | 545 | 85 | 315 | Yes | | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 53 | 15 | 82 | Yes | 388 | 127 | 430 | Yes | | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 117 | 21 | 12 | Yes | 674 | 191 | 80 | Yes | | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 115 | 16 | 19 | Yes | 683 | 132 | 129 | Yes | | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 76 | 24 | 49 | Yes | 420 | 191 | 331 | Yes | | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 78 | 26 | 45 | Yes | 468 | 202 | 271 | Yes | | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 85 | 42 | 22 | Yes | 530 | 260 | 149 | Yes | | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 107 | 30 | 12 | Yes | 630 | 227 | 82 | Yes | | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 124 | 17 | 8 | Yes | 809 | 74 | 55 | Yes | | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 101 | 35 | 13 | Yes | 689 | 174 | 75 | Yes | | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 82 | 36 | 31 | Yes | 477 | 257 | 203 | Yes | | | | |

| | | | | J | ACKS | ON (27 | 7) | | |
|-----|---|-----|------------------------|----|------|--------|----------|---------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 173 | | | | 1102 | | | |
| 54 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 440 | 00 | 44 | · · | 705 | 100 | 00 | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 118 | 20 | 11 | Yes | 735 | 132 | 69 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and | 87 | 34 | 28 | Yes | 615 | 183 | 136 | Yes |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 68 | 58 | 23 | Yes | 463 | 332 | 138 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 97 | 16 | 35 | Yes | 642 | 77 | 212 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 29 | 105 | 14 | No | 207 | 665 | 58 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 69 | 71 | 8 | No | 410 | 448 | 72 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 81 | 16 | 51 | Yes | 546 | 101 | 280 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 66 | 39 | 43 | Yes | 396 | 266 | 265 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 56 | 49 | 42 | Yes | 343 | 325 | 257 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 95 | 24 | 27 | Yes | 624 | 151 | 149 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 66 | 25 | 55 | Yes | 365 | 153 | 406 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 47 | 86 | 13 | No | 332 | 498 | 91 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 46 | 87 | 13 | No | 330 | 487 | 102 | No |

| | | JEFFERSON (28) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|----------------|------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | • | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 416 | | | | 1403 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 171 | 37 | 106 | Yes | 503 | 184 | 383 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 218 | 47 | 43 | Yes | 706 | 167 | 179 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 160 | 77 | 66 | Yes | 573 | 227 | 238 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 156 | 85 | 62 | Yes | 596 | 246 | 194 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 127 | 97 | 75 | Yes | 440 | 315 | 269 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 122 | 66 | 108 | Yes | 429 | 253 | 333 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 142 | 56 | 98 | Yes | 475 | 237 | 303 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 170 | 14 | 109 | Yes | 584 | 79 | 343 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 130 | 32 | 130 | Yes | 476 | 128 | 399 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 141 | 11 | 138 | Yes | 517 | 73 | 410 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 76 | 123 | 125 | No | 259 | 405 | 447 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 164 | 123 | 35 | Yes | 521 | 424 | 163 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 138 | 148 | 36 | No | 474 | 480 | 152 | No | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 203 | 71 | 48 | Yes | 580 | 309 | 216 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | JEFFERSON (28) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|----------------|------------------------|-----|-----|------|--|------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | | ine input of resp dicated they rec unty. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 416 | | | | 1403 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 189 | 97 | 36 | Yes | 585 | 322 | 195 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 157 | 115 | 40 | Yes | 523 | 369 | 189 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 158 | 106 | 45 | Yes | 608 | 272 | 192 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 106 | 82 | 147 | Yes | 403 | 277 | 501 | Yes | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 187 | 86 | 62 | Yes | 669 | 256 | 252 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 170 | 107 | 58 | Yes | 617 | 325 | 229 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 179 | 82 | 74 | Yes | 624 | 242 | 311 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 178 | 80 | 77 | Yes | 647 | 221 | 306 | Voc | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 170 | 60 | 7.7 | 165 | 047 | 221 | 300 | Yes | | |
| | day after season closes? | 229 | 57 | 49 | Yes | 692 | 245 | 237 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 212 | 68 | 55 | Yes | 680 | 265 | 228 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | - 55 | | | | | | | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 156 | 82 | 94 | Yes | 565 | 279 | 322 | Yes | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 76 | 93 | 163 | No | 274 | 353 | 539 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 71 | 162 | 97 | No | 204 | 586 | 372 | No | | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 271 | 22 | 37 | Yes | 965 | 72 | 124 | Yes | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 273 | 20 | 37 | Yes | 984 | 60 | 117 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | 45.4 | 0.5 | 444 | \/ | 505 | 404 | 4.10 | V | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 154 | 35 | 141 | Yes | 585 | 124 | 449 | Yes | | |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 117 | 72 | 141 | Yes | 398 | 291 | 469 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 144 | 34 | 152 | Yes | 496 | 139 | 522 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 147 | 30 | 153 | Yes | 560 | 103 | 493 | Yes | | |

| | | | | JE | FFER | RSON (28) | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------------------|------|-----------|------------|---------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in the coun | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 416 | | | | 1403 | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 148 | 21 | 161 | Yes | 561 | 89 | 506 | Yes | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 137 | 50 | 143 | Yes | 545 | 172 | 439 | Yes | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 202 | 42 | 86 | Yes | 716 | 129 | 311 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 148 | 26 | 156 | Yes | 559 | 98 | 499 | Yes | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 171 | 29 | 129 | Yes | 636 | 117 | 402 | Yes | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 185 | 17 | 126 | Yes | 696 | 68 | 390 | Yes | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 131 | 33 | 164 | Yes | 531 | 156 | 466 | Yes | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 205 | 72 | 51 | Yes | 640 | 322 | 191 | Yes | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 220 | 41 | 67 | Yes | 680 | 199 | 274 | Yes | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 119 | 63 | 146 | Yes | 392 | 259 | 497 | Yes | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 129 | 68 | 130 | Yes | 429 | 294 | 423 | Yes | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 167 | 92 | 68 | Yes | 547 | 365 | 232 | Yes | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 192 | 99 | 36 | Yes | 552 | 458 | 134 | Yes | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 287 | 17 | 22 | Yes | 1026 | 44 | 73 | Yes | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 256 | 50 | 20 | Yes | 898 | 155 | 90 | Yes | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 145 | 85 | 96 | Yes | 432 | 369 | 342 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | JE | FFER | SON (2 | 28) | | |
|----------|---|-----|------------------------|----------|------------|--------|------------|------------|--------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents eate in |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | 416 | | | | 1403 | | | |
| F4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 250 | 27 | 20 | Vaa | 004 | 100 | 404 | Var |
| 51 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 259 | 37 | 30 59 | Yes Yes | 798 | 160 142 | 101 199 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 176 | 102 | 48 | Yes | 675 | 284 | 180 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 206 | 18 | 102 | Yes | 771 | 64 | 302 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 83 | 228 | 14 | No | 308 | 769 | 58 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 140 | 163 | 22 | No | 548 | 491 | 96 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 200 | 29 | 95 | Yes | 736 | 100 | 296 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 126 | 91 | 106 | Yes | 407 | 267 | 455 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 107 | 99 | 117 | Yes | 341 | 329 | 457 | Yes |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 222 | 40 | 60 | Yes | 789 | 150 | 187 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 101 | 74 | 147 | Yes | 300 | 265 | 560 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 145 | 143 | 34 | Yes | 588 | 403 | 132 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 135 | 155 | 32 | No | 573 | 424 | 124 | Yes |

| | | JUNEAU (29) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------------|--|----|-----|----------|--|----------|---------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | All online input of resp that indicated they red the county. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 121 | | | | 864 | | | | | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 49 | 12 | 28 | Yes | 379 | 106 | 207 | Yes | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 58 | 25 | 6 | Yes | 456 | 167 | 66 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 48 | 32 | 8 | Yes | 383 | 196 | 103 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 44 | 32 | 11 | Yes | 383 | 201 | 95 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 52 | 25 | 10 | Yes | 327 | 206 | 139 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 51 | 15 | 21 | Yes | 336 | 170 | 162 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 55 | 12 | 20 | Yes | 366 | 145 | 157 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 60 | 1 | 25 | Yes | 390 | 54 | 216 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 40 | 11 | 35 | Yes | 304 | 104 | 250 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 43 | 6 | 37 | Yes | 331 | 62 | 263 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 27 | 44 | 31 | No | 182 | 278 | 262 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 60 | 34 | 8 | Yes | 402 | 242 | 78 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 51 | 43 | 6 | Yes | 339 | 315 | 66 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 57 0 | 29 | 14 | Yes | 422 0 | 189 0 | 108 0 | Yes | | |
| 10 | quodion madvortantiy omittod on ourvoy | U | U | U | | U | U | U | <u></u> | | |

| | | JUNEAU (29) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|--|-------------|------------------------|----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 121 | | | | 864 | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 68 | 25 | 7 | Yes | 443 | 196 | 78 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 42 | 49 | 5 | No | 307 | 310 | 77 | No | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | 45 | 41 | 10 | Yes | 349 | 260 | 82 | Yes | |
| 19(WCC) | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 31 | 34 | 36 | No | 252 | 235 | 256 | Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 64 | 25 | 11 | Yes | 447 | 201 | 94 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 62 | 27 | 11 | Yes | 372 | 281 | 89 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 68 | 19 | 13 | Yes | 423 | 195 | 124 | Yes | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 65 | 21 | 14 | Yes | 443 | 173 | 122 | Yes | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 69 | 23 | 8 | Yes | 482 | 160 | 95 | Yes | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 69 | 19 | 12 | Yes | 479 | 169 | 88 | Yes | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | | 35 | 14 | Yes | 360 | 239 | 132 | Yes | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 20 | 26 | 54 | No | 178 | 201 | 352 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 19 | 60 | 21 | No | 154 | 392 | 184 | No | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 84 | 6 | 10 | Yes | 596 | 55 | 79 | Yes | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 85 | 3 | 12 | Yes | 608 | 44 | 78 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 51 | 8 | 41 | Yes | 380 | 88 | 260 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 38 | 16 | 46 | Yes | 275 | 178 | 275 | Yes | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 40 | 13 | 47 | Yes | 325 | 113 | 290 | Yes | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 51 | 4 | 45 | Yes | 383 | 78 | 267 | Yes | |

| | | | | , | JUNE | AU (29 | NU (29) | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|------|---------|--|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | that in | All online input of responsible that indicated they recreate the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 121 | | | | 864 | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 51 | 6 | 43 | Yes | 379 | 71 | 277 | Yes | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 34 | 24 | 41 | Yes | 332 | 153 | 241 | Yes | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 58 | 5 | 35 | Yes | 446 | 74 | 205 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 49 | 7 | 42 | Yes | 374 | 71 | 280 | Yes | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 52 | 7 | 39 | Yes | 414 | 83 | 228 | Yes | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 50 | 13 | 34 | Yes | 444 | 63 | 218 | Yes | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 43 | 6 | 48 | Yes | 346 | 86 | 293 | Yes | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 70 | 17 | 10 | Yes | 479 | 166 | 79 | Yes | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 76 | 13 | 8 | Yes | 518 | 98 | 108 | Yes | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 45 | 17 | 34 | Yes | 305 | 150 | 268 | Yes | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 56 | 14 | 26 | Yes | 345 | 161 | 216 | Yes | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 57 | 20 | 19 | Yes | 396 | 207 | 118 | Yes | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 73 | 14 | 9 | Yes | 447 | 209 | 64 | Yes | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 84 | 5 | 7 | Yes | 633 | 45 | 41 | Yes | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 74 | 14 | 8 | Yes | 540 | 129 | 50 | Yes | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 63 | 19 | 14 | Yes | 341 | 222 | 156 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | , | JUNEA | AU (29) | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|----------------------|----|-------|---------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | - | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 121 | | | | 864 | | | | | |
| F4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 0.5 | 0 | 4 | Vaa | 570 | 0.5 | 47 | . Var | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 85 | 6 | 4 | Yes | 576 | 95 | 47 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 66 | 14 | 15 | Yes | 499 | 118 | 101 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 38 | 39 | 17 | No | 379 | 237 | 100 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 66 | 10 | 18 | Yes | 488 | 67 | 159 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 25 | 65 | 3 | No | 199 | 468 | 45 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 45 | 44 | 4 | Yes | 344 | 309 | 59 | Yes | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 57 | 8 | 28 | Yes | 445 | 82 | 183 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 44 | 30 | 19 | Yes | 314 | 185 | 211 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 48 | 29 | 16 | Yes | 268 | 233 | 209 | Yes | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 67 | 11 | 14 | Yes | 496 | 108 | 106 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 44 | 14 | 33 | Yes | 267 | 145 | 297 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 33 | 50 | 8 | No | 302 | 329 | 77 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 27 | 55 | 9 | No | 296 | 334 | 78 | No | | |

| | | | KENOSHA (30) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----|--------------|------------------------|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in the coun | | d All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 225 | | | | 707 | | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 89 | 19 | 59 | Yes | 254 | 92 | 182 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 112 | 29 | 23 | Yes | 341 | 91 | 88 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 97 | 32 | 34 | Yes | 300 | 112 | 100 | Yes | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 87 | 52 | 23 | Yes | 291 | 139 | 82 | Yes | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 79 | 45 | 37 | Yes | 229 | 148 | 132 | Yes | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 80 | 30 | 50 | Yes | 233 | 121 | 153 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 86 | 28 | 46 | Yes | 253 | 111 | 143 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 86 | 7 | 67 | Yes | 290 | 43 | 169 | Yes | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 78 | 13 | 67 | Yes | 238 | 71 | 190 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 75 | 5 | 78 | Yes | 271 | 45 | 183 | Yes | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 48 | 69 | 54 | No | 138 | 216 | 189 | No | | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 99 | 50 | 22 | Yes | 284 | 188 | 71 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 66 | 86 | 19 | No | 221 | 245 | 76 | No | | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 96 | 50 | 25 | Yes | 264 | 161 | 115 | Yes | | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

| | | KENOSHA (30) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|--------------|---|----|------|-----|---|-----|------|--|
| | Question Description | | All respondents that indicated hey reside in the county | | | | d All online input of r that indicated they the county. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 225 | | | | 707 | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 220 | | | | 101 | | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 90 | 60 | 21 | Yes | 266 | 184 | 88 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | - | | | |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 91 | 51 | 27 | Yes | 274 | 164 | 101 | Yes | |
| , , | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 94 | 46 | 28 | Yes | 312 | 129 | 89 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 69 | 44 | 67 | Yes | 218 | 127 | 247 | Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 92 | 54 | 33 | Yes | 306 | 137 | 146 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 85 | 61 | 32 | Yes | 281 | 169 | 137 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 92 | 51 | 36 | Voc | 278 | 144 | 167 | Voc | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | 92 | 31 | 30 | Yes | 210 | 144 | 107 | Yes | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 105 | 31 | 42 | Yes | 302 | 99 | 184 | Yes | |
| 20 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 103 | 31 | 72 | 103 | 302 | 99 | 104 | 103 | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 108 | 38 | 32 | Yes | 331 | 119 | 135 | Yes | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 109 | 38 | 31 | Yes | 320 | 138 | 126 | Yes | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 83 | 49 | 46 | Yes | 265 | 139 | 176 | Yes | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 34 | 56 | 88 | No | 118 | 187 | 275 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 42 | 76 | 59 | No | 110 | 256 | 213 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 136 | 18 | 23 | Yes | 467 | 41 | 70 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 143 | 12 | 22 | Yes | 475 | 33 | 70 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | |
| R1 (\M/CC | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 76 | 17 | 02 | Voc | 276 | 5 2 | 247 | Voc | |
| PT (VVCC | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 76 | 17 | 83 | Yes | 276 | 53 | 247 | Yes | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 64 | 32 | 80 | Yes | 185 | 136 | 255 | Yes | |
| 52 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | 0-1 | 52 | 00 | 103 | 100 | 130 | 200 | 103 | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 64 | 24 | 87 | Yes | 233 | 85 | 257 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | . 55 | | | | . 30 | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 78 | 13 | 84 | Yes | 269 | 50 | 255 | Yes | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | K | ENOS | HA (30 | 0) | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------|------|--------|------------|---------------------|-----|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 225 | | | | 707 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 75 | 11 | 89 | Yes | 265 | 46 | 263 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 77 | 28 | 69 | Yes | 269 | 85 | 218 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 90 | 16 | 68 | Yes | 317 | 57 | 197 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 74 | 11 | 89 | Yes | 274 | 49 | 247 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 88 | 14 | 72 | Yes | 299 | 58 | 212 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 90 | 8 | 76 | Yes | 322 | 43 | 204 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 58 | 12 | 104 | Yes | 258 | 66 | 244 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 114 | 40 | 20 | Yes | 330 | 137 | 101 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 117 | 23 | 34 | Yes | 328 | 84 | 155 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 71 | 27 | 76 | Yes | 198 | 114 | 254 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 73 | 35 | 66 | Yes | 213 | 134 | 219 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 100 | 48 | 24 | Yes | 269 | 176 | 119 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 102 | 52 | 18 | Yes | 254 | 224 | 85 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 140 | 13 | 17 | Yes | 479 | 29 | 53 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 122 | 29 | 19 | Yes | 418 | 81 | 62 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 81 | 47 | 42 | Yes | 203 | 180 | 178 | Yes |

| | | | | K | ENOS | HA (30 | 0) | | |
|-----|---|-----|---------|----|------|--------|-----------|-----|---------------------|
| | Question Description | | pondent | | | | dicated t | - | ondents reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 225 | | | | 707 | | | |
| F4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 400 | 24 | 10 | Ves | 442 | 70 | 40 | Vac |
| 51 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 133 | 24 | 13 | Yes | 443 | 70 | 48 | Yes |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and | 115 | 27 | 28 | Yes | 385 | 69 | 106 | Yes |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 79 | 63 | 28 | Yes | 309 | 144 | 107 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 114 | 7 | 48 | Yes | 376 | 39 | 142 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 36 | 121 | 12 | No | 134 | 392 | 30 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 78 | 80 | 11 | No | 277 | 238 | 41 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 102 | 18 | 48 | Yes | 345 | 51 | 157 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 74 | 31 | 63 | Yes | 200 | 112 | 239 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 63 | 50 | 53 | Yes | 160 | 155 | 232 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 112 | 31 | 23 | Yes | 368 | 98 | 81 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 57 | 34 | 75 | Yes | 151 | 111 | 283 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 66 | 76 | 24 | No | 277 | 183 | 84 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 64 | 78 | 23 | No | 275 | 197 | 70 | Yes |

| All respondents that indicated they reside in the county No. COUNTY INPUT 139 737 | e input of responded they recently. 104 186 | Yes |
|---|--|-----|
| Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin | | |
| Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and 1 (FH) extending to December 31 in open water only? Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin | | |
| (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin | 122 72 | \\\ |
| 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin | | Yes |
| could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin | 158 101 | Yes |
| | 167 91 | Yes |
| Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? 45 27 30 Yes 287 1 | 176 125 | Yes |
| Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, | 151 139 | Yes |
| | 144 130 | Yes |
| | 43 232 | Yes |
| | 67 261 | Yes |
| | 52 250 | Yes |
| | 257 213 | No |
| | 233 63 | Yes |
| Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the 13 season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 240 53 | Yes |
| 14 three years? 81 28 11 Yes 383 1 | 163 77 0 0 | Yes |

| | | KEWAUNEE (31) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|---------------|------------------------|----|-----|-----|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 139 | | | | 737 | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 64 | 29 | 26 | Yes | 330 | 186 | 102 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 51 | 40 | 14 | Yes | 288 | 227 | 78 | Yes | | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 45 | 34 | 25 | Yes | 311 | 177 | 102 | Yes | | | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 52 | 23 | 27 | Yes | 278 | 150 | 189 | Yes | | | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 66 | 26 | 10 | Yes | 355 | 175 | 84 | Yes | | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 37 | 57 | 8 | No | 274 | 262 | 77 | Yes | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 54 | 35 | 13 | Yes | 321 | 191 | 102 | Yes | | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 53 | 23 | 26 | Yes | 352 | 125 | 136 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 68 | 23 | 10 | Yes | 387 | 134 | 92 | Yes | | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 73 | 20 | 8 | Yes | 393 | 141 | 79 | Yes | | | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 41 | 40 | 20 | Yes | 270 | 201 | 139 | Yes | | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 26 | 16 | 59 | Yes | 132 | 161 | 317 | No | | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 19 | 45 | 37 | No | 111 | 323 | 171 | No | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 81 | 2 | 18 | Yes | 503 | 30 | 71 | Yes | | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 83 | 2 | 16 | Yes | 521 | 25 | 58 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 40 | 13 | 48 | Yes | 308 | 80 | 216 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 30 | 22 | 49 | Yes | 214 | 163 | 227 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 29 | 15 | 57 | Yes | 260 | 105 | 238 | Yes | | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 33 | 12 | 56 | Yes | 296 | 62 | 245 | Yes | | | | |

| | | | | KI | EWAU | NEE (3 | 31) | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------|------|--------|------------|-----|-----|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | t of respo | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 139 | | | | 737 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 26 | 14 | 60 | Yes | 282 | 53 | 266 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 22 | 28 | 50 | No | 268 | 127 | 206 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 30 | 12 | 58 | Yes | 301 | 63 | 237 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 28 | 12 | 60 | Yes | 271 | 57 | 272 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 28 | 12 | 60 | Yes | 303 | 69 | 228 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 41 | 4 | 55 | Yes | 322 | 48 | 230 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 28 | 7 | 65 | Yes | 245 | 78 | 276 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss | 76 | 11 | 13 | Yes | 381 | 140 | 78 | Yes |
| 43 | 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 75 | 8 | 17 | Yes | 404 | 85 | 109 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 39 | 13 | 48 | Yes | 245 | 115 | 237 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 44 | 13 | 43 | Yes | 260 | 137 | 200 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 45 | 27 | 27 | Yes | 305 | 177 | 114 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 80 | 11 | 8 | Yes | 382 | 162 | 50 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 80 | 11 | 8 | Yes | 517 | 36 | 41 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 68 | 21 | 10 | Yes | 450 | 94 | 50 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 47 | 29 | 23 | Yes | 280 | 170 | 144 | Yes |

| | | KEWAUNEE (31) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---------------|----------------------|----|-----|-----|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 139 | | | | 737 | | | | | |
| F4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 70 | 47 | 40 | Vaa | 120 | 100 | F0 | Var | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 70 | 17 | 12 | Yes | 439 | 102 | 53 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and | 53 | 15 | 31 | Yes | 405 | 81 | 108 | Yes | | |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 41 | 39 | 19 | Yes | 321 | 176 | 95 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 41 | 9 | 49 | Yes | 359 | 44 | 189 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 24 | 63 | 12 | No | 142 | 410 | 39 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 45 | 42 | 12 | Yes | 299 | 245 | 47 | Yes | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 41 | 8 | 50 | Yes | 341 | 62 | 186 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 43 | 28 | 28 | Yes | 241 | 168 | 180 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 22 | 38 | 39 | No | 195 | 204 | 189 | No | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 60 | 12 | 27 | Yes | 397 | 103 | 88 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 38 | 13 | 48 | Yes | 216 | 118 | 253 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 16 | 72 | 10 | No | 222 | 309 | 55 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 16 | 69 | 13 | No | 237 | 283 | 66 | No | | |

| | | LA CROSSE (32) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|----------------|------------------------|----|-----|------|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 286 | | | | 1314 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 118 | 22 | 71 | Yes | 521 | 154 | 338 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 143 | 32 | 33 | Yes | 684 | 168 | 144 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 107 | 48 | 52 | Yes | 556 | 231 | 203 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 131 | 47 | 28 | Yes | 577 | 244 | 164 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 104 | 56 | 44 | Yes | 439 | 300 | 237 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 97 | 37 | 67 | Yes | 450 | 241 | 277 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 101 | 35 | 65 | Yes | 470 | 245 | 253 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 129 | 4 | 68 | Yes | 610 | 69 | 281 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 101 | 23 | 75 | Yes | 501 | 115 | 340 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 99 | 18 | 82 | Yes | 538 | 66 | 350 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 59 | 80 | 82 | No | 239 | 396 | 416 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 122 | 71 | 26 | Yes | 515 | 387 | 147 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 90 | 106 | 22 | No | 460 | 465 | 123 | No | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 136 | 52 | 30 | Yes | 522 | 302 | 221 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | LA CROSSE (32) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|------------------------|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | All online input of re that indicated they r the county. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 286 | | | | 1314 | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 110 | 75 | 31 | Yes | 538 | 332 | 171 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 85 | 90 | 37 | No | 517 | 336 | 166 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 120 | 66 | 26 | Yes | 607 | 262 | 145 | Yes | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 66 | 58 | 111 | Yes | 387 | 259 | 473 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 117 | 72 | 44 | Yes | 646 | 251 | 219 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 112 | 78 | 43 | Yes | 607 | 303 | 202 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 117 | 56 | 60 | Yes | 611 | 229 | 276 | Yes | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 140 | 39 | 53 | Yes | 656 | 182 | 274 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 147 | 41 | 44 | Yes | 663 | 234 | 215 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 141 | 46 | 45 | Yes | 666 | 239 | 206 | Yes | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 113 | 57 | 62 | Yes | 576 | 269 | 259 | Yes | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 56 | 62 | 114 | No | 269 | 376 | 459 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 30 | 143 | 58 | No | 163 | 618 | 316 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 209 | 6 | 14 | Yes | 941 | 59 | 93 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 213 | 3 | 13 | Yes | 964 | 43 | 86 | Yes | | |
| 31 (WCC | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 105 | 18 | 106 | Yes | 563 | 102 | 423 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 67 | 49 | 113 | Yes | 351 | 279 | 458 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 85 | 29 | 115 | Yes | 463 | 134 | 491 | Yes | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 109 | 14 | 106 | Yes | 560 | 90 | 438 | Yes | | |

| | | | | LA | A CRO | SSE (3 | 32) | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------------------|-------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------------|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in the coun | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 286 | | | | 1314 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 105 | 13 | 111 | Yes | 555 | 79 | 453 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 103 | 39 | 87 | Yes | 537 | 161 | 389 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 123 | 14 | 92 | Yes | 638 | 91 | 358 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 105 | 15 | 109 | Yes | 550 | 76 | 460 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 120 | 13 | 96 | Yes | 611 | 86 | 389 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 126 | 13 | 88 | Yes | 671 | 68 | 345 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 93 | 28 | 106 | Yes | 510 | 142 | 432 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 133 | 60 | 34 | Yes | 588 | 340 | 155 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 149 | 31 | 46 | Yes | 642 | 193 | 247 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 91 | 44 | 91 | Yes | 374 | 253 | 453 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 94 | 54 | 78 | Yes | 402 | 303 | 375 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 122 | 66 | 38 | Yes | 506 | 363 | 210 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 123 | 68 | 35 | Yes | 511 | 433 | 134 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 197 | 16 | 13 | Yes | 961 | 45 | 72 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 177 | 34 | 15 | Yes | 833 | 157 | 88 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 90 | 70 | 66 | Yes | 406 | 378 | 294 | Yes |

| | | | | L/ | A CRO | SSE (3 | 32) | | |
|------|---|-----|---------|-----|-------|--------|----------|---------------------|-------|
| | Question Description | | pondent | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 286 | | | | 1314 | | | |
| - F4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 407 | 0.4 | 4.4 | V | 966 | 400 | 00 | . Var |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 187 | 24 | 14 | Yes | 866 | 128 | 83 | Yes |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and | 156 | 29 | 40 | Yes | 773 | 135 | 168 | Yes |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 123 | 58 | 44 | Yes | 654 | 230 | 191 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 164 | 10 | 51 | Yes | 799 | 48 | 227 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 72 | 138 | 15 | No | 297 | 714 | 62 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 101 | 96 | 28 | Yes | 508 | 462 | 103 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 149 | 15 | 60 | Yes | 710 | 81 | 277 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 76 | 63 | 85 | Yes | 387 | 245 | 435 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 69 | 75 | 80 | No | 301 | 332 | 432 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 163 | 22 | 39 | Yes | 759 | 136 | 168 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted | 61 | 48 | 115 | Yes | 273 | 243 | 544 | Yes |
| 62 | again? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife | 100 | 97 | 27 | Yes | 589 | 343 | 125 | Yes |
| 63 | killing contests? | 109 | 91 | 24 | Yes | 582 | 359 | 116 | Yes |

| | | LAFAYETTE (33) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|----------------|----------------------|----|-----|-----|---------------------|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | ondents reate in | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 72 | | | | 412 | | | | | | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 26 | 4 | 25 | Yes | 140 | 47 | 130 | Yes | | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 36 | 8 | 11 | Yes | 193 | 54 | 67 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 26 | 12 | 17 | Yes | 154 | 78 | 80 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 31 | 14 | 10 | Yes | 159 | 86 | 66 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 17 | 14 | 24 | Yes | 131 | 89 | 90 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 21 | 6 | 28 | Yes | 137 | 69 | 102 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 24 | 7 | 24 | Yes | 144 | 61 | 103 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 23 | 3 | 28 | Yes | 174 | 23 | 110 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 24 | 6 | 24 | Yes | 135 | 51 | 121 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 17 | 3 | 34 | Yes | 131 | 37 | 139 | Yes | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 23 | 21 | 22 | Yes | 107 | 134 | 115 | No | | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 43 | 13 | 10 | Yes | 191 | 119 | 46 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 21 | 37 | 7 | No | 152 | 174 | 29 | No | | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 38 | 21 | 5 | Yes | 194 | 109 | 50 | Yes | | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

| | | LAFAYETTE (33) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|------------------------|----|------|-----|----------|--------------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | of respo | ondents eate in | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 72 | | | | 412 | | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 42 | 13 | 8 | Yes | 207 | 97 | 46 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 29 | 24 | 8 | Yes | 155 | 142 | 42 | Yes | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 34 | 20 | 7 | Yes | 185 | 106 | 46 | Yes | | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 16 | 15 | 32 | Yes | 107 | 113 | 144 | No | | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 27 | 26 | 10 | Yes | 223 | 92 | 48 | Yes | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 16 | 39 | 8 | No | 171 | 146 | 46 | Yes | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | 30 | 24 | 9 | Yes | 201 | 106 | 56 | Yes | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 35 | 14 | 13 | Yes | 216 | 82 | 63 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | - 00 | | | 1 00 | 2.0 | 02 | - 00 | 100 | | | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 37 | 17 | 8 | Yes | 220 | 83 | 57 | Yes | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 50 | 8 | 4 | Yes | 257 | 58 | 45 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 30 | 21 | 11 | Yes | 171 | 112 | 75 | Yes | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 10 | 17 | 35 | No | 79 | 90 | 189 | No | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 12 | 32 | 18 | No | 77 | 183 | 98 | No | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 44 | 6 | 12 | Yes | 273 | 34 | 50 | Yes | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 49 | 3 | 10 | Yes | 288 | 24 | 45 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 20 | 6 | 36 | Yes | 150 | 43 | 163 | Yes | | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 16 | 9 | 37 | Yes | 115 | 77 | 164 | Yes | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 19 | 6 | 37 | Yes | 137 | 45 | 173 | Yes | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 20 | 5 | 37 | Yes | 154 | 41 | 160 | Yes | | | |

| | | LAFAYETTE (33) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------|----------|----|-----|-----|----------|---------------------|-----|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 72 | | | | 412 | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate)? | 20 | 5 | 36 | Yes | 147 | 40 | 166 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 13 | 11 | 37 | Yes | 137 | 69 | 147 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 32 | 7 | 22 | Yes | 197 | 46 | 110 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 19 | 8 | 34 | Yes | 147 | 47 | 158 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 20 | 4 | 37 | Yes | 157 | 51 | 144 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 24 | 4 | 33 | Yes | 186 | 34 | 132 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 31 | 2 | 28 | Yes | 179 | 53 | 120 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 39 | 15 | 7 | Yes | 211 | 104 | 37 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 47 | 6 | 7 | Yes | 244 | 57 | 49 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 28 | 7 | 24 | Yes | 138 | 78 | 132 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 32 | 8 | 18 | Yes | 145 | 88 | 114 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 24 | 20 | 14 | Yes | 163 | 125 | 57 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 44 | 8 | 6 | Yes | 195 | 114 | 36 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 53 | 4 | 1 | Yes | 309 | 20 | 16 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 49 | 8 | 1 | Yes | 268 | 53 | 24 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 34 | 10 | 14 | Yes | 149 | 103 | 93 | Yes | | |

| | | LAFAYETTE (33) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|----------------|----|------------------------|------------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in the cour | | | of respo | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 72 | | | | 412 | | | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 45 | 6 | 7 | Yes | 267 | 50 | 28 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 30 | 15 | 13 | Yes | 223 | 74 | 48 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 24 | 27 | 7 | No | 169 | 131 | 44 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 25 | 5 | 28 | Yes | 227 | 30 | 87 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 29 | 18 | 11 | Yes | 111 | 196 | 37 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 28 | 14 | 16 | Yes | 161 | 133 | 50 | Yes | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 33 | 5 | 20 | Yes | 204 | 44 | 95 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 24 | 16 | 18 | Yes | 123 | 102 | 117 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 17 | 23 | 18 | No | 110 | 126 | 105 | No | | |
| 00 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | 7 | 44 | V | 000 | 50 | CO | V | | |
| 60 61 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 40 17 | 7 | 33 | Yes Yes | 222 120 | 59 68 | 60 153 | Yes Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support the legalization of size in short of waterlow hunting in the state of wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 14 | 39 | 5 | No | 140 | 166 | 35 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 11 | 44 | 3 | No | 130 | 180 | 31 | No | | |

