Wisconsin Conservation Congress
Rules & Resolutions Committee
Meeting Minutes

ORDER OF BUSINESS | 12/15/2021 | 6:00PM | Zoom

I. ORGANIZATIONAL MATTERS
   A. CALL TO ORDER
      Meeting called to order by Kevin Smaby at 6:02 PM

   B. ROLL CALL
      ATTENDEES
      Tony Blattler, Mary Susan Diedrich, Jake Anderson, Brad Hopp, Ken Anderson, Mike Gullickson, Kevin Smaby, Bryce Luchterhand, Butch Roberts, Fred Wollenburg, Matt McHugh, Larry Bonde, Reed Kabelowsky, Jim Burmeister

      EXCUSED
      Tim Gorski, Nathan Budack

      UNEXCUSED
      Jean Voss, Terri Roehrig, Diane Cain, Josh Meuller, Barb Dulligren, Jerome Donahue, Lori Groskopf

      GUESTS
      Jean Voss, Terri Roehrig, Diane Cain, Josh Meuller, Barb Dulligren, Jerome Donahue, Lori Groskopf

   C. AGENDA APPROVAL/REPAIR
      DISCUSSION
      No Discussion

      ACTION
      Motion to approve with changes at the discretion of the chair-Ken Anderson  Second-Tony Blattler Unanimous approval

   D. REVIEW COMMITTEE MISSION STATEMENT
      DISCUSSION
      No discussion

      ACTION
      Motion to approve-Larry Bonde  Second-Reed Kabelowsky  Unanimous approval

   E. PUBLIC COMMENTS
      DISCUSSION
      Terri Roehrig addressed the committee on resolution guidance. The delegation should be able to assist authors in the process of creating a resolution to meet the requirements that are needed. Training is needed to create clarity on this subject.
      Diane Cain expressed that she had a resolution rejected because it didn't meet the layout specifics.
      Kevin-Clarity is important/ they have to be written correctly to be accepted
      Reed- Form a committee to address this/Have a seminar on resolution creation
      Kevin-Time is a factor
      Kari- Adjust the time frame so it's not a mad rush before the Spring Hearings
      Kevin-Time frame is on the agenda
      Larry- Have an informational video on the subject
      Ken- Setting an earlier deadline still gives cause for accepting late ones
      Mike-In regards to a video, from teaching experience, how people absorb a set procedure is a variable. Results are going to be different for different people because of how they translate the info. A deadline would have to be respected with no exceptions.
      Action to be take during a later agenda item

      ACTION

II. INFORMATION & ACTION ITEMS
   A. 1. Committee term limits
      Kevin read the resolution put forth by Josh Meuller
      Josh-Gave testimony on his resolution.
      Diane-gave testimony on her resolution
      Jim-Term limits of this nature would create chaos If term limits are expired how long do we wait until one can get back on a said committee
      Mike-Term limits need to be longer than 2 years so delegates can learn the process of said committee
      Larry- My experience tells me that turnover makes sense. Leadership and membership to committees should be addressed. Membership can be adjusted at the county level through the voting process at the Spring Hearings
      Reed- Agrees with Josh's concept. Many people have dedicated to a certain committee for a long time

      DISCUSSION
      Diane Cain, Josh Meuller
Ken- Delegates should move around to gain a better perspective of the Congress as a whole
Bryce- Agrees with Josh to set term limits but 2 year term is to short. 5 year term would be healthier and more applicable
Fred- Is this a issue between consumptive and non-consumptive committees
Josh- Consumptive or non-consumptive is part of the problem
Mike- Passions of the membership is a factor
Jim- We could make a motion to forward but it will probably get rejected as written
Josh- Suggested to amend the resolution
Tony- We can amend the resolution but would the county it came from support the change
Kari- The committee can amend the resolution
Diane- I was the author of the resolution that went to 9 counties and it passed in 8
Jean Voss- I was on the congress for 9 years and in that time have seen many resolutions rejected without open mindedness
Ken- Called the question and requested a roll call vote

Larry- Encourage everyone to go back to their District leaders to churn their membership
Reed- Asked Josh if we did what he was after
Josh- This is wishy washy. Will this go anywhere?

**ACTION**
Bryce- Motion to forward
Ken- Second
Role Call vote failed Yea-4 Nay-8
Larry- Request the DLC to create a way to direct their District leadership and membership to churn the idea in their thought process when filling committee assignments
Fred- Second Motion passed with 1 nay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**B. A2-WCC representation by population**
Barb Dahlgren

**DISCUSSION**
Ken- Low population areas would get screwed
Jim- Is this resolution in opposition to the next agenda item?
Reed- People should have a say on how their land should be used. People from high population areas go to use the resources of low population areas
Mike- All people no matter their demographic, have the opportunity to vote on all questions
Larry- We are an advisory organization. Representation, the way it is, has been well thought out
Tony- I agree. The system set up by county is fair. Delegate throughout the state need equal representation to advise Congress
Bryce- Every citizen has the opportunity to vote on the delegation

**ACTION**
Mike- Motion to reject
Reed- Second
Motion carried with 1 nay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**C. A3-Bring back District 12 Milwaukee County**
[PRESENTER]

**DISCUSSION**
Larry- This was dealt with at a past convention. Their problem was coming up with delegates for representation

**ACTION**
Larry- Motion to reject
Jake- Second
Unanimously approval of the motion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**D. A4-Guides in WCC leadership positions**
Lori Groskopf
E. Two district councilors from the same county

**DISCUSSION**
Larry: Same Zip code went away many years ago. We need to have the best people to serve Reed: We need to make Congress more diverse. This would narrow that. We should leave it the way it is.
Tony: This was dead on arrival last year. I support the change. If it doesn't change there needs to be a reason. This should be left to the will of the Districts Jim: I had the experience in the past that I couldn't be on the Council because someone else from the same county was already on the position
Mike: Amend the motion to read "if there is no one else to fill the position"
Ken: If we had term limits, then things would be different in this regard
Tony: District Leaders do not want representation from the same county

**ACTION**
Larry: Motion to allow two Councilors from the same county
Jake: Second
Amend motion to "eliminate from the COP that 2 members from the same county cannot serve
Roll call vote: Yea-7 Nay-5 Motion passed

**PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE** | **DEADLINE**
---|---

F. Electronic resolution changes and actions

**DISCUSSION**
Larry: There should be a sub-committee to study and present this subject
Kari: This is going to take a lot of discussion. We would be changing the resolution process to be online resolutions only
Sub-committee volunteers-- Mary Susan, Reed, Ken, Fred, Terri Roehrig
Kari: Need a game plan because of time between now and the Spring Hearings. Should we just plan on online resolutions this year
Larry: The Outreach Committee would be helpful in this endeavor
Kari: Staff should also be included
Mike: Have updates on future agendas

**ACTION**
Recommend to the DLC that 2022 resolutions be on-line exclusively. Have a tutorial made ASAP for resolution creation. Resolutions much be submitted by March 1, 2022
Communication Dept. will advertise this public notice

**PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE** | **DEADLINE**
---|---
### III. MEMBERS MATTERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>With a storm fast approaching, Member Matthews was quick</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### IV. ADJOURNMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEETING ADJOURNED</th>
<th>8:47PM Motion to adjourn-Larry Second-Jake Unanimous approval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SUBMITTED BY</td>
<td>Brad Hopp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>12/17/2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>