| | | LANGLADE (34) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---------------|----------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|----------|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | of respo | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 236 | | | | 1696 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 109 | 16 | 56 | Yes | 676 | 242 | 418 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 115 | 36 | 28 | Yes | 831 | 293 | 197 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 84 | 58 | 36 | Yes | 633 | 416 | 251 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 77 | 57 | 43 | Yes | 664 | 409 | 220 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 93 | 42 | 41 | Yes | 604 | 372 | 312 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 72 | 46 | 57 | Yes | 594 | 311 | 378 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 82 | 41 | 52 | Yes | 652 | 282 | 349 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 79 | 11 | 84 | Yes | 650 | 98 | 530 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 56 | 23 | 94 | Yes | 498 | 162 | 613 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 72 | 16 | 85 | Yes | 575 | 102 | 591 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 57 | 74 | 66 | No | 381 | 497 | 478 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 101 | 67 | 29 | Yes | 720 | 427 | 205 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 107 | 73 | 17 | Yes | 704 | 511 | 136 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 124 | 41 | 31 | Yes | 827 | 341 | 181 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | | | L | ANGLA | ADE (3 | 4) | | |
|-----|---|-----|------------------------|-----|-------|---|-----|-----|-----|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in 1 | | | d All online input of r that indicated they the county. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 236 | | | | 1696 | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 99 | 67 | 30 | Yes | 740 | 393 | 214 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 69 | 93 | 23 | No | 588 | 557 | 188 | Yes |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 77 | 72 | 31 | Yes | 628 | 464 | 231 | Yes |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 79 | 56 | 54 | Yes | 546 | 381 | 499 | Yes |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 120 | 47 | 21 | Yes | 837 | 387 | 199 | Yes |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 96 | 68 | 24 | Yes | 702 | 540 | 176 | Yes |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 104 | 58 | 26 | Yes | 781 | 410 | 232 | Yes |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 106 | 38 | 44 | Yes | 782 | 281 | 357 | Yes |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 117 | 51 | 20 | Yes | 906 | 312 | 202 | Yes |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 118 | 48 | 22 | Yes | 955 | 264 | 201 | Yes |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 69 | 70 | 49 | No | 589 | 455 | 367 | Yes |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 37 | 48 | 103 | No | 322 | 318 | 771 | Yes |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 33 | 88 | 67 | No | 268 | 679 | 459 | No |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 139 | 15 | 34 | Yes | 1074 | 120 | 210 | Yes |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 139 | 13 | 36 | Yes | 1111 | 93 | 200 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 103 | 31 | 53 | Yes | 697 | 241 | 461 | Yes |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 78 | 51 | 58 | Yes | 571 | 351 | 477 | Yes |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 61 | 43 | 83 | Yes | 552 | 239 | 606 | Yes |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 99 | 23 | 65 | Yes | 707 | 175 | 515 | Yes |

| | | | | L | 4) | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----------------------|----|-----|------|-----------|------------|---------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | dicated t | t of respo | ondents reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 236 | | | | 1696 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| | aggregate)? | 96 | 15 | 76 | Yes | 650 | 143 | 602 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 70 | 34 | 83 | Yes | 557 | 269 | 569 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 101 | 17 | 68 | Yes | 711 | 146 | 538 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 89 | 19 | 77 | Yes | 648 | 138 | 606 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 93 | 21 | 71 | Yes | 680 | 171 | 541 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 97 | 21 | 67 | Yes | 749 | 119 | 521 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 76 | 18 | 90 | Yes | 561 | 148 | 675 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss | 128 | 39 | 17 | Yes | 989 | 263 | 130 | Yes |
| 43 | 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 120 | 27 | 37 | Yes | 949 | 169 | 263 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 63 | 53 | 68 | Yes | 518 | 281 | 579 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 84 | 48 | 51 | Yes | 590 | 310 | 473 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 104 | 47 | 32 | Yes | 734 | 382 | 253 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 120 | 48 | 15 | Yes | 909 | 335 | 124 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 154 | 12 | 17 | Yes | 1199 | 81 | 88 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 125 | 36 | 22 | Yes | 1007 | 246 | 115 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 85 | 49 | 49 | Yes | 681 | 354 | 332 | Yes |

| | | | | L | ANGLA | ADE (34) | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|---------|----|-----------|----------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|--|
| | Question Description | | pondent | | | | dicated t | - | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 236 | | | | 1696 | | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 407 | 0.4 | 45 | \ <u></u> | 4070 | 100 | 0.4 | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 137 | 31 | 15 | Yes | 1078 | 196 | 91 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 126 | 24 | 32 | Yes | 887 | 206 | 270 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 87 | 69 | 26 | Yes | 667 | 455 | 239 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 93 | 21 | 68 | Yes | 819 | 108 | 434 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 35 | 134 | 12 | No | 254 | 1030 | 75 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 77 | 83 | 21 | No | 586 | 661 | 112 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 96 | 27 | 58 | Yes | 741 | 150 | 462 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 66 | 46 | 67 | Yes | 554 | 336 | 461 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 59 | 56 | 63 | Yes | 464 | 419 | 465 | Yes | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 119 | 32 | 27 | Yes | 916 | 212 | 219 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 62 | 32 | 83 | Yes | 455 | 250 | 641 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 74 | 83 | 20 | No | 518 | 685 | 143 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 69 | 89 | 19 | No | 524 | 656 | 164 | No | | |

| | | LINCOLN (35) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--------------|------------------------|-----|-----|------|-----------|-----|---------------------|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated t | • | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 533 | | | | 1844 | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 198 | 63 | 132 | Yes | 739 | 282 | 416 | Yes | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 257 | 73 | 58 | Yes | 944 | 306 | 173 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 170 | 135 | 78 | Yes | 703 | 465 | 241 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 160 | 149 | 69 | Yes | 690 | 488 | 221 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 186 | 98 | 94 | Yes | 641 | 426 | 325 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 157 | 69 | 147 | Yes | 672 | 302 | 408 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 175 | 53 | 145 | Yes | 743 | 260 | 379 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 181 | 15 | 175 | Yes | 750 | 92 | 535 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 115 | 45 | 210 | Yes | 537 | 194 | 642 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 140 | 36 | 193 | Yes | 626 | 132 | 612 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 140 | 157 | 105 | No | 439 | 553 | 478 | No | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 209 | 135 | 57 | Yes | 798 | 488 | 181 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 235 | 127 | 39 | Yes | 753 | 575 | 136 | Yes | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 274 | 84 | 43 | Yes | 906 | 368 | 190 | Yes | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

| | | | | L | INCO | LN (35 |) | | |
|---------|--|-----|------------------------|-----|------|--------|-----------|----------|---------------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated 1 | of respo | ondents reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 533 | | | | 1844 | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 243 | 102 | 53 | Yes | 838 | 425 | 196 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 165 | 178 | 62 | No | 637 | 624 | 188 | Yes |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | 151 | 175 | 73 | No | 649 | 553 | 235 | Yes |
| 19(WCC) | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 153 | 100 | 197 | Yes | 556 | 433 | 594 | Yes |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 228 | 146 | 75 | Yes | 887 | 472 | 219 | Yes |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 228 | 161 | 58 | Yes | 794 | 573 | 206 | Yes |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 221 | 142 | 86 | Yes | 827 | 480 | 271 | Yes |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 200 | 104 | 144 | Yes | 829 | 335 | 413 | Yes |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 291 | 98 | 59 | Yes | 1031 | 336 | 210 | Yes |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 278 | 104 | 66 | Yes | 1030 | 337 | 210 | Yes |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 154 | 158 | 133 | No | 637 | 517 | 417 | Yes |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 95 | 76 | 274 | Yes | 357 | 341 | 873 | Yes |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 100 | 159 | 182 | No | 304 | 724 | 538 | No |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 302 | 58 | 78 | Yes | 1178 | 156 | 227 | Yes |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 317 | 45 | 76 | Yes | 1219 | 127 | 215 | Yes |
| B1 (WCC | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 244 | 82 | 112 | Yes | 887 | 301 | 371 | Yes |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 207 | 123 | 108 | Yes | 726 | 444 | 389 | Yes |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 139 | 89 | 209 | Yes | 624 | 292 | 640 | Yes |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 206 | 62 | 169 | Yes | 845 | 216 | 495 | Yes |

| | | | | l | INCO | LN (35 |) | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----|------------|------|--------|---------------------|-----|-----|
| | Question Description | | • | ts that in | | | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 533 | | | | 1844 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 165 | 53 | 219 | Yes | 748 | 179 | 627 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 126 | 86 | 225 | Yes | 592 | 323 | 639 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 183 | 43 | 211 | Yes | 795 | 164 | 594 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 163 | 47 | 226 | Yes | 727 | 156 | 667 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 171 | 50 | 214 | Yes | 782 | 179 | 588 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 207 | 35 | 192 | Yes | 859 | 128 | 559 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 140 | 49 | 243 | Yes | 615 | 169 | 759 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 313 | 72 | 47 | Yes | 1104 | 285 | 153 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 278 | 49 | 105 | Yes | 1073 | 171 | 298 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 160 | 77 | 195 | Yes | 594 | 310 | 638 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 181 | 89 | 161 | Yes | 656 | 332 | 549 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 237 | 108 | 84 | Yes | 809 | 432 | 290 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 307 | 79 | 43 | Yes | 1037 | 352 | 141 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 363 | 25 | 38 | Yes | 1307 | 102 | 117 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 297 | 84 | 45 | Yes | 1095 | 281 | 150 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 227 | 85 | 114 | Yes | 742 | 404 | 380 | Yes |

| | | | | L | INCO | LN (35 |) | | |
|----------|---|------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|-------------|------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in | | | | of respo | ondents reate in | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 533 | | | | 1844 | | | |
| F.4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 047 | E-7 | E4 | Vas | 4400 | 24.4 | 100 | Vas |
| 51 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 317 244 | 63 | 51 116 | Yes Yes | 1188 966 | 214 | 318 | Yes Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 177 | 150 | 96 | Yes | 748 | 497 | 275 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 201 | 38 | 184 | Yes | 895 | 126 | 497 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 49 | 361 | 13 | No | 256 | 1199 | 62 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 160 | 244 | 19 | No | 628 | 797 | 92 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 187 | 60 | 175 | Yes | 796 | 188 | 530 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 152 | 125 | 144 | Yes | 603 | 412 | 494 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 129 | 134 | 157 | No | 505 | 500 | 500 | Yes |
| 00 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | 0.40 | 00 | 00 | | 070 | 000 | 0.40 | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 248 128 | 86 63 | 86 227 | Yes Yes | 972 495 | 293 270 | 240 738 | Yes Yes |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 138 | 230 | 50 | No | 572 | 766 | 164 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 144 | 196 | 77 | No | 577 | 714 | 206 | No |

| | | | | M | ANITO | TOWOC (36) | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|-----|-----|-------|------------|-----------|------------|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county | | | | | dicated t | t of respo | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 402 | | | | 1362 | | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes | 166 | 40 | 103 | Yes | 547 | 158 | 357 | Yes | | | |
| 2 | (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 197 | 59 | 46 | Yes | 691 | 195 | 153 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 145 | 86 | 70 | Yes | 549 | 264 | 212 | Yes | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 156 | 96 | 48 | Yes | 564 | 272 | 184 | Yes | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 145 | 86 | 66 | Yes | 454 | 309 | 245 | Yes | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 150 | 62 | 85 | Yes | 509 | 244 | 249 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 161 | 58 | 78 | Yes | 529 | 250 | 223 | Yes | | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 156 | 12 | 128 | Yes | 545 | 82 | 364 | Yes | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 98 | 40 | 157 | Yes | 418 | 126 | 442 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 122 | 23 | 148 | Yes | 482 | 73 | 425 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 84 | 129 | 104 | No | 276 | 416 | 374 | No | | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 185 | 105 | 26 | Yes | 554 | 390 | 121 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support logislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 130 | 157 | 28 | No | 479 | 463 | 119 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 206 | 78 | 31 | Yes | 587 | 308 | 166 | Yes | | | |
| 15 | question mauvertantily offlitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

| | | | | M | ANITO | OWOC (36) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|---------------------------|-----|-----|-------|--|-----|-----|----------|--|--|--|--|--|-----|--|--|---|--|--|--|-----------|----------|--|
| | Question Description | they reside in the county | | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | 1 | | | | | | - I | | | • | | | | dicated t | of respo | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 402 | | | | 1362 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 169 | 100 | 44 | Yes | 554 | 332 | 172 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 120 | 151 | 34 | No | 482 | 396 | 161 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 151 | 102 | 50 | Yes | 567 | 281 | 187 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 116 | 106 | 119 | Yes | 447 | 293 | 423 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 208 | 84 | 49 | Yes | 680 | 262 | 219 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 149 | 143 | 47 | Yes | 569 | 382 | 203 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | 190 | 100 | 51 | Yes | 618 | 286 | 257 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 186 | 75 | 79 | Yes | 629 | 210 | 318 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 230 | 63 | 47 | Yes | 707 | 232 | 216 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 222 | 75 | 43 | Yes | 693 | 251 | 210 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | 0.5 | 0.5 | | 500 | 004 | 000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 178 | 95 | 65 | Yes | 569 | 284 | 292 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 97 | 70 | 171 | Yes | 278 | 326 | 541 | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 67 | 171 | 99 | No | 202 | 586 | 351 | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 277 | 13 | 47 | Yes | 922 | 59 | 157 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 293 | 7 | 37 | Yes | 953 | 46 | 139 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 147 | 39 | 151 | Yes | 570 | 133 | 434 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 126 | 57 | 154 | Yes | 428 | 258 | 451 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 117 | 49 | 171 | Yes | 476 | 175 | 486 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 144 | 21 | 172 | Yes | 566 | 89 | 482 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | M | ANITC | OWOC (36) | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|------------------------|-----|-------|-----------|--------------------|-----|-----|--|--|--|
| | Question Description | | spondent eside in t | | | | ondents eate in | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 402 | | | | 1362 | | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 134 | 24 | 177 | Yes | 543 | 87 | 505 | Yes | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 132 | 69 | 134 | Yes | 504 | 204 | 426 | Yes | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 155 | 21 | 159 | Yes | 604 | 94 | 435 | Yes | | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 125 | 28 | 181 | Yes | 534 | 93 | 504 | Yes | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 148 | 30 | 156 | Yes | 601 | 107 | 422 | Yes | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 178 | 17 | 139 | Yes | 646 | 75 | 408 | Yes | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 136 | 20 | 177 | Yes | 514 | 124 | 489 | Yes | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 234 | 60 | 39 | Yes | 678 | 278 | 171 | Yes | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 236 | 30 | 67 | Yes | 699 | 172 | 255 | Yes | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 149 | 53 | 131 | Yes | 417 | 246 | 460 | Yes | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 158 | 64 | 111 | Yes | 446 | 272 | 405 | Yes | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 164 | 100 | 69 | Yes | 535 | 329 | 258 | Yes | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 236 | 67 | 30 | Yes | 621 | 374 | 126 | Yes | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 291 | 19 | 22 | Yes | 961 | 59 | 99 | Yes | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 243 | 64 | 25 | Yes | 841 | 172 | 106 | Yes | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 156 | 87 | 88 | Yes | 442 | 345 | 330 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | M | ANITO | OWOC (36) | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| | Overtion Decovirtion | | spondent eside in t | | | | dicated | t of respo they recr | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | 402 | | | | 1362 | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 266 | 38 | 27 | Yes | 836 | 158 | 123 | Yes | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and | 226 | 46 | 59 | Yes | 759 | 127 | 230 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 155 | 123 | 53 | Yes | 617 | 284 | 213 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 213 | 22 | 96 | Yes | 747 | 67 | 298 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 85 | 219 | 27 | No | 253 | 795 | 63 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 163 | 139 | 29 | Yes | 559 | 474 | 78 | Yes | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 196 | 29 | 106 | Yes | 657 | 98 | 352 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 141 | 89 | 101 | Yes | 414 | 273 | 420 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 111 | 119 | 100 | No | 349 | 351 | 405 | No | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | , | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 229 | 51 | 50 | Yes | 749 | 179 | 176 | Yes | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 121 | 74 178 | 135 42 | Yes No | 336 509 | 246 449 | 521 144 | Yes Yes | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 178 | 41 | No | 504 | 442 | 155 | Yes | |

| | | | | M | ARATH | 1ON (37 | 7) | | |
|--------|---|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------|
| | | All respo | ondents ide in the | | | | ne input icated th nty. | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. |
| | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 1216 444 | 174 | 254 | Yes | 2464 959 | 314 | 543 | Yes |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 583 | 159 | 127 | Yes | 1174 | 349 | 275 | Yes |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 439 | 251 | 171 | Yes | 895 | 510 | 369 | Yes |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 412 | 274 | 174 | Yes | 892 | 532 | 343 | Yes |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 402 | 224 | 230 | Yes | 813 | 484 | 459 | Yes |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 420 | 143 | 288 | Yes | 861 | 342 | 536 | Yes |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 483 | 111 | 257 | Yes | 948 | 300 | 491 | Yes |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 435 | 44 | 367 | Yes | 958 | 108 | 666 | Yes |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 311 | 105 | 429 | Yes | 706 | 237 | 784 | Yes |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 342 | 65 | 435 | Yes | 781 | 161 | 782 | Yes |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 275 | 339 | 268 | No | 546 | 727 | 586 | No |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 483 | 260 | 139 | Yes | 995 | 593 | 268 | Yes |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 463 | 317 | 100 | Yes | 961 | 686 | 203 | Yes |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 541 | 208 | 129 | Yes | 1108 | 437 | 302 | Yes |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

MARATHON (37) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** No No Opinior Maj. No No Opinior Maj. Yes Yes No. **COUNTY INPUT** 1216 2464 Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? 499 244 132 Yes 1038 518 286 Yes Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? 402 337 248 123 863 710 Yes Yes Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? 412 271 907 585 18 177 Yes 316 Yes Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 9(WCC) disabled, and military service hunters. 346 224 420 Yes 725 503 815 Yes Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 525 182 557 20 281 Yes 1119 364 Yes Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 21 456 356 172 964 718 350 Yes Yes Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 219 22 476 293 Yes 1024 564 452 Yes Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 490 1092 368 578 23 183 314 Yes Yes Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the 24 day after season closes? 604 191 191 Yes 1279 399 356 Yes Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 25 619 176 191 Yes 1303 377 354 Yes Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? 381 831 626 564 26 311 289 Yes Yes Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee | support \$10 fee | Do Not support changing current system] 224 579 27 178 Yes 469 444 1108 Yes Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? 28 166 423 390 No 394 910 712 No Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? 732 29 116 126 Yes 1561 185 263 Yes Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? 758 96 148 250 30 120 Yes 1611 Yes Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other B1 (WCC|reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. 531 138 303 Yes 1066 290 648 Yes Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) 408 259 305 Yes 818 526 660 Yes Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? 400 33 143 427 818 318 866 Yes Yes Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? 34 601 134 235 Yes 1174 256 571 Yes

| | | | | M | ARATH | ION (37 | 7) | | |
|-----|--|------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | All respo | | | | All onlir that ind the cou | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 1216 | | | | 2464 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 491 | 87 | 391 | Yes | 1030 | 199 | 771 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 318 | 183 | 468 | Yes | 738 | 376 | 884 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 492 | 69 | 408 | Yes | 1055 | 175 | 767 | Yes |
| 20 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | 470 | 70 | 111 | V | 4005 | 470 | 044 | V |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 476 488 | 78 76 | 414 | Yes Yes | 1005 1039 | 179 187 | 811 769 | Yes Yes |
| 39 | Would you support roudoing the parmon limit on Little Oreen Lake norm 20 to 10 parmon: | 400 | 70 | 404 | 168 | 1039 | 107 | 709 | 165 |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 520 | 66 | 381 | Yes | 1133 | 155 | 703 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 372 | 74 | 521 | Yes | 795 | 201 | 993 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 692 | 136 | 138 | Yes | 1349 | 366 | 272 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 602 | 103 | 261 | Yes | 1242 | 251 | 493 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 332 | 155 | 479 | Yes | 724 | 361 | 900 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 377 | 172 | 416 | Yes | 804 | 409 | 767 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 488 | 222 | 251 | Yes | 998 | 516 | 460 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 643 | 179 | 137 | Yes | 1210 | 516 | 246 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 795 | 62 | 102 | Yes | 1665 | 133 | 172 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 651 | 171 | 137 | Yes | 1409 | 329 | 232 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 407 | 246 | 306 | Yes | 874 | 515 | 580 | Yes |

killing contests?

63

MARATHON (37) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** Maj. Yes No No Opinior Yes No No Opinior Maj. No. **COUNTY INPUT** 1216 2464 Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. 764 104 90 Yes 1551 239 178 Yes Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? 112 52 602 244 Yes 1283 263 421 Yes Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? 53 448 260 247 Yes 1003 542 419 Yes Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? 623 530 68 357 Yes 1194 146 Yes Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). 55 169 747 39 1505 87 No No 368 Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) 56 383 518 54 No 852 984 124 No Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? 57 445 394 112 Yes 1019 219 714 Yes Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a 58 muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? 370 215 366 Yes 773 438 740 Yes Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. 318 269 363 Yes 661 578 708 Yes Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? 609 156 182 Yes 1276 313 353 Yes Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? 270 535 141 Yes 600 323 1017 Yes Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted 62 again? 320 459 887 269 167 No 783 No Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife

854

295

No

788

334

430

180

No

| | | MARINETTE (38) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|---------|------------|------|--------------------|----------|------------|------|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | | eate in | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 106 | 23 | 57 | Yes | 1858 818 | 233 | 447 | Yes | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 119 | 42 | 22 | Yes | 980 | 322 | 183 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 83 | 61 | 38 | Yes | 735 | 443 | 295 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 84 | 60 | 38 | Yes | 786 | 444 | 238 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 96 | 47 | 35 | Yes | 695 | 431 | 330 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 97 | 39 | 40 | Yes | 745 | 359 | 341 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 110 | 33 | 33 | Yes | 816 | 318 | 311 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 85 | 5 | 85 | Yes | 753 | 85 | 595 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam | 61 | 21 | 93 | Yes | 578 | 157 | 691 | Yes | | |
| 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | 60 | 8 | 107 | Yes | 637 | 103 | 683 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 69 | 64 | 62 | Yes | 434 | 598 | 506 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 104 | 61 | 30 | Yes | 803 | 520 | 214 | Yes | | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 107 | 73 | 15 | Yes | 773 | 632 | 131 | Yes | | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 141 0 | 44 0 | 10 | Yes | 993 0 | 389 0 | 152 0 | Yes | | |

| | | | 31 1858 22 46 25 Yes 882 433 215 Yes | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----|--|------------|------|---------|-----------|-----------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | • | | | that in | dicated 1 | d they recreate | | | | | | |
| _ | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 231 | | | | 1858 | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 122 | 46 | 25 | Yes | 882 | 433 | 215 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 74 | 89 | 18 | No | 635 | 692 | 154 | No | | | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 94 | 60 | 26 | Yes | 706 | 534 | 235 | Yes | | | | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 99 | 49 | 38 | Yes | 659 | 478 | 432 | Yes | | | | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 104 | 65 | 16 | Yes | 935 | 454 | 178 | Yes | | | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 74 | 98 | 13 | No | 719 | 666 | 178 | Yes | | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 93 | 75 | 17 | Yes | 837 | 514 | 216 | Yes | | | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 91 | 49 | 44 | Yes | 869 | 332 | 359 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 132 | 36 | 16 | Yes | 1049 | 315 | 196 | Yes | | | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 130 | 39 | 15 | Yes | 1032 | 335 | 193 | Yes | | | | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 70 | 79 | 33 | No | 666 | 553 | 332 | Yes | | | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 39 | 21 | 122 | Yes | 345 | 340 | 866 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 47 | 86 | 48 | No | 325 | 798 | 420 | No | | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 150 | 9 | 22 | Yes | 1202 | 126 | 213 | Yes | | | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 152 | 8 | 21 | Yes | 1254 | 103 | 184 | Yes | | | | | |
| B1 (WCC | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 68 | 20 | 93 | Yes | 719 | 213 | 608 | Yes | | | | | |
| 32 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 52 | 37 | 92 | Yes | 582 | 343 | 615 | Yes | | | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 59 | 29 | 93 | Yes | 639 | 224 | 674 | Yes | | | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 65 | 15 | 101 | Yes | 703 | 155 | 677 | Yes | | | | | |

| | | MARINETTE (38) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---|------------|--|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated t | input of responde ated they recreate y. | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 231 | | | | 1858 | | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 60 | 15 | 106 | Yes | 678 | 154 | 702 | Yes | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 61 | 46 | 74 | Yes | 664 | 333 | 536 | Yes | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 71 | 16 | 93 | Yes | 777 | 157 | 598 | Yes | | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 65 | 14 | 100 | Yes | 690 | 154 | 687 | Yes | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 71 | 15 | 93 | Yes | 752 | 183 | 596 | Yes | | | |
| 40 41 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 74 57 | 16 9 | 89 113 | Yes Yes | 816 625 | 123 156 | 589 744 | Yes Yes | | | |
| 41 | , , , , | 57 | 9 | 113 | res | 025 | 156 | 744 | res | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 130 | 29 | 19 | Yes | 1084 | 278 | 161 | Yes | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 137 | 20 | 21 | Yes | 1091 | 182 | 247 | Yes | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 74 | 42 | 62 | Yes | 619 | 287 | 613 | Yes | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 83 | 40 | 55 | Yes | 687 | 313 | 518 | Yes | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 99 | 49 | 30 | Yes | 820 | 413 | 285 | Yes | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 129 | 34 | 15 | Yes | 1033 | 349 | 133 | Yes | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 152 | 16 | 9 | Yes | 1317 | 104 | 91 | Yes | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 121 | 48 | 8 | Yes | 1118 | 270 | 124 | Yes | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 115 | 38 | 24 | Yes | 779 | 392 | 340 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | M | ARINE | TTE (3 | 38) | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|-----|-----------|-------|--------|---------|---|------|--|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated | e input of respondent cated they recreate in ity. | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 231 | | | | 1858 | | | | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 144 | 18 | 15 | Yes | 1183 | 207 | 120 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 112 | 33 | 32 | Yes | 988 | 248 | 271 | Yes | | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 75 | 71 | 31 | Yes | 753 | 506 | 246 | Yes | | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 82 | 12 | 83 | Yes | 906 | 117 | 481 | Yes | | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 32 | 137 | 8 | No | 344 | 1093 | 64 | No | | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 72 | 93 | 12 | No | 698 | 703 | 100 | No | | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 89 | 16 | 72 | Yes | 815 | 176 | 506 | Yes | | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 87 | 57 | 33 | Yes | 641 | 430 | 424 | Yes | | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 68 | 62 | 47 | Yes | 552 | 488 | 450 | Yes | | | |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 119 | 25 | 33 | Yes | 1001 | 262 | 223 | Yes | | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 77 | 27 | 73 | Yes | 560 | 272 | 652 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 53 | 115 | 9 | No | 499 | 828 | 154 | No | | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 47 | 108 | 21 | No | 519 | 787 | 174 | No | | | |

| All respondents that indicathey reside in the county Question Description Yes No No Opinion Management Manage | that the | nline inpuindicated county. | - | |
|--|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--------|
| No. COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great | | | No Opinio | |
| Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great | 983 | | | r Maj. |
| Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and 1 (FH) extending to December 31 in open water only? 46 15 30 Y | es 400 | 134 | 251 | Yes |
| Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. 56 21 13 Y | es 506 | 168 | 103 | Yes |
| Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). 37 31 21 Y | es 396 | 236 | 140 | Yes |
| Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin 4 waters? 39 38 12 Y | es 403 | 246 | 120 | Yes |
| Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? 35 36 18 N | o 332 | 264 | 170 | Yes |
| Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. 45 23 20 Y | es 372 | 192 | 199 | Yes |
| Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake 7 sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? 50 19 19 Y | s 396 | 191 | 176 | Yes |
| Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? 49 6 33 Y | es 399 | 57 | 303 | Yes |
| Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam | s 331 | 95 | 329 | Yes |
| 10 from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? 40 7 41 Y Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | es 359 | 59 | 337 | Yes |
| program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] 38 33 29 Yes | es 234 | 294 | 304 | No |
| Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits 12 during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? 53 39 8 Y Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | es 463 | 287 | 79 | Yes |
| 13 season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 384 | 374 | 69 | Yes |
| 14 three years? 15 question inadvertantly omitted on survey 16 do 17 do 18 do 19 d | s 485 | 238 | 103 | Yes |

| they reside in the county | at indica e county s N | ed they | espondents recreate in |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| | _ | No Op | |
| | 3 | | oinior Maj. |
| Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | | | |
| 16 during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? 49 34 16 Yes 45 | 4 2 | 5 12 | 25 Yes |
| Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | |
| 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? 47 38 8 Yes 4 | 0 3 | 1 7 | 9 Yes |
| Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | |
| incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | |
| 18 effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? 50 32 11 Yes 4 | 0 28 | 0 11 | 17 Yes |
| Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | |
| on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 19(WCC) disabled, and military service hunters. 35 26 37 Yes 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 3 | 6 24 | 3 29 | 94 Yes |
| 20 Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 60 29 9 Yes 5 | | | |
| 21 Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 60 33 5 Yes 45 | | | |
| | | |)2 Vos |
| Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 55 31 12 Yes 48 Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | 7 23 | 3 12 | 23 Yes |
| 23 in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 55 27 16 Yes 4 | 4 20 | 5 16 | S2 Yes |
| Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | · - | | 100 |
| 24 day after season closes? 70 19 9 Yes 5 | 2 1 | 7 11 | 12 Yes |
| 25 Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 69 22 7 Yes 50 | 2 1 | 9 10 | 00 Yes |
| Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | |
| 26 deer carcass disposal? 51 28 19 Yes 3 | 2 28 | 4 18 | 33 Yes |
| Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | _ | |
| 27 [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] 22 25 51 No 10 | 5 2 | 8 45 | 56 No |
| Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in 28 Wisconsin? 20 47 29 No 10 | 8 42 | 5 24 | 13 No |
| 29 Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? 80 7 11 Yes 6 | | | |
| Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | , '' | 12 100 |
| 30 everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 3 5 | 5 11 | 15 Yes |
| Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | |
| total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | |
| 31 (WCC reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. 44 15 38 Yes 4 | 2 12 | 8 30 |)1 Yes |
| Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | 10 \ |
| 32 any one species? (440121) 34 25 38 Yes 3 Would you support changing the size limit on Muckellungs in Plum Lake in Viles County from 40 inches to 50 | 4 2 | 5 31 | 12 Yes |
| Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 33 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? 40 10 47 Yes 3 | 2 12 | 0 36 | S9 Yes |
| Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 2 14 | 0 30 | 168 |
| 34 aggregate)? 43 12 42 Yes 4 | 2 1 | 0 31 | 18 Yes |

| | | MARQUETTE (39) | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|----|-----------|------|-----|---------|--|------|--|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated | e input of responde cated they recreate nty. | | | | |
| - No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 111 | | | | 983 | | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 46 | 11 | 40 | Yes | 393 | 93 | 344 | Yes | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 38 | 23 | 36 | Yes | 357 | 178 | 294 | Yes | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 56 | 15 | 26 | Yes | 458 | 118 | 253 | Yes | | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 44 | 14 | 39 | Yes | 392 | 96 | 340 | Yes | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 63 | 21 | 13 | Yes | 504 | 139 | 185 | Yes | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 45 | 12 | 40 | Yes | 448 | 74 | 305 | Yes | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 32 | 16 | 49 | Yes | 327 | 99 | 399 | Yes | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 64 | 27 | 6 | Yes | 535 | 182 | 107 | Yes | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 66 | 15 | 16 | Yes | 555 | 122 | 145 | Yes | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 33 | 21 | 43 | Yes | 314 | 169 | 339 | Yes | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 37 | 28 | 31 | Yes | 341 | 188 | 291 | Yes | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 50 | 34 | 12 | Yes | 433 | 239 | 147 | Yes | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 61 | 27 | 8 | Yes | 495 | 250 | 72 | Yes | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 86 | 4 | 5 | Yes | 732 | 47 | 37 | Yes | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 80 | 10 | 5 | Yes | 644 | 120 | 52 | Yes | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 41 | 32 | 22 | Yes | 364 | 261 | 191 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | MA | ARQUE | ETTE (| 39) | | |
|----------|---|-----|----------|------------|-----------|--------|------------|------------|----------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | dicated | t of respo | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 110. | | 111 | | | | 983 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 65 | 21 | 9 | Yes | 613 | 134 | 67 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 72 | 14 | 9 | Yes | 560 | 130 | 123 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 47 | 36 | 12 | Yes | 427 | 255 | 129 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 58 | 8 | 29 | Yes | 489 | 65 | 256 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 21 | 71 | 3 | No | 189 | 575 | 45 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 41 | 51 | 3 | No | 384 | 368 | 57 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 53 | 14 | 28 | Yes | 480 | 89 | 237 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 45 | 21 | 29 | Yes | 334 | 218 | 252 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 27 | 43 | 25 | No | 256 | 304 | 243 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 69 | 10 | 16 | Yes | 534 | 144 | 123 | Yes |
| 61 62 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 33 | 22 47 | 6 | Yes No | 266 | 172 378 | 361 87 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 39 | 48 | 7 | No | 333 | 387 | 83 | No No |

| | | | MENOMINEE (40) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|----------------|------------|------|-----|---------|------------|------|--|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated | t of respo | | | | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 14 | | | | 514 | | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 6 | 2 | 2 | Yes | 175 | 69 | 124 | Yes | | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 10 | 0 | 0 | Yes | 233 | 70 | 62 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 6 | 3 | 1 | Yes | 187 | 98 | 77 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin | | 4 | 2 | | - | | | | | | |
| 4 | waters? | 6 | 1 | 3 | Yes | 187 | 107 | 67 | Yes | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 5 | 3 | 1 | Yes | 162 | 99 | 98 | Yes | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 5 | 2 | 2 | Yes | 161 | 106 | 89 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 6 | 2 | 1 | Yes | 176 | 95 | 85 | Yes | | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 4 | 0 | 5 | Yes | 189 | 38 | 123 | Yes | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 2 | 1 | 6 | Yes | 160 | 46 | 144 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 3 | 1 | 5 | Yes | 182 | 32 | 136 | Yes | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 5 | 2 | 2 | Yes | 93 | 160 | 140 | No | | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 7 | 2 | 0 | Yes | 171 | 163 | 58 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 6 | 1 | 2 | Yes | 192 | 149 | 50 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 5 | 2 | 2 | Yes | 189 | 129 | 73 | Yes | | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

MENOMINEE (40) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** Yes No Opinior Maj. No No Opinior Maj. Yes No. **COUNTY INPUT** 14 514 Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? 6 0 3 Yes 176 136 77 Yes Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? 2 5 2 125 178 77 Yes No Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? 7 2 227 83 18 0 Yes 69 Yes Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 9(WCC) disabled, and military service hunters. 5 3 3 154 101 192 Yes Yes Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 4 4 3 242 97 20 107 Yes Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 3 21 4 4 No 219 119 108 Yes Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 3 127 22 4 4 No 228 91 Yes Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 23 7 2 2 226 145 Yes 74 Yes Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the 24 day after season closes? 6 2 2 Yes 243 89 111 Yes Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 25 6 3 1 Yes 234 98 110 Yes Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? 2 190 100 148 26 7 1 Yes Yes Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee | support \$10 fee | Do Not support changing current system] 3 27 3 127 213 4 Yes 98 No Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? 28 4 4 2 83 194 159 No Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? 8 32 29 1 1 Yes 358 46 Yes Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? 9 0 367 26 43 30 1 Yes Yes Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other B1 (WCC|reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. 220 1 5 Yes 46 169 Yes Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) 3 1 6 Yes 145 106 184 Yes Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? 3 3 33 4 193 49 192 Yes Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? 34 5 211 39 183 Yes Yes

| | | MENOMINEE (40) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--|----|-----------|------|-----|----------------------|--|------|--|--|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated [·] | out of respondents d they recreate in | | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 14 | | | | 514 | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 4 | 0 | 6 | Yes | 202 | 35 | 195 | Yes | | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 4 | 2 | 4 | Yes | 180 | 68 | 184 | Yes | | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 6 | 0 | 4 | Yes | 235 | 36 | 161 | Yes | | | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 4 | 0 | 6 | Yes | 215 | 37 | 180 | Yes | | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 5 | 0 | 5 | Yes | 236 | 47 | 149 | Yes | | | | |
| - 55 | Would you capper roughling the parmer limit on Little Groom Lake from 20 to 10 parmer. | <u> </u> | 0 | | 103 | 230 | | 143 | 103 | | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 4 | 0 | 6 | Yes | 252 | 32 | 148 | Yes | | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 1 | 1 | 8 | | 198 | 60 | 174 | Yes | | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 8 | 2 | 0 | Yes | 222 | 125 | 85 | Yes | | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 5 | 3 | 2 | Yes | 211 | 84 | 136 | Yes | | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 4 | 2 | 4 | Yes | 119 | 104 | 205 | Yes | | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 4 | 3 | 3 | Yes | 133 | 121 | 173 | Yes | | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 5 | 3 | 2 | Yes | 163 | 147 | 116 | Yes | | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 6 | 2 | 2 | Yes | 172 | 190 | 62 | No | | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 9 | 0 | 1 | Yes | 350 | 25 | 48 | Yes | | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 8 | 0 | 2 | Yes | 313 | 56 | 54 | Yes | | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 5 | 2 | 3 | Yes | 143 | 155 | 125 | No | | | | |

| | | | | ME | ENOM | NEE (| 40) | | |
|----------|---|-----|--|-----------|------------|------------|-----|-------------------------|------------|
| | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | • | t of respo they recr | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| NO. | COUNTY INPUT | 14 | | | | 514 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 10 | 0 | 0 | Yes | 328 | 48 | 47 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 7 | 0 | 3 | Yes | 269 | 55 | 99 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 4 | 2 | 4 | Yes | 228 | 96 | 98 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 6 | 1 | 3 | Yes | 270 | 39 | 113 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 1 | 9 | 0 | No | 89 | 312 | 20 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 4 | 6 | 0 | No | 189 | 193 | 39 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 7 | 0 | 3 | Yes | 247 | 39 | 133 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 4 | 1 | 5 | Yes | 134 | 94 | 190 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 4 | 1 | 5 | Yes | 122 | 122 | 172 | |
| 00 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | 050 | 00 | 7.0 | V |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'E" shot for waterfowl bunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 8 | 7 | 1 F | Yes | 259 | 80 | 76 | Yes |
| 61 62 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 5 | 2 4 | 5 | Yes Yes | 105 228 | 91 | 218 55 | Yes Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 3 | 1 | Yes | 223 | 133 | 55 | Yes |

| | | MILWAUKEE (41) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|-----|------------|------------|------|-----------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated 1 | t of respo | | | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Мај. | | |
| | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 992 | 110 | 270 | Vaa | 1993 | 222 | E74 | Vac | | |
| , , | extending to December 31 in open water only? Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 304 441 | 96 | 134 | Yes Yes | 951 | 160 | 574 281 | Yes Yes | | |
| | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 372 | 112 | 175 | Yes | 838 | 184 | 351 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 400 | 102 | 153 | Yes | 863 | 234 | 267 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 219 | 214 | 218 | Yes | 480 | 471 | 397 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 235 | 181 | 229 | Yes | 528 | 394 | 413 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 266 | 166 | 213 | Yes | 555 | 404 | 376 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 371 | 50 | 215 | Yes | 795 | 113 | 412 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 306 | 65 | 261 | Yes | 692 | 126 | 494 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 371 | 19 | 239 | Yes | 825 | 45 | 438 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 137 | 283 | 300 | No | 252 | 553 | 669 | No | | |
| | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 307 | 273 | 139 | Yes | 574 | 637 | 262 | No | | |
| | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 262 | 313 | 142 | No | 546 | 632 | 291 | No | | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 281 | 226 | 208 | Yes | 577 | 472 | 416 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | MILWAUKEE (41) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|-----|------------|------|--|-----|------------|------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinior Maj. | | | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and transing cortain engages by landowners without a normit | 992 | | | | 1993 | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 265 | 257 | 192 | Yes | 595 | 526 | 338 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 373 | 190 | 144 | Yes | 817 | 324 | 321 | Yes | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 486 | 105 | 106 | Yes | 1026 | 189 | 230 | Yes | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 285 | 135 | 363 | Yes | 631 | 257 | 768 | Yes | |
| _ ` ' | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 437 | 125 | 216 | Yes | 902 | 251 | 492 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 402 | 135 | 237 | Yes | 898 | 261 | 481 | Yes | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 395 | 119 | 264 | Yes | 830 | 223 | 592 | Yes | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 428 | 81 | 266 | Yes | 879 | 172 | 587 | Yes | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 411 | 152 | 210 | Yes | 836 | 348 | 450 | Yes | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 335 | 210 | 226 | Yes | 711 | 464 | 456 | Yes | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 400 | 132 | 233 | Yes | 871 | 221 | 522 | Yes | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 170 | 305 | 290 | No | 383 | 668 | 563 | No | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 86 | 419 | 258 | No | 201 | 850 | 557 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 642 | 27 | 92 | Yes | 1407 | 49 | 149 | Yes | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 656 | 25 | 80 | Yes | 1422 | 40 | 143 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 395 | 54 | 310 | Yes | 886 | 93 | 619 | Yes | |
| , | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 231 | 182 | 346 | Yes | 513 | 396 | 689 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 339 | 87 | 333 | Yes | 744 | 175 | 678 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 390 | 43 | 325 | Yes | 868 | 83 | 645 | Yes | |

| | | | | MI | LWAU | KEE (4 | 41) | | |
|----------|--|---|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------------------|------------|---------------------|
| | | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county | | | | | dicated ¹ | t of respo | ondents reate in |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | r Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 992 | | | | 1993 | | | |
| 25 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 386 | 40 | 220 | Vac | 860 | 70 | 663 | Vac |
| 35 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 389 | 42 67 | 329 301 | Yes Yes | 848 | 72 146 | 600 | Yes Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 435 | 49 | 273 | Yes | 972 | 84 | 536 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 400 | 39 | 318 | Yes | 887 | 66 | 639 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 426 | 51 | 279 | Yes | 954 | 86 | 551 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit | 454 | 00 | 070 | | 000 | 5 7 | 540 | V |
| 40 | with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 454 356 | 28 80 | 273 317 | Yes Yes | 990 825 | 57 194 | 543 569 | Yes Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 337 | 245 | 171 | Yes | 660 | 578 | 350 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 364 | 134 | 252 | Yes | 715 | 368 | 503 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 216 | 198 | 334 | Yes | 444 | 450 | 684 | No |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 237 | 210 | 297 | Yes | 452 | 508 | 613 | No |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 280 | 264 | 200 | Yes | 594 | 575 | 400 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 252 | 381 | 110 | No | 433 | 883 | 251 | No |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 628 | 31 | 83 | Yes | 1353 | 57 | 154 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 579 | 74 | 89 | Yes | 1242 | 152 | 170 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 224 | 271 | 247 | No | 409 | 583 | 571 | No |

| | | | | MI | LWAU | KEE (| 41) | | |
|----------|---|--|-----|-----------|------------|-------|---------|-------------------------|------------|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated | t of respo they recr | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 110. | COUNTY INPUT | 992 | | | | 1993 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 533 | 121 | 88 | Yes | 1153 | 244 | 166 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 544 | 54 | 142 | Yes | 1157 | 102 | 303 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 493 | 94 | 151 | Yes | 1062 | 185 | 311 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 509 | 43 | 186 | Yes | 1130 | 70 | 354 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 222 | 444 | 70 | No | 433 | 1003 | 114 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 372 | 269 | 95 | Yes | 839 | 559 | 152 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 516 | 43 | 175 | Yes | 1113 | 58 | 372 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 237 | 124 | 372 | Yes | 466 | 240 | 834 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 167 | 203 | 362 | No | 346 | 427 | 764 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | 4000 | 400 | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 514 | 77 | 141 | Yes | 1082 | 186 | 268 | Yes |
| 61 62 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 137 480 | 169 | 102 | No | 1077 | 235 | 217 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 152 | 102 | Yes Yes | 1064 | 247 | 218 | Yes Yes |

| | | MONROE (42) | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|---------|------------|------|----------|-----------|------------|------|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated 1 | t of respo | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 173 66 | 12 | 43 | Yes | 278 | 72 | 148 | Yes |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 68 | 26 | 26 | Yes | 310 | 109 | 77 | Yes |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 66 | 26 | 27 | Yes | 280 | 126 | 89 | Yes |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 55 | 38 | 25 | Yes | 270 | 139 | 85 | Yes |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 57 | 25 | 36 | Yes | 238 | 136 | 118 | Yes |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 60 | 22 | 36 | Yes | 239 | 116 | 136 | Yes |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 71 | 15 | 32 | Yes | 271 | 99 | 121 | Yes |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 72 | 9 | 35 | Yes | 303 | 41 | 142 | Yes |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam | 40 | 17 | 57 | Yes | 233 | 74 | 176 | Yes |
| 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 41 | 7 | 65 | Yes | 229 | 51 | 202 | Yes |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 47 | 44 | 40 | Yes | 160 | 189 | 194 | No |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey bunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 70 | 37 | 24 | Yes | 293 | 185 | 65 | Yes |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 55 | 61 | 15 | No | 242 | 249 | 52 | No |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 81 0 | 35 0 | 14 | Yes | 322 0 | 147 0 | 72 0 | Yes |
| 10 | question madvertainty entitled on our vey | U | U | U | | U | U | U | |

| | | | | N | MONR | OE (42 | 2) | | |
|---------|---|------------|--|------------|------|----------------|-----|------------|----------|
| | Question Description | they re | All online input of reside in the county All online input of resident that indicated they the county. | | | | | hey recr | reate in |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | Yes 173 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 660 | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | | | | | 000 | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 75 | 30 | 25 | Yes | 312 | 158 | 70 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | - 55 | | . 55 | | | . 0 | . 55 |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 56 | 50 | 16 | Yes | 236 | 232 | 58 | Yes |
| , , | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 54 | 50 | 18 | Yes | 262 | 188 | 75 | Yes |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | |
| _ ` ^ | disabled, and military service hunters. | 39 | 38 | 61 | Yes | 189 | 176 | 207 | Yes |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 80 | 43 | 15 | Yes | 367 | 145 | 58 | Yes |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 64 | 57 | 16 | Yes | 298 | 209 | 62 | Yes |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 72 | 47 | 19 | Yes | 327 | 159 | 84 | Yes |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 84 | 31 | 23 | Yes | 357 | 116 | 95 | Yes |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 101 | 20 | 17 | Yes | 375 | 125 | 67 | Yes |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 97 | 25 | 16 | Yes | 392 | 111 | 64 | Yes |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | 4.0 | 00 | | 074 | 405 | 407 | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 65 | 46 | 26 | Yes | 271 | 185 | 107 | Yes |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 20 | 24 | 77 | Voo | 126 | 120 | 200 | No |
| 21 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 39 | 21 | 11 | Yes | 126 | 139 | 298 | No |
| 28 | Wisconsin? | 32 | 66 | 39 | No | 120 | 301 | 139 | No |
| _ | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 101 | 10 | 26 | Yes | 436 | 45 | 77 | Yes |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | . 55 | | .0 | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 107 | 9 | 21 | Yes | 453 | 34 | 71 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | |
| 31 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 52 | 9 | 76 | Yes | 258 | 58 | 241 | Yes |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 39 | 22 | 76 | Yes | 198 | 116 | 243 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 40 | 19 | 78 | Yes | 215 | 91 | 251 | Yes |
| 24 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | F 2 | 40 | 70 | Var | 255 | 60 | 240 | Vas |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 53 | 12 | 72 | Yes | 255 | 60 | 242 | Yes |

| | | MONROE (42) | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|-------------|---------|------------|------------|--|-----------|------------|------------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | All online input of res that indicated they re the county. | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 173 | | | | 660 | | | |
| 25 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | 50 | 0 | 70 | \/ | 055 | 50 | 0.40 | |
| 35 36 | aggregate)? Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 50 47 | 8 20 | 78 69 | Yes Yes | 255 238 | 53 108 | 248 210 | Yes Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 64 | 5 | 67 | Yes | 310 | 53 | 193 | Yes |
| - 01 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | 04 | | 07 | 103 | 310 | - 55 | 190 | 103 |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 53 | 7 | 76 | Yes | 256 | 56 | 244 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 58 | 8 | 69 | Yes | 276 | 58 | 221 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 66 | 10 | 59 | Yes | 322 | 44 | 189 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 57 | 11 | 67 | Yes | 262 | 72 | 221 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 91 | 30 | 14 | Yes | 363 | 133 | 59 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 100 | 16 | 19 | Yes | 393 | 86 | 76 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 49 | 18 | 68 | Yes | 224 | 122 | 207 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 60 | 28 | 47 | Yes | 265 | 132 | 156 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 75 | 40 | 20 | Yes | 316 | 160 | 77 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 95 | 27 | 13 | Yes | 355 | 149 | 49 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 114 | 12 | 9 | Yes | 484 | 41 | 28 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 94 | 30 | 11 | Yes | 406 | 109 | 38 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 66 | 40 | 29 | Yes | 269 | 173 | 110 | Yes |

| | | | | | MONR | OE (42 | 2) | | |
|----------|---|-----|----------|------------|-----------|--------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | dicated | t of respo | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 173 | | | | 660 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 106 | 18 | 11 | Yes | 434 | 81 | 37 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 86 | 30 | 19 | Yes | 372 | 108 | 72 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 60 | 49 | 26 | Yes | 277 | 193 | 80 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 81 | 15 | 39 | Yes | 389 | 48 | 113 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 33 | 96 | 6 | No | 142 | 384 | 24 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 66 | 54 | 15 | Yes | 236 | 261 | 53 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 70 | 12 | 53 | Yes | 337 | 64 | 149 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 50 | 41 | 44 | Yes | 237 | 153 | 160 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 41 | 49 | 43 | No | 203 | 196 | 149 | Yes |
| 00 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | 40 | | | 070 | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 85 | 19 | 29 | Yes | 372 | 86 | 90 | Yes |
| 61 62 | Would you support the legalization of size in Shot for waterlow flurting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 36 | 18 76 | 21 | Yes No | 223 | 92 275 | 232 61 | Yes No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 74 | 20 | No | 216 | 271 | 60 | No |

| | | OCONTO (43) | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------------------|---------|------------|------|------|-------------------------|------------|------|
| | | | • | s that inc | ty | | t of respo they recr | eate in | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 1 (FH) | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 336 153 | 41 | 76 | Yes | 932 | 311 | 480 | Yes |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 183 | 50 | 36 | Yes | 1150 | 369 | 188 | Yes |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 126 | 92 | 49 | Yes | 881 | 543 | 269 | Yes |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 136 | 74 | 55 | Yes | 911 | 512 | 264 | Yes |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 125 | 83 | 56 | Yes | 813 | 501 | 365 | Yes |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 137 | 53 | 72 | Yes | 847 | 409 | 410 | Yes |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 151 | 47 | 64 | Yes | 940 | 358 | 368 | Yes |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 128 | 9 | 124 | Yes | 849 | 102 | 705 | Yes |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 83 | 35 | 143 | Yes | 650 | 190 | 811 | Yes |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 116 | 18 | 126 | Yes | 711 | 121 | 814 | Yes |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 90 | 86 | 93 | Yes | 507 | 612 | 600 | No |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 148 | 82 | 38 | Yes | 947 | 530 | 239 | Yes |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 148 | 101 | 18 | Yes | 908 | 664 | 142 | Yes |
| 14 | three years? | 187 | 57 0 | 23 | Yes | 1091 | 418 | 204 | Yes |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | OCONTO (43) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|---------------------------|-----|------------|------|---|-----|------------|------|--|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|---------|------------|--|
| | | they reside in the county | | | | they reside in the county Yes No No Opinior Maj. | | | | | | | they reside in the county | | | | dicated | t of respo | |
| No. | Question Description | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping cortain appaigs by landowners without a parmit | | | | | 2084 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 169 | 68 | 30 | Yes | 983 | 466 | 260 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 110 | 118 | 28 | No | 728 | 720 | 206 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 113 | 112 | 30 | Yes | 762 | 615 | 273 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 115 | 79 | 79 | Yes | 719 | 484 | 555 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 153 | 86 | 33 | Yes | 1009 | 525 | 221 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 127 | 115 | 27 | Yes | 793 | 740 | 217 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 143 | 93 | 36 | Yes | 938 | 545 | 272 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 145 | 69 | 57 | Yes | 962 | 363 | 427 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 173 | 60 | 38 | Yes | 1115 | 375 | 261 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 173 | 67 | 31 | Yes | 1155 | 362 | 233 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 111 | 99 | 59 | Yes | 750 | 584 | 406 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 59 | 57 | 153 | Yes | 415 | 369 | 956 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 51 | 153 | 64 | No | 341 | 896 | 497 | No | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 206 | 20 | 38 | Yes | 1326 | 131 | 272 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 214 | 17 | 33 | Yes | 1382 | 104 | 243 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 105 | 38 | 121 | Yes | 809 | 265 | 653 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| · | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 93 | 49 | 122 | Yes | 666 | 417 | 644 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 95 | 47 | 121 | Yes | 757 | 267 | 701 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 97 | 30 | 136 | Yes | 832 | 191 | 701 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | |) | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|--|-----------|------|------|-----|------------|------|
| | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | t of respo | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 336 | | | | 2084 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 100 | 25 | 138 | Yes | 812 | 170 | 741 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 109 | 58 | 96 | Yes | 758 | 400 | 565 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 112 | 29 | 122 | Yes | 894 | 188 | 640 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 101 | 27 | 135 | Yes | 783 | 183 | 756 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 103 | 30 | 130 | Yes | 861 | 210 | 651 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 125 | 26 | 112 | Yes | 941 | 150 | 629 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 101 | 19 | 143 | Yes | 693 | 174 | 852 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 190 | 51 | 22 | Yes | 1255 | 292 | 169 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 188 | 34 | 41 | Yes | 1223 | 198 | 292 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 117 | 37 | 109 | Yes | 672 | 303 | 736 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 128 | 47 | 88 | Yes | 747 | 339 | 621 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 146 | 63 | 54 | Yes | 932 | 449 | 325 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 193 | 42 | 27 | Yes | 1195 | 360 | 146 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 221 | 23 | 18 | Yes | 1477 | 115 | 109 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 187 | 48 | 27 | Yes | 1235 | 323 | 143 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 149 | 58 | 55 | Yes | 864 | 426 | 409 | Yes |

| | | | | | OCON | TO (43 | 3) | | | |
|----------|---|-----|------------|-----------|----------|------------|---|------------|----------|--|
| | | | | | | | All online input of respon that indicated they recrea the county. | | | |
| No | Question Description | | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 336 | | | | 2084 | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 213 | 32 | 16 | Yes | 1346 | 228 | 123 | Yes | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 167 | 46 | 47 | Yes | 1121 | 258 | 315 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 130 | 80 | 49 | Yes | 855 | 534 | 303 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 132 | 18 | 109 | Yes | 1025 | 113 | 554 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 49 | 198 | 12 | No | 364 | 1241 | 85 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 108 | 133 | 18 | No | 756 | 814 | 120 | No | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 127 | 38 | 93 | Yes | 917 | 186 | 583 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 108 | 83 | 65 | Yes | 701 | 479 | 503 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 103 | 82 | 71 | Yes | 624 | 544 | 510 | Yes | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | ., | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 170 | 51 | 33 | Yes | 1161 | 291 | 221 | Yes | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 85 | 46 | 122 | Yes | 629 | 320 | 721 | Yes | |
| 62 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 74 | 154 142 | 30 | No No | 538 591 | 934 847 | 195 228 | No No | |

| | | ONEIDA (44) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|----------|------------|------|---|----------|------------|------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county Yes No No Opinior Ma 619 | | | | All online input of respond that indicated they recreat the county. | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 1 (FH) | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 288 | 113 | 98 | Yes | 3393 1467 | 658 | 629 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 371 | 84 | 40 | Yes | 1980 | 493 | 259 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 298 | 126 | 68 | Yes | 1584 | 722 | 403 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 286 | 147 | 57 | Yes | 1572 | 726 | 399 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 229 | 168 | 93 | Yes | 1218 | 883 | 586 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 228 | 96 | 165 | Yes | 1248 | 611 | 812 | Yes | |
| | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 253 | 84 | 152 | Yes | 1383 | 547 | 741 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 261 | 22 | 205 | Yes | 1490 | 149 | 1020 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam | 198 | 51 | 239 | Yes | 1138 | 251 | 1264 | Yes | |
| 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | 246 | 30 | 211 | Yes | 1347 | 177 | 1122 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 130 | 191 | 189 | No | 676 | 1028 | 1051 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 247 | 183 | 80 | Yes | 1414 | 936 | 399 | Yes | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 245 | 209 | 56 | Yes | 1298 | 1131 | 316 | Yes | |
| 14 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 299 0 | 131 0 | 80 | Yes | 1605 0 | 726 0 | 409 0 | Yes | |

| | | ONEIDA (44) | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|----------------|-----|------------|------|---|------|------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | All online input of responde that indicated they recreate the county. | | | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 619 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 3393 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 619 | | | | 3393 | | | | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 290 | 143 | 76 | Yes | 1479 | 828 | 426 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | 140 | 70 | 103 | 1473 | 020 | 720 | 103 | |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 233 | 198 | 63 | Yes | 1343 | 968 | 355 | Yes | |
| , , | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | 200 | | - 55 | | 10.10 | | 333 | . 55 | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | |
| | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 238 | 176 | 76 | Yes | 1346 | 878 | 428 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | |
| _ ` 1 | disabled, and military service hunters. | 198 | 132 | 182 | Yes | 1112 | 710 | 1034 | Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 303 | 152 | 57 | Yes | 1680 | 739 | 432 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 258 | 188 | 66 | Yes | 1450 | 980 | 417 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 277 | 160 | 75 | Yes | 1580 | 772 | 499 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 287 | 100 | 125 | Yes | 1623 | 524 | 700 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 326 | 118 | 68 | Yes | 1796 | 616 | 432 | Yes | |
| _ | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 308 | 136 | 68 | Yes | 1738 | 686 | 419 | Yes | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 242 | 145 | 125 | Yes | 1338 | 824 | 672 | Yes | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | 440 | 444 | 050 | NI- | 050 | 000 | 4004 | NI- | |
| | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 116 | 144 | 252 | No | 650 | 823 | 1361 | No | |
| | Wisconsin? | 88 | 282 | 140 | No | 490 | 1520 | 816 | No | |
| _ | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 405 | 45 | 59 | Yes | 2277 | 215 | 328 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | 400 | 70 | 00 | 103 | 2211 | 210 | 020 | 103 | |
| | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 413 | 35 | 61 | Yes | 2343 | 173 | 304 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 355 | 90 | 62 | Yes | 1751 | 469 | 595 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | | | | | | |
| | any one species? (440121) | 238 | 192 | 77 | Yes | 1212 | 957 | 646 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | | | | | | | | |
| | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 248 | 107 | 152 | Yes | 1430 | 505 | 878 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 000 | | ,=- | ., | 40.40 | 000 | 664 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 293 | 41 | 173 | Yes | 1649 | 303 | 861 | Yes | |

| | | ONEIDA (44) | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|-----|-----------|------|------|---------------------|------------|------|
| | | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Ma | | | | | ondents reate in | | |
| _ | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 619 | | | | 3393 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 267 | 30 | 210 | Yes | 1519 | 247 | 1046 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 212 | 120 | 175 | Yes | 1302 | 515 | 992 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 289 | 35 | 183 | Yes | 1666 | 259 | 883 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 267 | 25 | 214 | Yes | 1510 | 222 | 1072 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 280 | 32 | 194 | Yes | 1616 | 252 | 935 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 282 | 33 | 191 | Yes | 1709 | 193 | 896 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 216 | 48 | 242 | Yes | 1231 | 310 | 1253 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 329 | 127 | 50 | Yes | 1791 | 680 | 323 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 346 | 65 | 93 | Yes | 1876 | 368 | 545 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 193 | 104 | 207 | Yes | 1009 | 601 | 1176 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 211 | 131 | 161 | Yes | 1128 | 681 | 974 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 244 | 162 | 96 | Yes | 1379 | 863 | 539 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 325 | 149 | 28 | Yes | 1703 | 865 | 210 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 442 | 35 | 25 | Yes | 2473 | 144 | 159 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 362 | 106 | 34 | Yes | 2084 | 458 | 234 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 263 | 141 | 97 | Yes | 1281 | 784 | 707 | Yes |

| | | | | | ONEI | OA (44) | | | | |
|-----|---|---|-----|-----------|------|---|------|-----------|------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county | | | | All online input of respon that indicated they recreating the county. | | | | |
| N. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 619 | | | | 3393 | | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 389 | 79 | 31 | Yes | 2171 | 400 | 197 | Yes | |
| | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 364 | 56 | 78 | Yes | 1931 | 362 | 472 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 272 | 143 | 82 | Yes | 1562 | 770 | 426 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 312 | 38 | 147 | Yes | 1817 | 178 | 759 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 112 | 360 | 25 | No | 618 | 1993 | 139 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 196 | 264 | 37 | No | 1171 | 1352 | 227 | No | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 292 | 50 | 154 | Yes | 1662 | 278 | 805 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 179 | 135 | 180 | Yes | 1085 | 693 | 961 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 162 | 160 | 172 | Yes | 883 | 889 | 963 | No | |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 360 | 76 | 58 | Yes | 1983 | 428 | 323 | Yes | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 155 | 102 | 236 | Yes | 847 | 527 | 1356 | Yes | |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 215 | 235 | 43 | No | 1235 | 1220 | 270 | Yes | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 223 | 42 | Yes | 1275 | 1157 | 288 | Yes | |

| | | OUTAGAMIE (45) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|----------------|-----|------------|------|---|-----|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | s that ind | | All online input of responde that indicated they recreate the county. | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | COUNTY INPUT | 915 | | | | 1718 | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 341 | 116 | 230 | Yes | 688 | 219 | 411 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 402 | 194 | 84 | Yes | 813 | 328 | 158 | Yes | |
| | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 296 | 241 | 133 | Yes | 607 | 434 | 239 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 332 | 214 | 119 | Yes | 679 | 385 | 210 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 337 | 173 | 155 | Yes | 625 | 355 | 287 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 312 | 170 | 180 | Yes | 626 | 333 | 302 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 352 | 151 | 159 | Yes | 692 | 298 | 271 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 294 | 37 | 331 | Yes | 632 | 78 | 543 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 220 | 60 | 382 | Yes | 509 | 121 | 621 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 241 | 37 | 383 | Yes | 552 | 77 | 621 | Yes | |
| | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 215 | 261 | 217 | No | 383 | 482 | 463 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 391 | 187 | 112 | Yes | 704 | 438 | 181 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 359 | 257 | 73 | Yes | 704 | 469 | 146 | Yes | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 448 | 149 | 91 | Yes | 816 | 316 | 184 | Yes | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

| | | | OUTAGAMIE (45) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----|----------------|-------------|------|------|---------------------|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | ts that ind | | | ondents reate in | | | | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 915 | | | | 1718 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 403 | 169 | 116 | Yes | 744 | 364 | 206 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 293 | 305 | 94 | No | 598 | 493 | 200 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 330 | 236 | 123 | Yes | 644 | 406 | 238 | Yes | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 281 | 182 | 300 | Yes | 547 | 364 | 534 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 440 | 197 | 125 | Yes | 866 | 335 | 242 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 331 | 305 | 122 | Yes | 684 | 510 | 244 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 409 | 203 | 150 | Yes | 769 | 375 | 299 | Yes | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 410 | 141 | 208 | Yes | 781 | 263 | 394 | Yes | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 470 | 133 | 155 | Yes | 870 | 277 | 290 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 503 | 122 | 133 | Yes | 912 | 266 | 258 | Yes | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 279 | 253 | 219 | Yes | 582 | 441 | 402 | Yes | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 158 | 155 | 438 | Yes | 296 | 323 | 806 | No | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 120 | 373 | 255 | No | 262 | 687 | 471 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 563 | 38 | 146 | Yes | 1093 | 87 | 237 | Yes | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 596 | 32 | 119 | Yes | 1144 | 69 | 204 | Yes | | |
| 31 (WCC | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 298 | 97 | 352 | Yes | 620 | 197 | 598 | Yes | | |
| · | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 264 | 127 | 356 | Yes | 523 | 281 | 611 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 287 | 80 | 379 | Yes | 591 | 172 | 651 | Yes | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 299 | 87 | 360 | Yes | 644 | 155 | 615 | Yes | | |

| | | OUTAGAMIE (45) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|-----|-----------|------|---|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicat they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Ma | | | | All online input of respond that indicated they recreathe county. | | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 915 | | | | 1718 | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 286 | 79 | 380 | Yes | 617 | 141 | 654 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 261 | 178 | 305 | Yes | 565 | 304 | 541 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 318 | 80 | 345 | Yes | 660 | 141 | 607 | Yes | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 277 | 77 | 389 | Yes | 599 | 136 | 672 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 319 | 91 | 333 | Yes | 673 | 177 | 557 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 363 | 46 | 333 | Yes | 709 | 99 | 596 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 265 | 68 | 408 | Yes | 545 | 153 | 705 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 536 | 107 | 97 | Yes | 963 | 242 | 197 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 481 | 73 | 185 | Yes | 902 | 175 | 323 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 229 | 97 | 412 | Yes | 505 | 216 | 678 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 279 | 100 | 359 | Yes | 523 | 253 | 622 | Yes | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 387 | 166 | 183 | Yes | 711 | 336 | 346 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 520 | 133 | 81 | Yes | 893 | 323 | 173 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 606 | 43 | 85 | Yes | 1186 | 71 | 131 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 511 | 128 | 95 | Yes | 1011 | 217 | 160 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 354 | 154 | 224 | Yes | 625 | 345 | 415 | Yes | | |

| | | | | Ol | JTAG/ | AMIE (| 45) | | | |
|------|---|-----|-----|-----------|-------|--------|--|------------|------|--|
| | | | | | | | All online input of responsible that indicated they recreate the county. | | | |
| No. | Question Description | | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 915 | | | | 1718 | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 591 | 69 | 71 | Yes | 1104 | 167 | 111 | Yes | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 454 | 100 | 176 | Yes | 863 | 214 | 304 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 347 | 203 | 179 | Yes | 681 | 379 | 319 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 410 | 45 | 274 | Yes | 843 | 92 | 441 | Yes | |
| | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 113 | 572 | 44 | No | 242 | 1062 | 71 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 331 | 343 | 55 | No | 643 | 633 | 99 | Yes | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 356 | 68 | 302 | Yes | 731 | 130 | 509 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 283 | 176 | 265 | Yes | 546 | 319 | 502 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 235 | 198 | 289 | Yes | 457 | 366 | 538 | Yes | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 462 | 114 | 145 | Yes | 895 | 228 | 236 | Yes | |
| | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted | 245 | 92 | 384 | Yes | 453 | 224 | 681 | Yes | |
| 62 | again? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife | 233 | 365 | 122 | No | 514 | 633 | 208 | No | |
| 63 | killing contests? | 258 | 324 | 138 | No | 534 | 592 | 229 | No | |

| | | OZAUKEE (46) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------------|---|------------|------|----------|-----|------------|------|--|--------------------|-----------|--|
| | | | they reside in the county Yes No No Opinior Maj. | | | | | | | | dicated t unty. | they recr | |
| No. COUNTY INPUT | | Yes 344 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 1092 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including bot Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the factor of the extending to December 31 in open water only? Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag | irst Saturday in May and | 123 | 40 | 66 | Yes | 365 | 130 | 298 | Yes | | | | |
| (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3 | sin-Michigan boundary waters | 157 | 41 | 26 | Yes | 511 | 122 | 145 | Yes | | | | |
| Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the managemen 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-dail one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | y bag limit (allows harvest of | 119 | 55 | 48 | Yes | 439 | 151 | 178 | Yes | | | | |
| Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments ar waters? | | 134 | 52 | 35 | Yes | 448 | 149 | 166 | Yes | | | | |
| Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected way traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless. | ess of source of the minnows? | 97 | 69 | 54 | Yes | 312 | 231 | 215 | Yes | | | | |
| Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | g hook and line harvest season, | 91 | 62 | 66 | Yes | 309 | 207 | 237 | Yes | | | | |
| Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these act. Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Missonsia DNR to est. | ivities? | 97 | 63 | 59 | Yes | 333 | 206 | 214 | Yes | | | | |
| Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to est a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to F those of the Mississippi River? | rescott that are consistent with | 121 | 16 | 81 | Yes | 401 | 71 | 269 | Yes | | | | |
| Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each c 9 River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | * | 90 | 28 | 100 | Yes | 348 | 81 | 310 | Yes | | | | |
| Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bri 10 from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Min Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education | nnesota? | 113 | 8 | 97 | Yes | 408 | 47 | 282 | Yes | | | | |
| program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | | 63 | 94 | 86 | No | 185 | 317 | 336 | No | | | | |
| Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canaduring the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorized. | · · | 127 | 78 | 38 | Yes | 397 | 313 | 127 | Yes | | | | |
| 13 season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference | · | 110 | 107 | 25 | Yes | 369 | 335 | 130 | Yes | | | | |
| 14 three years? 15 question inadvertantly omitted on survey | James II and Jian to apply for | 124 0 | 70 0 | 48 0 | Yes | 395 0 | 244 | 194 0 | Yes | | | | |

| | | OZAUKEE (46) | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|------------|------------|
| | | they re | eside in t | s that ind | ty | that in | I online input of respondatindicated they recreate county. | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 344 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 1092 | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 344 | | | | 1032 | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 118 | 69 | 54 | Yes | 399 | 249 | 184 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 123 | 80 | 42 | Yes | 426 | 242 | 157 | Yes |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 148 | 48 | 49 | Yes | 499 | 169 | 155 | Yes |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 116 | 46 | 111 | Voo | 257 | 170 | 207 | Voc |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 150 | 46 59 | 111 63 | Yes Yes | 357 501 | 178 178 | 387 239 | Yes Yes |
| | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 143 | 67 | 61 | Yes | 466 | 215 | 236 | Yes |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 143 | 56 | 73 | Yes | 467 | 166 | 285 | Yes |
| 00 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 444 | 0.4 | 07 | V | 407 | 400 | 200 | Vaa |
| 23 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 141 | 34 | 97 | Yes | 487 | 120 | 306 | Yes |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 149 | 63 | 60 | Yes | 488 | 204 | 220 | Yes |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 146 | 66 | 59 | Yes | 465 | 224 | 220 | Yes |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | - 00 | - 00 | 100 | 400 | | 220 | 100 |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 127 | 57 | 84 | Yes | 437 | 186 | 275 | Yes |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 57 | 83 | 128 | No | 195 | 315 | 388 | No |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Wisconsin? | 38 | 135 | 88 | No | 133 | 446 | 310 | No |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 206 | 13 | 40 | Yes | 723 | 51 | 112 | Yes |
| 00 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | 0.45 | 4.4 | 0.0 | | 740 | 00 | 405 | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 215 | 11 | 33 | Yes | 742 | 39 | 105 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 136 | 26 | 97 | Yes | 468 | 77 | 337 | Yes |
| 31 (************************************ | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 100 | 20 | 01 | 100 | 100 | | 007 | 100 |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 78 | 81 | 100 | No | 286 | 240 | 356 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 111 | 33 | 115 | Yes | 401 | 107 | 374 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 134 | 17 | 108 | Yes | 463 | 63 | 355 | Yes |

| | | OZAUKEE (46) | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--------------|----|------------|------|------|----------------------|-------------------------|------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | dicated [·] | t of respo they recr | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 344 | | | | 1092 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 122 | 17 | 120 | Yes | 445 | 58 | 377 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 114 | 37 | 108 | Yes | 414 | 122 | 344 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 142 | 16 | 101 | Yes | 520 | 56 | 304 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 128 | 15 | 116 | Yes | 469 | 52 | 359 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 144 | 18 | 97 | Yes | 507 | 74 | 299 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 150 | 7 | 102 | Yes | 529 | 37 | 314 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 110 | 31 | 118 | Yes | 430 | 106 | 344 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 139 | 72 | 48 | Yes | 446 | 263 | 171 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 147 | 37 | 75 | Yes | 473 | 162 | 244 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 83 | 61 | 115 | Yes | 279 | 221 | 375 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 88 | 67 | 104 | Yes | 316 | 239 | 319 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 109 | 84 | 64 | Yes | 383 | 281 | 208 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 130 | 91 | 35 | Yes | 375 | 372 | 123 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 210 | 19 | 26 | Yes | 753 | 43 | 73 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 194 | 34 | 27 | Yes | 678 | 102 | 89 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 112 | 69 | 74 | Yes | 322 | 263 | 283 | Yes |

| | | OZAUKEE (46) | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--------------|------|-----------|------|------|----------------------|------------------------|------|
| | | | • | s that in | | | dicated ¹ | t of resp they reci | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 344 | | | | 1092 | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 189 | 35 | 31 | Yes | 659 | 120 | 89 | Yes |
| | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 100 | - 00 | 01 | 100 | 000 | 120 | | 100 |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 172 | 28 | 55 | Yes | 605 | 102 | 158 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 142 | 65 | 48 | Yes | 522 | 180 | 162 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 158 | 20 | 75 | Yes | 582 | 60 | 220 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 64 | 164 | 25 | No | 220 | 593 | 47 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 119 | 101 | 33 | Yes | 431 | 360 | 69 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 155 | 21 | 77 | Yes | 559 | 67 | 229 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 90 | 52 | 110 | Yes | 292 | 160 | 401 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 77 | 70 | 104 | Yes | 253 | 237 | 363 | Yes |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 175 | 35 | 41 | Yes | 601 | 112 | 138 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 63 | 50 | 138 | Yes | 206 | 181 | 462 | Yes |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 131 | 87 | 32 | Yes | 483 | 252 | 112 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 134 | 77 | 39 | Yes | 478 | 245 | 123 | Yes |

| | | PEPIN (47) | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|----|------------|------|-----|---------|------------|------|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Maj. | | | | | dicated | t of respo | |
| - N | Question Description | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 53 | | | | 441 | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 28 | 3 | 16 | Yes | 193 | 61 | 102 | Yes |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 34 | 9 | 4 | Yes | 236 | 73 | 45 | Yes |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 21 | 19 | 7 | Yes | 183 | 108 | 59 | Yes |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 21 | 20 | 5 | Yes | 179 | 122 | 46 | Yes |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 31 | 9 | 5 | Yes | 174 | 100 | 70 | Yes |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 24 | 9 | 12 | Yes | 187 | 73 | 84 | Yes |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 27 | 8 | 10 | Yes | 201 | 69 | 74 | Yes |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 32 | 2 | 11 | Yes | 241 | 40 | 60 | Yes |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 17 | 13 | 15 | Yes | 188 | 62 | 91 | Yes |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 23 | 2 | 19 | Yes | 201 | 45 | 93 | Yes |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 10 | 18 | 19 | No | 109 | 134 | 136 | No |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 27 | 15 | 5 | Yes | 212 | 137 | 30 | Yes |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 19 | 28 | 0 | No | 191 | 160 | 28 | Yes |
| | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 29 | 16 | 2 | Yes | 238 | 92 | 47 | Yes |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | l 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | PEPIN (47) | | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------|-----|------------|------|---------------------|-----|------------|------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | All online input of | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 53 | | | | 441 | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 27 | 16 | 4 | Yes | 216 | 112 | 48 | Yes |
| 10 | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | 10 | | 103 | 210 | 112 | 70 | 103 |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 15 | 29 | 1 | No | 172 | 160 | 35 | Yes |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 20 | 21 | 4 | No | 191 | 130 | 44 | Yes |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | |
| 40(14/00) | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | 40 | 40 | 40 | ١ | 400 | 404 | 4.45 | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 13 | 16 | 18 | No | 139 | 101 | 145 | Yes |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 20 | 26 | 1 | No | 225 | 110 | 49 | Yes |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 19 | 26 | 2 | No | 181 | 152 | 50 | Yes |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 26 | 21 | 0 | Yes | 217 | 110 | 57 | Yes |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 31 | 8 | 8 | Yes | 237 | 70 | 75 | Yes |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 31 | 12 | 4 | Yes | 242 | 87 | 53 | Yes |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 40 | 6 | 1 | Yes | 266 | 78 | 37 | Yes |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 20 | 19 | 8 | Yes | 178 | 122 | 80 | Yes |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | _ | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 10 | 7 | 30 | Yes | 92 | 96 | 192 | No |
| 00 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 40 | 0.5 | 40 | | 00 | 404 | 400 | |
| 28 | Wisconsin? | 10 | 25 | 12 | No | 80 | 194 | 103 | No |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 35 | 5 | / | Yes | 294 | 36 | 46 | Yes |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 36 | 6 | 5 | Yes | 301 | 34 | 41 | Yes |
| 30 | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | 30 | 0 | 3 | 165 | 301 | 34 | 41 | 165 |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | |
| R1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 14 | 7 | 26 | Yes | 194 | 46 | 136 | Yes |
| 71 (************************************ | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | 103 | 104 | | 100 | 100 |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 16 | 5 | 26 | Yes | 132 | 92 | 152 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | | | | | | . 32 | . 30 |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 14 | 9 | 24 | Yes | 158 | 68 | 150 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 14 | 4 | 29 | Yes | 182 | 33 | 160 | Yes |

| | | PEPIN (47) | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|------------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|------------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | dicated | t of respo | |
| - N | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 53 | | | | 441 | | | |
| 25 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | 4.4 | 1 | 20 | Voc | 175 | 20 | 171 | Vac |
| 35 36 | aggregate)? Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 14 15 | 4 16 | 29 16 | Yes No | 175 160 | 29 87 | 171 128 | Yes Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 17 | 4 | 26 | Yes | 206 | 31 | 138 | Yes |
| - 01 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | ., | | 20 | 103 | 200 | 01 | 100 | 100 |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 14 | 4 | 29 | Yes | 180 | 28 | 167 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 17 | 4 | 26 | Yes | 191 | 33 | 151 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 27 | 7 | 13 | Yes | 234 | 45 | 96 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 14 | 4 | 29 | Yes | 161 | 48 | 166 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 35 | 6 | 6 | Yes | 239 | 89 | 46 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 35 | 8 | 4 | Yes | 263 | 55 | 55 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 23 | 8 | 15 | Yes | 161 | 80 | 131 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 28 | 7 | 11 | Yes | 175 | 82 | 115 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 27 | 10 | 9 | Yes | 201 | 104 | 65 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 37 | 8 | 1 | Yes | 233 | 117 | 20 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 40 | 4 | 2 | Yes | 321 | 29 | 20 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 32 | 12 | 2 | Yes | 281 | 71 | 18 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 23 | 14 | 9 | Yes | 167 | 129 | 74 | Yes |

| | | PEPIN (47) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------|--|-----------|------|-----|-----|------------|------|--|-----------|----------|--|
| | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | • | | | | dicated t | of respo | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 53 | | _ | | 441 | | _ | | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 34 | 8 | 3 | Yes | 290 | 57 | 22 | Yes | | | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 31 | 8 | 6 | Yes | 255 | 75 | 39 | Yes | | | | |
| 52 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and | 31 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 255 | 75 | 39 | 165 | | | | |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 16 | 21 | 8 | No | 185 | 138 | 46 | Yes | | | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 36 | 5 | 4 | Yes | 287 | 30 | 50 | Yes | | | | |
| | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 55 | and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 13 | 28 | 4 | No | 85 | 260 | 22 | No | | | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 16 | 21 | 8 | No | 158 | 174 | 35 | No | | | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 21 | 6 | 18 | Yes | 216 | 48 | 101 | Yes | | | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 18 | 17 | 10 | Yes | 155 | 106 | 104 | Yes | | | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 15 | 18 | 12 | No | 123 | 130 | 109 | No | | | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 30 | 9 | 6 | Yes | 248 | 70 | 44 | Yes | | | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 13 | 9 | 23 | Yes | 139 | 73 | 149 | Yes | | | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 9 | 36 | 0 | No | 148 | 190 | 20 | No | | | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 10 | 31 | 4 | No | 150 | 177 | 31 | No | | | | |

| | | PIERCE (48) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|--|---------|------------|------|----------------|---------|------------|------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | | | | | dicated ¹ | t of respo they recr | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 190 88 | 21 | 39 | Yes | 578 245 | 74 | 131 | Yes | | | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 106 | 18 | 22 | Yes | 300 | 81 | 66 | Yes | | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 76 | 39 | 29 | Yes | 235 | 117 | 90 | Yes | | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 82 | 41 | 19 | Yes | 234 | 137 | 69 | Yes | | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 74 | 39 | 27 | Yes | 209 | 133 | 93 | Yes | | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 79 | 25 | 35 | Yes | 223 | 99 | 112 | Yes | | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 93 | 18 | 28 | Yes | 242 | 90 | 102 | Yes | | | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 108 | 20 | 10 | Yes | 311 | 56 | 62 | Yes | | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 73 | 21 | 43 | Yes | 223 | 79 | 125 | Yes | | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 101 | 8 | 27 | Yes | 271 | 54 | 100 | Yes | | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 51 | 51 | 51 | | 154 | 171 | 148 | No | | | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 99 | 40 | 14 | Yes | 271 | 151 | 51 | Yes | | | | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 83 | 61 | 8 | Yes | 239 | 186 | 47 | Yes | | | | |
| | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 96 0 | 32 0 | 24 | Yes | 300 0 | 99 0 | 71 0 | Yes | | | | |

inches with a daily bag limit of one fish?

Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in

33

34

aggregate)?

PIERCE (48) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** Yes No Opinior Maj. No No Opinior Maj. Yes No. **COUNTY INPUT** 190 578 Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? 89 50 13 Yes 284 135 50 Yes Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? 66 60 17 195 204 56 Yes No Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? 157 18 81 45 Yes 244 51 Yes 15 Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 9(WCC) disabled, and military service hunters. 45 39 64 Yes 153 129 198 Yes Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 82 44 21 249 75 20 Yes 155 Yes Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 21 66 58 23 Yes 202 199 77 Yes Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 77 22 40 30 Yes 237 146 96 Yes Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 23 90 27 289 93 97 30 Yes Yes Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the 24 day after season closes? 98 31 18 Yes 318 92 69 Yes Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 25 113 21 13 Yes 326 92 61 Yes Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? 46 210 163 105 26 71 30 Yes Yes Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee | support \$10 fee | Do Not support changing current system] 27 34 264 31 82 No 106 108 No Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? 28 32 65 48 No 101 224 149 No Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? 13 22 29 110 Yes 356 56 61 Yes Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? 10 369 48 30 115 20 Yes 56 Yes Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other B1 (WCC|reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. 225 62 19 64 Yes 57 191 Yes Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) 46 34 65 Yes 161 120 192 Yes Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50

78

50

213

209

Yes

Yes

182

213

Yes

Yes

56

60

13

16

75

67

| | | PIERCE (48) | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-------------|----|------------|------|-----|---------|-------------------------|------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | dicated | t of respo they recr | |
| Na. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 190 | | | | 578 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 58 | 13 | 72 | Yes | 210 | 46 | 216 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 61 | 25 | 57 | Yes | 209 | 93 | 169 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 64 | 12 | 67 | Yes | 239 | 49 | 183 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 59 | 13 | 71 | Yes | 213 | 47 | 211 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 60 | 15 | 68 | Yes | 221 | 56 | 194 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 78 | 8 | 57 | Yes | 269 | 53 | 149 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 52 | 21 | 70 | Yes | 194 | 66 | 211 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 107 | 24 | 12 | Yes | 313 | 102 | 56 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 103 | 13 | 26 | Yes | 326 | 68 | 75 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 65 | 22 | 54 | Yes | 196 | 99 | 173 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 65 | 34 | 42 | Yes | 218 | 108 | 141 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | | 29 | 29 | Yes | 251 | 124 | 91 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 93 | 34 | 13 | Yes | 290 | 141 | 34 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 120 | 9 | 11 | Yes | 393 | 37 | 35 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 98 | 28 | 14 | Yes | 343 | 85 | 37 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 69 | 35 | 36 | Yes | 212 | 142 | 110 | Yes |

| | | PIERCE (48) | | | | | | | |
|------|---|--|----|-----------|-----------|-----|---------|------------|-----------|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated | t of respo | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 190 | | | | 578 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 109 | 19 | 12 | Yes | 359 | 75 | 28 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 93 | 29 | 18 | Yes | 291 | 103 | 68 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 70 | 52 | 18 | Yes | 234 | 163 | 64 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 107 | 11 | 22 | Yes | 338 | 44 | 77 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 32 | 95 | 13 | No | 100 | 331 | 28 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 57 | 66 | 17 | No | 185 | 236 | 38 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 79 | 19 | 42 | Yes | 270 | 63 | 124 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 60 | 42 | 37 | Yes | 196 | 116 | 143 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 53 | 45 | 40 | Yes | 167 | 151 | 135 | Yes |
| 00 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | 000 | 0.7 | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 92 | 24 | 22 | Yes | 296 | 87 | 70 | Yes |
| | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 57 49 | 76 | 12 | Yes No | 181 | 227 | 188 | Yes No |
| | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 72 | 16 | No | 179 | 226 | 45 | No |

| | | POLK (49) | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----------|-----------------|------------|------|-----|-----|------------|---------------------|
| | | | that the county | | | | | of respo | ondents reate in |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great | 288 | | | | 932 | | | |
| | Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 121 | 33 | 72 | Yes | 415 | 125 | 220 | Yes |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 148 | 43 | 31 | Yes | 506 | 142 | 106 | Yes |
| | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of | | | | | | | | |
| | one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin | 88 | 84 | 48 | Yes | 369 | 242 | 137 | Yes |
| 4 | waters? | 98 | 83 | 37 | Yes | 368 | 260 | 115 | Yes |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 109 | 44 | 61 | Yes | 367 | 194 | 171 | Yes |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 97 | 38 | 76 | Yes | 385 | 155 | 187 | Yes |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 107 | 34 | 70 | Yes | 407 | 145 | 175 | Yes |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 160 | 20 | 31 | Yes | 538 | 83 | 102 | Yes |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 109 | 39 | 60 | Yes | 371 | 142 | 208 | Yes |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 125 | 46 | 37 | Yes | 451 | 130 | 140 | Yes |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 93 | 89 | 56 | Yes | 278 | 280 | 246 | No |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 154 | 58 | 26 | Yes | 493 | 237 | 74 | Yes |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 128 | 87 | 23 | Yes | 412 | 328 | 63 | Yes |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 153 | 62 | 23 | Yes | 496 | 224 | 82 | Yes |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

| | | POLK (49) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|-----|------------|------|---------------------------|-----|------------|---------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | they reside in the county | | | I that indicated th | | | - | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 288 | | | | 932 | | | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 149 | 60 | 28 | Yes | 488 | 228 | 83 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 113 | 91 | 22 | Yes | 352 | 341 | 75 | Yes | | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 91 | 90 | 42 | Yes | 368 | 289 | 104 | Yes | | | | |
| _ ' | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 67 | 65 | 101 | Yes | 262 | 232 | 301 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 140 | 64 | 27 | Yes | 475 | 228 | 89 | Yes | | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 107 | 106 | 18 | Yes | 387 | 328 | 75 | Yes | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 134 | 71 | 26 | Yes | 452 | 238 | 102 | Yes | | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 115 | 57 | 58 | Yes | 435 | 188 | 168 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 154 | 49 | 27 | Yes | 514 | 190 | 87 | Yes | | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 162 | 47 | 21 | Yes | 554 | 167 | 69 | Yes | | | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 103 | 75 | 51 | Yes | 356 | 266 | 165 | Yes | | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 60 | 42 | 127 | Yes | 174 | 186 | 427 | No | | | | |
| _ | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 45 | 103 | 80 | No | 168 | 382 | 234 | No | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 159 | 25 | 43 | Yes | 578 | 83 | 122 | Yes | | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 168 | 19 | 40 | Yes | 603 | 68 | 112 | Yes | | | | |
| B1 (WCC | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 92 | 26 | 109 | Yes | 367 | 104 | 310 | Yes | | | | |
| · | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 75 | 40 | 112 | Yes | 270 | 191 | 320 | Yes | | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 60 | 36 | 131 | Yes | 287 | 135 | 359 | Yes | | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 81 | 23 | 123 | Yes | 341 | 87 | 352 | Yes | | | | |

| | | POLK (49) | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|-----------|---|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| | | | All respondents that indicated hey reside in the county | | | | - | t of respo | |
| N. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 288 | | | | 932 | | | |
| 25 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | 70 | 0.4 | 404 | \ \/ | 000 | 00 | 000 | |
| 35 | aggregate)? Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 79 75 | 24 | 124 | Yes | 336 | 82 | 362 | Yes |
| 36 37 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough lish? Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 75 89 | 61 24 | 91 | Yes Yes | 312 377 | 189 82 | 279 320 | Yes Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | 09 | 24 | 114 | 168 | 311 | 02 | 320 | 165 |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 78 | 24 | 124 | Yes | 336 | 84 | 358 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 81 | 25 | 120 | Yes | 355 | 93 | 330 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 107 | 29 | 89 | Yes | 436 | 97 | 244 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 70 | 29 | 126 | Yes | 318 | 115 | 344 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 168 | 34 | 23 | Yes | 534 | 169 | 74 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 168 | 26 | 31 | Yes | 550 | 120 | 107 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 101 | 50 | 74 | Yes | 341 | 175 | 259 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 116 | 47 | 62 | Yes | 387 | 173 | 214 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 132 | 50 | 43 | Yes | 429 | 224 | 120 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 163 | 50 | 12 | Yes | 510 | 214 | 49 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 193 | 15 | 17 | Yes | 672 | 57 | 43 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 160 | 44 | 21 | Yes | 577 | 141 | 54 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 136 | 54 | 35 | Yes | 386 | 236 | 150 | Yes |

| | | | POLK (49) | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|-----------|------------------------|--------|-----|---------|------------|------|
| | | | • | ts that in the coun | | | dicated | t of respo | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | r Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| NO. | COUNTY INPUT | 288 | | | | 932 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 184 | 23 | 18 | Yes | 607 | 108 | 56 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 145 | 40 | 40 | Yes | 515 | 145 | 111 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 96 | 102 | 27 | No | 367 | 311 | 93 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 132 | 19 | 74 | Yes | 539 | 59 | 172 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 44 | 153 | 28 | No | 162 | 540 | 68 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 91 | 108 | 26 | No | 320 | 371 | 79 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 111 | 39 | 74 | Yes | 423 | 114 | 231 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 106 | 56 | 62 | Yes | 350 | 202 | 215 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 78 | 79 | 67 | No | 275 | 272 | 217 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 152 | 34 | 38 | Yes | 499 | 138 | 125 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 104 | 28 | 92 | Yes | 326 | 132 | 303 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 75 | 139 | 10 | No | 289 | 432 | 39 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 63 | 137 | 24 | No | 273 | 420 | 66 | No |

| | | PORTAGE (50) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|----------------|-----|------------|------|----------|----------|-------------------------|---------|--|
| | | | | | | | - | t of respo they recr | eate in | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 571 242 | 59 | 132 | Yes | 750 | 211 | 459 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 265 | 93 | 70 | Yes | 881 | 286 | 239 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 214 | 112 | 97 | Yes | 742 | 348 | 300 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 218 | 119 | 86 | Yes | 738 | 391 | 257 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 180 | 137 | 103 | Yes | 594 | 447 | 332 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 207 | 83 | 128 | Yes | 662 | 313 | 391 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 214 | 86 | 118 | Yes | 717 | 303 | 346 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 224 | 31 | 158 | Yes | 769 | 100 | 483 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 165 | 53 | 191 | Yes | 609 | 174 | 564 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 167 | 45 | 197 | Yes | 663 | 112 | 571 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 121 | 169 | 135 | No | 367 | 577 | 484 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 223 | 140 | 61 | Yes | 730 | 503 | 191 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 199 | 177 | 46 | Yes | 679 | 575 | 166 | Yes | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 254 0 | 103 | 65 0 | Yes | 800 0 | 367 0 | 252 0 | Yes | |
| 13 | quoduon maavonantiy onnittoa on oarvoy | U | U | U | | U | U | U | | |

| | | PORTAGE (50) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--|-----|------------|--------|------------|-------|------------|---------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|----------------------|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|----------------|---------|
| | Question Description | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Maj. | | | | · | | | they reside in the county | | | they reside in the county | | | reside in the county | | | • | | | • | | | • | | | of respondence | eate in |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | 7es 571 | No | No Opinior | ıvıaj. | Yes 1847 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 071 | | | | 1047 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 226 | 115 | 80 | Yes | 736 | 422 | 257 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 188 | 176 | 55 | Yes | 678 | 524 | 213 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , , | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 226 | 125 | 66 | Yes | 772 | 397 | 238 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | 4.40 | 400 | 000 | | 500 | 0.5.4 | 004 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ` 1 | disabled, and military service hunters. | 140 | 109 | 206 | Yes | 539 | 354 | 661 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 259 | 127 | 69 68 | Yes | 903 | 376 | 272 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Nequires legislation) | 213 | 173 | 00 | Yes | 791 | 500 | 256 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 237 | 129 | 89 | Yes | 827 | 385 | 339 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 251 | 73 | 129 | Yes | 848 | 267 | 430 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 000 | 0.4 | 70 | | 0.50 | 0.4.0 | 075 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 299 | 84 | 70 | Yes | 958 | 310 | 275 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Would you support making youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 301 | 80 | 72 | Yes | 968 | 305 | 269 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 209 | 137 | 104 | Voc | 710 | 400 | 110 | Voc | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | 209 | 137 | 104 | Yes | 710 | 408 | 418 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 121 | 90 | 239 | Yes | 354 | 388 | 794 | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 121 | 30 | 200 | 103 | 337 | 300 | 7 3 4 | 140 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 79 | 227 | 143 | No | 276 | 748 | 505 | No | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 351 | 35 | 63 | Yes | 1206 | 119 | 202 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 369 | 23 | 57 | Yes | 1252 | 86 | 189 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 202 | 87 | 160 | Yes | 773 | 217 | 533 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | any one species? (440121) | 177 | 108 | 164 | Yes | 584 | 383 | 556 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bog limit of one fish? | 101 | 70 | 100 | V | 650 | 004 | 630 | Vas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 184 | 72 | 193 | Yes | 652 | 231 | 639 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 269 | 88 | 92 | Yes | 884 | 212 | 425 | Vec | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | aggiogato): | 209 | 00 | 92 | 168 | 004 | 212 | 420 | Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | PORTAGE (50) | | | | | | | |
|------|--|--------------|-----|------------|------|---|-----|-----------|------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | All online input of responting that indicated they recreating the county. | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. |
| 140. | Would you support shanging the daily had limit on penfish in Lake Halan to 10 per day (all appaids in | 571 | | | | 1847 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 282 | 73 | 93 | Yes | 867 | 177 | 476 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 172 | 99 | 176 | Yes | 653 | 278 | 588 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 243 | 52 | 151 | Yes | 852 | 164 | 501 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 278 | 52 | 116 | Yes | 837 | 146 | 533 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 239 | 60 | 147 | Yes | 847 | 174 | 494 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 247 | 44 | 154 | Yes | 899 | 111 | 500 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 175 | 53 | 217 | Yes | 679 | 184 | 646 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 286 | 99 | 59 | Yes | 926 | 369 | 210 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 273 | 53 | 118 | Yes | 886 | 226 | 391 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 133 | 94 | 216 | Yes | 491 | 329 | 681 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 183 | 85 | 175 | Yes | 569 | 356 | 576 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 221 | 127 | 95 | Yes | 718 | 451 | 329 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 273 | 120 | 50 | Yes | 820 | 489 | 188 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 385 | 28 | 29 | Yes | 1294 | 86 | 116 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 322 | 77 | 43 | Yes | 1118 | 225 | 153 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 196 | 112 | 134 | Yes | 613 | 433 | 450 | Yes |

| | | PORTAGE (50) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--------------|-----|------------|------|------|---------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | dicated | t of resp they reci | ondents reate in | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | r Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 571 | | | | 1847 | | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 357 | 47 | 37 | Yes | 1187 | 174 | 134 | Yes | |
| | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 279 | 65 | 97 | Yes | 988 | 204 | 301 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 225 | 127 | 87 | Yes | 834 | 359 | 298 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 262 | 38 | 138 | Yes | 965 | 101 | 421 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 83 | 329 | 24 | No | 295 | 1128 | 60 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 176 | 229 | 31 | No | 661 | 720 | 102 | No | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 227 | 49 | 158 | Yes | 859 | 150 | 468 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 168 | 110 | 156 | Yes | 562 | 340 | 575 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 128 | 128 | 177 | | 472 | 439 | 563 | Yes | |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 290 | 70 | 73 | Yes | 987 | 236 | 248 | Yes | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 134 | 75 | 223 | Yes | 431 | 292 | 743 | Yes | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 185 | 196 | 51 | No | 706 | 573 | 185 | Yes | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 187 | 179 | 66 | Yes | 689 | 552 | 221 | Yes | |

| | | | | | PRIC | E (51) | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|---------|------------|------|--|----------|------------|------|--|---------|------------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Maj. | | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated | t of respo | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 259 | | | | 1517 | | | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 128 | 44 | 45 | Yes | 665 | 256 | 329 | Yes | | | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 137 | 56 | 22 | Yes | 779 | 328 | 134 | Yes | | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 111 | 68 | 33 | Yes | 601 | 428 | 201 | Yes | | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 101 | 72 | 38 | Yes | 604 | 434 | 189 | Yes | | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 107 | 48 | 53 | Yes | 631 | 334 | 254 | Yes | | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 107 | 48 | 53 | Yes | 609 | 274 | 332 | Yes | | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 108 | 44 | 56 | Yes | 679 | 237 | 299 | Yes | | | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 110 | 12 | 84 | Yes | 656 | 94 | 459 | Yes | | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 80 | 32 | 93 | Yes | 483 | 192 | 532 | Yes | | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 86 | 22 | 97 | Yes | 565 | 123 | 518 | Yes | | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 83 | 75 | 62 | Yes | 439 | 494 | 374 | No | | | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 113 | 66 | 41 | Yes | 739 | 406 | 158 | Yes | | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 108 | 96 | 16 | Yes | 655 | 547 | 101 | Yes | | | | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 150 0 | 49 0 | 19 | Yes | 859 0 | 319 0 | 122 0 | Yes | | | | |
| 10 | Addition industriality contact on our vey | U | U | U | | U | U | U | | | | | |

PRICE (51) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** Yes No Opinior Maj. No No Opinior Maj. Yes No. **COUNTY INPUT** 259 1517 Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? 124 67 26 Yes 779 360 159 Yes Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? 98 94 20 559 570 128 Yes No Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? 89 528 527 197 18 81 40 Yes No Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 9(WCC) disabled, and military service hunters. 96 59 65 Yes 501 411 419 Yes Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 67 810 20 136 15 Yes 381 137 Yes Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 21 103 92 22 616 574 134 Yes Yes Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 22 129 68 21 Yes 738 423 167 Yes Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 683 293 23 116 55 47 Yes 351 Yes Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the 24 day after season closes? 135 59 24 Yes 894 276 156 Yes Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 25 133 69 16 Yes 888 312 126 Yes Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? 69 469 531 323 26 88 61 No No Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee | support \$10 fee | Do Not support changing current system] 49 279 801 27 30 139 No 243 No Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? 28 51 106 60 No 317 640 361 No Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? 19 29 144 53 Yes 963 132 219 Yes Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? 991 112 211 30 148 14 54 Yes Yes Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other B1 (WCC|reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. 40 130 46 Yes 706 246 361 Yes Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) 90 79 47 Yes 538 390 385 Yes Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? 33 80 50 86 511 283 519 Yes Yes Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? 34 119 22 75 Yes 677 188 447 Yes

| | | | | | E (51) | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------|--------|--|-----|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | All online input of respective that indicated they receive the county. | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 259 | | | | 1517 | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 113 | 22 | 81 | Yes | 607 | 174 | 530 | Yes | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 96 | 43 | 77 | Yes | 519 | 315 | 476 | Yes | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 114 | 20 | 82 | Yes | 684 | 151 | 474 | Yes | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 103 | 21 | 92 | Yes | 584 | 154 | 570 | Yes | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 108 | 23 | 85 | Yes | 648 | 173 | 487 | Yes | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 121 | 18 | 77 | Yes | 709 | 139 | 460 | Yes | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 74 | 26 | 116 | Yes | 517 | 159 | 631 | Yes | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 172 | 32 | 12 | Yes | 989 | 221 | 96 | Yes | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 168 | 21 | 27 | Yes | 1008 | 124 | 174 | Yes | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 106 | 38 | 70 | Yes | 589 | 261 | 451 | Yes | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 99 | 57 | 58 | Yes | 638 | 315 | 347 | Yes | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 113 | 65 | 35 | Yes | 733 | 335 | 229 | Yes | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 165 | 39 | 9 | Yes | 958 | 274 | 64 | Yes | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 188 | 12 | 13 | Yes | 1112 | 97 | 85 | Yes | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 155 | 46 | 12 | Yes | 942 | 246 | 106 | Yes | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 125 | 50 | 38 | Yes | 698 | 336 | 259 | Yes | |

| | | | PRICE (51) | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|------------|------------------------|------|------|----------------------|-------------------------|------|
| | | | • | s that ind the coun | | | dicated ¹ | t of respo they recr | |
| _ | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 259 | | | | 1517 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 168 | 29 | 16 | Yes | 1001 | 202 | 87 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 122 | 49 | 42 | Yes | 789 | 272 | 226 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 93 | 82 | 38 | Yes | 566 | 528 | 193 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 122 | 17 | 72 | Yes | 783 | 131 | 368 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 44 | 158 | 9 | No | 275 | 928 | 79 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 79 | 116 | 16 | No | 522 | 647 | 113 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 98 | 35 | 77 | Yes | 642 | 220 | 417 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 84 | 73 | 52 | Yes | 533 | 390 | 355 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 74 | 74 | 61 | | 471 | 435 | 372 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | 06.1 | 0.10 | 062 | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 141 | 32 | 36 | Yes | 831 | 243 | 203 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 71 | 39 | 99 | Yes | 516 | 206 | 553 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 61 | 136 | 11 | No | 404 | 785 | 85 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 65 | 120 | 23 | No | 408 | 758 | 107 | No |

| | | RACINE (52) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------|--|------------|------|-----|----------|------------|------|--|
| | | | Il respondents that indicated ney reside in the county | | | | - | t of respo | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 381 146 | 39 | 78 | Yes | 331 | 119 | 197 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 181 | 42 | 40 | Yes | 456 | 92 | 93 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 142 | 63 | 55 | Yes | 385 | 137 | 113 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 141 | 63 | 55 | Yes | 360 | 160 | 111 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 119 | 75 | 65 | Yes | 282 | 197 | 148 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 117 | 62 | 77 | Yes | 294 | 169 | 161 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 123 | 63 | 70 | Yes | 317 | 161 | 146 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 143 | 11 | 101 | Yes | 356 | 53 | 206 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 106 | 35 | 111 | Yes | 287 | 92 | 232 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 129 | 18 | 103 | Yes | 341 | 50 | 219 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 72 | 105 | 94 | No | 156 | 250 | 242 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 149 | 87 | 35 | Yes | 329 | 241 | 78 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 118 | 113 | 38 | Yes | 276 | 284 | 87 | No | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 146 0 | 73 0 | 49 | Yes | 323 | 193 0 | 130 0 | Yes | |
| IS | question madvertaintly offitted on survey | U | U | U | | 0 | U | U | | |

30

33

34

any one species? (440121)

aggregate)?

inches with a daily bag limit of one fish?

DNR Spring Hearings - WCC County Meetings Summary of Results by County RACINE (52) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** Yes No Opinior Maj. No No Opinior Maj. Yes No. **COUNTY INPUT** 381 870 Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? 148 72 46 Yes 327 210 106 Yes Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? 107 323 125 39 217 110 Yes Yes Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? 64 392 18 168 34 Yes 151 98 Yes Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 9(WCC) disabled, and military service hunters. 106 75 130 Yes 267 173 294 Yes Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 70 394 20 162 77 Yes 165 172 Yes Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 21 149 91 68 367 208 154 Yes Yes Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 73 22 149 87 Yes 361 174 196 Yes Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 36 409 211 23 175 98 Yes 110 Yes Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the 24 day after season closes? 180 60 68 Yes 425 152 151 Yes Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 25 170 72 65 Yes 399 176 151 Yes Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? 152 63 365 149 208 26 89 Yes Yes Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee | support \$10 fee | Do Not support changing current system] 70 87 240 331 27 147 No 151 No Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? 28 44 149 111 No 127 347 246 No Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? 235 17 29 52 Yes 577 47 96 Yes

Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that

Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in

Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of

Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50

total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other

Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in

everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish?

B1 (WCC|reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team.

40

68

170

108

68

101

274

288

293

300

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

579

373

257

313

345

239

135

99

126

124

14

32

65

45

33

51

137

140

133

147

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

| | | RACINE (52) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-------------|----|------------|------|-----|----------------------|-------------------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | dicated ¹ | t of respo they recr | | |
| - | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 381 | | | | 870 | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 123 | 29 | 152 | Yes | 338 | 63 | 312 | Yes | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 135 | 42 | 126 | Yes | 328 | 115 | 269 | Yes | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 151 | 32 | 120 | Yes | 410 | 65 | 236 | Yes | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 128 | 26 | 149 | Yes | 351 | 60 | 300 | Yes | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 139 | 34 | 129 | Yes | 377 | 72 | 261 | Yes | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 162 | 16 | 124 | Yes | 411 | 43 | 256 | Yes | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 127 | 28 | 146 | Yes | 317 | 91 | 301 | Yes | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 195 | 58 | 48 | Yes | 411 | 172 | 126 | Yes | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 169 | 39 | 93 | Yes | 405 | 110 | 193 | Yes | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 100 | 48 | 152 | Yes | 241 | 147 | 318 | Yes | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 113 | 58 | 128 | Yes | 272 | 166 | 267 | Yes | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 143 | 70 | 84 | Yes | 324 | 210 | 170 | Yes | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 153 | 95 | 48 | Yes | 317 | 280 | 105 | Yes | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 242 | 19 | 34 | Yes | 589 | 40 | 71 | Yes | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 196 | 59 | 40 | Yes | 504 | 114 | 82 | Yes | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 120 | 71 | 103 | Yes | 267 | 216 | 216 | Yes | |

| | | | RACINE (52) | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----|-------------|------------------------|------------|------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| | | | • | ts that in the coun | | | ondents reate in | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Мај. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 381 | | | | 870 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 229 | 35 | 29 | Yes | 546 | 86 | 66 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 193 | 34 | 66 | Yes | 468 | 86 | 143 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 166 | 59 | 66 | Yes | 393 | 159 | 143 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 179 | 18 | 93 | Yes | 449 | 55 | 188 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 68 | 204 | 18 | No | 172 | 489 | 30 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 137 | 125 | 28 | Yes | 346 | 296 | 49 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 172 | 20 | 97 | Yes | 428 | 57 | 203 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 109 | 55 | 124 | Yes | 252 | 140 | 294 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 88 | 70 | 130 | Yes | 203 | 202 | 278 | Yes |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | F0 | 4.7 | Vaa | 440 | 404 | 100 | V |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 191 | 50 | 47 | Yes | 446 | 131 | 106 | Yes |
| 61 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 129 | 51 99 | 151 58 | Yes Yes | 191 354 | 213 | 354 112 | Yes Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 89 | 53 | Yes | 360 | 211 | 106 | Yes |

three years?

question inadvertantly omitted on survey

14

| | | | | R | ICHLA | ND (5 | 3) | | | | |
|--------|---|--|----|------------|-------|---|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicat they reside in the county | | | | d All online input of respond that indicated they recreat the county. | | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 99 | | | | 907 | | | | | |
| I (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 33 | 6 | 34 | Yes | 359 | 121 | 246 | Yes | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 51 | 11 | 11 | Yes | 468 | 140 | 105 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 30 | 25 | 18 | Yes | 397 | 184 | 128 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 37 | 21 | 15 | Yes | 421 | 171 | 115 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 36 | 15 | 20 | Yes | 319 | 212 | 170 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 19 | 24 | 28 | No | 303 | 191 | 202 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 24 | 24 | 23 | | 337 | 173 | 186 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 35 | 5 | 31 | Yes | 414 | 51 | 228 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 28 | 11 | 32 | Yes | 347 | 83 | 262 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 31 | 4 | 36 | Yes | 384 | 50 | 256 | Yes | | |
| 1(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 29 | 31 | 23 | No | 183 | 299 | 296 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 33 | 35 | 15 | No | 381 | 292 | 105 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 41 | 34 | 8 | Yes | 341 | 360 | 77 | No | | |
| | | 1 | | 1 | | 400 | | 1 | ĺ | | |

0

| | | RICHLAND (53) | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|---------------|------------|------------|------|---|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | | they re | eside in t | s that inc | ty | that indicated they recreate in the county. | | | | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 99 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 907 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 33 | | | | 301 | | | | | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 44 | 27 | 12 | Yes | 427 | 235 | 113 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | . 55 | | | 1.0 | . 55 | | |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 32 | 34 | 13 | No | 381 | 287 | 82 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 39 | 28 | 11 | Yes | 436 | 230 | 81 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | | |
| ` 1 | disabled, and military service hunters. | 23 | 23 | 38 | | 294 | 207 | 291 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 51 | 22 | 11 | Yes | 494 | 190 | 106 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 46 | 26 | 12 | Yes | 421 | 259 | 107 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 47 | 21 | 16 | Yes | 458 | 199 | 133 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | | | |
| | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 53 | 18 | 13 | Yes | 487 | 168 | 133 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 51 | 16 | 17 | Yes | 465 | 201 | 121 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 53 | 20 | 11 | Yes | 512 | 172 | 103 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | 26 | 10 | Vaa | 404 | 046 | 146 | Vas | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | 39 | 26 | 19 | Yes | 421 | 216 | 146 | Yes | | |
| | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 18 | 18 | 48 | | 163 | 241 | 379 | No | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 10 | 10 | 40 | | 103 | 241 | 313 | 110 | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 13 | 42 | 28 | No | 117 | 459 | 205 | No | | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 62 | 8 | 13 | Yes | 639 | 60 | 81 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | | | | | | | |
| | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 63 | 6 | 14 | Yes | 658 | 41 | 81 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 33 | 10 | 40 | Yes | 411 | 77 | 291 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | | | | | | | |
| | any one species? (440121) | 27 | 16 | 40 | Yes | 272 | 199 | 308 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | 00 | 4.0 | 4.5 | | 000 | 404 | 0.40 | V | | |
| | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 28 | 10 | 45 | Yes | 338 | 101 | 340 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 20 | 7 | 16 | Voc | 202 | 60 | 210 | Voc | | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 30 | 1 | 46 | Yes | 392 | 69 | 318 | Yes | | |

| | | RICHLAND (53) | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|----|------------|------|-----|---------------------|------------|------|
| | | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county | | | | | ondents reate in | | |
| _ | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 99 | | | | 907 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 32 | 6 | 45 | Yes | 388 | 72 | 319 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 33 | 10 | 40 | Yes | 392 | 127 | 260 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 43 | 7 | 33 | Yes | 481 | 81 | 215 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 36 | 6 | 41 | Yes | 386 | 70 | 321 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 39 | 6 | 38 | Yes | 435 | 79 | 263 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 42 | 5 | 36 | Yes | 465 | 50 | 262 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 46 | 8 | 29 | Yes | 411 | 106 | 260 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 44 | 28 | 11 | Yes | 449 | 235 | 93 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 43 | 19 | 21 | Yes | 504 | 137 | 135 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 24 | 19 | 40 | Yes | 303 | 182 | 289 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 19 | 31 | 33 | No | 309 | 214 | 250 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 35 | 33 | 15 | Yes | 397 | 259 | 117 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 41 | 29 | 13 | Yes | 399 | 302 | 72 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 70 | 6 | 7 | Yes | 678 | 43 | 51 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 54 | 24 | 5 | Yes | 594 | 122 | 56 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 33 | 37 | 13 | No | 312 | 282 | 177 | Yes |

| | | | | R | ICHLA | ND (5 | 3) | | | | |
|------|---|----|---|-----------|-----------|-------|--|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Ma | | | | All online input of respontant that indicated they recreated the county. | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 99 | | | | 907 | | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 57 | 18 | 8 | Yes | 595 | 126 | 50 | Yes | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 54 | 13 | 16 | Yes | 549 | 115 | 106 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 38 | 32 | 12 | Yes | 452 | 225 | 91 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 48 | 9 | 25 | Yes | 545 | 52 | 171 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 29 | 48 | 5 | No | 227 | 493 | 48 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 37 | 39 | 6 | No | 349 | 355 | 64 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 48 | 11 | 23 | Yes | 526 | 75 | 165 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 29 | 27 | 26 | Yes | 322 | 197 | 247 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 24 | 34 | 23 | No | 252 | 277 | 233 | No | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 56 | 11 | 14 | Yes | 551 | 104 | 106 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted | 18 | 24 | 39 | No | 232 | 186 | 343 | Yes | | |
| 62 | again? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 34 | 32 40 | 7 | Yes No | 382 | 308 | 71 68 | Yes | | |

| | | ROCK (54) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|---------|------------|------|---|----------|------------|------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county Yes No No Opinior Maj | | | | All online input of respon that indicated they recrea the county. | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 457 162 | 41 | 119 | Yes | 375 | 140 | 294 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 201 | 58 | 62 | Yes | 515 | 140 | 143 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that | 146 | 96 | 76 | Yes | 403 | 199 | 185 | Yes | |
| 4 | could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 141 | 105 | 72 | Yes | 429 | 202 | 155 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 134 | 86 | 96 | Yes | 296 | 266 | 217 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 130 | 58 | 124 | Yes | 309 | 204 | 261 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 145 | 52 | 115 | Yes | 343 | 185 | 246 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 166 | 15 | 130 | Yes | 426 | 64 | 281 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam | 119 | 42 | 149 | Yes | 354 | 99 | 316 | Yes | |
| 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | 120 | 25 | 165 | Yes | 391 | 60 | 317 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 100 | 141 | 95 | No | 219 | 325 | 310 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 193 | 90 | 52 | Yes | 412 | 324 | 116 | Yes | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 159 | 133 | 43 | Yes | 363 | 379 | 108 | No | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 187 0 | 89 0 | 59 0 | Yes | 411 0 | 266 0 | 173 0 | Yes | |

| | | ROCK (54) | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|---|-----|------------|------|-------------|-----|------------|------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Maj. | | | | the county. | | | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 457 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 1135 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 437 | | | | 1133 | | | | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 162 | 101 | 72 | Yes | 412 | 271 | 166 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | 101 | , _ | 100 | | | 100 | 1 00 | |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 142 | 126 | 54 | Yes | 378 | 291 | 155 | Yes | |
| , , | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 151 | 105 | 65 | Yes | 462 | 215 | 144 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | |
| _ ` 1 | disabled, and military service hunters. | 103 | 99 | 173 | Yes | 323 | 226 | 417 | Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 193 | 97 | 83 | Yes | 517 | 191 | 253 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 178 | 122 | 72 | Yes | 481 | 257 | 220 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 175 | 102 | 96 | Yes | 462 | 203 | 296 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | | |
| | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 207 | 66 | 100 | Yes | 524 | 163 | 271 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 216 | 70 | 87 | Yes | 516 | 203 | 239 | Yes | |
| _ | Would you support making youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 243 | 52 | 77 | Yes | 529 | 213 | 215 | Yes | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | 101 | 110 | Vaa | 447 | 226 | 260 | Vaa | |
| | deer carcass disposal? Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | 156 | 104 | 110 | Yes | 447 | 236 | 268 | Yes | |
| | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 84 | 80 | 206 | Yes | 202 | 296 | 453 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 04 | 80 | 200 | 165 | 202 | 290 | 455 | INO | |
| | Wisconsin? | 67 | 147 | 154 | No | 147 | 451 | 349 | No | |
| _ | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 290 | 16 | 61 | Yes | 782 | 50 | 114 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 299 | 13 | 55 | Yes | 792 | 45 | 109 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 155 | 46 | 164 | Yes | 460 | 94 | 389 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | | | | | | |
| | any one species? (440121) | 115 | 78 | 172 | Yes | 309 | 221 | 413 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | 40- | 4.5 | 40- | | 000 | 440 | 400 | V | |
| | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 135 | 45 | 185 | Yes | 398 | 113 | 432 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 140 | 12 | 172 | Voc | /27 | 95 | 410 | Voc | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 149 | 43 | 173 | Yes | 437 | 95 | 410 | Yes | |

| | | | | | ROC | K (54) | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|----|-----------|------|---|-----|-----------|------|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicate they reside in the county | | | | All online input of responde that indicated they recreate the county. | | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 457 | | | | 1135 | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 138 | 41 | 186 | Yes | 426 | 89 | 426 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 119 | 63 | 183 | Yes | 409 | 141 | 391 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 214 | 59 | 91 | Yes | 581 | 111 | 247 | Yes | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 132 | 46 | 186 | Yes | 432 | 92 | 415 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 164 | 54 | 146 | Yes | 499 | 102 | 338 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 198 | 27 | 139 | Yes | 549 | 62 | 328 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 145 | 39 | 180 | Yes | 438 | 131 | 369 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 233 | 76 | 55 | Yes | 511 | 281 | 145 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 234 | 43 | 87 | Yes | 537 | 163 | 235 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 109 | 60 | 195 | Yes | 289 | 232 | 414 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 133 | 65 | 166 | Yes | 314 | 257 | 364 | Yes | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 185 | 94 | 83 | Yes | 417 | 299 | 218 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 220 | 74 | 68 | Yes | 429 | 362 | 142 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 302 | 21 | 37 | Yes | 806 | 46 | 79 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 252 | 61 | 47 | Yes | 698 | 132 | 101 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 169 | 70 | 121 | Yes | 348 | 287 | 296 | Yes | | |

| | | | ROCK (54) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----|-----------|------------|------|---|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | All online input of responde that indicated they recreate the county. | | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 457 | | | | 1135 | | | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 275 | 33 | 52 | Yes | 718 | 118 | 94 | Yes | | |
| 31 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 213 | 33 | 52 | 163 | 710 | 110 | 34 | 163 | | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 220 | 49 | 89 | Yes | 628 | 120 | 179 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 170 | 113 | 74 | Yes | 527 | 232 | 168 | Yes | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 205 | 18 | 134 | Yes | 610 | 58 | 259 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 67 | 264 | 26 | No | 207 | 662 | 57 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 154 | 166 | 37 | No | 445 | 399 | 82 | Yes | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 185 | 23 | 149 | Yes | 572 | 69 | 284 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 132 | 76 | 148 | Yes | 325 | 199 | 398 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 112 | 85 | 157 | Yes | 265 | 251 | 402 | Yes | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 209 | 52 | 92 | Yes | 610 | 128 | 180 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 114 | 50 | 188 | Yes | 255 | 190 | 471 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 138 | 149 | 65 | No | 480 | 301 | 135 | Yes | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 125 | 171 | 56 | No | 461 | 336 | 117 | Yes | | |

| | | RUSK (55) | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------|---------|--------------------------|------|----------|--------------------|------|-----|--|--|--|
| | | | • | its that ind the coun | | | ondents eate in | | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 189 | | | | 1186 | | - | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 98 | 11 | 45 | Yes | 550 | 167 | 261 | Yes | | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 98 | 38 | 18 | Yes | 633 | 228 | 112 | Yes | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 49 | 70 | 33 | No | 457 | 343 | 167 | Yes | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 65 | 54 | 33 | Yes | 435 | 376 | 155 | Yes | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 83 | 27 | 41 | Yes | 525 | 237 | 198 | Yes | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 83 | 33 | 35 | Yes | 532 | 194 | 229 | Yes | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 89 | 27 | 35 | Yes | 566 | 167 | 222 | Yes | | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 83 | 7 | 59 | Yes | 580 | 81 | 290 | Yes | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 52 | 30 | 67 | Yes | 414 | 168 | 369 | Yes | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 53 | 20 | 76 | Yes | 444 | 131 | 374 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 75 | 49 | 41 | Yes | 385 | 351 | 295 | Yes | | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 94 | 45 | 26 | Yes | 600 | 302 | 128 | Yes | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 91 | 64 | 10 | Yes | 530 | 446 | 54 | Yes | | | |
| 14 15 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 130 | 25 0 | 10 | Yes | 725 0 | 214 0 | 88 | Yes | | | |

| | | | | | | 101/ (55) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|------|----------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|------|------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | RUS | K (55) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | • | ts that ind the coun | t of respo | | | | | | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | | |
| NO. | COUNTY INPUT | 189 | | | | 1186 | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 00 | | 0.4 | V | 050 | 005 | 400 | | | | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 99 | 44 | 21 | Yes | 650 | 265 | 109 | Yes | | | | | |
| 17/NDD) | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 53 | 92 | 10 | NIa | 407 | 400 | 00 | No | | | | | |
| 17 (INRD) | | 55 | 92 | 13 | No | 427 | 480 | 89 | No | | | | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | ı | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 53 | 77 | 27 | No | 410 | 427 | 155 | No | | | | | |
| 10 | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | - 55 | 11 | 21 | 140 | 710 | 721 | 100 | 110 | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19(WCC) | disabled, and military service hunters. | 51 | 56 | 59 | No | 357 | 324 | 348 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 100 | 50 | 16 | Yes | 611 | 323 | 94 | Yes | | | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 79 | 78 | 8 | Yes | 457 | 467 | 103 | No | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 79 | 73 | 14 | Yes | 539 | 371 | 118 | Yes | | | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 83 | 38 | 45 | Yes | 563 | 259 | 206 | Yes | | | | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 117 | 36 | 13 | Yes | 711 | 220 | 97 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 111 | 41 | 13 | Yes | 733 | 204 | 89 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | <u> </u> | 10 | 100 | 700 | 201 | | 100 | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 58 | 64 | 42 | No | 413 | 396 | 214 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 39 | 28 | 97 | Yes | 220 | 208 | 595 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | Wisconsin? | 38 | 68 | 58 | No | 255 | 473 | 292 | No | | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 113 | 15 | 35 | Yes | 737 | 106 | 173 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 121 | 9 | 33 | Yes | 767 | 84 | 165 | Yes | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 69 | 25 | 69 | Yes | 477 | 161 | 377 | Yes | | | | | |
| 20 | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | 0.0 | | | 600 | 0.40 | 67. | | | | | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 66 | 28 | 69 | Yes | 399 | 242 | 374 | Yes | | | | | |
| 20 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | E4 | 24 | 0.4 | Vas | 202 | 170 | 450 | Vac | | | | | |
| 33 | , , | 51 | 31 | 81 | Yes | 383 | 179 | 453 | Yes | | | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 59 | 20 | 84 | Vac | 440 | 128 | 438 | Vec | | | | | |
| J 4 | ayyı oyalo): | 59 | 20 | 04 | Yes | 449 | 128 | 430 | Yes | | | | | |

| | | | | | RUSI | K (55) | | | |
|-----|--|-----|--|-----------|------|--------|--|------------|------|
| | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | All online input of re that indicated they r the county. | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 189 | | | | 1186 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 55 | 20 | 88 | Yes | 430 | 117 | 467 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 42 | 44 | 77 | No | 359 | 265 | 387 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 58 | 15 | 90 | Yes | 475 | 119 | 417 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 55 | 14 | 94 | Yes | 423 | 115 | 473 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 59 | 17 | 87 | Yes | 459 | 127 | 424 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 80 | 22 | 61 | Yes | 609 | 118 | 282 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 49 | 19 | 95 | Yes | 380 | 127 | 501 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 133 | 19 | 11 | Yes | 797 | 138 | 73 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 133 | 11 | 19 | Yes | 778 | 94 | 136 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 68 | 34 | 60 | Yes | 462 | 195 | 350 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 94 | 30 | 38 | Yes | 534 | 178 | 294 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 98 | 36 | 28 | Yes | 579 | 265 | 161 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 134 | 22 | 6 | Yes | 770 | 184 | 51 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 131 | 17 | 13 | Yes | 864 | 92 | 47 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 97 | 51 | 13 | Yes | 709 | 236 | 58 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 98 | 30 | 33 | Yes | 574 | 236 | 192 | Yes |

killing contests?

63

RUSK (55) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** Yes No Opinior Maj. Yes No No Opinior Maj. No. **COUNTY INPUT** 189 1186 Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. 130 15 16 Yes 807 119 74 Yes Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? 52 89 35 37 Yes 625 226 149 Yes Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? 53 41 89 31 No 394 457 148 No Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? 93 19 Yes 48 689 90 216 Yes Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). 55 15 134 197 734 No 10 No 61 Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) 56 52 95 12 No 384 527 81 No Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? 57 61 22 489 76 Yes 154 348 Yes Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? 58 63 52 44 Yes 441 301 247 Yes Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. 54 59 46 No 369 344 274 Yes Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? 88 35 36 Yes 630 182 173 Yes Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? 69 16 74 425 Yes 416 142 Yes Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted 62 again? 33 121 5 254 658 69 No No Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife

643

79

No

258

No

25

122

12

| | | SAINT CROIX (56) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------|--|------------|------|-------------------|----------|------------|---------|--|
| | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinior Maj. | | | | - | t of respo | eate in | |
| No. | Question Description | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 402 144 | 45 | 108 | Yes | 877 373 | 110 | 210 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 182 | 66 | 46 | Yes | 464 | 109 | 116 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 157 | 74 | 60 | Yes | 367 | 170 | 144 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 146 | 82 | 63 | Yes | 374 | 204 | 99 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 128 | 82 | 77 | Yes | 314 | 193 | 160 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 131 | 62 | 92 | Yes | 322 | 150 | 189 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 139 | 57 | 89 | Yes | 349 | 138 | 174 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 156 | 23 | 104 | Yes | 473 | 91 | 91 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 121 | 44 | 116 | Yes | 339 | 133 | 181 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 131 | 25 | 125 | Yes | 427 | 93 | 131 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 83 | 136 | 113 | No | 219 | 250 | 252 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 172 | 107 | 52 | Yes | 396 | 242 | 83 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 141 | 152 | 38 | No | 367 | 265 | 87 | Yes | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 182 0 | 90 | 59 0 | Yes | 409 0 | 188 0 | 120 0 | Yes | |
| | quoduon maaronamily on mada on our roy | J | | L | | J | J | U | | |

| | | SAINT CROIX (56) | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|-----|------------|------|--|-----|------------|------|--|--|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | ted All online input of that indicated the the county. | | | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 402 | | | | 877 | | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 183 | 98 | 49 | Yes | 420 | 197 | 98 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 139 | 128 | 41 | Yes | 333 | 262 | 95 | Yes | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 170 | 98 | 36 | Yes | 396 | 205 | 85 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 115 | 75 | 141 | Yes | 227 | 194 | 318 | Yes | | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 205 | 73 | 51 | Yes | 409 | 203 | 123 | Yes | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 178 | 110 | 40 | Yes | 360 | 260 | 112 | Yes | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 187 | 85 | 57 | Yes | 378 | 205 | 152 | Yes | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 192 | 87 | 50 | Yes | 426 | 133 | 175 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 199 | 77 | 53 | Yes | 460 | 158 | 116 | Yes | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 231 | 58 | 39 | Yes | 489 | 142 | 102 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 182 | 83 | 62 | Yes | 352 | 223 | 156 | Yes | | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 75 | 109 | 143 | No | 173 | 195 | 363 | No | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 65 | 184 | 78 | No | 140 | 342 | 244 | No | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 264 | 25 | 37 | Yes | 573 | 58 | 94 | Yes | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 270 | 19 | 37 | Yes | 590 | 45 | 90 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 147 | 33 | 145 | Yes | 383 | 80 | 262 | Yes | | | |
| , | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 117 | 53 | 155 | Yes | 254 | 189 | 282 | Yes | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 134 | 34 | 157 | Yes | 286 | 123 | 316 | Yes | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 132 | 32 | 161 | Yes | 350 | 65 | 310 | Yes | | | |

| | | SAINT CROIX (56) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|------------------|-----|------------|------|---|--------------------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | ine inpu dicated [:] unty. | ondents eate in | | | |
| N. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 402 | | | | 877 | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 133 | 32 | 158 | Yes | 345 | 61 | 319 | Yes | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 140 | 58 | 125 | Yes | 313 | 134 | 277 | Yes | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 173 | 45 | 105 | Yes | 381 | 62 | 281 | Yes | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 137 | 32 | 154 | Yes | 348 | 62 | 313 | Yes | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 151 | 41 | 131 | Yes | 372 | 70 | 280 | Yes | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 188 | 14 | 120 | Yes | 444 | 63 | 214 | Yes | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 166 | 38 | 118 | Yes | 319 | 112 | 290 | Yes | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 175 | 105 | 41 | Yes | 454 | 170 | 97 | Yes | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 207 | 49 | 64 | Yes | 465 | 112 | 142 | Yes | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 120 | 86 | 114 | Yes | 267 | 179 | 273 | Yes | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 124 | 94 | 102 | Yes | 308 | 180 | 229 | Yes | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 157 | 103 | 60 | Yes | 390 | 193 | 133 | Yes | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 168 | 132 | 20 | Yes | 398 | 257 | 60 | Yes | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 277 | 16 | 27 | Yes | 617 | 46 | 52 | Yes | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 236 | 55 | 29 | Yes | 530 | 127 | 58 | Yes | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 121 | 109 | 90 | Yes | 314 | 217 | 184 | Yes | |

| | | | | SA | INT C | ROIX (| 56) | | |
|------|---|------------|-----|------------------------|------------|--------|------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | | | • | ts that in the coun | | | dicated | t of respo they recr | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 402 | | | | 877 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 238 | 53 | 28 | Yes | 572 | 96 | 47 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 218 | 51 | 49 | Yes | 475 | 123 | 117 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 175 | 103 | 40 | Yes | 384 | 216 | 115 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 204 | 25 | 89 | Yes | 521 | 55 | 138 | Yes |
| | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 104 | 188 | 25 | No | 157 | 508 | 48 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 160 | 124 | 33 | Yes | 315 | 342 | 56 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 204 | 32 | 81 | Yes | 412 | 93 | 207 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 122 | 82 | 112 | Yes | 301 | 158 | 251 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 105 | 96 | 114 | Yes | 251 | 222 | 233 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 225 | 48 | 42 | Yes | 470 | 123 | 111 | Yes |
| | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 96 | 64 | 155 | Yes | 251 | 129 | 323 | Yes |
| | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 160 152 | 120 | 35 | Yes Yes | 346 | 295 315 | 73 | Yes No |

| | | SAUK (57) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|---------------|------------|------------|------|--------------------|------------|------------|------|--|
| | | | eside in t | s that inc | ty | | t of respo | eate in | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 197 71 | 49 | 38 | Yes | 2096 676 | 249 | 616 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 112 | 26 | 18 | Yes | 1007 | 225 | 289 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 84 | 49 | 22 | Yes | 874 | 271 | 359 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 97 | 31 | 27 | Yes | 937 | 285 | 277 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 69 | 57 | 29 | Yes | 562 | 488 | 434 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 67 | 48 | 40 | Yes | 595 | 391 | 491 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 79 | 40 | 36 | Yes | 653 | 376 | 448 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 103 | 9 | 43 | Yes | 868 | 102 | 493 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 72 | 16 | 66 | Yes | 717 | 143 | 598 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 92 | 14 | 48 | Yes | 836 | 84 | 535 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 45 | 58 | 70 | No | 300 | 667 | 723 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 82 | 65 | 26 | Yes | 743 | 651 | 293 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 65 | 94 | 14 | No | 676 | 722 | 285 | No | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 96 0 | 53 0 | 24 | Yes | 751 0 | 506 0 | 424 0 | Yes | |
| | gassass martinaning officer of | U | J | | l | U | U | U | | |

| | | SAUK (57) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|-----|-----------|------------|--|------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|-----------|----------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Maj. | | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | • | | | | dicated 1 | of respo | |
| No. | Question Description | | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | | | |
| | COUNTY INPUT | 197 | | | | 2096 | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 105 | 47 | 20 | Yes | 788 | 534 | 357 | Yes | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 90 | 57 | 17 | Yes | 868 | 499 | 267 | Yes | | | | | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 97 | 40 | 27 | Yes | 1057 | 354 | 212 | Yes | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 62 | 31 | 70 | Yes | 633 | 330 | 831 | Yes | | | | | | |
| . , | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 107 | 39 | 17 | Yes | 1025 | 335 | 423 | Yes | | | | | | |
| | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 96 | 54 | 12 | Yes | 1006 | 398 | 368 | Yes | | | | | | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 104 | 42 | 17 | Yes | 971 | 327 | 485 | Yes | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 99 | 33 | 30 | Yes | 1081 | 283 | 416 | Yes | | | | | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 116 | 35 | 11 | Yes | 1013 | 393 | 374 | Yes | | | | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 109 | 38 | 15 | Yes | 1005 | 407 | 364 | Yes | | | | | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 88 | 48 | 25 | Yes | 1023 | 349 | 395 | Yes | | | | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 34 | 61 | 66 | No | 454 | 680 | 633 | No | | | | | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 27 | 103 | 31 | No | 250 | 1032 | 478 | No | | | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 134 | 10 | 16 | Yes | 1548 | 82 | 129 | Yes | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 135 | 11 | 14 | Yes | 1571 | 65 | 123 | Yes | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | 0.0 | 40 | 00 | Vaa | 020 | 400 | 000 | Vaa | | | | | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 82 46 | 10 | 70 | Yes Yes | 938 569 | 128 434 | 749 | Yes Yes | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 73 | 14 | 73 | Yes | 799 | 180 | 771 | Yes | | | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 80 | 4 | 75 | Yes | 912 | 113 | 724 | Yes | | | | | | |

| | | SAUK (57) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------|----|------------|------|--|--------------------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | ine inpu ^r dicated ^r unty. | ondents eate in | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 197 | | | | 2096 | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 83 | 5 | 71 | Yes | 908 | 104 | 735 | Yes | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 84 | 24 | 51 | Yes | 936 | 191 | 620 | Yes | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 94 | 3 | 62 | Yes | 1160 | 136 | 448 | Yes | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 85 | 4 | 70 | Yes | 929 | 104 | 709 | Yes | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 91 | 6 | 62 | Yes | 1028 | 128 | 586 | Yes | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 99 | 5 | 55 | Yes | 1082 | 69 | 590 | Yes | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 65 | 24 | 70 | Yes | 892 | 231 | 617 | Yes | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 106 | 43 | 10 | Yes | 789 | 653 | 297 | Yes | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 110 | 31 | 18 | Yes | 946 | 369 | 421 | Yes | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 58 | 44 | 57 | Yes | 530 | 502 | 697 | Yes | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 64 | 51 | 44 | Yes | 588 | 524 | 614 | Yes | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 81 | 52 | 26 | Yes | 748 | 608 | 369 | Yes | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 86 | 67 | 6 | Yes | 643 | 909 | 173 | No | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 147 | 9 | 2 | Yes | 1550 | 61 | 113 | Yes | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 124 | 30 | 4 | Yes | 1398 | 194 | 132 | Yes | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 75 | 46 | 37 | Yes | 547 | 633 | 543 | No | |

| | | | | | SAU | ((57) | | | |
|----------|---|----------|----------|------------|------------|---|------|------------|------------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | All online input of re that indicated they re the county. | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 197 | | | | 2096 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 119 | 29 | 10 | Yes | 1363 | 222 | 137 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 134 | 13 | 11 | Yes | 1311 | 187 | 219 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 96 | 44 | 18 | Yes | 1167 | 344 | 203 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 129 | 7 | 22 | Yes | 1280 | 89 | 343 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 47 | 106 | 5 | No | 537 | 1040 | 130 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 74 | 72 | 12 | Yes | 888 | 642 | 177 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 114 | 10 | 34 | Yes | 1249 | 105 | 347 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 62 | 46 | 50 | Yes | 605 | 332 | 761 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 47 | 62 | 49 | No | 474 | 509 | 712 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 125 | 19 | 14 | Yes | 1264 | 186 | 243 | Yes |
| 61 62 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 54 87 | 38 64 | 66 | Yes Yes | 397 1137 | 394 | 900 | Yes Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 60 | 14 | Yes | 1071 | 468 | 149 | Yes |

| | | | SAWYER (58) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----|-------------|------------|------|------|-------------------------|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | t of respo they recr | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| | COUNTY INPUT | 344 | | | | 1873 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 161 | 30 | 76 | Yes | 841 | 339 | 390 | Yes | | |
| | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 168 | 67 | 29 | Yes | 1067 | 316 | 175 | Yes | | |
| | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 123 | 96 | 42 | Yes | 837 | 467 | 244 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 110 | 100 | 50 | Yes | 850 | 470 | 223 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 135 | 51 | 73 | Yes | 764 | 480 | 291 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 138 | 55 | 64 | Yes | 755 | 390 | 378 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 156 | 41 | 60 | Yes | 841 | 334 | 348 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 99 | 12 | 144 | Yes | 940 | 142 | 432 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 66 | 26 | 162 | Yes | 713 | 224 | 575 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 77 | 18 | 159 | Yes | 838 | 165 | 507 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 101 | 90 | 79 | Yes | 476 | 583 | 572 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 145 | 77 | 48 | Yes | 929 | 504 | 195 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 167 | 79 | 24 | Yes | 775 | 707 | 142 | Yes | | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 178 | 61 | 30 | Yes | 1010 | 427 | 187 | Yes | | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

| | | SAWYER (58) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|-------------|---|------|----------|--------------|------------|---------|--|
| | | they re | eside in t | All online input of rest that indicated they re the county the county. | | | | | eate in | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 344 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 1873 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 344 | | | | 10/3 | | | | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 180 | 56 | 32 | Yes | 974 | 476 | 171 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | - 50 | 02 | 103 | 314 | 470 | 171 | 103 | |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 100 | 130 | 21 | No | 743 | 684 | 151 | Yes | |
| , , | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 96 | 109 | 45 | No | 766 | 590 | 218 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | | |
| _ ` 1 | disabled, and military service hunters. | 91 | 98 | 82 | No | 582 | 473 | 588 | Yes | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 158 | 94 | 18 | Yes | 983 | 470 | 189 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 114 | 140 | 16 | No | 824 | 657 | 157 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 141 | 102 | 27 | Yes | 938 | 500 | 204 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | | |
| | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 137 | 77 | 56 | Yes | 964 | 373 | 304 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 187 | 54 | 28 | Yes | 1136 | 332 | 173 | Yes | |
| _ | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 201 | 44 | 23 | Yes | 1108 | 363 | 170 | Yes | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | 440 | 40 | | 70.4 | - 4 4 | 000 | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 100 | 118 | 48 | No | 794 | 541 | 300 | Yes | |
| | Antierless fund (regarding q26). | 55 | 20 | 170 | Vaa | 274 | 444 | 047 | No | |
| | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 55 | 38 | 173 | Yes | 374 | 444 | 817 | No | |
| | Wisconsin? | 49 | 141 | 74 | No | 323 | 919 | 388 | No | |
| _ | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 176 | 30 | 58 | Yes | 1291 | 146 | 191 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | 170 | - 00 | - 00 | . 00 | 1201 | 1.0 | 101 | 1.00 | |
| | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 192 | 16 | 56 | Yes | 1343 | 115 | 170 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 86 | 67 | 110 | Yes | 850 | 242 | 535 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | | | | | | | | | |
| | any one species? (440121) | 106 | 52 | 105 | Yes | 586 | 495 | 546 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | | | | | | | | |
| | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 104 | 44 | 115 | Yes | 731 | 274 | 622 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 00 | - C0 | 447 | \/- | 004 | 101 | 004 | V- | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 96 | 50 | 117 | Yes | 831 | 194 | 601 | Yes | |

| | | SAWYER (58) | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-------------|------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------|-----|------------|------|
| | | | • | s that in | t of respondents they recreate in | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 344 | | | | 1873 | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | aggregate)? | 98 | 43 | 122 | Yes | 811 | 170 | 644 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 86 | 68 | 109 | Yes | 731 | 383 | 510 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 104 | 38 | 121 | Yes | 923 | 178 | 523 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 93 | 38 | 132 | Yes | 815 | 164 | 643 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 99 | 39 | 125 | Yes | 875 | 186 | 560 | Yes |
| | | 00 | - 00 | 120 | 100 | 070 | 100 | 000 | 100 |
| | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 111 | 29 | 123 | Yes | 1025 | 171 | 425 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 96 | 25 | 141 | Yes | 721 | 219 | 681 | Yes |
| | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow | | | | | | | | |
| | passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural | | | | | | | | |
| 42 | activities? | 211 | 26 | 24 | Yes | 1116 | 380 | 125 | Yes |
| | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty | | | | | | | | |
| 43 | feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 207 | 18 | 36 | Yes | 1178 | 223 | 220 | Yes |
| | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch | | | | | | | | |
| 4.4 | size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or | 407 | 00 | 400 | | 745 | 007 | 545 | |
| 44 | November 30, whichever comes first? | 127 | 28 | 106 | Yes | 715 | 387 | 515 | Yes |
| | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 142 | 36 | 82 | Yes | 795 | 416 | 405 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals | | 50 | 45 | | 000 | 400 | 000 | |
| 46 | (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 156 | 59 | 45 | Yes | 896 | 486 | 232 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 221 | 30 | 9 | Yes | 1064 | 470 | 80 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 215 | 23 | 22 | Yes | 1423 | 118 | 70 | Yes |
| 1.5 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work | | | | 100 | . 120 | | , , | . 33 |
| | with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an | | | | | | | | |
| 49 | in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 190 | 47 | 23 | Yes | 1211 | 315 | 85 | Yes |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 169 | 51 | 40 | Yes | 824 | 479 | 308 | Yes |

| _ | | SAWYER (58) | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------|-----|-------------------------|------|------|-------------------------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ts that ind the coun | | | t of respo they recr | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 344 | | | | 1873 | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 10.7 | | - | ., | 4000 | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 195 | 38 | 27 | Yes | 1288 | 239 | 80 | Yes | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 167 | 41 | 52 | Yes | 1168 | 277 | 161 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 74 | 139 | 47 | No | 844 | 582 | 180 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 126 | 24 | 110 | Yes | 1180 | 130 | 293 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 50 | 183 | 26 | No | 418 | 1107 | 75 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 99 | 132 | 28 | No | 674 | 806 | 120 | No | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 126 | 31 | 102 | Yes | 968 | 209 | 422 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 119 | 80 | 60 | Yes | 678 | 492 | 428 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 114 | 77 | 68 | Yes | 587 | 573 | 435 | Yes | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 164 | 44 | 50 | Yes | 1140 | 263 | 190 | Yes | |
| | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted | 124 | 32 | 102 | Yes | 654 | 288 | 651 | Yes | |
| 62 | again? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife | | 201 | 16 | No | 641 | 868 | 84 | No | |
| 63 | killing contests? | 48 | 182 | 27 | No | 669 | 819 | 105 | No | |

| | | SHAWANO (59) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|----------|---|------|----------|-----|------------|---------|--|
| | | | • | ndents that indicated that indicated the the county the county. | | | | | eate in | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 529 214 | 39 | 158 | Yes | 730 | 213 | 419 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 256 | 81 | 66 | Yes | 832 | 344 | 170 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 206 | 106 | 89 | Yes | 626 | 464 | 242 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 203 | 104 | 93 | Yes | 641 | 433 | 251 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 184 | 106 | 107 | Yes | 647 | 358 | 315 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 202 | 77 | 115 | Yes | 687 | 311 | 314 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 217 | 69 | 108 | Yes | 745 | 280 | 287 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 196 | 13 | 181 | Yes | 639 | 75 | 592 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 142 | 37 | 208 | Yes | 487 | 139 | 676 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | 164 | 24 | 198 | Yes | 536 | 103 | 657 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 99 | 166 | 151 | No | 392 | 535 | 466 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 230 | 130 | 56 | Yes | 761 | 429 | 202 | Yes | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 175 | 195 | 44 | No | 744 | 506 | 138 | Yes | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 249 0 | 105 0 | 60 0 | Yes | 859 0 | 337 | 189 0 | Yes | |

| No. COUNTY INPUT | | | SHAWANO (59) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---|--------------|-----|------------|------|------------------------------|-------|------------|------|--|--|
| No. COUNTY INPUT | | | | • | | | that indicated they recreate | | | | | |
| Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit 227 114 72 yes 794 377 210 Ye Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? Do you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and solwing diseases spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Fiorence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, on the side (excluding brow tines) in Fiorence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, and under, on the side (excluding brow tines) in Fiorence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, and the properties of the past of the p | No | | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| 16 during the 24-hour period prior to the firearm deer season? Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? 181 171 51 76 607 559 184 72 73 74 75 74 75 74 75 75 75 | | | | | | | 1733 | | | | | |
| Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | | | | 444 | 70 | | 70.4 | 077 | 040 | | | |
| 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? 20 you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? 207 130 66 Yes 641 480 224 Ye 207 140 Yes 641 480 224 Ye 207 140 Yes 641 480 224 Ye 207 140 Yes 641 480 24 Yes 94 | | · | | 114 | 72 | Yes | 794 | 3// | 210 | Yes | | |
| Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antier point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 18/WCC disabled, and military service hunters. Would you support returning in person deer registration stations? Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) Would you support the Issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer on county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? Would you support making youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? Would you support a realiccation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling decrearcas disposas? Antierless fund (regarding q28). Support \$4 = 10 Not support the INC to carcass tagging current system] Antierless fund (regarding q28). Wisconsin? Would you support a dealitional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that would you support the NR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that would you support the DNR continuing | | | | 171 | 5 1 | Voc | 607 | 550 | 101 | Voc | | |
| incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? Would you support the creation of a three-year antier point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 18/WCC dislated, and military service hunters. Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) Would you support the Issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? Would you support a reallocation of antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? Antierless fund (regarding q26). Isupport S8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Antierless fund (regarding q26). Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support changing the panifish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panifish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit to panifish of any species would align with other and a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the panifish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag li | _ ` | | 101 | 171 | 31 | 165 | 007 | 559 | 104 | 168 | | |
| ## effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? 207 130 68 Yes 641 480 224 Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 135 140 166 No 545 437 464 Ye 20 Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 240 138 61 Yes 845 414 184 Ye 21 Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 210 170 59 Yes 661 604 175 Ye Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 239 121 79 Yes 781 430 232 Ye Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the 24 day after season closes? 280 84 74 Yes 945 268 225 Ye Would you support making youth antiferiess permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 263 103 70 Yes 969 280 188 Ye Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling 26 deer carcass disposal? 25 Antierless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] 116 126 191 No 307 287 836 Ye Would you support and ditional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? 340 26 73 Yes 108 129 227 Ye Would you support changing the maily panish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panish of any species would align with other reduced panish limits in the state proposed by the panish management leam. 400 Would you support changing the faily panish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of 2 any one species? (440121) 400 panish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panish of any species would align with other reduced panish limits in the state proposed by the panish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of 3 any one species? (440121) 48 panish of any species would align with other 100 panish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a | | , , | 207 | 130 | 66 | Yes | 641 | 480 | 224 | Yes | | |
| on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. 135 140 166 No 545 437 464 Ye 20 Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 240 138 61 Yes 845 414 184 Ye 21 Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 210 170 59 Yes 661 604 175 Ye 22 Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 239 121 79 Yes 760 327 352 Ye Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer 23 in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 235 96 107 Yes 760 327 352 Ye Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the 24 42 42 42 42 42 42 4 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 240 138 61 Yes 845 414 184 Yes 21 Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 210 170 59 Yes 661 604 175 Yes 22 Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 239 121 79 Yes 781 430 232 Yes 232 Yes Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 235 96 107 Yes 760 327 352 Yes 240 Yes 352 Yes 253 Yes 254 Yes 254 Yes 255 Would you support making youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 263 103 70 Yes 969 280 188 Yes 255 Yes 256 Yes | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 22 Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 239 121 79 Yes 781 430 232 Ye Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 23 in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? Would you support making youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 25 Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? Antierless fund (regarding q26). [Support \$8 fee] support \$10 fee] Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Villas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit of one fish? | 19(WCC) | disabled, and military service hunters. | 135 | 140 | 166 | No | 545 | 437 | 464 | Yes | | |
| Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? Would you support awaing youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? Antierless fund (regarding q26). Yes 567 513 350 Yes 40 you support and (regarding q26). Yes 567 513 350 | 20 | | 240 | 138 | 61 | Yes | 845 | 414 | 184 | Yes | | |
| Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? Antlerless fund (regarding q26). Yes 969 280 188 Yes 117 81 Yes 567 513 350 Yes 117 81 Yes 567 513 350 Yes 118 Yes 11 | 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 210 | 170 | 59 | Yes | 661 | 604 | 175 | Yes | | |
| in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? Would you support making youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? Antierless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other Would you support changing the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 22 | | 239 | 121 | 79 | Yes | 781 | 430 | 232 | Yes | | |
| Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? Would you support making youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? Antierless fund (regarding q26). Isupport \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other would you support thanging the fail panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 linches with a daily bag limit of one panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | | |
| day after season closes? Would you support making youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? Antierless fund (regarding q26). Isupport \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? Wisconsin? Would you support daditional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the parifish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 23 | • | 235 | 96 | 107 | Yes | 760 | 327 | 352 | Yes | | |
| 25 Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 263 103 70 Yes 969 280 188 Yes Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? 235 117 81 Yes 567 513 350 Yes 350 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of neafish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | • | | | | | | | | Yes | | |
| deer carcass disposal? Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$6 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? Wisconsin? Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | 103 | 70 | Yes | 969 | 280 | 188 | Yes | | |
| Antlerless fund (regarding q26). 27 [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? 116 126 191 No 307 287 836 Ye 130 280 697 449 No 324 26 73 Yes 1068 129 227 Ye 349 449 No 340 19 68 Yes 1124 87 213 Ye Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit of any species would align with other Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | , , , , | | 447 | 01 | Vaa | F67 | E40 | 250 | Vaa | | |
| [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? In the state proposed by the panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 20 | • | 235 | 117 | 01 | res | 567 | 513 | 350 | res | | |
| Wisconsin? Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 27 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 116 | 126 | 191 | No | 307 | 287 | 836 | Yes | | |
| Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | | | |
| Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 28 | Wisconsin? | 87 | 215 | 131 | No | 280 | 697 | 449 | No | | |
| 30 everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other 31 (WCC reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 334 | 26 | 73 | Yes | 1068 | 129 | 227 | Yes | | |
| Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other 31 (WCC reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other 31 (WCC reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | _ | · | 346 | 19 | 68 | Yes | 1124 | 87 | 213 | Yes | | |
| 31 (WCC reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | 407 | 50 | 477 | | 040 | 0.5.7 | 540 | | | |
| 32 any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | B1 (WCC | | 197 | 59 | 1// | Yes | 616 | 257 | 546 | Yes | | |
| Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 32 | | 162 | 97 | 174 | Yes | 567 | 304 | 548 | Yes | | |
| inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 171 | 59 | 203 | Yes | 572 | 238 | 608 | Yes | | |
| ■ 34 laggregate) / ■ 202 36 194 Yes ■ 653 198 567 Ye | 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 202 | 36 | 194 | Yes | 653 | 198 | 567 | Yes | | |

| | | | | S | HAWA | WANO (59) | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|--|------------|------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | I that indicated they re | | | | | | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 529 | | | | 1733 | | | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 200 | 34 | 198 | Voc | 628 | 173 | 617 | Voc | | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 189 | 79 | 164 | Yes Yes | 538 | 340 | 540 | Yes Yes | | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 228 | 37 | 167 | Yes | 692 | 173 | 551 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | 220 | 07 | 107 | 100 | 002 | 170 | 001 | 103 | | | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 203 | 32 | 196 | Yes | 616 | 161 | 638 | Yes | | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 235 | 49 | 147 | Yes | 682 | 199 | 534 | Yes | | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 235 | 32 | 163 | Yes | 725 | 137 | 550 | Yes | | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 179 | 30 | 221 | Yes | 579 | 143 | 689 | Yes | | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 280 | 92 | 58 | Yes | 1008 | 234 | 168 | Yes | | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 287 | 51 | 91 | Yes | 969 | 165 | 275 | Yes | | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 159 | 68 | 201 | Yes | 558 | 232 | 618 | Yes | | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 192 | 81 | 152 | Yes | 602 | 256 | 548 | Yes | | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 212 | 129 | 84 | Yes | 756 | 342 | 305 | Yes | | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 294 | 87 | 43 | Yes | 973 | 294 | 133 | Yes | | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 370 | 27 | 24 | Yes | 1197 | 79 | 122 | Yes | | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 326 | 70 | 25 | Yes | 1020 | 248 | 130 | Yes | | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 191 | 116 | 114 | Yes | 725 | 349 | 322 | Yes | | | | |

| | | SHAWANO (59) | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--------------|-----|------------------------|----------|------|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| | | | • | ts that in the coun | | | t of respo they recr | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Мај. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 529 | | | | 1733 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 317 | 64 | 39 | Yes | 1097 | 176 | 122 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 291 | 54 | 74 | Yes | 881 | 213 | 299 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 217 | 131 | 71 | Yes | 621 | 482 | 289 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 281 | 21 | 115 | Yes | 806 | 107 | 479 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 105 | 291 | 21 | No | 263 | 1036 | 90 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 207 | 177 | 33 | Yes | 648 | 642 | 99 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 236 | 39 | 141 | Yes | 695 | 155 | 531 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 166 | 119 | 131 | Yes | 564 | 383 | 432 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 136 | 140 | 140 | No | 487 | 442 | 448 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 295 | 55 | 65 | Yes | 924 | 225 | 224 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted | 145 | 72 | 197 | Yes | 513 | 230 | 626 | Yes |
| 62 63 | again? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 153 | 191 | 50 | No No | 424 | 748 | 196 192 | No No |

| | | SHEBOYGAN (60) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------|---------|------------|------|--|----------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | ty | All online input of responsible that indicated they recreate the county. | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 325 140 | 31 | 80 | Yes | 1666 641 | 189 | 465 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 160 | 52 | 38 | Yes | 835 | 235 | 202 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 142 | 56 | 49 | Yes | 674 | 302 | 281 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 119 | 83 | 44 | Yes | 699 | 299 | 253 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 110 | 62 | 67 | Yes | 534 | 368 | 337 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 127 | 42 | 69 | Yes | 552 | 321 | 357 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 140 | 34 | 64 | Yes | 601 | 299 | 330 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 182 | 28 | 25 | Yes | 629 | 87 | 498 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam | 120 | 47 | 67 | Yes | 501 | 143 | 564 | Yes | |
| 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | 145 | 39 | 49 | Yes | 597 | 79 | 528 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 93 | 93 | 79 | | 305 | 495 | 513 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 162 | 77 | 26 | Yes | 656 | 482 | 174 | Yes | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 139 | 96 | 30 | Yes | 548 | 602 | 160 | No | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 169 0 | 59 0 | 36 0 | Yes | 709 0 | 380 0 | 221 0 | Yes | |

| | | SHEBOYGAN (60) | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----------------|----------|------------|------|-------------------|------------|------------|------|--|
| | | they re | eside in | ts that in | ty | that in the co | t of respo | eate in | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | COUNTY INPUT | 325 | | | | 1666 | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 166 | 59 | 39 | Yes | 667 | 389 | 251 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 104 | 115 | 32 | No | 642 | 450 | 198 | Yes | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 125 | 92 | 34 | Yes | 697 | 363 | 227 | Yes | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 71 | 61 | 132 | Yes | 521 | 360 | 547 | Yes | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 139 | 88 | 37 | Yes | 834 | 323 | 267 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 111 | 114 | 38 | No | 724 | 442 | 255 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 126 | 89 | 49 | Yes | 757 | 332 | 335 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 136 | 63 | 65 | Yes | 772 | 269 | 377 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | |
| | day after season closes? | 182 | 48 | 34 | Yes | 827 | 313 | 277 | Yes | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 182 | 51 | 31 | Yes | 808 | 342 | 265 | Yes | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 118 | 97 | 49 | Yes | 723 | 345 | 338 | Yes | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 69 | 55 | 140 | Yes | 342 | 449 | 615 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 52 | 110 | 101 | No | 251 | 701 | 449 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 203 | 24 | 36 | Yes | 1124 | 84 | 191 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 217 | 16 | 30 | Yes | 1153 | 70 | 176 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 143 | 26 | 94 | Yes | 692 | 157 | 547 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 89 | 70 | 104 | Yes | 486 | 340 | 570 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 97 | 40 | 126 | Yes | 595 | 188 | 613 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 116 | 17 | 130 | Yes | 703 | 110 | 581 | Yes | |

| | | | • | ts that indicated the county All online input of reconstruction that indicated they the county. | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|--|------|------|-----|------------|------|
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 325 | | | | 1666 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 120 | 17 | 126 | Yes | 685 | 104 | 604 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 100 | 48 | 114 | Yes | 657 | 242 | 494 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 128 | 22 | 112 | Yes | 785 | 115 | 493 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 118 | 17 | 127 | Yes | 696 | 106 | 586 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 128 | 19 | 114 | Yes | 788 | 140 | 459 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 152 | 20 | 89 | Yes | 793 | 88 | 503 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 104 | 29 | 128 | Yes | 621 | 150 | 612 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 187 | 41 | 33 | Yes | 818 | 370 | 195 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 180 | 35 | 45 | Yes | 835 | 232 | 315 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 106 | 47 | 107 | Yes | 483 | 287 | 609 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 128 | 49 | 82 | Yes | 520 | 350 | 504 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 150 | 58 | 51 | Yes | 657 | 414 | 302 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 174 | 64 | 21 | Yes | 746 | 462 | 162 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 221 | 21 | 16 | Yes | 1197 | 65 | 103 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 194 | 48 | 16 | Yes | 1075 | 175 | 115 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 132 | 61 | 65 | Yes | 529 | 419 | 416 | Yes |

| | | SHEBOYGAN (60) | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|----------------|----------------------|-----------|------|------|------------|---------------------|--------|
| | | | spondent eside in | | | | t of respo | ondents reate in | |
| - | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | r Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 325 | | | | 1666 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 040 | 07 | 40 | V | 4004 | 005 | 404 | V |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 213 | 27 | 18 | Yes | 1024 | 205 | 134 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 164 | 50 | 44 | Yes | 939 | 189 | 229 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 117 | 88 | 53 | Yes | 742 | 374 | 240 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 188 | 13 | 56 | Yes | 899 | 89 | 364 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 59 | 184 | 14 | No | 337 | 940 | 75 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 108 | 127 | 22 | No | 675 | 572 | 105 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 139 | 27 | 90 | Yes | 825 | 113 | 413 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 124 | 49 | 82 | Yes | 492 | 334 | 524 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 98 | 75 | 80 | Yes | 418 | 432 | 497 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 161 | 46 | 45 | Yes | 939 | 196 | 210 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 96 | 40 | 116 | Yes | 398 | 307 | 637 | Yes |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 102 | 127 | 23 | No | 626 | 543 | 170 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 87 | 137 | 28 | No | 640 | 515 | 182 | Yes |

| | | TAYLOR (61) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------------|------------|------------|------|-------------------|----------------|------------|---------|--|
| | | they re | eside in t | s that inc | ty | that in the co | dicated tunty. | t of respo | eate in | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 318 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 1094 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 129 | 34 | 88 | Yes | 469 | 140 | 270 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 143 | 67 | 37 | Yes | 529 | 224 | 118 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 83 | 115 | 49 | No | 363 | 343 | 160 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 86 | 118 | 42 | No | 382 | 336 | 146 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 131 | 50 | 65 | Yes | 433 | 227 | 199 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 138 | 39 | 65 | Yes | 447 | 167 | 239 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 144 | 32 | 66 | Yes | 491 | 143 | 219 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 120 | 23 | 98 | Yes | 476 | 69 | 307 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 71 | 63 | 106 | Yes | 326 | 160 | 364 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 73 | 48 | 118 | Yes | 351 | 123 | 374 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 123 | 87 | 51 | Yes | 341 | 328 | 261 | Yes | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 158 | 70 | 33 | Yes | 531 | 287 | 109 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 162 | 85 | 14 | Yes | 499 | 361 | 67 | Yes | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 190 | 57 | 14 | Yes | 649 | 202 | 74 | Yes | |
| 15 | question madvertaintly offitted on survey | 0 | 0 | U | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

| | | | TAYLOR (61) All online input of respondents that indicated reside in the county No No Opinior Maj. Yes No No Opinior Maj. 1094 65 32 Yes 568 254 101 Yes 136 22 No 377 433 92 No 150 39 No 367 393 139 No | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|------------|--|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|-----|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Ougstion Description | they re | eside in t | the coun | ity | that indicated they recre | | | reate in | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 318 | No | No Opinio | мај. | | No | No Opinio | Maj. | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 010 | | | | 1004 | | | | | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 164 | 65 | 32 | Yes | 568 | 254 | 101 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | <u> </u> | 1 | | | | | | |
| | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 98 | 136 | 22 | No | 377 | 433 | 92 | No | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | | |
| | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 64 | 150 | 39 | No | 367 | 393 | 139 | No | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | 0.5 | 400 | 00 | ١ | 000 | 004 | 004 | | | |
| ` 1 | disabled, and military service hunters. | 85 | 100 | 93 | No | 326 | 324 | 321 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 151 106 | 111 157 | 16 15 | Yes No | 575 435 | 305 | 90 89 | Yes No | | |
| | | 106 | 157 | 15 | INO | 435 | 444 | 09 | NO | | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 128 | 122 | 28 | Yes | 502 | 348 | 120 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer | | | | | | | | | | |
| | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 118 | 89 | 70 | Yes | 527 | 227 | 213 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 004 | 50 | 00 | | 000 | 470 | 400 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | |
| | day after season closes? Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 204 | 50 | 23 | Yes | 686 | 178 | 103 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support making youth antierless permits valid on any land type—either public of private? Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | 195 | 66 | 16 | Yes | 681 | 197 | 89 | Yes | | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 64 | 155 | 57 | No | 329 | 422 | 214 | No | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | 04 | 133 | 31 | 110 | 329 | 422 | 214 | INO | | |
| | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 38 | 27 | 211 | Yes | 181 | 157 | 627 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | 1 30 | | | 92. | 1.00 | | |
| | Wisconsin? | 89 | 99 | 88 | No | 263 | 433 | 266 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 171 | 41 | 63 | Yes | 676 | 116 | 167 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | | | | | | | |
| | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 179 | 34 | 62 | Yes | 705 | 88 | 166 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | 4.5 | | | | | | | | | |
| _ ` | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 139 | 54 | 82 | Yes | 482 | 167 | 310 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 124 | 61 | 90 | Voc | 440 | 224 | 245 | Voc | | |
| | any one species? (440121) Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | 134 | 61 | 80 | Yes | 410 | 234 | 315 | Yes | | |
| | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 71 | 56 | 148 | Yes | 314 | 199 | 446 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | , , | 30 | 140 | 103 | 314 | 100 | 170 | 103 | | |
| | aggregate)? | 118 | 43 | 114 | Yes | 458 | 155 | 346 | Yes | | |
| <u> </u> | 30 | 110 | .0 | | 1 .00 | 100 | .00 | | . 55 | | |

| | | TAYLOR (61) | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|--|------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | All online input of respon- that indicated they recrea the county. | | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 318 | | | | 1094 | | | | | |
| 0.5 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | 00 | 00 | 400 | | 440 | 400 | 440 | \ \ \ | | |
| 35 36 | aggregate)? Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 98 56 | 38 88 | 139 130 | Yes No | 410 330 | 133 230 | 416 398 | Yes Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 101 | 34 | 137 | Yes | 444 | 120 | 392 | Yes | | |
| - 01 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | 101 | <u> </u> | 107 | 103 | 777 | 120 | 092 | 103 | | |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 88 | 33 | 151 | Yes | 388 | 125 | 443 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 94 | 32 | 145 | Yes | 424 | 126 | 405 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 135 | 32 | 104 | Yes | 530 | 112 | 313 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 71 | 47 | 153 | Yes | 358 | 135 | 460 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 225 | 28 | 18 | Yes | 737 | 145 | 70 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 218 | 11 | 42 | Yes | 738 | 76 | 136 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 108 | 66 | 97 | Yes | 389 | 212 | 347 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 130 | 52 | 89 | Yes | 459 | 208 | 280 | Yes | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 148 | 70 | 52 | Yes | 526 | 253 | 163 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 232 | 28 | 9 | Yes | 719 | 167 | 54 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 222 | 25 | 21 | Yes | 802 | 79 | 56 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 178 | 64 | 26 | Yes | 659 | 200 | 78 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 170 | 52 | 46 | Yes | 526 | 222 | 189 | Yes | | |

| | | | | 56 No 379 399 156 No 106 Yes 549 109 275 Yes 17 No 168 718 47 No 20 No 368 501 64 No 119 Yes 451 159 322 Yes | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---------|-----|--|------|---|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | | | that indicated they recreate in the county. | | | | | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 318 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | No | No Opinior | Мај. | | |
| | | 310 | | | | 1094 | | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 212 | 29 | 27 | Yes | 753 | 113 | 70 | Yes | | |
| | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 132 | 74 | 62 | Yes | 534 | 228 | 174 | Yes | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 81 | 130 | 56 | No | 379 | 399 | 156 | No | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 112 | 49 | 106 | Yes | 549 | 109 | 275 | Yes | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 31 | 219 | 17 | No | 168 | 718 | 47 | No | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 85 | 162 | 20 | No | 368 | 501 | 64 | No | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 91 | 56 | 119 | Yes | 451 | 159 | 322 | Yes | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 100 | 91 | 75 | Yes | 381 | 296 | 255 | Yes | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 95 | 98 | 72 | No | 323 | 331 | 275 | No | | |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 132 | 62 | 71 | Yes | 564 | 193 | 172 | Yes | | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 114 | 26 | 124 | Yes | 373 | 125 | 430 | Yes | | |
| 62 | Would you support the legalization of size 1. Shot for wateriow naturing in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 40 | 205 | 18 | No | 259 | 609 | 57 | No | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 198 | 23 | No | 253 | 596 | 76 | No | | |

| | | | TREMPEALEAU (62) espondents that indicated reside in the county No No Opinior Maj. Yes No No Opinior Maj. 1017 11 41 Yes 428 130 247 Yes | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---------------|---|------------|------|----------|----------|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | | | that in | | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 160 75 | 11 | 41 | Yes | | 130 | 247 | Yes | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 87 | 19 | 19 | Yes | 541 | 150 | 107 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that | 64 | 35 | 24 | Yes | 444 | 195 | 154 | Yes | | |
| 4 | could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 62 | 49 | 12 | Yes | 444 | 228 | 117 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 60 | 38 | 24 | Yes | 372 | 249 | 161 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 70 | 24 | 28 | Yes | 357 | 219 | 199 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 75 | 22 | 25 | Yes | 397 | 201 | 177 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 92 | 3 | 27 | Yes | 494 | 72 | 201 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam | 64 | 24 | 34 | Yes | 392 | 123 | 249 | Yes | | |
| 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | 59 | 16 | 47 | Yes | 435 | 71 | 258 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 51 | 41 | 37 | Yes | 213 | 303 | 318 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 73 | 38 | 18 | Yes | 427 | 302 | 104 | Yes | | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 57 | 63 | 9 | No | 363 | 385 | 84 | No | | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 80 0 | 28 0 | 21 0 | Yes | 454 0 | 223 0 | 154 0 | Yes | | |

| | | | • | | | that in | • | | |
|-----|---|-----|----|---|-----|---------|-----|------------|------|
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No No Opinior Maj. 32 14 Yes 60 14 No 51 20 Yes 41 63 No 39 17 Yes 64 13 No 44 18 Yes 32 28 Yes 31 15 Yes 26 12 Yes 46 21 Yes 47 13 Yes 48 49 No 49 17 No 40 18 Yes 48 40 18 Yes 49 18 Yes 40 19 Yes 40 19 Yes 41 18 Yes 42 No 43 18 Yes 44 18 Yes 45 18 75 No 46 18 Yes 47 18 Yes 48 18 Yes 48 18 Yes | | | No | No Opinior | Мај. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 160 | | | | 1017 | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 83 | 32 | 14 | Yes | 430 | 254 | 144 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 55 | 60 | 14 | No | 397 | 311 | 116 | Yes |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 57 | 51 | 20 | Yes | 455 | 249 | 114 | Yes |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 34 | 41 | 63 | No | 324 | 210 | 353 | Yes |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 82 | 39 | 17 | Yes | 531 | 199 | 154 | Yes |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 61 | 64 | 13 | No | 463 | 286 | 132 | Yes |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 76 | 44 | 18 | Yes | 501 | 203 | 180 | Yes |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 78 | 32 | 28 | Yes | 523 | 172 | 184 | Yes |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 92 | 31 | 15 | Yes | 549 | 184 | 146 | Yes |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 99 | 26 | 12 | Yes | 557 | 191 | 130 | Yes |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 69 | 46 | 21 | Yes | 447 | 243 | 183 | Yes |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 30 | 31 | 75 | No | 199 | 254 | 420 | No |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 28 | 64 | 42 | No | 147 | 488 | 232 | No |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 95 | 20 | 19 | Yes | 720 | 63 | 80 | Yes |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 104 | 17 | 13 | Yes | 739 | 51 | 73 | Yes |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 49 | 18 | 66 | Yes | 440 | 85 | 335 | Yes |
| , | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 34 | | | | | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 41 | 18 | 73 | Yes | 367 | 121 | 371 | Yes |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 53 | 13 | 66 | Yes | 437 | 72 | 350 | Yes |

| | | | | TRE | MPEA | LEAU | (62) | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------|------|------|---------------------|-----------|--------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | ondents reate in | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | r Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 160 | | | | 1017 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 48 | 16 | 68 | Yes | 421 | 68 | 370 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 46 | 32 | 54 | Yes | 392 | 169 | 298 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 54 | 14 | 64 | Yes | 481 | 71 | 307 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 49 | 16 | 66 | Yes | 435 | 65 | 358 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 54 | 15 | 62 | Yes | 459 | 76 | 323 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 81 | 11 | 39 | Yes | 529 | 77 | 252 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 45 | 24 | 61 | Yes | 380 | 144 | 333 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 97 | 26 | 7 | Yes | 498 | 254 | 105 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 94 | 13 | 22 | Yes | 534 | 152 | 169 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 56 | 30 | 43 | Yes | 335 | 204 | 315 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 64 | 24 | 41 | Yes | 348 | 239 | 267 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 83 | 26 | 20 | Yes | 433 | 268 | 152 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 92 | 26 | 11 | Yes | 453 | 318 | 81 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 114 | 10 | 5 | Yes | 753 | 44 | 54 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 93 | 30 | 6 | Yes | 666 | 118 | 67 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 61 | 44 | 24 | Yes | 351 | 289 | 211 | Yes |

| | | | TREMPEALEAU (62) All online input of respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in the county. No No Opinior Maj. Yes No No Opinior Maj. 1017 22 10 Yes 661 131 57 Yes 25 19 Yes 611 120 117 Yes | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----|--|-----------|-----------|------------|-----|------------|------------|--|
| | | | • | | | that in | • | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 110. | COUNTY INPUT | 160 | | | | 1017 | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 97 | 22 | 10 | Yes | 661 | 131 | 57 | Yes | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 85 | 25 | 19 | Yes | 611 | 120 | 117 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 59 | 49 | 20 | Yes | 492 | 242 | 113 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 91 | 10 | 27 | Yes | 640 | 57 | 149 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 38 | 82 | 8 | No | 227 | 573 | 45 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 46 | 69 | 13 | No | 384 | 384 | 77 | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 67 | 26 | 35 | Yes | 551 | 89 | 203 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 48 | 50 | 30 | No | 328 | 227 | 287 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 44 | 56 | 28 | No | 261 | 292 | 288 | No | |
| 00 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | 00 | 00 | \ \ \ | 505 | 400 | 110 | V | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 85 | 23 | 20 54 | Yes | 595 | 128 | 116 | Yes | |
| 61 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 35 | 76 | 16 | Yes No | 270 414 | 332 | 389 88 | Yes Yes | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 79 | 14 | No | 417 | 339 | 77 | Yes | |

VERNON (63) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** Yes No Opinior Maj. Yes No No Opinior Maj. No. **COUNTY INPUT** 161 1032 Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and 1 (FH) extending to December 31 in open water only? 62 24 137 274 40 Yes 411 Yes Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. 73 28 21 538 162 Yes 111 Yes Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). 57 39 195 26 Yes 467 149 Yes Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? 4 63 39 20 Yes 489 202 119 Yes Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? 27 5 66 29 373 233 201 Yes Yes Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. 56 30 363 216 228 6 36 Yes Yes Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? 67 21 34 Yes 408 186 213 Yes Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? 76 13 32 Yes 503 57 242 Yes Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? 65 15 424 90 287 41 Yes Yes Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? 61 13 47 Yes 441 65 293 10 Yes Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM)|[\$8 increase | \$4 increase | no fee increase] 50 40 47 217 333 339 Yes No Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? 75 40 22 321 120 Yes 446 Yes Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? 55 71 83 13 11 No 394 410 No Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? 90 Yes 35 12 501 134 14 251 Yes question inadvertantly omitted on survey 0 0 0 0

| | | | | 1032 1032 1032 118 Yes 3 12 Yes 415 347 106 Yes 9 23 Yes 496 269 102 Yes 9 46 No 320 246 336 Yes 7 13 Yes 561 221 116 Yes 8 12 Yes 497 282 118 Yes 1 23 Yes 537 209 152 Yes 1 23 Yes 551 186 159 Yes 8 20 Yes 557 197 142 Yes 5 13 Yes 581 199 116 Yes 0 18 Yes 465 267 160 Yes 3 78 Yes 190 271 431 No 5 33 No 130 544 215 No | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----|----|--|------|---------|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | | | that in | • | | | | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 161 | | | | 1032 | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 86 | 42 | 9 | Yes | 483 | 282 | 118 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 65 | 63 | 12 | Yes | 415 | 347 | 106 | Yes | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 68 | 49 | 23 | Yes | 496 | 269 | 102 | Yes | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 43 | 49 | 46 | No | 320 | 246 | 336 | Yes | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 88 | 37 | 13 | Yes | 561 | 221 | 116 | Yes | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 88 | 38 | 12 | Yes | 497 | 282 | 118 | Yes | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 89 | 32 | 17 | Yes | 537 | 209 | 152 | Yes | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 84 | 31 | 23 | Yes | 551 | 186 | 159 | Yes | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 79 | 38 | 20 | Yes | 557 | 197 | 142 | Yes | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 99 | 25 | 13 | Yes | 581 | 199 | 116 | Yes | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 67 | 50 | 18 | Yes | 465 | 267 | 160 | Yes | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 34 | 23 | 78 | Yes | 190 | 271 | 431 | No | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 26 | 75 | 33 | No | 130 | 544 | 215 | No | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 105 | 15 | 14 | Yes | 736 | 65 | 86 | Yes | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 111 | 10 | 13 | Yes | 756 | 51 | 80 | Yes | | |
| 31 (WCC | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 46 | 24 | 63 | Yes | 427 | 103 | 353 | Yes | | |
| · | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 44 | 26 | 63 | Yes | 287 | 227 | 369 | Yes | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 48 | 27 | 58 | Yes | 385 | 134 | 363 | Yes | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 51 | 17 | 65 | Yes | 447 | 85 | 350 | Yes | | |

| | | | | 1 | VERNO | ON (63 |) | | |
|-----|--|-----|----|------------|-------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | ondents reate in | | |
| _ | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 161 | | | | 1032 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 47 | 17 | 69 | Yes | 438 | 81 | 362 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 63 | 24 | 46 | Yes | 454 | 152 | 274 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 60 | 18 | 55 | Yes | 529 | 89 | 262 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 49 | 19 | 65 | Yes | 441 | 77 | 361 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 51 | 20 | 62 | Yes | 483 | 89 | 306 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 63 | 16 | 54 | Yes | 531 | 65 | 282 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 58 | 24 | 51 | Yes | 431 | 129 | 317 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 87 | 36 | 10 | Yes | 507 | 266 | 104 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 100 | 16 | 17 | Yes | 585 | 153 | 139 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 49 | 43 | 40 | Yes | 349 | 214 | 313 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 72 | 28 | 32 | Yes | 370 | 247 | 259 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 72 | 39 | 20 | Yes | 455 | 279 | 141 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 85 | 39 | 7 | Yes | 472 | 334 | 69 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 113 | 8 | 8 | Yes | 786 | 34 | 52 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 96 | 20 | 13 | Yes | 692 | 114 | 66 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 66 | 39 | 24 | Yes | 358 | 303 | 210 | Yes |

| | | | | 6 16 Yes 684 125 62 Yes | | | | | | |
|----------|---|----------|----|-------------------------|-----------|------------|---------|------------|------------|--|
| | | | • | | | that in | dicated | • | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| NO. | COUNTY INPUT | 161 | | | | 1032 | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 97 | 16 | 16 | Yes | 684 | 125 | 62 | Yes | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 86 | 28 | 15 | Yes | 641 | 125 | 105 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 55 | 61 | 13 | No | 488 | 273 | 109 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 82 | 14 | 33 | Yes | 623 | 61 | 186 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 37 | 75 | 17 | No | 259 | 550 | 60 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 51 | 62 | 16 | No | 415 | 376 | 78 | Yes | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 71 | 20 | 38 | Yes | 576 | 90 | 202 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 64 | 35 | 30 | Yes | 350 | 230 | 288 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 47 | 45 | 37 | Yes | 285 | 307 | 275 | No | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 75 | 27 | 27 | Yes | 604 | 140 | 122 | Yes | |
| 61 62 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 55 39 | 75 | 54 15 | Yes No | 299 408 | 368 | 386 88 | Yes Yes | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 81 | 9 | No | 421 | 377 | 66 | Yes | |

| | | VILAS (64) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|------------|----------|------------|------|---------------------|------------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | s that inc | | | t of respo | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 235 | 127 | 139 | Yes | 4114 1704 | 824 | 769 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 369 | 58 | 74 | Yes | 2369 | 548 | 348 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 278 | 99 | 120 | Yes | 1940 | 743 | 556 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 303 | 94 | 100 | Yes | 1945 | 772 | 513 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 178 | 186 | 133 | No | 1426 | 1082 | 705 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 177 | 117 | 198 | Yes | 1477 | 766 | 950 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 193 | 109 | 190 | Yes | 1616 | 703 | 874 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 246 | 26 | 217 | Yes | 1775 | 175 | 1230 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam | 180 | 34 | 274 | Yes | 1401 | 281 | 1487 | Yes | |
| 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | 231 | 18 | 238 | Yes | 1664 | 183 | 1317 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 84 | 196 | 213 | No | 702 | 1234 | 1341 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 238 | 151 | 101 | Yes | 1647 | 1106 | 517 | Yes | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 222 | 180 | 88 | Yes | 1487 | 1335 | 443 | Yes | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 229 0 | 132 0 | 128 0 | Yes | 1778 0 | 868 | 615 0 | Yes | |

| | | VILAS (64) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|------------|------|------------|---|------|------|------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | | | • | s that inc | hat indicated that indicated they recreated the county. | | | | | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | COUNTY INPUT | 665 | | | | 4114 | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | | 4=0 | | | | | | | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 214 | 158 | 117 | Yes | 1695 | 969 | 591 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | 400 | 440 | V | 4005 | 4000 | 540 | | |
| ` ′ | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 242 | 130 | 110 | Yes | 1625 | 1068 | 512 | Yes | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | I | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 275 | 109 | 96 | Yes | 1803 | 876 | 509 | Yes | |
| 10 | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | 213 | 109 | 90 | 165 | 1003 | 070 | 509 | 165 | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | | | | | | | | I | |
| | disabled, and military service hunters. | 183 | 102 | 235 | Yes | 1326 | 764 | 1367 | Yes | |
| ` 1 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 297 | 101 | 120 | Yes | 2047 | 800 | 598 | Yes | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 272 | 128 | 115 | Yes | 1786 | 1059 | 586 | Yes | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 285 | 96 | 137 | Yes | 1916 | 819 | 710 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 286 | 61 | 171 | Yes | 2020 | 554 | 867 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | day after season closes? | 269 | 131 | 118 | Yes | 2092 | 748 | 599 | Yes | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 252 | 149 | 117 | Yes | 2012 | 847 | 578 | Yes | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 249 | 103 | 163 | Yes | 1732 | 857 | 832 | Yes | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 131 | 166 | 218 | No | 793 | 1096 | 1532 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | | | | | | | | l | |
| | Wisconsin? | 74 | 289 | 150 | No | 537 | 1911 | 967 | No | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 417 | 23 | 70 | Yes | 2788 | 214 | 407 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 420 | 20 | 60 | Vaa | 2055 | 100 | 274 | Vas | |
| | · | 430 | 20 | 60 | Yes | 2855 | 180 | 374 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | |
| | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 302 | 56 | 152 | Yes | 2023 | 445 | 937 | Yes | |
| J1 (VV OO | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 002 | - 50 | 102 | 103 | 2020 | 770 | 301 | 103 | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 172 | 175 | 163 | No | 1330 | 1084 | 991 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 | | | | | | | | | |
| 33 | inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 278 | 106 | 125 | Yes | 1921 | 544 | 936 | Yes | |
| 0.4 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 070 | 0.5 | 000 | V | 4077 | 007 | 4407 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | |
| 34 | aggregate)? | 272 | 35 | 202 | Yes | 1977 | 297 | 1127 | Yes | |

| | | VILAS (64) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|------------|-----|--|------|------|------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ondents that indicated de in the county All online input that indicated the the county. | | | | | | |
| N. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 665 | | | | 4114 | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 258 | 25 | 226 | Yes | 1859 | 256 | 1282 | Yes | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 239 | 67 | 201 | Yes | 1691 | 541 | 1162 | Yes | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 292 | 25 | 189 | Yes | 2107 | 280 | 1005 | Yes | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 265 | 17 | 222 | Yes | 1854 | 241 | 1292 | Yes | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 288 | 22 | 194 | Yes | 2014 | 271 | 1102 | Yes | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 291 | 24 | 188 | Yes | 2102 | 201 | 1083 | Yes | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 222 | 75 | 206 | Yes | 1522 | 434 | 1425 | Yes | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 213 | 225 | 65 | No | 1943 | 1003 | 433 | Yes | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 292 | 92 | 119 | Yes | 2136 | 515 | 725 | Yes | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 156 | 117 | 230 | Yes | 1216 | 714 | 1438 | Yes | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 149 | 150 | 204 | No | 1279 | 879 | 1207 | Yes | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 187 | 176 | 140 | Yes | 1584 | 1072 | 704 | Yes | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 264 | 193 | 46 | Yes | 1876 | 1193 | 287 | Yes | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 429 | 26 | 46 | Yes | 2947 | 174 | 227 | Yes | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 362 | 82 | 57 | Yes | 2537 | 514 | 297 | Yes | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 184 | 160 | 156 | Yes | 1411 | 1017 | 916 | Yes | |

| | | VILAS (64) | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------|-----|------------|------|------|-----------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | dicated t | t of respo | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 665 | | | | 4114 | | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 372 | 77 | 50 | Yes | 2611 | 476 | 255 | Yes | |
| 01 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 012 | | | 103 | 2011 | 470 | 200 | 103 | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 370 | 36 | 93 | Yes | 2428 | 371 | 536 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 318 | 94 | 85 | Yes | 2007 | 797 | 523 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 324 | 29 | 143 | Yes | 2320 | 193 | 809 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee | 112 | 353 | 30 | No | 801 | 2347 | 170 | No | |
| 56 | associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 176 | 263 | 56 | No | 1413 | 1625 | 280 | No | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 318 | 48 | 128 | Yes | 2124 | 298 | 892 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 166 | 98 | 230 | Yes | 1272 | 744 | 1294 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 118 | 170 | 206 | No | 985 | 1058 | 1265 | No | |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 371 | 75 | 48 | Yes | 2466 | 479 | 362 | Yes | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 114 | 109 | 271 | Yes | 985 | 671 | 1646 | Yes | |
| 62 | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 295 | 137 | 62 | Yes | 1682 | 1252 | 362 | Yes | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 296 | 139 | 59 | Yes | 1711 | 1228 | 354 | Yes | |

| No. COUNTY INPUT Question Description Question Description Typ No. No. COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskelingue with poening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturdary in May and 10.1 23 81 Yes 355 129 269 Yes (including Green Bay) and Misconsin-lovax, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Misconsin-Minchigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be unchanged, as a would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 5 would be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin water waters of the water of the | | | WALWORTH (65) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|---|---------------|---------------------------|------------|------|----------|--------------------------------|------------|------|--|--------------------------|--|--|
| No. COUNTY INPUT | | | they re | they reside in the county | | | | pondents that indicated that i | | | | that indicated they recr | | |
| Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and 101 23 81 Yes 355 129 269 Yes Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits of Great Lakes (including Grean Bay) and Wisconsin-Minnesota, with waters with | No. | | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Num, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Minchigan boundary waters 2 would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18' minimum length limit, to harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of 3 one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR miplementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies of waterbodies and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR working the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate | | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | | 23 | 81 | Yes | | 129 | 269 | Yes | | | | |
| 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin 4 waters? Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest? Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release lake sturgeon. 81 41 72 Yes 302 172 241 Yes Would you support expanding hook and line harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. 83 4 4 66 Yes 352 152 211 Yes Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with the St. or one of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support and increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 10 Do you support a movel and the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11 (WM) [88 increase] \$4 increase] no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? 10 you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a s | 2 | (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-Iowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 134 | 27 | 41 | Yes | 500 | 122 | 118 | Yes | | | | |
| could be used to collect data on the number and types of small fournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? In(WM) [SiS increase] §4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfown hunt? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to | 3 | 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 90 | 46 | 63 | Yes | 407 | 170 | 155 | Yes | | | | |
| traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Wisconsin DNR establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway | 4 | could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin | 99 | 61 | 38 | Yes | 392 | 204 | 133 | Yes | | | | |
| lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [88 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 5 | traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 82 | 48 | 67 | Yes | 300 | 219 | 202 | Yes | | | | |
| The sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase] \$4 increase] \$56 81 71 No 189 300 278 No 199 00 you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 6 | lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 81 | 41 | 72 | Yes | 302 | 172 | 241 | Yes | | | | |
| a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? 100 you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 7 | sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | | 34 | 66 | Yes | 352 | 152 | 211 | Yes | | | | |
| River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? 80 | 8 | a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | | 11 | 72 | Yes | 412 | 50 | 250 | Yes | | | | |
| 10 from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? 10 from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? 97 | 9 | River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 80 | 26 | 86 | Yes | 337 | 90 | 282 | Yes | | | | |
| program? 11(WM) [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? 10 | 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 97 | 11 | 83 | Yes | 378 | 50 | 280 | Yes | | | | |
| during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? 12 during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? 13 66 29 Yes 405 264 97 Yes 405 97 Yes 101 88 28 Yes 353 311 101 Yes 103 52 52 Yes 384 212 169 Yes | 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 56 | 81 | 71 | No | 189 | 300 | 278 | No | | | | |
| season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? 91 88 28 Yes 353 311 101 Yes 103 52 52 Yes 384 212 169 Yes 103 52 52 Yes 384 212 169 Yes | 12 | during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 112 | 66 | 29 | Yes | 405 | 264 | 97 | Yes | | | | |
| 14 three years? 103 52 52 Yes 384 212 169 Yes | 13 | season is open to hunting? | 91 | 88 | 28 | Yes | 353 | 311 | 101 | Yes | | | | |
| To requestion magneriantly omitted on survey | 14 15 | | 103 0 | 52 0 | 52 0 | Yes | 384 0 | 212 | 169 0 | Yes | | | | |

| | | WALWORTH (65) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|---------------|-----|------------|------|------|--------------------|------------|------|--|--|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | ondents eate in | | | | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 309 | | | | 1030 | | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 107 | 58 | 42 | Yes | 393 | 235 | 135 | Yes | | | |
| 17(NRB) | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 105 | 55 | 36 | Yes | 382 | 251 | 121 | Yes | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 111 | 48 | 35 | Yes | 433 | 183 | 133 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 78 | 46 | 133 | Yes | 310 | 186 | 374 | Yes | | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 103 | 69 | 81 | Yes | 435 | 205 | 225 | Yes | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 106 | 69 | 78 | Yes | 409 | 244 | 211 | Yes | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 108 | 62 | 83 | Yes | 409 | 204 | 252 | Yes | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 114 | 41 | 97 | Yes | 462 | 145 | 257 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 133 | 44 | 75 | Yes | 488 | 174 | 201 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 131 | 54 | 67 | Yes | 496 | 183 | 182 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 112 | 51 | 89 | Yes | 423 | 183 | 249 | Yes | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 49 | 81 | 122 | No | 194 | 269 | 392 | No | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 38 | 106 | 106 | No | 146 | 411 | 292 | No | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 198 | 15 | 37 | Yes | 682 | 59 | 107 | Yes | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 200 | 15 | 35 | Yes | 694 | 52 | 102 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 102 | 20 | 127 | Yes | 418 | 85 | 341 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 77 | 46 | 126 | Yes | 271 | 220 | 353 | Yes | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 94 | 22 | 133 | Yes | 367 | 99 | 377 | Yes | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 112 | 16 | 121 | Yes | 408 | 83 | 351 | Yes | | | |

| | | WALWORTH (65) | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|---------------|----|------------|------|------|-------------------------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | t of respo they recr | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 309 | | | | 1030 | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 108 | 13 | 127 | Yes | 404 | 75 | 361 | Yes | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 105 | 25 | 118 | Yes | 386 | 122 | 331 | Yes | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 127 | 20 | 101 | Yes | 489 | 91 | 258 | Yes | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 107 | 14 | 127 | Yes | 404 | 78 | 356 | Yes | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 122 | 17 | 109 | Yes | 454 | 92 | 292 | Yes | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 141 | 9 | 98 | Yes | 493 | 62 | 282 | Yes | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 101 | 24 | 123 | Yes | 385 | 102 | 348 | Yes | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 145 | 52 | 51 | Yes | 460 | 222 | 153 | Yes | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 131 | 35 | 81 | Yes | 469 | 134 | 231 | Yes | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 71 | 50 | 126 | Yes | 285 | 181 | 367 | Yes | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 79 | 47 | 121 | Yes | 293 | 209 | 331 | Yes | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 95 | 76 | 75 | Yes | 387 | 254 | 190 | Yes | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 123 | 75 | 48 | Yes | 382 | 323 | 125 | Yes | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 197 | 9 | 38 | Yes | 702 | 35 | 90 | Yes | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 168 | 32 | 44 | Yes | 601 | 117 | 109 | Yes | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 90 | 62 | 92 | Yes | 308 | 257 | 262 | Yes | |

| | | | | W | ALWO | RTH (| 35) | | |
|------|---|-----|-----|---|---------------------------------------|-------|-----|------------------------|------|
| | | | • | pondents that indicated eside in the county | | | | t of resp they reci | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes | No | No Opinio | r Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. |
| 110. | | 309 | | | | 1030 | | | |
| F.4 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 474 | 00 | 40 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | 040 | 400 | 00 | V |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 174 | 30 | 40 | Yes | 618 | 126 | 82 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 152 | 24 | 68 | Yes | 549 | 103 | 174 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and | 102 | 27 | 00 | 103 | 343 | 100 | 174 | 103 |
| 53 | regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 111 | 59 | 72 | Yes | 453 | 208 | 163 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 135 | 13 | 92 | Yes | 536 | 52 | 233 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 49 | 180 | 11 | No | 192 | 588 | 40 | No |
| | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee | | 100 | | 110 | 102 | | | 110 |
| 56 | associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 109 | 110 | 21 | No | 369 | 388 | 63 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 134 | 10 | 94 | Yes | 509 | 68 | 239 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 80 | 47 | 111 | Yes | 283 | 173 | 358 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 63 | 71 | 104 | No | 245 | 245 | 323 | |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 152 | 33 | 51 | Yes | 553 | 133 | 125 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 57 | 50 | 129 | Yes | 224 | 171 | 414 | Yes |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 111 | 75 | 49 | Yes | 412 | 265 | 131 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 117 | 71 | 46 | Yes | 406 | 279 | 122 | Yes |

| | | WASHBURN (66) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|------------------|---------|------------|------|---------------------------|----------|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | I that indicated they rec | | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| 1 (FH) | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 205 94 | 25 | 43 | Yes | 1209 546 | 183 | 264 | Yes | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 111 | 31 | 19 | Yes | 682 | 176 | 124 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 89 | 40 | 29 | Yes | 532 | 269 | 175 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 85 | 48 | 25 | Yes | 526 | 289 | 160 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 78 | 48 | 27 | Yes | 451 | 311 | 206 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 67 | 35 | 50 | Yes | 434 | 247 | 277 | Yes | | |
| | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 75 | 28 | 49 | Yes | 474 | 220 | 264 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 111 | 11 | 30 | Yes | 640 | 91 | 223 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 73 | 20 | 59 | Yes | 484 | 136 | 331 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | 93 | 12 | 47 | Yes | 573 | 97 | 281 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 47 | 60 | 58 | No | 300 | 359 | 375 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 80 | 56 | 29 | Yes | 555 | 355 | 122 | Yes | | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 72 | 68 | 25 | Yes | 471 | 451 | 110 | Yes | | |
| 14 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 99 0 | 40 0 | 25 0 | Yes | 626 0 | 271 0 | 134 0 | Yes | | |

aggregate)?

34

WASHBURN (66) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** Yes No Opinior Maj. No No Opinior Maj. Yes No. **COUNTY INPUT** 205 1209 Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? 99 48 17 Yes 590 304 133 Yes Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts 17(NRB) of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? 69 77 17 467 436 110 Yes No Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? 82 57 531 345 18 23 Yes 135 Yes Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, 9(WCC) disabled, and military service hunters. 47 41 86 Yes 353 283 415 Yes Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? 101 54 627 20 18 Yes 302 119 Yes Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) 21 94 61 18 Yes 539 402 105 Yes Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) 47 149 22 108 18 Yes 613 286 Yes Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? 23 35 639 214 103 35 Yes 195 Yes Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the 24 day after season closes? 115 32 26 Yes 699 228 121 Yes Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? 25 108 37 28 Yes 679 242 126 Yes Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? 88 530 317 195 26 44 40 Yes Yes Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee | support \$10 fee | Do Not support changing current system] 27 51 496 41 80 No 236 310 No Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? 28 27 100 45 No 191 567 279 No Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? 12 22 29 138 Yes 831 78 127 Yes Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? 9 858 117 30 145 18 Yes 61 Yes Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other B1 (WCC|reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. 80 18 74 Yes 511 127 395 Yes Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) 40 49 83 No 340 272 421 Yes Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? 57 33 24 91 397 167 469 Yes Yes Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in

96

452

Yes

67

17

88

Yes

484

| | | WASHBURN (66) | | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|---------------|----|------------|-------------------------|------|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | t of respo they recr | | | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 205 | | | | 1209 | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 69 | 17 | 86 | Yes | 476 | 87 | 469 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 70 | 35 | 66 | Yes | 462 | 226 | 343 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 73 | 16 | 82 | Yes | 545 | 91 | 395 | Yes | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 70 | 16 | 85 | Yes | 484 | 88 | 458 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 73 | 18 | 80 | Yes | 516 | 97 | 417 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 100 | 15 | 56 | Yes | 620 | 106 | 303 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 70 | 17 | 84 | Yes | 439 | 133 | 457 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 113 | 37 | 21 | Yes | 678 | 254 | 97 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 121 | 19 | 31 | Yes | 716 | 157 | 155 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 72 | 38 | 61 | Yes | 418 | 274 | 335 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 79 | 52 | 40 | Yes | 477 | 289 | 260 | Yes | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 85 | 55 | 31 | Yes | 537 | 322 | 167 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 115 | 38 | 18 | Yes | 631 | 328 | 67 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 157 | 6 | 8 | Yes | 919 | 63 | 44 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 128 | 32 | 11 | Yes | 782 | 183 | 61 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 90 | 45 | 36 | Yes | 491 | 315 | 219 | Yes | | |

| | | | | W | ASHB | URN (6 | 66) | | |
|------|---|-----|--------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | | | ponden eside in | it of respo | | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Мај. |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 205 | | | | 1209 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 136 | 24 | 10 | Yes | 824 | 154 | 46 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 123 | 26 | 21 | Yes | 716 | 178 | 129 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 94 | 49 | 27 | Yes | 556 | 345 | 122 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 122 | 13 | 34 | Yes | 747 | 75 | 198 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 52 | 108 | 9 | No | 250 | 715 | 54 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 71 | 81 | 17 | No | 437 | 495 | 87 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 109 | 18 | 42 | Yes | 625 | 124 | 270 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 81 | 38 | 49 | Yes | 445 | 273 | 298 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 60 | 58 | 50 | Yes | 348 | 374 | 291 | No |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | 7.10 | 450 | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 123 | 29 | 16 | Yes | 743 | 153 | 117 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 79 | 30 80 | 76 9 | Yes No | 387 457 | 199 491 | 426 62 | Yes No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | | 74 | 18 | Yes | 453 | 476 | 81 | No |

| | | WASHINGTON (67) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------|----------|------------|------|---------------------------|----------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | s that inc | ty | I that indicated they fed | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 679 264 | 71 | 180 | Yes | 1601 575 | 198 | 434 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 325 | 114 | 69 | Yes | 751 | 232 | 209 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 252 | 145 | 100 | Yes | 619 | 297 | 256 | Yes | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 247 | 135 | 112 | Yes | 612 | 309 | 244 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 221 | 129 | 140 | Yes | 476 | 357 | 324 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 215 | 115 | 153 | Yes | 482 | 297 | 370 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 233 | 109 | 141 | Yes | 542 | 276 | 331 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 231 | 31 | 220 | Yes | 624 | 78 | 437 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam | 176 | 56 | 248 | Yes | 477 | 136 | 522 | Yes | |
| 10 | from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the | 210 | 32 | 237 | Yes | 567 | 70 | 496 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 148 | 200 | 174 | No | 338 | 463 | 442 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 281 | 169 | 71 | Yes | 635 | 413 | 192 | Yes | |
| 13 | season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 253 | 220 | 48 | Yes | 565 | 523 | 151 | Yes | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 328 0 | 122 0 | 70 0 | Yes | 676 0 | 335 0 | 226 0 | Yes | |

| | | WASHINGTON (67) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---|-----------------|--|------------|------|-------|---------------------------|------------|------|--|---------|-------------------------|--|
| | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinior Maj. | | | | they reside in the county | | | | dicated | t of respo they recr | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 679 | | | | 1601 | | | | | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 277 | 159 | 83 | Yes | 626 | 384 | 226 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 229 | 193 | 69 | Yes | 600 | 405 | 205 | Yes | | | | |
| 17 (14145) | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | 220 | 100 | | 100 | 000 | 400 | 200 | 100 | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 233 | 154 | 99 | Yes | 648 | 323 | 229 | Yes | | | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 198 | 141 | 197 | Yes | 484 | 322 | 543 | Yes | | | | |
| _ ` ' | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 300 | 127 | 105 | Yes | 778 | 287 | 275 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 252 | 180 | 97 | Yes | 698 | 379 | 258 | Yes | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 280 | 127 | 125 | Yes | 718 | 290 | 332 | Yes | | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 291 | 93 | 148 | Yes | 729 | 211 | 398 | Yes | | | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 329 | 105 | 96 | Yes | 803 | 261 | 271 | Yes | | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 335 | 96 | 98 | Yes | 810 | 264 | 257 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 227 | 152 | 145 | Yes | 593 | 351 | 378 | Yes | | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 123 | 120 | 281 | Yes | 302 | 354 | 666 | No | | | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 108 | 228 | 184 | No | 252 | 590 | 472 | No | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 373 | 41 | 106 | Yes | 1012 | 95 | 205 | Yes | | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 392 | 35 | 93 | Yes | 1049 | 72 | 191 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | 004 | 7.4 | 045 | | 0.1.0 | 454 | 500 | V/ | | | | |
| B1 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 231 | 71 | 215 | Yes | 612 | 154 | 538 | Yes | | | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 197 | 98 | 222 | Yes | 457 | 296 | 551 | Yes | | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 199 | 67 | 251 | Yes | 531 | 176 | 597 | Yes | | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 222 | 56 | 239 | Yes | 612 | 123 | 568 | Yes | | | | |
| U-T | י פטיד פיייט ייט פייין ייט פייי | | 0 | 200 | 103 | 012 | 120 | | 103 | | | | |

| | | | • | ts that ind the coun | | All online input of re that indicated they the county. | | | |
|----------|--|------------|-----------|-------------------------|------------|--|------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 679 | | | | 1601 | | | |
| 25 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in | 040 | | 0.47 | V | 504 | 440 | 500 | V |
| 35 36 | aggregate)? Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 218 194 | 51 102 | 247 219 | Yes | 594 554 | 118 222 | 590 525 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove burbot from the list of wisconsin rough lish: Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 263 | 67 | 185 | Yes Yes | 713 | 148 | 439 | Yes Yes |
| 31 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily | 203 | 07 | 165 | 165 | 713 | 140 | 439 | 165 |
| 38 | aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 217 | 53 | 245 | Yes | 609 | 120 | 571 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 258 | 68 | 189 | Yes | 689 | 161 | 450 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support roducing the parmor limit on Entire Green Earle from 20 to 10 parmor: | 230 | 00 | 109 | 163 | 009 | 101 | 430 | 163 |
| | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 277 | 29 | 209 | Yes | 721 | 79 | 499 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 177 | 45 | 293 | Yes | 562 | 142 | 595 | Yes |
| | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow | | | | | | | | |
| | passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural | | | | | | | | |
| 42 | activities? | 354 | 94 | 67 | Yes | 783 | 317 | 199 | Yes |
| | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss | | | | | | | | |
| | 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty | | | | | | | | |
| 43 | feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 345 | 47 | 123 | Yes | 788 | 195 | 313 | Yes |
| | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch | | | | | | | | |
| | size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | November 30, whichever comes first? | 170 | 71 | 274 | Yes | 418 | 284 | 592 | Yes |
| | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 214 | 82 | 218 | Yes | 492 | 306 | 491 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish | | | | | | | | |
| | regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 252 | 139 | 123 | Yes | 609 | 372 | 304 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 338 | 100 | 76 | Yes | 687 | 414 | 184 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work | | | | | | | | |
| | with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 443 | 29 | 42 | Yes | 1109 | 69 | 106 | Yes |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work | | | | | | | | |
| | with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an | | | | | | | | |
| 49 | in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 387 | 80 | 47 | Yes | 982 | 178 | 124 | Yes |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart | 0.40 | 400 | 4.5 | | 50.4 | 070 | 000 | \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ |
| 50 | recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 246 | 120 | 145 | Yes | 524 | 370 | 389 | Yes |

| | | WASHINGTON (67) | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|-----|-----------|------|------|---------|------------|------|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | dicated | t of respo | |
| N. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 679 | | | | 1601 | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 396 | 65 | 50 | Yes | 1012 | 159 | 112 | Yes |
| | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 324 | 70 | 116 | Yes | 855 | 163 | 262 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 231 | 157 | 121 | Yes | 675 | 325 | 277 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 287 | 34 | 187 | Yes | 799 | 81 | 393 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 92 | 380 | 36 | No | 268 | 940 | 64 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 211 | 251 | 46 | No | 599 | 587 | 86 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 268 | 51 | 188 | Yes | 762 | 110 | 397 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 210 | 110 | 187 | Yes | 478 | 277 | 512 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 161 | 159 | 187 | Yes | 393 | 370 | 504 | Yes |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 320 | 94 | 91 | Yes | 830 | 220 | 214 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 182 | 81 | 241 | Yes | 390 | 238 | 634 | Yes |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 170 | 245 | 86 | No | 581 | 499 | 178 | Yes |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 181 | 230 | 89 | No | 586 | 472 | 198 | Yes |

10

13

14

program?

three years?

11(WM) [\$8 increase | \$4 increase | no fee increase]

season is open to hunting?

during the annual youth waterfowl hunt?

question inadvertantly omitted on survey

DNR Spring Hearings - WCC County Meetings Summary of Results by County WAUKESHA (68) All online input of respondents All respondents that indicated that indicated they recreate in they reside in the county the county. **Question Description** Yes No Opinior Maj. Yes No No Opinior Maj. No. **COUNTY INPUT** 1452 2595 Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and 1 (FH) extending to December 31 in open water only? 492 170 701 367 Yes 840 314 Yes Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. 644 173 193 1173 288 355 Yes Yes Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). 531 224 243 978 347 465 Yes Yes Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? 4 513 267 208 Yes 945 452 380 Yes Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? 275 5 429 275 708 532 Yes 520 Yes Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. 403 213 352 720 417 607 6 Yes Yes Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? 459 201 308 Yes 800 402 542 Yes Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? 538 62 360 Yes 953 124 650 Yes Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? 122 398 438 774 199 746 Yes Yes

Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam

Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the

Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits

Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the

Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for

from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota?

462

259

509

451

551

0

51

410

347

419

255

0

444

349

161

146

209

0

Yes

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

889

462

875

799

903

92

721

677

756

493

0

735

684

314

307

463

Yes

No

Yes

Yes

Yes

| | | | WAUKESHA (68) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|---------------|------------|------|------|-----|------------|------|--|------------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | • | | | | | | t of respo | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Мај. | | | |
| | COUNTY INPUT | 1452 | | | | 2595 | | | | | | |
| 16 | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 529 | 291 | 194 | Yes | 888 | 564 | 401 | Yes | | | |
| 17(NRB) | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 485 | 341 | 200 | Yes | 934 | 529 | 395 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 576 | 233 | 208 | Yes | 1110 | 370 | 362 | Yes | | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 373 | 249 | 547 | Yes | 717 | 403 | 1009 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 569 | 289 | 302 | Yes | 1103 | 420 | 592 | Yes | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 527 | 321 | 301 | Yes | 1029 | 480 | 592 | Yes | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 534 | 272 | 354 | Yes | 1006 | 411 | 698 | Yes | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 582 | 176 | 401 | Yes | 1071 | 290 | 749 | Yes | | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 662 | 208 | 286 | Yes | 1142 | 403 | 560 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 618 | 251 | 285 | Yes | 1090 | 462 | 550 | Yes | | | |
| 26 | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 481 | 275 | 390 | Yes | 962 | 404 | 716 | Yes | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 267 | 295 | 584 | No | 496 | 635 | 951 | No | | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 202 | 483 | 457 | No | 339 | 913 | 822 | No | | | |
| | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 874 | 91 | 176 | Yes | 1664 | 118 | 290 | Yes | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 891 | 71 | 179 | Yes | 1690 | 94 | 288 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 524 | 128 | 486 | Yes | 1012 | 183 | 869 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 380 | 265 | 493 | Yes | 676 | 480 | 908 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 467 | 135 | 536 | Yes | 853 | 247 | 963 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 500 | 108 | 530 | Yes | 962 | 165 | 935 | Yes | | | |

| | | WAUKESHA (68) | | | | | | | | |
|------|--|---------------|-----|------------|------|--|-----|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | ed All online input of rethat indicated they the county. | | | | |
| N.a. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 1452 | | | | 2595 | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 486 | 102 | 550 | Yes | 957 | 143 | 961 | Yes | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 447 | 172 | 517 | Yes | 885 | 270 | 904 | Yes | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 590 | 123 | 422 | Yes | 1129 | 187 | 741 | Yes | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 501 | 96 | 537 | Yes | 983 | 141 | 931 | Yes | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 568 | 110 | 456 | Yes | 1081 | 173 | 801 | Yes | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 608 | 69 | 455 | Yes | 1138 | 111 | 803 | Yes | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 497 | 98 | 536 | Yes | 924 | 231 | 894 | Yes | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 665 | 235 | 229 | Yes | 1077 | 536 | 435 | Yes | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 655 | 160 | 311 | Yes | 1083 | 333 | 629 | Yes | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 350 | 206 | 564 | Yes | 599 | 449 | 989 | Yes | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 386 | 238 | 493 | Yes | 662 | 489 | 880 | Yes | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 511 | 311 | 294 | Yes | 859 | 615 | 555 | Yes | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 576 | 330 | 208 | Yes | 877 | 791 | 358 | Yes | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 944 | 56 | 109 | Yes | 1714 | 85 | 219 | Yes | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 794 | 174 | 141 | Yes | 1504 | 260 | 254 | Yes | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 468 | 286 | 355 | Yes | 733 | 575 | 709 | Yes | |

| | | | WAUKESHA (68) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|---------------|------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------|---------------------------|--|--|--|---------|-------------------------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | • | | | | they reside in the county | | | | dicated | t of respo they recr | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 1452 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 2595 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | 1402 | | | | 2000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 849 | 150 | 110 | Yes | 1530 | 258 | 229 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 707 | 141 | 261 | Yes | 1329 | 216 | 472 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 568 | 244 | 296 | Yes | 1140 | 377 | 497 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 649 | 73 | 383 | Yes | 1275 | 109 | 625 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 188 | 865 | 51 | No | 405 | 1491 | 110 | No | | | | | | | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 469 | 560 | 75 | No | 932 | 917 | 157 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 620 | 97 | 380 | Yes | 1223 | 144 | 629 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 402 | 212 | 482 | Yes | 676 | 355 | 960 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 316 | 319 | 459 | No | 550 | 524 | 915 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 730 | 176 | 187 | Yes | 1345 | 282 | 358 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 61 | Would you support the legalization of size F shot for waterlow nunting in the state of wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 306 512 | 360 | 572 220 | Yes Yes | 1089 | 396 544 | 347 | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 528 | 350 | 213 | Yes | 1088 | 547 | 343 | Yes | | | | | | | |

| | | WAUPACA (69) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|---------|------------|------|---------------------------|----------|------------|------|-------------------|----------------|------------|---------|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Maj. | | | | they reside in the county | | | ty | that in the co | dicated tunty. | t of respo | eate in |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 419 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 2296 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 179 | 35 | 102 | Yes | 948 | 238 | 583 | Yes | | | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 186 | 99 | 28 | Yes | 1081 | 431 | 235 | Yes | | | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 122 | 134 | 53 | No | 839 | 548 | 339 | Yes | | | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 144 | 113 | 51 | Yes | 917 | 497 | 304 | Yes | | | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 165 | 74 | 67 | Yes | 841 | 484 | 383 | Yes | | | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 162 | 77 | 64 | Yes | 835 | 425 | 441 | Yes | | | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 186 | 59 | 58 | Yes | 923 | 391 | 387 | Yes | | | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 143 | 17 | 143 | Yes | 894 | 95 | 707 | Yes | | | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 116 | 30 | 156 | Yes | 704 | 173 | 812 | Yes | | | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 110 | 26 | 166 | Yes | 760 | 118 | 808 | Yes | | | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 95 | 129 | 112 | No | 498 | 693 | 602 | No | | | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 193 | 87 | 56 | Yes | 943 | 594 | 249 | Yes | | | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 176 | 128 | 32 | Yes | 887 | 698 | 198 | Yes | | | | |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 225 0 | 66 0 | 44 | Yes | 1064 0 | 440 0 | 278 0 | Yes | | | | |
| | question mauvertaintly entitled on our vey | U | U | U | | U | U | U | | | | | |

| | | WAUPACA (69) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|-----|------------|------|---------------------------|-----|------------|------|--|-----------|----------|--|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Maj. | | | | they reside in the county | | | | | dicated t | of respo | |
| | Question Description | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 419 | | | | 2296 | | | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 200 | 86 | 49 | Yes | 957 | 513 | 308 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 139 | 140 | 47 | No | 803 | 685 | 271 | Yes | | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 137 | 119 | 70 | Yes | 887 | 547 | 317 | Yes | | | | |
| 19(WCC) | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 120 | 101 | 127 | Yes | 686 | 514 | 771 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 220 | 88 | 39 | Yes | 1186 | 451 | 325 | Yes | | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 173 | 135 | 38 | Yes | 959 | 692 | 307 | Yes | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 195 | 106 | 46 | Yes | 1062 | 506 | 394 | Yes | | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 181 | 78 | 88 | Yes | 1058 | 365 | 532 | Yes | | | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 235 | 72 | 40 | Yes | 1233 | 372 | 350 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 236 | 66 | 45 | Yes | 1254 | 356 | 343 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 121 | 136 | 89 | No | 795 | 602 | 546 | Yes | | | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 68 | 49 | 229 | Yes | 406 | 435 | 1102 | No | | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 66 | 174 | 104 | No | 323 | 982 | 633 | No | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 254 | 35 | 55 | Yes | 1518 | 131 | 285 | Yes | | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 269 | 23 | 52 | Yes | 1575 | 99 | 260 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 131 | 60 | 153 | Yes | 851 | 265 | 815 | Yes | | | | |
| , | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 124 | 70 | 150 | Yes | 709 | 406 | 816 | Yes | | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 123 | 53 | 168 | Yes | 764 | 274 | 891 | Yes | | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 156 | 52 | 136 | Yes | 923 | 241 | 765 | Yes | | | | |

| | | WAUPACA (69) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--------------|---------------------------|-----------|------|------|--|------------|------|--|---|------------|--|
| | | | they reside in the county | | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | • | t of respo | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 419 | | | | 2296 | | | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 161 | 48 | 135 | Yes | 923 | 217 | 786 | Yes | | | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 108 | 87 | 149 | Yes | 764 | 394 | 767 | Yes | | | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 145 | 36 | 163 | Yes | 946 | 217 | 762 | Yes | | | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 135 | 36 | 172 | Yes | 885 | 185 | 850 | Yes | | | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 145 | 50 | 148 | Yes | 960 | 256 | 704 | Yes | | | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 142 | 43 | 157 | Yes | 992 | 163 | 762 | Yes | | | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 120 | 32 | 190 | Yes | 798 | 204 | 914 | Yes | | | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 250 | 49 | 42 | Yes | 1271 | 384 | 258 | Yes | | | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 253 | 27 | 61 | Yes | 1239 | 222 | 450 | Yes | | | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 132 | 45 | 163 | Yes | 652 | 325 | 932 | Yes | | | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 165 | 44 | 131 | Yes | 730 | 373 | 805 | Yes | | | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 175 | 85 | 79 | Yes | 980 | 472 | 453 | Yes | | | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 256 | 49 | 34 | Yes | 1182 | 495 | 224 | Yes | | | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 291 | 18 | 30 | Yes | 1646 | 99 | 152 | Yes | | | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 254 | 50 | 35 | Yes | 1424 | 281 | 192 | Yes | | | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 196 | 59 | 83 | Yes | 856 | 510 | 530 | Yes | | | | |

| | | | WAUPACA (69) | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----|--|-----------|----------|------------|------|------------|----------|
| | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinion Mai. | | | | • | t of respo | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| 140. | COUNTY INPUT | 419 | | | | 2296 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 274 | 35 | 28 | Yes | 1513 | 212 | 168 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 191 | 56 | 89 | Yes | 1205 | 267 | 419 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 137 | 123 | 76 | Yes | 937 | 528 | 425 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 166 | 30 | 140 | Yes | 1155 | 132 | 599 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 59 | 264 | 13 | No | 318 | 1484 | 81 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 150 | 169 | 17 | No | 866 | 889 | 128 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 155 | 33 | 147 | Yes | 992 | 172 | 709 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 143 | 89 | 102 | Yes | 729 | 465 | 671 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 118 | 102 | 113 | Yes | 606 | 558 | 698 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 212 | 61 | 59 | Yes | 1245 | 312 | 303 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted | 126 | 35 | 171 | Yes | 584 | 326 | 946 | Yes |
| 62 63 | again? Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 104 | 183 166 | 58 | No No | 766 767 | 780 | 304 | No No |

question inadvertantly omitted on survey

| | | | WAUSHARA (70) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-----|---------------|------------------------|------|--------|-----------|------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | | | VV | AUSH | 4KA (7 | U) | | | | |
| | | | • | ts that in the coun | | | dicated t | t of respo | ondents reate in | | |
| _ | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 174 | | | | 1321 | | | | | |
| 1 (FH) | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 63 | 14 | 64 | Yes | 567 | 153 | 366 | Yes | | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 83 | 38 | 18 | Yes | 684 | 273 | 123 | Yes | | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 55 | 52 | 31 | Yes | 542 | 344 | 184 | Yes | | |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 65 | 49 | 24 | Yes | 585 | 319 | 161 | Yes | | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 64 | 41 | 32 | Yes | 524 | 317 | 220 | Yes | | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 56 | 36 | 44 | Yes | 513 | 281 | 259 | Yes | | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 65 | 35 | 36 | Yes | 562 | 266 | 225 | Yes | | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 64 | 5 | 66 | Yes | 562 | 73 | 412 | Yes | | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 36 | 18 | 81 | Yes | 442 | 127 | 477 | Yes | | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 51 | 9 | 75 | Yes | 493 | 75 | 474 | Yes | | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 47 | 45 | 49 | Yes | 320 | 415 | 366 | No | | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 81 | 35 | 24 | Yes | 610 | 352 | 137 | Yes | | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 72 | 53 | 15 | Yes | 509 | 488 | 100 | Yes | | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 86 | 36 | 17 | Yes | 679 | 266 | 150 | Yes | | |

0

| | | | WAUSHARA (70) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----|--|------------|------|------|---------------------------|------------|------|--|-----------|------------|---------------------|
| | | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county Yes No No Opinior Maj. | | | | they reside in the county | | | | dicated t | t of respo | ondents reate in |
| No | Question Description | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 174 | | | | 1321 | | | | | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 73 | 42 | 24 | Yes | 580 | 342 | 171 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 68 | 51 | 22 | Yes | 502 | 447 | 133 | Yes | | | | |
| 18 | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 63 | 51 | 26 | Yes | 538 | 360 | 180 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 39 | 36 | 78 | Yes | 397 | 329 | 424 | Yes | | | | |
| 20 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 97 | 34 | 21 | Yes | 712 | 286 | 145 | Yes | | | | |
| 21 | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 83 | 48 | 20 | Yes | 597 | 414 | 128 | Yes | | | | |
| 22 | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 95 | 30 | 27 | Yes | 668 | 294 | 181 | Yes | | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 77 | 31 | 43 | Yes | 653 | 247 | 239 | Yes | | | | |
| 24 | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 88 | 31 | 32 | Yes | 748 | 223 | 167 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 102 | 28 | 21 | Yes | 778 | 221 | 139 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antierless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | deer carcass disposal? | 72 | 38 | 40 | Yes | 522 | 357 | 257 | Yes | | | | |
| 27 | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 38 | 30 | 82 | Yes | 267 | 263 | 606 | Yes | | | | |
| 28 | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 32 | 68 | 49 | No | 230 | 601 | 303 | No | | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 105 | 9 | 35 | Yes | 893 | 81 | 159 | Yes | | | | |
| 30 | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 106 | 11 | 32 | Yes | 910 | 74 | 149 | Yes | | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 (WCC | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 56 | 25 | 68 | Yes | 523 | 166 | 439 | Yes | | | | |
| 32 | any one species? (440121) | 50 | 32 | 67 | Yes | 423 | 278 | 427 | Yes | | | | |
| 33 | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 43 | 19 | 86 | Yes | 458 | 163 | 505 | Yes | | | | |
| 34 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 58 | 23 | 67 | Yes | 558 | 143 | 425 | Yes | | | | |

| | | WAUSHARA (70) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---------------|----|-----------|------|--|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | s that in | | All online input of resport that indicated they recrethe county. | | | | | |
| · | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 174 | | | | 1321 | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 55 | 22 | 71 | Yes | 549 | 130 | 447 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 48 | 40 | 60 | Yes | 502 | 243 | 381 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 62 | 20 | 66 | Yes | 596 | 137 | 393 | Yes | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 54 | 19 | 75 | Yes | 548 | 129 | 446 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 82 | 27 | 39 | Yes | 642 | 192 | 289 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 77 | 11 | 60 | Yes | 622 | 107 | 393 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 54 | 8 | 86 | Yes | 471 | 124 | 526 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 99 | 27 | 22 | Yes | 772 | 224 | 125 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 102 | 12 | 33 | Yes | 775 | 132 | 214 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 52 | 26 | 68 | Yes | 408 | 198 | 512 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 52 | 35 | 59 | Yes | 450 | 231 | 435 | Yes | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 76 | 39 | 31 | Yes | 610 | 294 | 212 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 110 | 22 | 13 | Yes | 741 | 277 | 95 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 126 | 10 | 8 | Yes | 980 | 72 | 59 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 108 | 24 | 12 | Yes | 854 | 176 | 81 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 72 | 34 | 38 | Yes | 507 | 319 | 284 | Yes | | |

| | | WAUSHARA (70) | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|---------------|----------|-----------|----------|--|-----|------------|----------|--|
| | | | • | s that in | | All online input of respond that indicated they recrea the county. | | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| 110. | COUNTY INPUT | 174 | | | | 1321 | | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 112 | 14 | 17 | Yes | 868 | 143 | 97 | Yes | |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 93 | 17 | 33 | Yes | 727 | 170 | 210 | Yes | |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 65 | 51 | 27 | Yes | 581 | 322 | 202 | Yes | |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 82 | 7 | 54 | Yes | 693 | 84 | 327 | Yes | |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 29 | 108 | 6 | No | 225 | 819 | 59 | No | |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 63 | 69 | 11 | No | 510 | 512 | 81 | No | |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 69 | 17 | 57 | Yes | 613 | 133 | 354 | Yes | |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 52 | 37 | 53 | Yes | 454 | 306 | 339 | Yes | |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 50 | 42 | 49 | Yes | 378 | 364 | 355 | Yes | |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 88 | 28 | 25 | Yes | 739 | 204 | 153 | Yes | |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 51 | 27 | 63 | Yes | 381 | 200 | 512 | Yes | |
| 62 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 50 52 | 72 64 | 19 25 | No No | 415 | 540 | 135 | No No | |

| | | WINNEBAGO (71) | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|-------------------|-----|------------|------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------|--|
| | | | • | s that inc | | | ondents eate in | | | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | COUNTY INPUT Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 856 349 | 101 | 210 | Yes | 2179 957 | 290 | 546 | Yes | |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 414 | 172 | 71 | Yes | 1107 | 491 | 178 | Yes | |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 307 | 228 | 116 | Yes | 873 | 603 | 277 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 390 | 161 | 97 | Yes | 979 | 512 | 254 | Yes | |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 323 | 187 | 136 | Yes | 891 | 492 | 352 | Yes | |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 300 | 203 | 142 | Yes | 872 | 496 | 359 | Yes | |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 334 | 192 | 119 | Yes | 971 | 447 | 309 | Yes | |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 312 | 48 | 282 | Yes | 895 | 119 | 705 | Yes | |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 262 | 72 | 307 | Yes | 736 | 183 | 798 | Yes | |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 267 | 37 | 335 | Yes | 796 | 120 | 796 | Yes | |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 182 | 255 | 235 | No | 470 | 667 | 628 | No | |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? | 341 | 247 | 82 | Yes | 952 | 603 | 206 | Yes | |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? | 294 | 304 | 72 | No | 842 | 743 | 174 | Yes | |
| 14 | Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for three years? | 405 | 199 | 65 | Yes | 1066 | 488 | 203 | Yes | |
| 15 | question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

| | | WINNEBAGO (71) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------------|------------|------------|------------|--|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | Question Description | they re | eside in t | s that inc | ty | that indicated they recreate the county. | | | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | Yes 856 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 2179 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | 333 | | | | 2110 | | | | |
| | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 343 | 223 | 100 | Yes | 955 | 541 | 257 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts | | | | | | | | | |
| 17(NRB) | of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 271 | 302 | 73 | No | 813 | 702 | 216 | Yes | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds | | | | | | | | | |
| | incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels | | | | | | | | | |
| | effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 293 | 223 | 126 | Yes | 818 | 595 | 309 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater | | | | | | | | | |
| | on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, | 040 | 400 | 250 | Vaa | 700 | 405 | 675 | Vaa | |
| ` 1 | disabled, and military service hunters. Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 248 408 | 183 179 | 259 101 | Yes Yes | 703 1140 | 485 456 | 675 264 | Yes Yes | |
| | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 317 | 271 | 97 | Yes | 906 | 686 | 264 | Yes | |
| | | | | | 165 | | | | 163 | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 361 | 205 | 122 | Yes | 1029 | 486 | 345 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antierless deer | | | | | | | | | |
| | in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 353 | 150 | 183 | Yes | 1004 | 377 | 473 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the | 440 | 407 | 400 | Vaa | 4400 | 200 | 205 | Vaa | |
| | day after season closes? Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 440 | 137 | 108 105 | Yes | 1188 | 369 | 295 | Yes | |
| | Would you support making your antieriess permits valid on any land type—either public of private? Would you support a reallocation of antieriess fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling | 428 | 151 | 105 | Yes | 1201 | 382 | 267 | Yes | |
| | deer carcass disposal? | 293 | 215 | 171 | Yes | 807 | 577 | 455 | Yes | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). | 293 | 213 | 171 | 163 | 001 | 311 | 433 | 163 | |
| | [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 151 | 150 | 378 | Yes | 426 | 432 | 981 | No | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in | 101 | 100 | 0.0 | 100 | 120 | .02 | 001 | 110 | |
| | Wisconsin? | 102 | 382 | 195 | No | 325 | 937 | 572 | No | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 539 | 51 | 87 | Yes | 1448 | 128 | 256 | Yes | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 568 | 33 | 76 | Yes | 1516 | 90 | 226 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in | | | | | | | | | |
| | total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 308 | 79 | 289 | Yes | 890 | 263 | 674 | Yes | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of | 000 | 110 | 000 | \/ - | 704 | 405 | 004 | V- | |
| | any one species? (440121) | 236 | 148 | 292 | Yes | 701 | 435 | 691 | Yes | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 264 | 75 | 226 | Voc | 769 | 267 | 701 | Voc | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in | 264 | 75 | 336 | Yes | 768 | 267 | 791 | Yes | |
| | aggregate)? | 315 | 68 | 292 | Yes | 924 | 201 | 701 | Yes | |
| J 4 | aggrogato): | 313 | 00 | 232 | 163 | 324 | 201 | 701 | 162 | |

| | | WINNEBAGO (71) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|----------------|-----|------------|------|---|-----|------------|------|--|--|
| | | | • | ts that in | | All online input of respon that indicated they recreatine county. | | | | | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 856 | | | | 2179 | | | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 306 | 54 | 315 | Yes | 885 | 181 | 758 | Yes | | |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 299 | 156 | 220 | Yes | 852 | 404 | 566 | Yes | | |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 328 | 60 | 287 | Yes | 962 | 212 | 646 | Yes | | |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 294 | 50 | 330 | Yes | 860 | 177 | 780 | Yes | | |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 371 | 99 | 204 | Yes | 1028 | 272 | 517 | Yes | | |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 345 | 41 | 287 | Yes | 988 | 143 | 684 | Yes | | |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 247 | 66 | 359 | Yes | 772 | 191 | 850 | Yes | | |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 497 | 101 | 72 | Yes | 1310 | 305 | 197 | Yes | | |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 480 | 61 | 128 | Yes | 1240 | 211 | 360 | Yes | | |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 224 | 104 | 340 | Yes | 686 | 306 | 815 | Yes | | |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 251 | 125 | 292 | Yes | 706 | 365 | 736 | Yes | | |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 331 | 182 | 154 | Yes | 939 | 492 | 372 | Yes | | |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 450 | 145 | 69 | Yes | 1167 | 438 | 193 | Yes | | |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 567 | 48 | 45 | Yes | 1560 | 108 | 125 | Yes | | |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 479 | 125 | 56 | Yes | 1331 | 305 | 157 | Yes | | |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 285 | 204 | 171 | Yes | 806 | 499 | 487 | Yes | | |

| | | WINNEBAGO (71) | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|----------|
| | | All respondents that indicated they reside in the county | | | | | - | t of respo | |
| No. | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | r Maj. |
| 110. | COUNTY INPUT | 856 | | | | 2179 | | | |
| | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | need to have a valid fishing license. | 511 | 97 | 51 | Yes | 1377 | 259 | 153 | Yes |
| 52 | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 452 | 80 | 126 | Yes | 1220 | 232 | 335 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 350 | 167 | 141 | Yes | 944 | 505 | 336 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 413 | 35 | 209 | Yes | 1138 | 100 | 543 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 123 | 486 | 46 | No | 354 | 1326 | 98 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 310 | 288 | 57 | Yes | 866 | 782 | 130 | Yes |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 359 | 66 | 228 | Yes | 981 | 175 | 614 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 262 | 182 | 208 | Yes | 720 | 454 | 596 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 227 | 200 | 222 | Yes | 603 | 560 | 601 | Yes |
| | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | control in addition to boating safety? | 420 | 129 | 99 | Yes | 1194 | 325 | 243 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 228 | 115 | 302 | Yes | 616 | 358 | 784 | Yes |
| 62 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 270 | 327 276 | 94 | No No | 659 686 | 852 806 | 244 | No No |

| | | WOOD (72) | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------|------------|------------|------|-------------------|------------|------------|------|
| | | they re | eside in t | s that ind | ty | that in the co | t of respo | eate in | |
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 462 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | Yes 1272 | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| | Do you favor implementing a standard season structure statewide (including boundary waters and the Great Lakes) for muskellunge with opening day of the harvest season beginning the first Saturday in May and extending to December 31 in open water only? | 201 | 57 | 100 | Yes | 543 | 163 | 290 | Yes |
| 2 | Do you favor a statewide walleye bag limit of 3 per day on all inland waters? Bag limits for Great Lakes (including Green Bay) and Wisconsin-lowa, Wisconsin-Minnesota, and Wisconsin-Michigan boundary waters would be unchanged, as would any water with a bag limit currently lower than 3. | 229 | 79 | 49 | Yes | 629 | 225 | 128 | Yes |
| 3 | Would you favor the DNR adding a rehabilitation regulation to the management toolbox that reads as follows: 18" minimum length limit, no harvest of walleye from 22-28 inches, and a 1-daily bag limit (allows harvest of one total walleye, either between 18-22 inches or over 28 inches). | 174 | 114 | 64 | Yes | 489 | 300 | 182 | Yes |
| 4 | Would you support the DNR implementing a mandatory self-registration system for small tournaments that could be used to collect data on the number and types of small tournaments and participants across Wisconsin waters? | 175 | 115 | 60 | Yes | 480 | 318 | 170 | Yes |
| 5 | Do you support allowing personal bait harvest of minnows from VHS-affected waters, using legal nets and traps, as long as no live minnows are moved away from the waterbody regardless of source of the minnows? | 179 | 92 | 78 | Yes | 448 | 290 | 225 | Yes |
| 6 | Do you favor creating a catch-and-release season for lake sturgeon on a subset of waterbodies already open to lake sturgeon hook and line harvest? This proposal would maintain the existing hook and line harvest season, and anglers would not need a harvest tag to catch and release lake sturgeon. | 172 | 66 | 109 | Yes | 486 | 212 | 257 | Yes |
| 7 | Would you support expanding hook and line catch-and-release opportunities to additional waters where lake sturgeon populations are determined to be healthy enough to support these activities? | 187 | 60 | 100 | Yes | 519 | 199 | 237 | Yes |
| 8 | Would you support the Wisconsin DNR working with the Minnesota DNR to establish game fish regulations and a continuous season for the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott that are consistent with those of the Mississippi River? | 200 | 20 | 124 | Yes | 545 | 73 | 331 | Yes |
| 9 | Do you support the Wisconsin DNR establishing separate bag limits for each catfish species in the St. Croix River from Taylors Falls downstream to Prescott concurrently with Minnesota? | 134 | 51 | 157 | Yes | 401 | 156 | 388 | Yes |
| 10 | Do you favor establishing the fish refuge season above the State Highway 8 bridge to the St. Croix Falls dam from March 1 to June 1 in the St. Croix River, Polk County concurrently with Minnesota? | 155 | 32 | 155 | Yes | 447 | 106 | 392 | Yes |
| 11(WM) | Do you support an increase in the student registration fees for trapper education course to cover costs of the program? [\$8 increase \$4 increase no fee increase] | 105 | 151 | 118 | No | 284 | 394 | 352 | No |
| 12 | Do you support removing the requirement that youth hunters have a state Canada Goose Hunting Permits during the annual youth waterfowl hunt? Do you support allowing turkey bunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the | 201 | 126 | 47 | Yes | 560 | 347 | 121 | Yes |
| 13 | Do you support allowing turkey hunters to purchase more than one bonus authorization per day once the season is open to hunting? Do you support legislation that would allow applicants to keep their preference points if they fail to apply for | 180 | 166 | 28 | Yes | 522 | 418 | 86 | Yes |
| 14 15 | three years? question inadvertantly omitted on survey | 243 | 83 | 48 | Yes | 616 0 | 262 0 | 148 0 | Yes |
| 10 | question madvertaining entitied on our vey | U | U | U | | U | U | U | |

| | | | • | | | that in | dicated t | | | | | |
|-----|---|---------|-----|------------|------|---------|-----------|------------|------|--|--|--|
| No. | Question Description COUNTY INPUT | Yes 462 | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | No | No Opinior | Maj. | | | |
| | Do you support removing the prohibition of hunting and trapping certain species by landowners without a permit | - | | | | 1212 | | | | | | |
| 16 | during the 24- hour period prior to the firearm deer season? | 218 | 109 | 47 | Yes | 590 | 286 | 149 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the Natural Resources Board requesting from the Department another review of the impacts of the crossbow season on Wisconsin's Gun Deer Season? | 158 | 166 | 35 | No | 449 | 423 | 128 | Yes | | | |
| | Do you support having the Wisconsin DNR undertake a 2-year pilot project to test if offering a healthy herds incentive payment to landowners and hunters can increase the testing rates and remove CWD+ deer to levels effective in reducing prevalence and slowing disease spread? | 174 | 137 | 47 | Yes | 486 | 354 | 153 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the creation of a three-year antler point restriction trial for bucks withthree points or greater on one side (excluding brow tines) in Florence County? This restriction wouldnot apply to youth 17 and under, disabled, and military service hunters. | 134 | 112 | 138 | Yes | 365 | 321 | 400 | Yes | | | |
| \ 1 | Would you support re-establishing in person deer registration stations? | 240 | 108 | 36 | Yes | 672 | 280 | 133 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support returning to the requirement to wear backtags? (Requires legislation) | 198 | 157 | 29 | Yes | 554 | 400 | 128 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support returning to carcass tagging procedures as was done in the past? (Requires legislation) | 214 | 131 | 39 | Yes | 604 | 310 | 171 | Yes | | | |
| 23 | Would you support the issuance of one either sex tag to a hunter who harvests a CWD positive antlerless deer in a county where the CDAC has made this recommendation? | 220 | 86 | 78 | Yes | 628 | 225 | 231 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the WCC working with the DNR to extend the gun deer registration deadline to 5:00 pm the day after season closes? | 272 | 71 | 41 | Yes | 706 | 239 | 139 | Yes | | | |
| 25 | Would you support making youth antlerless permits valid on any land type—either public or private? | 259 | 84 | 41 | Yes | 728 | 221 | 135 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support a reallocation of antlerless fund fees to establish a statewide dumpster program for handling deer carcass disposal? | 175 | 127 | 80 | Yes | 471 | 368 | 240 | Yes | | | |
| | Antlerless fund (regarding q26). [support \$8 fee support \$10 fee Do Not support changing current system] | 87 | 88 | 207 | No | 233 | 253 | 593 | No | | | |
| | Do you support or oppose the presence of captive cervid operations (game farms and shooting preserves) in Wisconsin? | 92 | 180 | 110 | No | 244 | 497 | 337 | No | | | |
| 29 | Would you support additional testing for PFAS levels in drinking water across Wisconsin? | 285 | 40 | 57 | Yes | 827 | 96 | 153 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support the DNR continuing to advocate for strong PFAS protections and clean-up to ensure that everyone has access to clean drinking water and safe consumption of fish? | 292 | 36 | 54 | Yes | 861 | 77 | 138 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the panfish limit on the Willow Flowage to an aggregate bag limit of 10 panfish in total? (370421) A proposed regulation of a 10-bag limit on panfish of any species would align with other reduced panfish limits in the state proposed by the panfish management team. | 192 | 68 | 122 | Yes | 531 | 178 | 367 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support maintaining the daily panfish bag limit of 25 on the Willow Flowage, but no more than 10 of any one species? (440121) | 165 | 103 | 114 | Yes | 451 | 258 | 367 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the size limit on Muskellunge in Plum Lake in Vilas County from 40 inches to 50 inches with a daily bag limit of one fish? | 157 | 72 | 153 | Yes | 440 | 195 | 441 | Yes | | | |
| | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Dubay to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 231 | 61 | 90 | Yes | 616 | 158 | 302 | Yes | | | |

| | | WOOD (72) | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------|----|------------|------|------|------------|------------|------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | t of respo | | |
| | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinior | Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 462 | | | | 1272 | | | |
| 35 | Would you support changing the daily bag limit on panfish in Lake Helen to 10 per day (all species in aggregate)? | 203 | 50 | 129 | Yes | 566 | 130 | 379 | Yes |
| 36 | Would you support a legislative change that would remove Burbot from the list of Wisconsin rough fish? | 147 | 81 | 150 | Yes | 427 | 231 | 412 | Yes |
| 37 | Would you support changing the regulation on Lake Mendota to a 10 panfish daily bag limit? | 202 | 40 | 136 | Yes | 574 | 133 | 363 | Yes |
| 38 | Would you support changing the panfish bag limit on Lake Jacqueline (Glisezinski Lake) from 25 daily aggregate to 10 panfish aggregate? | 182 | 44 | 152 | Yes | 535 | 122 | 413 | Yes |
| 39 | Would you support reducing the panfish limit on Little Green Lake from 25 to 10 panfish? | 192 | 49 | 136 | Yes | 557 | 138 | 374 | Yes |
| 40 | To help protect the spawning sized walleye population of Lake Wissota, would you support a 3 bag daily limit with a 14-16" harvest limit, protected slot of 16-24" and one over 24" allowed as part of the daily bag? | 220 | 23 | 134 | Yes | 624 | 79 | 364 | Yes |
| 41 | Would you support making Woodman Lake a slow no wake body of water? | 154 | 32 | 191 | Yes | 474 | 107 | 485 | Yes |
| 42 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the DNR, Legislature, and the NRB to allow passengers on ATV's not designed to transport passengers, for hunting, fishing, trapping, and agricultural activities? | 287 | 54 | 32 | Yes | 764 | 207 | 90 | Yes |
| 43 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the Wisconsin legislature to add an exception to ss 167.31(2)(d) to allow the dispatch of legally trapped furbearing animals with a rimfire rifle or handgun within fifty feet of the centerline of any unpaved road? | 269 | 50 | 53 | Yes | 713 | 155 | 192 | Yes |
| 44 | Do you support a change to the Wisconsin trapping regulations to reduce the period during which the 7 inch size restriction is in place from the opening day of trapping season to the opening day of the wolf season, or November 30, whichever comes first? | 159 | 61 | 152 | Yes | 414 | 214 | 431 | Yes |
| 45 | Do you support a change in the existing trapping regulation as described above that would exempt disabled trappers from trap placement and setting requirements when trapping bobcats, fishers or otters? | 178 | 74 | 120 | Yes | 488 | 229 | 341 | Yes |
| 46 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state's legislature and the DNR to establish regulations permitting persons with a valid small game license to use a slingshot to hunt Small Game Mammals (squirrels, rabbits and hares) and unprotected species? | 212 | 89 | 68 | Yes | 578 | 283 | 192 | Yes |
| 47 | Do you support a population management goal of 350 wolves or less for the state of Wisconsin? | 278 | 61 | 30 | Yes | 700 | 247 | 104 | Yes |
| 48 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter under the age of 18 be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 311 | 30 | 28 | Yes | 904 | 77 | 70 | Yes |
| 49 | Would you support the Conservation Congress, in an effort to continue the reduction of hunting incidents, work with the NRB and State Legislature to mandate that any new hunter, regardless of age, be required to take an in-person, "hands-on" safety course? | 265 | 68 | 36 | Yes | 762 | 197 | 92 | Yes |
| 50 | Would you support the Conservation Congress working with the state legislature to allow Purple Heart recipients the right and privilege to hunt bear first, on private land, in both even and odd numbered years? | 184 | 96 | 89 | Yes | 507 | 273 | 271 | Yes |

| | | WOOD (72) | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------|-----|------------|------|------|------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| | | | • | ts that in | | | t of resp they reci | ondents reate in | |
| No | Question Description | Yes | No | No Opinio | Maj. | Yes | No | No Opinio | r Maj. |
| No. | COUNTY INPUT | 462 | | | | 1272 | | | |
| 51 | Would you support waiving the license requirement for an assistant who is helping a person with a disability with the process of fishing, including baiting, casting, retrieving and handling of the fish? The assistant would need to be in direct contact with the person with a disability at all times and the person with the disability would need to have a valid fishing license. | 288 | 46 | 35 | Yes | 819 | 141 | 91 | Yes |
| <u> </u> | Do you support the conservation Congress work with the DNR and the legislature to develop a Wanton Waste | 200 | .0 | - 00 | 1 00 | 0.0 | | 0. | 1.00 |
| 52 | law that will prohibit the waste of game animals in Wisconsin? | 242 | 63 | 64 | Yes | 682 | 172 | 197 | Yes |
| 53 | Do you support the Conservation Congress work with the DNR and legislature to develop a permitting and regulating process for hunting contests, similar to what they already do for fishing contests? | 180 | 127 | 62 | Yes | 524 | 344 | 183 | Yes |
| 54 | Do you support the State of Wisconsin identifying funds available to purchase of the remaining 760 acres? | 232 | 30 | 107 | Yes | 670 | 81 | 297 | Yes |
| 55 | Do you favor the DNR and the NRB working with the Legislature to create a registration system and fee for canoes and kayaks? The system would take into consideration individuals and businesses with multiple canoes and kayaks (camps, rentals, etc). | 86 | 258 | 25 | No | 228 | 770 | 48 | No |
| 56 | Do you favor requiring contact information for the owner placed on of canoes and kayaks with no fee associated (similar to the requirements for treestands, traps, and ice shacks) | 153 | 184 | 32 | No | 471 | 500 | 75 | No |
| 57 | Do you support having all of Wisconsin's Congressional delegation support the passage of Recovering America's Wildlife Act in this session? | 181 | 51 | 136 | Yes | 572 | 126 | 344 | Yes |
| 58 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR to change the definition of a muzzleloader for the muzzleloader only deer season? | 151 | 107 | 110 | Yes | 412 | 281 | 349 | Yes |
| 59 | Do you favor the Wisconsin Conservation Congress working with the DNR and the Legislature to allow the use of pneumatic technologies to be aligned with current firearm hunting regulations and seasons? Deer hunting would require a .35 caliber or larger and Bear and Elk would require .45 caliber or larger. Furthermore, arrow based projectiles would be allowed during the firearm seasons only with current bolt requirements of at least 14" in length and a broadhead with a minimum of 7/8" cutting diameter for big game. Require current requirements for small game and while also allowing their use for bowfishing. Pneumatic shotguns would be allowed during all seasons with the current shot size, type of shot and number of rounds required. | 122 | 125 | 120 | No | 369 | 343 | 329 | Yes |
| 60 | Should the Conservation Congress work with the Department and the Legislature to adopt a Wisconsin Constitutional Amendment to allow boating registration fees to be used for boating access and invasive species control in addition to boating safety? | 231 | 65 | 71 | Yes | 672 | 188 | 180 | Yes |
| 61 | Do you support the legalization of size 'F" shot for waterfowl hunting in the state of Wisconsin? | 142 | 50 | 174 | Yes | 366 | 176 | 497 | Yes |
| | Would you support banning the use of dogs from hunting wolves in Wisconsin should wolves get delisted again? | 107 | 226 | 33 | No | 395 | 538 | 106 | No |
| 63 | Do you support the Conservation Congress working with the WDNR to develop and support a ban on all wildlife killing contests? | 123 | 192 | 51 | No | 392 | 516 | 131 | No |